Atomic Energy of Canada Limited

.

.

.

BURNUP DETERMINATION OF A FUEL STRING BY CHEMICAL AND ISOTOPIC ANALYSIS

by

R.W. DURHAM and V. CORRIVEAU

.

Chalk River Nuclear Laboratories Chalk River, Ontario February 1973

BURNUP DETERMINATION OF A FUE' STRING BY CHEMICAL AND ISOTOPIC ANALYSIS

R.W. Durham, V. Corriveau

Summary

The burnup of six fuel bundles irradiated in NRU was determined by chemical and isotopic analysis. The concentration of uranium and plutonium in solution was determined by controlled potential coulometry and 2π alpha counting respectively. Alpha spectra obtained from sublimed plutonium sources provided the ²³⁸Pu/²³⁹Pu + ²⁴⁰Pu ratios needed for corrections.

Mass spectrometric analyses were done on separated uranium and plutonium solutions. The number of uranium and plutonium fissions were calculated from the ²³⁵U depletion and the Pu/U ratio.

> Atomic Energy of Canada Limited Chalk River Nuclear Laboratories Chalk River, Ontario February 1973

Détermination de taux de combustion d'un train de combustibles par analyse chimique et isotopique

par

R.W. Durham, V. Corriveau

Résumé

Les taux de combustion de six grappes de combustible irradiées dans le NRU ont été déterminés par analyse chimique et isotopique. Le dosage d'uranium en solution a été déterminé par coulométrie à potentiel contrôlé tandis que celui du plutonium par taux de comptage en se servant d'un compteur alpha 2π , balayé au gaz méthane. Ce taux a été corrigé en mesure du rapport 238Pu/239Pu + 240Pu effectué par spectrométrie alpha.

L'analyse isotopique de l'uranium et du plutonium par spectrométrie de masse à thermoionisation a fourni les données nécessaires pour calculer le nombre de fissions d'uranium par la décroissance de ^{235}U et, avec le rapport Pu/U, le nombre de fissions de plutonium.

L'Energie Atomique du Canada, Limitée Laboratoires Nucléaires de Chalk River Chalk River, Ontario Fevrier, 1973

BURNUP DETERMINATION OF A FUEL STRING BY CHEMICAL AND ISOTOPIC ANALYSIS

Introduction

The chemical and isotopic analysis of six fuel bundles of different enrichments and irradiations was required by the Nuclear Fuels Engineering Branch. This report presents the details of the analytical work done by the General Chemistry Branch.

Sampling and Preparation

Four pellets were taken from each bundle at mid plane on a diameter representing a cross section of the bundle, two pellets from the outer and opposite elements, one from the inner and one from the center elements as shown in Fig. 1. Sampling was done in the CRNL Universal Cells.

The twenty-four pellets so obtained were dissolved, under reflux, individually in hot concentrated nitric acid. The solutions were then adjusted to 7.5 M nitric acid with dilute nitric acid. The dissolutions were done in the General Chemistry Branch's hot cell.

Uranium Analysis

Uranium was determined by controlled potential coulometry using an ORNL Model Q-2005X50 coulometer. The charge on the current integrator was read with a digital voltmeter accurate to 0.01 mV while a strip chart recorder traced the titration current. The uranium was reduced at the surface of a controlled mercury electrode in a titration cell as shown in Fig. 2. The mercury and the sample to be analyzed were added to the 37 mm x 75 mm Pyrex cell. An inlet tube for the helium cover gas, and two barrier tubes in which the other electrodes were placed, were fitted to the Teflon cover. These barrier tubes were 8 mm Pyrex tubing with a glass frit at one end constructed as described by Bird (3).

A hot mixture of 3% Agar-agar and 5% potassium sulfate was poured into these barrier tubes forming a 6 mm thick gel at the bottom on cooling. The isolated electrode, a coil of 1 mm platinum wire, and the reference electrode, a Standard Calomel Electrode, were placed in these tubes, which were filled with 0.5 M sulfuric acid. The working electrode consisted of 7.3 ml of mercury, the surface of which was continuously renewed by a Teflon disk, spun at a rate just below that at which the mercury would break up into droplets. The whole titrating cell was flushed continuously with humidified helium gas. Helium flushing was carried out for 5 to 10 minutes before each reduction.

Prior to the uranium analyses, the triple distilled mercury electrode was conditioned by titrating 10 ml portions of 2 M sulfuric acid until a negligible blank was obtained. Standards were run with every set of samples. Triplicate samples weighed on a microbalance were taken from each solution and placed in 100 ml beakers. These were fumed three times with sulfuric acid, then transferred to the titration cell with 10 ml of 2 M sulfuric

- 2 -

acid. For the first fuming 0.25 ml of perchloric acid and 0.5 ml of concentrated sulfuric acid were added to the samples. It was important to wash down the sides of the beakers with distilled water between each fuming to make sure that all the perchloric acid was driven off. One drop of concentrated sulfuric acid in addition to the distilled water was added to each of the two succeeding fumings. Iron and other impurities were pre-reduced at a potential of +0.08 V followed by the reduction of U(VI) to U(IV) at a final potential of -0.325 V, approached in such a manner that the cell current did not exceed 60 mA. It was also observed that if the uranium samples had not been properly fumed, the pre-reduction was high and the U(VI) to U(IV) titer had a tendency to tail. The uranium concentration was calculated using the following equation:

Weight of Uranium =
$$\frac{V \cdot C}{2 \cdot F \cdot A}$$
 [1]

- where V is the reading in volts as recorded on the digital voltmeter and corrected for Faraday currents and the llank;
 - C is the integrator constant in coulombs per volt obtained by applying a known potential across a fixed precision resistance for a given time and noting the charge on the integrator;

```
F is the Faraday;
```

A is the atomic weight of the analyzed uranium.

- 3 -

A 3 mg sample was normally determined with a precision of ± 0.2 %.

Plutonium Analysis

Plutonium was determined by α counting following separation from uranium and fission products. A 2π methane flow α proportional counter was used for this determination.

Triplicate samples of the solutions were weighed and allowed to evaporate slowly to dryness in order to drive off all the concentrated nitric acid. The residues were then taken up in 1 ml of 0.5 M nitric acid and 0.25 ml of 15% hydroxylamine nitrate solution and let stand approximately 16 hours during which time all the Pu(IV) and Pu(VI) was reduced to Pu(III). The hydroxylamine nitrate was destroyed and the plutonium was oxidized to Pu(IV) by the addition of concentrated nitric acid to the hot solutions. The nitric acid concentration was adjusted to 7.5 M. The samples were then applied immediately to chromatographic columns. These small 4 mm x 50 mm Dowex 1 x 4, 200-400 mesh resin columns had been pre-conditioned with 7.5 M nitric acid. Under these conditions the Pu(IV) was adsorbed by the resin while the uranium and fission products were not. A 7.5 M nitric acid wash removed the latter completely from the column. The plutonium was eluted with 0.5 M nitric acid. A hydroxylamine nitrate wash solution reduced all remaining traces of plutonium in the column to Pu(III). Thus it was removed from the column since Pu(III) is not retained by the resin. This eluate was heated, treated with

- 4 -

concentrated nitric acid to destroy the hydroxylamine nitrate, and combined with the first eluate. Weighed samples of these solutions were deposited on polished stainless steel disks, dried and counted in a 2π methane flow proportional counter standardized with a plutonium source counted in a low geometry methane counter.

The relative contributions of the ²³⁸Pu, ²³⁹Pu and ²⁴⁰Pu α-emitting isotopes were obtained by a spectrometry of very thin sources. One drop of separated and purified plutonium solution was dried under vacuum on a tungsten filament heated by a very low current. Volatile salts were vaporized by heating to approximately 500°C and the plutonium was sublimed onto stainless steel disks by heating to white heat for a few seconds (cf. Fig. 3). These thin sources were then counted using an Ortec surface barrier silicon detector with a FET (Field-Effect-Transistor) pre-amplifier. Pulses were analyzed with a Chalk River nuclear spectrometer using a PDP-8 (Digital Equipment Corp.) computer. The quality of the detector's resolution can be seen on the spectrum, Fig. 4, where the full width at half maximum of the 5.499 MeV α peak was 14 keV.

Uranium and Plutonium Isotopic Analysis

The isotopic analysis of purified uranium and plutonium solutions was carried out on two thermionic source mass spectrometers (Consolidated Electrodynamics Corp. Model 21-702B and Model 21-703). Uranium was extracted from samples of the original solutions of pellets with 20% tributyl phosphate in

- 5 -

xylene. A 5 M nitric acid wash followed by several hydroxylamine nitrate washes removed the fission products and all traces of plutonium. The uranium was recovered by washing the organic phase with distilled water.

Plutonium from samples of the solutions was adsorbed on Dowex 1 x 4, 200-400 mesh resin columns. The uranium and fission products were removed by washing the columns with 7.5 M nitric acid. The plutonium was eluted with 0.5 M nitric acid.

Calculations for the Number of Fissions

Equation [2] was used to calculate the number of ²³⁵U fissions:

$$F_{5} = N_{5}(o) (1 - R_{w} \Delta / R_{o}) \hat{\sigma}_{f_{5}} / \hat{\sigma}_{a_{5}}$$
 [2]

where $N_{s_{(0)}}$ is the number of initial ²³⁵U atoms R_{w} is the final ²³⁵U/²³⁸U ratio R_{o} is the initial ²³⁵U/²³⁸U ratio Δ is a correction for ²³⁸U depletion $\hat{\sigma}_{f_{5}}$ is the ²³⁵U fission cross section $\hat{\sigma}_{a_{5}}$ is the ²³⁵U absorption cross section

The irradiation ϕ t (integrated flux n/kb) was obtained from the uranium isotopic analyses using equation [2a]:

$$\frac{R_{w}\Delta}{R_{o}} = \exp - [\hat{\sigma}_{a_{5}}\phi t]$$
 [2a]

The number of ²³⁹Pu fissions was obtained using equation [3]:

$$F_{9} = \frac{N_{5}(0) \cdot N_{Pu} / N_{u}(\epsilon) [A_{0} + A_{1} + A_{2} (1 + 1/\alpha_{1})]}{R_{0} \cdot \alpha_{9}}$$
[3]

- where ε is a correction for ²³⁵U and ²³⁸U depletion. This is equal to the ratio of the final atoms of all the uranium isotopes to the initial ²³⁸U.
 - α_9 and α_1 are the capture to fission ratios for ²³⁹Pu and ²⁴¹Pu respectively.

 N_{Pu} and N_{u} are the final total Pu and U concentrations.

 A_0 , A_1 , A_2 are the Pu isotopic fractional abundances.

The number of ²⁴¹Pu fissions was obtained using equation [4]:

$$F_{1} = \frac{N_{5}(o) \cdot N_{Pu} / N_{u}(\varepsilon) (A_{2})}{R_{o} \cdot \alpha_{1}}$$
[4]

The number of ²³⁸U fissions was obtained using equation [5]:

$$F_8 = (F_5 + F_9 + F_1) \delta$$
 [5]

where δ is the ratio of fast neutron fissions to thermal neutron fissions derived from experimental values obtained by C.B. Bigham (5) for 28 element bundles. The effective cross-section ratios and capture-to-fission ratios were calculated from C.H. Westcott's (6) compilation.

The epithermal index values and neutron temperatures were estimated using Bigham et al (7) experimental values. The total number of fissions per kg of U was converted to total energy produced using 199 MeV per fission (3.69 x 10^{-22} MWd per fission).

Table I is a summary of the isotopic analyses done by mass spectrometry. Table II is a summary of the uranium and plutonium analyses expressed as the Pu/U weight ratio. This table also shows the alpha spectrometric analyses and the final results expressed as the integrated neutron flux and the burnup. The figures in brackets were estimated since in this case some uranium solution was lost during fuming due to too rapid a rise in the temperature.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank W. Cherrin and J. Schruder who, under Dr. M. Lounsbury's direction, carried out the isotopic analyses.

References*

- M.T. Kelley, H.C. Jones and D.J. Fisher, Anal. Chem. <u>31</u>, 488, 1959
- G.L. Booman, W.B. Holbrook, J.E. Rein, Anal. Chem.
 29, 219, 1957
- 3. W.D. Schults, Talanta 10, 833, 1963
- 4. D.B. Bird, Anal. Chem. 38, 1098, 1966
- 5. C.B. Bigham, Measurement of fast fission ratios in natural uranium, AECL-2285, 1965
- C.H. Westcott, Effective cross section values for wellmoderated thermal reactor spectra, AECL-1101, 1962, and addenda.
- C.B. Bigham, R.B. Turner and B.G. Chidley, Experimental neutron spectra in a cylinder of hot moderator, AECL-1471, 1962.

^{*}AECL-XXX: report published by Atomic Energy of Canada Limited.

TABLE I

Mass Spectrometric Results

	Uranium 235/238 Atom Ratio			Plutonium Atom Percent			
Sa	mple	<u>Initial</u>	<u>Final</u>	239	240	241	242
U219 U219 U219 U219 U219	RRA 2 RRA10 RRA17 RRA25	.01270 .01270 .01270 .01270	.00865 .00889 .00989 .01050	85.96 83.16 89.19 91.03	12.4312.329.698.10	1.489 1.443 1.060 .828	.117 .115 .056 .035
U210 U210 U210 U210 U210	RSA 2 RSA10 RSA17 RSA25	.01510 .01510 .01510 .01510	.01111 .01116 .01236 .01297	88.26 88.44 91.29 92.67	10.52 10.36 7.00 6.70	1.150 1.125 .771 .613	.070 .072 .033 .020
U210 U210 U2 0 U210	RZA 2 RZA10 RZA17 RZA25	.01270 .01270 .01270 .01270	.00937 .00931 .01040 .01081	88.84 88.69 91.51 92.79	10.04 10.15 7.72 6.61	1.055 1.085 .736 .590	.065 .068 .028 .010
U210 U210 U210 U120	SAA 2 SAA10 SAA17 SAA25	.01668 .01668 .01668 .01668	.01429 .01418 .01512 .01550	93.85 93.66 95.44 96.23	5.78 5.95 4.32 3.57	.363 .377 .241 .188	.011 .015 0.000 0.000
U209 U209 U209 U209 U209	SBA 2 SBA11 SBA17 SBA25	.01510 .01510 .01510 .01510	.01147 .01151 .01266 .01319	89.90 89.62 92.37 93.70	9.20 9.41 7.02 5.83	.859 .906 .603 .470	.050 .060 0.000 0.000
U210 U210 U210 U210 U210	TNA 5 TNA13 TNA19 TNA26	.01282 .01282 .01282 .01282	.00733 .00745 .00896 .00973	80.06 80.31 84.74 87.33	17.03 16.81 13.24 11.06	2.590 2.570 1.870 1.520	.319 .310 .150 .090

TABLE II

Summary of Final Results

Sample		α Ratio ^{2 38} Pu/ ^{2 39} Pu _+_ ²⁴⁰ Pu	Weight Ratio Pu/U	Irradiation Integrated Flux n/kb	Burnup* KWD/Kg U	
U219	RRA 2	.07184	1.993E-03	. 597	3870	
U219	RRA10	.07126	1.981E-03	. 554	3670	
U219	RRA17	.06366	1.632E-03	.388	2700	
U219	RRA25	.05854	1.434E-03	. 295	2110	
U210	RSA 2	.05692	1.742E-03	. 477	3660	
U210	RSA10	.05775	1.731E-03	.470	3610	
U210	RSA17	.05235	1.430E-03	.311	2500	
U210	RSA25	.04876	1.239E-03	. 236	1970	
U210	RZA 2	.04906	1.707E-03	.472	3100	
U210	RZA10	.05011	1.795E-03	.482	3170	
U210	RZA17	.04521	1.388E-03	.310	2150	
U210	RZA25	.04306	1.261E-03	.250	1770	
U210	SAA 2	.03111	1,061E-03	. 240	2070	
U210	SAA10	.03283	1.077E-03	.252	2170	
U210	SAA17	.02867	8.419E-04	.152	1370	
U210	SAA25	.02637	7.350E-04	.114	1040	
U209	SBA 2	.04829	1.591E-03	.427	3280	
U209	SBA11	.05014	1.632E-03	. 422	3260	
U209	SBA17	.04459	1.322E-03	.274	2230	
U209	SBA25	.04125	1.154E-03	.210	1750	
U210	TNA 5	.10970	2.658E-03	.869	5580	
U210	TNA13	.10938	2.627E-03	. 843	5460	
U210	TNA19	.09710	2.173E-03	,556	3890	
U210	TNA26	. U 8 8 4 3	[1.910E-03]	.428	[3090]	

* 199 MeV per fission

.



Fig. 1. 28 ELEMENT BUNDLE



Fig. 2. COULOMETRIC CELL



Fig. 3. SUBLIMER USED TO PREPARE THIN SOURCES



Fig. 4. PLUTONIUM a SPECTRUM



Additional copies of this document may be obtained from Scientific Document Distribution Office Atomic Energy of Canada Limited Chalk River, Ontario, Canada KOJ 1JO

Price - 50¢ per copy