# **API Security Project**

OWASP Projects' Showcase Sep 12, 2019



### **Founders and Sponsors**





### **Project Leaders**

**Erez Yalon** 





- Director of Security Research@ Checkmarx
- Focusing on Application Security
- Strong believer in spreading security awareness

### Inon Shkedy





- Head of Research@ Traceable.ai
- 7 Years of research and pentesting experience
- I've grown up with APIs

### What is API?

API stands for:

Application Programming Interface


"AN APPLICATION PROGRAMMING INTERFACE (API) IS AN INTERFACE OR COMMUNICATION PROTOCOL BETWEEN A CLIENT AND A SERVER INTENDED TO SIMPLIFY THE BUILDING OF CLIENT-SIDE SOFTWARE. IT HAS BEEN DESCRIBED AS A "CONTRACT" BETWEEN THE CLIENT AND THE SERVER, SUCH THAT IF THE CLIENT MAKES A REQUEST IN A SPECIFIC FORMAT, IT WILL ALWAYS GET A RESPONSE IN A SPECIFIC FORMAT OR INITIATE A DEFINED ACTION."

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Application\_programming\_interface

### Who Uses APIs?

### Every Modern application:

- Mobile
- IoT
- B2B
- Serverless
- Cloud
- Single Page Application



# API Security == API-Based Apps Security



# Today's Agenda

- How APIs-Based apps are different?
   Why deserve their own project?
- Roadmap
- API Security Top 10 RC
- Acknowledgements
- Call for contributors

Client devices are becoming varied and stronger




Logic moves from Backend to Frontend (together with some vulnerabilities)

### Traditional vs. Modern

Traditional Application



Modern Application




### Traditional vs. Modern

Less abstraction layers

Client and server (and DB) speak the same JSON language

Modern Application



- The server is used more as a proxy for data
- The rendering component is the client, not the server
- Clients consume raw data
- APIs expose the underlying implementation of the app
- The user's state is usually maintained and monitored by the client
- More parameters are sent in each HTTP request (object ID's, filters)

- The REST API standard
  - Standardized & generic
  - Predictable entry points
  - One entry point (URL) can be used for multiple purposes



### The good news

Traditional vulnerabilities are less common in API-Based apps:

- SQLi Increasing use of ORMs
- CSRF Authorization headers instead of cookies
- Path Manipulations Cloud-Based storage
- Classic IT Security Issues SaaS

### What About Dev(Sec)Ops?

APIs change all the time





It takes just a few clicks to spin up new APIs (hosts). Too easy!

APIs become hard to track:

- Shadow APIs
- Old Exposed APIs

### Roadmap – Planned Projects

- API Secrity Top 10
- API Security Cheat Sheet
- crAPI (Completely Ridiculous API
  - an intentionally vulnerable API project)

# Roadmap

	<b>Top 10</b>	<b>Cheat Sheet</b>	crAPI
2019 Q1	Prepare		
2019 Q2	Kick-Off		
2019 Q3	V1.0	Kick-Off	Prepare
2019 Q4		Collaborate	Kick-Off
2020 Q1		V1.0	Collaborate
2020 Q2			V1.0

### The creation process of the Top10

- Internal knowledge and experience
- Internal data collection (Bug bounties reports, published incidents, etc.)
- Call for Data
- Call for comments

### **API Security Top 10**


- A1: Broken Object Level Authorization
- A2: Broken Authentication
- A3: Excessive Data Exposure
- A4: Lack of Resources & Rate Limiting
- **A5**: Broken Function Level Authorization
- A6: Mass Assignment
- A7: Security Misconfiguration
- A8: Injection
- A9: Improper Assets Management
- A10: Insufficient Logging & Monitoring

### **Authz in APIs - The Challenge**


Decentralized Mechanism

<b>Object Level</b>	<b>Function Level</b>		
Code (Almost every controller)	Code, Configuration, API-gateway		

Complex Users & Roles Hierarchies



# A1 - BOLA (Broken Object-Level Authorization)



### **BOLA - Why Not IDOR**

- IDOR Insecure Direct Object Reference
- COOL name, not accurate
- The problem is not about the IDs!

# BOLA - Solutions that <u>don't</u> solve the problem

- GUIDs instead of numbers
- Indirect Object Reference
- Relying on IDs from JWT tokens

### **BOLA - Solutions that solve the problem**

- Good authorization mechanism
- Make sure that developers actually use it in every controller

### **BOLA - Uber - Full Account Takeover**

#### Request

```
POST /marketplace/\_rpc?rpc=getConsentScreenDetails HTTP/1.1
Host: bonjour.uber.com
Connection: close
Content-Length: 67
Accept: application/json
Origin: [https://bonjour.uber.com](https://bonjour.uber.com)
x-csrf-token: xxxx
User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10_14_3) Applew
DNT: 1
Content-Type: application/json
Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate
Accept-Language: en-US,en;q=0.9
Cookie: xxxxx
{"language":"en","userUuid":"xxxx-776-4xxxx1bd-861a-837xxx604ce"}
```

Found by Anand Prakash,
<a href="#">AppSecure</a>

#### Response

```
"status": "success",
"data":{
   "data":{
      "language": "en",
      "userUuid":"xxxxxx1e"
   "getUser":{
      "uuid": "cxxxxxc5f7371e",
      "firstname": "Maxxxx",
      "lastname": "XXXX"
      "role": "PARTNER",
      "languageId":1,
      "countryId":77,
      "mobile": null,
      "mobileToken": 1234,
      "mobileCountryId":77,
      "mobileCountryCode":"+91",
      "hasAmbiguousMobileCountry": false,
      "lastConfirmedMobileCountryId":77,
      "email":"xxxx@gmail.com",
      "emailToken": "xxxxxxxxx"
```

### **A2 - Broken Authentication**

forgot_password	EXTRA Protection	
web_login	Protection	Rate Limiting
get_location		(A4)
update_picture		


### Lack of protection:

- Account lockout
- Captcha
- Brute Force attacks

### Misconfiguration:

- JWT allows {"alg":"none"}
- Tokens don't expire
- etc...

### A2 - Facebook - Full Account Takeover



**Vulnerable request:** 

Found by Anand Prakash,
<a href="#">AppSecure</a>

POST /recover/as/code/ HTTP/1.1
Host: beta.facebook.com

Isd=AVoywo13&n=XXXXX (5 Digits Reset Password Token)
100,000 options

Brute forcing the "n" successfully allowed me to set new password for any Facebook user.

### A3 - Excessive Data Exposure

 APIs expose sensitive data of other Users by design



COMPLEX

APIS LEAK PII BY DESIGN

### **A3 - Excessive Data Exposure**




Filtering sensitive information on the client side == **BAD IDEA!!** 

### A3 - Why?

- API Economy + REST Standard == Generic Endpoints
- "to\_json" functions from ORM / Model
- Developers don't think who's the consumer

Recent Example - "3fun" app



Found by Alex Lomas, Pen Test Partners


# Found by Alex Lomas, <a href="Pen Test Partners">Pen Test Partners</a>

#### **OWASP GLOBAL APPSEC**

Request Response

	Host	Method	URL	Params	Edited	Status	Length	MIME type
322 h	https://www.go3fun.co	POST	/account_kit_reg	✓		200	447	JSON
325 h	https://www.go3fun.co	POST	/user/device_token	✓		200	198	JSON
326 h	https://www.go3fun.co	POST	/user/update	✓		200	265	JSON
327 h	https://www.go3fun.co	POST	/reset_push_badge			200	198	JSON
329 h	https://www.go3fun.co	GET	/match_users?from=0&latitude=51.	✓		200	23807	JSON
331 h	https://www.go3fun.co	GET	/user/refresh			200	788	JSON
334 h	https://www.go3fun.co	POST	/user/update_location	✓		200	198	JSON
338 h	https://www.go3fun.co	POST	/upload_photo	✓		200	479	JSON
339 h	https://www.go3fun.co	GET	/i_like_list?from=0&offset=30	✓		200	201	JSON
340 h	https://www.go3fun.co	GET	/chatted_list			200	201	JSON
341 h	https://www.go3fun.co	POST	/reset_push_badge			200	198	JSON
344 h	https://www.go3fun.co	GET	/user/refresh			200	992	JSON
348 h	https://www.go3fun.co	GET	/matched_list?from=0&offset=30	✓		200	201	JSON
36	h	DOCT	/£.10	,		200	400	ICON

#### Hex **JSON Beautifier** Headers "latitude": "51. "membership": "2", "birthday": "1977-"sex orient": "4", "gender": "l", "longitude": "-0.1 "photo\_verified\_status": "l", "active": "0", "partner\_sex\_orient": "0", "liked me": "0", "settings": ( "show\_online\_status": "l", "show\_distance": "l" "username": " "usr id": "174 "about\_me": "Kinky and attractive french financier open to many things ..." "last\_login": "2019-06-24 20:21:12", "private\_photos": [ "icon": "https://s3.amazonaws.com/3fun/821/ "photo id": "38




Found by Alex Lomas, <a href="Pen Test Partners">Pen Test Partners</a>

## **A4 - Lack of Resources & Rate Limiting**

- Might lead to DOS
- www.socialnetwork.com/users/list?limit=99999999

# A5 - BFLA (Broken Function Level Authorization)





Attacker w/ Driver User

# Why in APIs

	Fetch User's Profile (not sensitive function)	Delete user (admin function)
Traditional App	GET /app/users_view.aspx?user_id=1337	POST app/admin_panel/users_mgmt.aspx  action=delete&user_id=1337  Predict:
API	GET /api/users/1337	DELETE /api/users/1337  Very Predictable

### **Function Level Authorization**

- Can be implemented in different components:
  - Code
  - Configuration
  - API Gateway

- Different Roles:
  - Admins / Super-admins / supervisors / riders / drivers

### A5 - BFLA - Example - Shopify




@uzsunny reported that by creating two partner accounts sharing the same business email, it was possible to be granted "collaborator" access to any store without any merchant interaction.


"The code did not properly check what type the existing account was"

Found by <u>uzsunny</u> \$20,000 bounty on Hackerone

# A6 - Mass Assignment "Create\_user" flow in traditional apps



A6 - Mass Assignment



## A6 - Mass Assignment

POST /api/users/new {"username":"Inon", "pass":"123456"}

POST /api/users/new {"username":"Inon", "pass":"123456", "role":"admin"}

# A6 - Why in APIs

- Mass Assignment isn't a new vulnerability.
- Easier to exploit in APIs though
- Don't guess object properties, just find a GET method that returns them:)

```
GET /v1/user/video_files


PUT /v1/videos/371

{
    "id": 371,
    "name": "clip.mp4",
    "conversion_params":"-v codec h264"
}

PUT /v1/videos/371

{
    "name": "clip.mp4".
}
```

# A6 - Example





POST /accounts/<account\_id>.json

account[first\_name]="Evil"&
account[allow\_api\_access]=true

Found by
James Kettle,
Port Swigger

# **A7 - Security Misconfiguration**

- Lack of CSRF / CORS protection
- Lack of security related HTTP headers
- Unnecessary exposed HTTP methods
- Weak encryption
- Etc...



# A8 - Injection Why from A1 to A8?


• First of all, ask yourself - why injection was A1?

- SQLi much less common:
  - ORMs
  - Gazillion of security products that solve them
  - Use of NoSQL

NoSQL Injection are a thing, but are usually not as severe / common

# **A9 - Improper Asset Management**

# API endpoints with no documentation



#### **Unknown API hosts**

payment-api.acme.com

mobile-api.acme.com

qa-3-old.acme.com

DevOps

# A9 - Why in APIs?

APIs change all the time because of CI/CD

Cloud + deployment automation (K8S) ==
 Too easy to spin up a new API host

# A10 - Insufficient Logging & Monitoring

• Same as A10 (2017)

### **Call for Discussions**

### **Mailing List**

https://groups.google.co m/a/owasp.org/d/forum/ api-security-project



# Call for Contributions

**GitHub Project** 

https://github.com/OWA

SP/API-

Security/blob/develop/C ONTRIBUTING.md



https://www.owasp.org/index.php/OWASP API Security Project

https://github.com/OWASP/API-Security

## **QUESTIONS?**