

TAUP-356-73

THE $\sigma^{\frac{7}{2}}$ and knockout REACTIONS OF c^{12} FROM 30 TO 90 MLV

J. Alster. D. Asherv. S. Cochavi. H.A. Moinester, A.I. Yavin and M. Zaider Department of Physics and Astronomy Tel-Aviv University, Ramat-Aviv, Israel

Abstract

The cross sections for the knockout reactions $C^{12}(\pi^*, \pi^*n)C^{11}$ and $C^{12}(\pi^*, \pi^*_{R+W} \eta)C^{11}$ were measured over the energy range of 30 to 90 MeV by an activation method, and compared to existing calculations. In this energy range, the ratio $\sigma(\pi^c C^2)/\sigma(\pi^c C^2)$ of the cross sections is 2 to 3 times smaller than the corresponding ratio for pions on nucleons, contrary to the expectations of the impulse approximation, Such a behavior has previously been known only near the energy of the (3,3) resonance.

The knockout reactions of the type $A(\mathbb{R}^T,\mathbb{R})$ B constitute a major comprehent of the pion-nucleus reaction cross section $\binom{1}{2}$. These reactions have reviously been studied $3-6$ over the energy range of 50 to 1900 MeV. The excitation function of the reactions $C^{12}(\pi^+, \pi N)C^{14}$ has a $\mu c c^{\gamma}$ near the energy of the (3,3) resonance in the πN cross section⁵,6). This feature led to impulse approximation interpretations^{1,5-9}) of the knockout reaction based on the idea of quasi-free scattering of pions from individual nucleons within the nucleus.

In the plane wave impulse approximation (PWIA) calculation for the Mir*, *TIU)&* reaction in nuclei, the free ;tN reaction cross sections appear explicitly^{1,6}. The ratio $R(\Lambda)$ defined by

$$
R(A) = \frac{\sigma(A(\pi^*, \pi^*R)B)}{\sigma(A(\pi^*, \pi^*R + \pi^0R)B)}
$$

can then be compared to the corresponding ratio $R(N)$ for free πN reactions, ω here $^{10)}$

$$
R(N) = \frac{\sigma(\pi^2 n + \pi^2 n)}{\sigma(\pi^2 n - \pi^2 n + n^2 p)} = \frac{g|f(3/2)|^2}{|f(3/2) + 2f(1/2)|^2 + 2|f(3/2) - f(1/2)|^2}
$$

'te symbol f(T) is the π -nucleon scattering amplitude for isospin T. By symmetry. f_n by susilable data^{11,12}) for $f(x)$ ⁺ the mp phase s if $ts^{13,14}$ show almost no $T=1/2$ scattering compared to $T=3/2$, so $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}$ show almost no T-2 scattering to T-3/2, so T-

Recder and Markowitz⁵ investigated the $c^{12}(\pi^*, n)c^{1'}$ reaction in the energy range of 50 to 1900 MeV by analyzing the β^{\dagger} activity of the in the energy range of c^{11} . Chivers et al⁶ measured this activation cross section for $c^{12}(\pi^*, \pi^*n)c^{11}$ around 120 and 180 MeV, and for $c^{12}(\pi^*, \pi^*n+\pi^0n)c^{11}$ between W and 280 MeV ; they found R(C¹²) around T_n = 180 MeV to be equal to 1.0 \pm 0.1 ather than the ratio of 3 predicted by the impulse approximation. Chivers et al⁶⁾ and Plendl et al^{15,16} also suddied the knockout reaction around $T = 180$ MeV for other targets. There have been no determination of $R(A)$ at low pion energies.

Theoretical attempts have been made to explain the difference between the predicted and measured R(C¹²) values at 180 MeV, as well as the shape of the excristion curve for $\sigma(\pi^c C^{12})$ up through the (3,3) resonance, Nolybasov⁷) *• :..irmn* out a PKIA calculation, to which Selieri *'* added kinematic corrections. *u.ikarov*¹⁷ as well as Kolyhasov and Smorodinskaya¹⁸ modified the calculation of Kolybasov⁷) by including higher order pion rescattering processes. In the above calculations^{7,9,17,18}) the magnitude was normalized to the experimental results around $T_n = 180$ MeV, but there are considerable differences in the "
itation curve at lower energies, Bertini⁸⁾ (effects of absorption and a diffuse nuclear surface within the framework of a Monte Carlo direct knockout calculation. Hewson¹⁹⁾ got improved agreement for $R(c^{12})$ at T₄ = 180 MeV by including the effects of charge eximange in the final state interactions of the outgoing neutron with the residual vr-reroent *to-* R(C) at T,^r = ISO f-SeV by including the effects of charge \bullet state interactions of the outgoing neutron with the outgoing neutron with the residual \bullet nucleus. Robson *'* further investigated the final state interactions and found

 $-2-$

.
Wilaya sa saya

We report here measurements of the cross sections of the reactions $C^{12}(\pi^-, \pi^-, p)C^{11}$ and $C^{12}(\pi^+, \pi^+, p+ p)C^{11}$ in the energy lange of 30 to 90 MeV. The secondary pion beam from the Saclay electron linear accelerator was used. The data were obtained by analysis of the 20.4 min β^+ activity of c^{11} , so that the cross section to the sum of all the bound stares of C^{11} is obtained. Typical beam intensities on target were about $\frac{5}{2}$ sions per second. The beam had an energy spread of $\pm 10^3$, and also contained protons, positrons and muons. The protons were stopped by aluminum absorbers. We found that the positrons (and possible neutron background) caused no significant effect by carrying out measurements with a beam consistime whaly of positrons (obtained by stopping the pions in an absorber). We significant contribution to the cross section from the muons is expected. Ine pion best was monitored by measuring the integrated electron current incident on the pion production target, and its intensity was determined from previously measured values of the pion vields²¹⁾. Variations of beam intensity with time were monitored with a small plastic scintillater placed directly behind the target. This detector was also used to measure the beam profile for each energy. The C^{12} targets consisted of graphite disks, 3 cm in diameter, with thicknesses ranging from 0.8 to 4.0 cm/cm^2 . Irradiations were curried out for a period of one to two half-lives of the c^{11} activity. $\mathbb{R} \cdot \gamma$ ays from the β^* amidilation were measured in coincidence by two Hal(Tk) detectors in a shielded area. The decay curves were recorded in a multiscaler for a period of several half-lives, and all showed the characteristic 20.4 min. activity.

 $-3-$

In Fig. 1 we present our experimental data along with the results of Reeder and Markowitz⁵ and of Chivers et al⁶⁾, and compare them with the calculations of Pertini³ and of Selleri³, Our results for $\sigma(\pi^*C^{12})$ at 70 and 90 MeV are consistent with the results of Ghivers et al at 83 MeV. Our results for $c \epsilon \pi c^{12}$ are consistent with those of Reeder and Markowitz, considereing their quoted uncertainties in the incident energies of about ± 8 MeV, except at 50 MeV. *Comparing to theory, Bertini's calculation for* $\sigma(\pi^c)^{1/2}$ *is higher than the data* but the shape is similar. Selleri's calculation of the shape for $\sigma(\pi_C^{-} C^1{}^2)$ agrees with the data at all energies. For $\sigma(\pi^+ c^{12})$, Bertini's calculation is in fair agreewith the data a t algebra a t algebra a t algebra \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L} agree \mathcal{L} ment with the data at low energies, but not at energies above 140 MeV.

The experimental ratios $R(C^{1,2})$ from our data and that of Chivers et $a1⁶$ are shown in Fig. 2. The ratios deduced from the pion-proton cross sections 11,12 . and the calculation of Bertini for c^{12} are shown for comparison. Bertini's ratio is similar to the pion-proton ratio over the entire range shown. This can be expected $^{3)}$ since the absorption factors for π^- and π^+ are approximately equal. We see that the ratio R(C^{12}) is considerably smaller than the corresponding R(N) (by a factor of 2 to 3), not only at $T_{\pi} = 180$ MeV, where this has previously been known⁶⁾, but at Iover energies as well.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Drs. J.Duclos, J.Miller and J.Morgenstern during many phases of the experiment. We are grateful to Piofessor D.Koltun for helpful discussions. We thank Drs. C.Tzara and I.Netter and the Saclay Laboratory personnel for the warm hospitality extended to us, and for their assistance in carrying out the experiment.

- 4 -

Figure Captions

- Activation cross sections for the $c^{12}(\pi^*, \pi^*$ n) c^{11} and $c^{12}(\pi^*, \pi^*$ n+ π^0 p) c^{11} knockout reactions as a function of pion energy. The present data. along with the data of Reeder and Markowitz³³ and of Chivers et al⁰³ are shown. The dashed curve is the PWIA calculation of Selleri⁹). while the solid lines are smooth curves drawn through the values calculated by Bertini⁸).
- Fig. 2 : Cross section ratios $\sigma(\pi^{\tau}C^{12})/\sigma(\pi^{\tau}C^{12})$ as a function of pion energy for the reactions $C^{12}(\pi^-, \pi^- n)C^{11}$ and $C^{12}(\pi^+, \pi^+ n + \pi^0 p)C^{11}$. The experimental points are from our data and those of Chivers et $a_1^{(6)}$. The solid lines are smooth curves drawn through the ratios deduced from the calculated points of Bertin² for $\sigma(\pi^{\dagger} c^{12})$ and $\sigma(\pi^{\dagger} c^{12})$. from the calculate d points of flertinr-¹ for O(IT C) and *a{-n C*), from the pion-proton cross sections.

 $-5 -$

- 6 -

References

- 9.S. Koltun, Advan.Nuc.Phys.3, 71 (1969) 1.
- \mathbf{z} . T.E.O. Ericson, in Proceedings of the Banff Summer School on Intermediate Energy Nuclear Physics, edited by G.C.Neilson, W.C.01sen and S.Varma (The University of Alberta,Edmonton, Canada, 1970),p.102.
- 3. A.M. Poskanzer and L.P. Remsberg, Phys. Rev. 134, B779 (1964).
- 4. C.O. Hower and S. Kaufman, Phys. Rev. 144, 917 (1966).
- P.L. Reeder and S.S. Markowitz, Phys. Rev. 133, B639 (1964). $5.$
- D.T. Chivers, E.M. Rimmer, B.W. Allardyce, R.C. Witcomb, J.J. Domingo, 6. N.W. Tanner, Nucl. Phys. A126, 129 (1969).
- V.M. Kolybasov, SJNP 2, 101 (1966). 7.
- H.W. Bertini, Phys. Rev. 131, 1801 (1963). 8.
- F. Selleri, Phys. Rev. 164, 1475 (1967). 9.
- W.O. Luck and D.F. Measday, in Intermediate Energy Nuclear Physics, 10. Methuen and Co., London (1970).
- 11. G. Giacomelli, P. Pini, and S. Stagni, A Compilation of Pion-Nucleon Scattering Data, CERN Report CERN-HERA 69-1 (1969).
- A.A. Carter, J.R. Williams, D.V. Bugg, P.J. Bussey, D.R. Dance, Nucl. Phys. 12. B26, 445 (1971).
- 13. L.D. Roper, R.M. Wright, and B.T. Feid, Phys. Rov. 138B, 190 (1965).
- 14. L.D. Roper, and R.M. Wright, Phys. Rev. 138B, 921 (1965).

15. H.S. Plendl, D. Burch, K.A. Eberhard, M Hamm, A. Pichter, C.J. Umbarger and W,F. Trower, Nucl. Phys. B44, 413 (1972).

- 7 -

H.S. Plendl, A.J. Buffa, W.F. Lankford, H.O. Funsten, W.J. Kossler, V.G. Lind, $16.$ Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 16, 1174 (1971).

 \sim μ

O.D. lilkorov , Phys. Lett. *26S_,* 610 (1969), 17.

An International Program

 $\ddot{}$

- 18. V.M. Kolybasov and N. Ya. Smorodinskaya, Phys. Lett. 50B, 11 (1969).
- 19. P.W. Hewson, Nucl. Phys. A135, 659 (1969).
- D. Robson, Ann. of Phys. 71, 277 (1972). 20.
- $21.$ J. Duclos, J. Miller et al, C.E.A. Saclay Report DPh-N/HE/71/3, (1971).

ž.

Fig. 1

