



Supplement of

A skewed perspective of the Indian rainfall–El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) relationship

Justin Schulte et al.

Correspondence to: Justin Schulte (justin.a.schulte@nasa.gov)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC BY 4.0 License.



Figure S1. The forcing times series, F(t), from the main text and the response $R(t) = \cos(\frac{2\pi}{p_1}t + \varphi) + \cos(\frac{2\pi}{p_3}t) + W_R(t)$ where $p_1 = 32$, $p_3 = p_1/2 = 16$. (b) Linear coherence and (c) nonlinear coherence between F(t) and R(t). Contours enclose regions of 5% cumulative area-wise significance and the light-shaded region represents the cone of influence. Although linear coherence suggests that F(t) and R(t) are not related at the period $p_3 = 16$ from t = 0 to almost t = 200, nonlinear coherence shows that they are related, which should be the case by construction because both R(t) and F(t)have cosine functions with period of 16. The time series F(t) and R(t) must be related at $p_3 = 16$ otherwise the relative bi-phase would fluctuate randomly according to Eq. (14), resulting in statistically insignificant nonlinear wavelet coherence at $p_3 = 32$. In other words, $\phi_n^X(32) - \phi_n^Y(32)$ and $\phi_n^X(16) - \phi_n^Y(16)$ do not fluctuate randomly so that Kis not a random function of time.



Figure S2. Wavelet power spectrum of Niño 1+2 – AIR. Contours enclose regions of 5% cumulative area-wise significance and the light-shaded region represents the cone of influence.