Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 12, C4218–C4221, 2015 www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/12/C4218/2015/

© Author(s) 2015. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



HESSD

12, C4218-C4221, 2015

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Identifying hydrological responses of micro-catchments under contrasting land use in the Brazilian Cerrado" by R. L. B. Nobrega et al.

W. Dawes (Referee)

warrick.dawes@csiro.au

Received and published: 13 October 2015

HESSD-12-9915 "Identifying hydrological responses of micro-catchments under contrasting land use in the Brazilian Cerrado" RLB.Nobrega, AC.Guzha, GN.Torres, K.Kovacs, G.Lamparter, RSS.Amorim, G.Gerold

This is a frustrating piece of work, as it only really reports on some annualised data, with line graphs showing the patchy nature of some of the continuous data, and cannot show uniquely the effects of land-use compared to all other factors. The conclusion that different land covers produce different water balances is in no way controversial,

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



and this work fails to argue why the Brazilian Cerrado landscape is any different. The water balances are clearly encapsulated in Tables 6 and 7, but show only that 30 to 35% of the water balance is in "lost water" not measured or inferred.

In classical terms, monitoring of catchments of any size for changes in behaviour, either natural variation or sudden land-use changes, was done with pairs of catchments. Then a baseline could be established where all factors matched, or were taken into account by the relationships between their behaviour. Any changes in flow, evapotranspiration, deep recharge, etc, were monitored following land-use change so that the effects of this single variable could be locally quantified. This current work, in an admittedly relatively unstudied biome, has no baseline. There are no relationships between daily or monthly flows established under Cerrado vegetation prior to changes to cropland or pasture. The catchments are not similar enough topographically meaning that rainfall-runoff-throughflow processes are a confounding effect. The cropland micro-catchment is clearly the most interesting and counter-intuitive, but has only half the average slope, for example, and we do not know what the prior stream flow dynamics were to say how different they are now. This catchment also has $\sim 50\%$ clay/ $\sim 25\%$ sand content in the top 60cm, compared to $\sim 10\%$ clay/ $\sim 85\%$ sand for the other two micro-catchments (Table 4).

Both cropland and pasture micro-catchments lose about one-third of rainfall in unmeasured losses but with very different mixes of stream flow and evapotranspiration. Table 6 shows that more than 96% of stream flow under each of the land-uses is inferred to be throughflow rather than direct runoff, yet the authors discuss differences in quickflow for nearly 2-pages. This is clearly not the first-order process of interest where the biggest changes are expected to occur. There is insufficient length, or depth, of soil moisture measurement to help delineate differences between recharge and soil water storage changes.

I want to encourage the authors to continue to monitor and study these sites, however what is presented is some short-term preliminary data which cannot clearly articulate

HESSD

12, C4218-C4221, 2015

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



what is happening within and between the three micro-catchments. For the Cerrado and pasture catchments with similar soils and topography, comparisons of evapotranspiration and stream flow using standard annualised water balance techniques is fully justified, e.g. Schreiber, Budyko, Pike, Choudhury, Milly, Fu, Zhang. This might show their similarity to other international catchments, or highlight why they are different enough from standard models of catchment hydrology to be more intensively studied. Variations between micro-catchments with greater differences might be explored using the simplest of daily-time-step water-balance models such as SIMHYD, with only 7 parameters, that has been applied at both local and regional scales, and for hundreds of catchments (Chiew x 3).

Some mundane referencing issues:

P9919 L9: Silva Junior et al (1999) not in references, may be Silva et al (1999)?

P9922 L9: EMBRAPA (1998) not in references, may be EMBRAPA 1997?

P9922 L25: Silva Junior (2005) not in references, may be Silva Junior (2004)?

P9931 L2: Base et al (2012) not in references

P9938 L6: Lima (2000) not in references

P9939 L10: Moraes et al (2006) not in references

Refs:

Budyko MI (1974) Climate and Life. Academic Press, San Diego, California, 508pp.

Chiew FHS and McMahon TA (2002) Modelling the impacts of climate change on Australian streamflow. Hydrological Processes, 16, 1235–1245.

Chiew FHS, Peel MC and Western AW (2002) Application and testing of the simple rainfall-runoff model SIMHYD. In: Mathematical Models of Small Watershed Hydrology and Applications (Editors: VP Singh and DK Frevert), Water Resources Publication,

HESSD

12, C4218-C4221, 2015

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Littleton, Colorado, pp. 335–367.

Chiew FHS and Siriwardena L (2005) Estimation of SIMHYD parameter values for application in ungauged catchments. Congress on Modelling and Simulation (MODSIM 2005), Melbourne, December 2005, pp. 2883–2889.

Choudhury BJ (1999) Evaluation of an empirical equation for annual evaporation using field observations and results from a biophysical model. J. Hydrol., 216, 99-100.

Fu BP (1981) On the calculation of the evaporation from land surfaces. Sci. Atmos. Sin., 5, 23-31 (in Chinese).

Milly PCD (1994) Climate, soil water storage, and the average annual water balance. Water Reour. Res., 30, 2143-2156.

Pike JG (1964) The estimation of annual runoff from meteorological data in a tropical climate. J. Hydrol., 2, 116-123.

Schreiber P (1904) Uber die Beziehungen zwischen dem Niederschlag und der Wasserfuhrung der Flusse in Mitteleuropa. Z. Meteorol., 21(10), 441-452 (in German).

Zhang L, Dawes WR and Walker GR (2001) Response of mean annual evapotranspiration to vegetation changes at catchment scale. Water Resour. Res., 37(3), 701-708.

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 12, 9915, 2015.

HESSD

12, C4218-C4221, 2015

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

