- order the defendant to pay damages suffered by the applicant as well as an interest of 8 % or in the alternative to award a compensation as well as a compensation of 8 %;
- order the defendant to pay the costs of these proceedings.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on three pleas in law.

- 1. First plea in law, alleging an infringement of the principle of equal treatment, of the principle of transparency, of the principle to act with a certain care, of the duty to respect confidentiality as well as alleging manifest error of appraisal.
- 2. Second plea in law, alleging inconsistency in the grounds, infringement of the principle of proportionality while assessing the applicant's tender.
- 3. Third plea in law, alleging infringement of the right to a good administration.

Action brought on 7 August 2017 — Mutualidad Complementaria de Previsión Social Renault España v Commission and SRB

(Case T-501/17)

(2017/C 338/17)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: Mutualidad Complementaria de Previsión Social Renault España (Madrid, Spain) (represented by: A. Solana López, lawyer)

Defendants: European Commission and Single Resolution Board

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the court should:

- declare that EU law has been infringed by the SRB in Decision SRB/EES/2017/08 adopted at the executive session of 7 June 2017 and in which it adopted the resolution scheme regarding the financial institution Banco Popular Español, S. A.;
- consequently, annul that measure and, in addition, the consequential implementing measures that the SRB was able to take, all with retroactive effect.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to those raised in Cases T-478/17, Mutualidad de la Abogacía and Hermandad Nacional de Arquitectos Superiores y Químicos v Single Resolution Board, T-481/17, Fundación Tatiana Pérez de Guzmán y Bueno and SFL v Single Resolution Board, T-482/17, Comercial Vascongada Recalde v Commission and Single Resolution Board, T-483/17, García Suárez and Others v Commission and Single Resolution Board, T-484/17, Fidesban and Others v Single Resolution Board, T-497/17, Sáchez del Valle and Calatrava Real State 2015 v Commission and Single Resolution Board, and T-498/17, Pablo Alvarez de Linera Granda v Commission and Single Resolution Board.