Action brought on 29 November 2016 — Alpirsbacher Klosterbräu Glauner v EUIPO (Klosterstoff) (Case T-844/16)

(2017/C 038/54)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Alpirsbacher Klosterbräu Glauner GmbH & Co. KG (Alpirsbach, Germany) (represented by: W. Göpfert, lawyer and S. Hofmann, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Trade mark at issue: European Union word mark 'Klosterstoff'- Application for registration No 13 945 944

Contested decision: Decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 6 October 2016 in Case R 2064/2015-5

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs.

Pleas in law

- Infringement of Article 7(1)(b),(c) and (g) of Regulation No 207/2009;
- Infringement of Article 7(2) of Regulation No 207/2009.

Action brought on 1 December 2016 — Deichmann v EUIPO — Vans (V) (Case T-848/16)

(2017/C 038/55)

Language in which the application was lodged: German

Parties

Applicant: Deichmann SE (Essen, Germany) (represented by: C. Onken, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Vans, Inc. (Cypress, California, United States of America)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicant for the trade mark at issue: Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal

Trade mark at issue: EU figurative mark (representation 'V') — Application No 10 345 403

Procedure before EUIPO: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 20 September 2016 in Case R 2129/2015-4