200

This is an informal report intended primarily for internal or limited external distribution. The opinions and conclusions stated are those of the author and may or may not be those of the laboratory.

UCID - 16597

NOTICE This report was prepared as an account of work approximated by the United States Government. Neither the United States anothe United States Kome Energy the United States another United States Kome Energy needs contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, nakes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any pleaness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that is use would not infringe privately owned tights. Sec. Mr. Second

- 11日 「「「「「「」」」」」「「」」」」」」」」」」」」

***日本ので、日本市場の時間の時間の時間の時間の時間である。

E¥.



LAWRENCE LIVERMORE LABORATORY

University of California / Livermore, California

DEFLECTION OF A HIGH CURRENT RELATIVISTIC ELECTRON BEAM BY A WEAK MAGNETIC FIELD IN THE PRESENCE OF PLASMA

R. E. Hester W. Lamb,

E. Lauer, and R. Spoerlein

September 23, 1974

*Work performed jointly under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, and the Department of the Navy under the contract number NAonr 13-74.

Prepared for U.S. Atomic Energy Commission under contract no. W-7405-Eng-48

a a trademente compressiones accessions a construction of the sector of the sector of the sector accession acce



DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENTED STUDY/TED

Deflection of a High Current Relativistic Electron Beam By A Weak Magnetic Field in the Presence of Plasma*

R. E. Hester, ¹⁴. Lamb,

E. Lauer, R. Spo rlein

August 27, 1974

Introduction

Some experiments have suggested that high current relativistic electron beams subjected to magnetic fields which are weak compared to the beams self-field have a different bending radius in plasma than in high vacuum. Experiments such as Astron have shown that relativistic electron beams have the expected radius of curvature when the bending field is large compared to the self-field.

A two part experiment is described here. In both cases the deflecting field is small compared to the beams self-field and the beam is immersed in plasma. The experiments differ in that in one case the beam is subjected to a deflecting field over the full length of the beams flight path and in the other case the beam traverses a short deflection field and then has free flight to the target.

あるをとなるとも、「」

^{*}Work performed jointly under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, and the Department of the Navy under the contract number NAcar 13-74.

Description of Experimental Apparatus

The beam used in the experiment was a 160 A, 5 meV, 0.3 microsecond pulse width electron beam provided by the Astron accelerator. The current refered to is the average current during the pulse. The beam was bunched at 120 mHz to stabilize it against hose instability. The current peaks of the bunched beam were > 600 A.

The measurements were made in a 2.5 m dia gas tank shown in schematic form in Figure 1. The beam enters the gas tank through a 2.5×10^{-3} cm thick Kapton foil. The tank was typically pressurized to 2.66×10^{1} - 5.3×10^{1} Pa N₂. The beam rapidly ionizes the gas producing its own plasma. The beam was deflected either by the large degaussing coils that run the length of the tank or by the steering coil set shown in the throat of the gas tank. The degaussing coil is normally used to eliminate the vertical component of the earths magnetic field.

The measurement of the beams position in the tank can be made at two points namely 3.5 m and 5.9 m from the entrance foil. The measurement is made by passing a 3 mm diameter tungsten rod mounted on a motorized probe through the beam. The X-ray intensity is plotted as a function of position of the tungsten rod. This technique plots out the beams radial profile and locates the beam center with respect to the tank centerline. Hundreds of beam pulse are required to plot the radial profile of the beam. Therefore accelerator reproducibility and beam stability are of extreme importance.

-2-

The beam current is measured by resistive beam current shunts (e.g. RBS 30) in the tank wall or by Ragowsky coils. RBS 30 monitors the beam current just before the entrance foil. The other current monitors are labeled by their distance past the entrance foil to the gas tank.

Experimental Results

Case I: Deflection of the beam by a weak magnetic field over the full length of the beam flight path.

In this experiment the degaussing coils were energized to the level required to null the earth fields vertical component. The field was nulled to an average value of 1×10^{-6} T over the flight path. The coil currents were then increased by 20 A and the average field strength was increased to 3.8×10^{-5} T. Since the deflecting field is proportional to coil current, the field can be inferred for other values of coil current. Figure 2 shows a schematic of the experiment. It is apparent from the geometry that the beam deflection $S \approx \frac{g^2}{2\rho}$ where ℓ is the flight path in the deflecting field and ρ is the radius of curvature for 5 meV electrons in the applied field.

$$\rho = \frac{\gamma m_0 C}{Be}$$

 γ = 10.9 for these experiments

 $\rho = 5 \times 10^4$ cm for B = 3.7 × 10⁻⁵ T

The X-ray position monitor at 6.9 m was used for these experiments, however, the field from the degaussing coil does not extend to the entrance foil therefore $\ell = 5.3$ m. Figure 3 shows some examples of the data. The results of the experiment are tabulated below: 「おいっている」はないでは、「おいていない」は、「おいていた」は、「おいていた」」は、「おいていた」」は、「おいていた」は、「おいていた」は、「おいていた」は、「おいていた」は、「おいていた」は、「おい

| B Tesla | δ cm measured | δ cm <u>calculated</u> |
|------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| 1.85×10^{-5} | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| 3.7 × 10 ⁻⁵ | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| 5.7 × 10 ⁻⁵ | 5.0 | 4.3 |

Case II: Beam deflected by a short deflection coil, then free flight to point of measurement.

Figure 4 shows the geometry of this experiment. The field of the coil was measured for two coil currents and a field value of $3.64 10^{-5}$ T/A average over a 90 cm length was established. The deflection measurement was made 3.8 n from the entrance foil. Using the notation of Figure 4

$$\ell = 90 \text{ cm}, \ \ell_2 = 270 \text{ cm}$$

 $\Delta y = (\ell_2 + \frac{\ell_1}{2})\frac{\ell_1}{\rho} = 9.46 \text{ cm/A on coil}$

Figure 5 shows the actual data from the X-ray probe measurement. The results of the experiment are tabulated below:

| Coil current A | B Tesla (Ave. over 90 cm) | ∆y cm measured | ∆y cm calculated |
|----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| 3 | 1.1 × 10 ⁻⁴ | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| 6 | 2.2×10^{-4} | 3.2 | 2.8 |
| 9 | 3.64×10^{-4} | 5.0 | 4.1 |

Note in Figure 5 that the 0 A position had to be replotted after each deflection measurement because of accelerator drift.

•

-4-

の政治は現代にはなるなどの現代などのです。

Conclusion

The beam was deflated by magnetic fields varying from 0.3 to 3.64 gauss. This is to be compared to the self-field of 16 gauss at the radius of 4 cm dia. 160 A beam. Differences of 10 to 20% were observed between predicted and measured values of beam deflection. This is within range of expected experimental errors. The largest errors are probably due to small changes in accelerator conditions over the time required to record data and the fact that the resolution of the measuring technique is marginal. However, the experiment demonstrates that no large differences between theory and experiment exist. Experiments where discrepencies exist may be influenced by beam interaction with metal walls.







「「「「「「「「」」」」」

FIGURE 3

139.35



Andrewski Antonio water et al. ali a alian ana mana ana

「正規で出る」

いたななななないというないないないです。

-1

2.5

ŝ



