A PHONON CRANKING MODEL<sup>T</sup>

## Torunn Fogel, P. Haapakoski and P.O. Lipas University of Helsinki, Finland

According to the cranking description  $[1]$ ,  $H_{\omega} = H - \omega J_{\chi}$  is the Hamiltonian in the nuclear rest frame. Its eigenstates  $\phi_{\mu}$  give the total laboratory energy as

$$
E = \frac{(\phi_{\omega}, H\phi_{\omega})}{(\phi_{\omega}, \phi_{\omega})}
$$

with w determined from  $(\phi_{\omega}, J_x \phi_{\omega}) = \hslash \sqrt{J(J+1)}$ .

We use this formalism to calculate the ground band rotation energies from the phonon Hamiltonian

$$
\hbar\omega_2 \left[ \sum_m b_m^{\dagger} b_m + \frac{5}{2} - d(b_0^{\dagger} + b_0) + d^2 \right] ,
$$

which describes harmonic quadrupole vibrations in an axially deformed body  $(d \alpha \beta_n)$ . We have used it previously as the intrinsic Hamiltonian in projection calculations [2].

To solve the H<sub>0</sub> problem we express  $J_{r}$  in terms of the phonon operators and seek a ground state solution of the form  $\exp \sum_{m} w_{m} b_{m}^{\dagger} |0\rangle$  as an extension of the  $\omega=0$  case  $\lceil 2 \rceil$ . The solution is exact, and the rotational energies are given by

$$
E_{\text{rot}} = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 \frac{6d^2 \hbar \omega (4\omega^2 + \omega_2^2)}{(4\omega^2 - \omega_2^2)^2} , \frac{6d^2 \omega_2^3 \omega}{(4\omega^2 - \omega_2^2)^2} = \sqrt{J(J+1)}
$$

in terms of two parameters,  $\omega_2$  and d.

The moment of inertia in the limit  $\omega$ +0,  $6d^2\hbar/\omega_2$ , agrees with the result given by the Inglis formula. Further, taken to order  $\omega^4$ , our  $E_{\text{not}}$  agrees with Harris' (and Mariscotti's) two-parameter rotational description. Fits to data are similar. Our upper and lower limits on  $E_{11}/E_{2}$  are 10/3 = 3.33 and  $\sqrt{10/3}$  = 1.83, in agreement with the Goldhabers  $\lceil 3 \rceil$ .

In contrast to most rotational phenomenology, ours also provides wave functions upon projection from  $\exp\Sigma_m w_m b_m^{\dagger} |0\rangle$ . The resultant B(E2) values and quadrupole moments resemble those from the projection model [2].

- Supported by National Research Council for Sciences in Finland.  $+$
- **ELT** W.A. Friedman and L. Wilets, Phys. Rev. C 2 (1970) 892.
- P. Haapakoski, T. Honkaranta and P.O. Lipas, Phys. Lett. 31B  $\lceil 2 \rceil$  $(1970)$  493.
- G. Scharff-Goldhaber and A.S. Goldhaber, Phys. Rev. Lett. 24  $\lceil 3 \rceil$  $(1970)$  1349.