#### CONFERENCE SUMMARY

David J. Clark

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California 94720, U.S.A. Montreest and the second secon

### Summary

This paper reviews briefly the main r ults presented at this conference. The sectides are as follows: Highlights, General Observations, Fundamental Processes in Sources, Positive on Sources, Negative Ion Sources, Beam Formation and Emittance Measurements, Stripping, Accelerates and Experiments, and Future Prospects.

#### Introduction

I wish to thank the Conference Chairman. Bob Livingston, and the Program Committee for the privilege of summarizing this conference. The papers presented us with the latest progress in heavy ion source research and technology. Of equal importance were reports on studies of the fundamental processes which occur in ion formation, beam extraction and emittance measurements, and stripping processes in gases and foils. There were also several excellent papers on heavy ion acceleration projects and the experiments to be done there. The performance of these accelerators and the quality of experiments are heavily dependent upon the intensity, quality, and mass range of beams available from the heavy ion sources. In this short summary paper I can mention only a fraction of the large variety of work described here in over 50 papers. For the whole story the reader should certainly consult the complete proceedings.

As usual the local organizing committee from Oak Ridge arranged an excellent conference schedule, including social activities. The outdoor dinner and folk dancing were enjoyed by everyone, especially the large friendly bear. There were two free afternoons when the delegates could travel to the old settlement at Cades Cove or up to the ridge of the Smoky Mountains. The fall colors were at their peak near the altitude of Gatlinburg. The delegates who went to Oak Ridge National Lab after the conference had a congenial dinner there Thursday evening before the tour on Friday. Many spent a comfortable night at the Royal Scottish Inn (formerly the Holiday Inn). The tour was organized to include the ORIC cyclotron, a description of the plans for the new 25 MV tandem accelerator facility. and the Van de Graaff Laboratory. After lunch the group went to the Thermonuclear Division to see the ORMAK and ELMO Bumpy Torus experiments, and the associated neutral hydrogen sources used for injection. The delegates were impressed by the wide variety of ion source work being done at Oak Ridge.

The opening address by Zucker of Oak Ridge gave an interesting historical perspective on the early development of N<sup>3</sup> sources at Oak Ridge. The notivation was weapons effects, but this source formed the basis of future PIG source development at Berkeley, Dubna and ciscwhere. The work at Oak Ridge was in turn based on the Calutron sources developed at Berkeley for uranium separation in the carly 1940's. Those sourres rame from the cyclotron sources of the 1930's where the magnetic field was free. So we see a stephy-step process of PIG source development with con-

e 6 \*

6 e . . .

. . . i **1** 

tributo: from many groups from the 1930's to the 1950's. new sources are now in a period of rapid growth, wi development underway in many laboratories. There were informative evening sessions on the EBIS, and emittance measurements. This was an excellent opportunity r all of the ZBIS groups to meet with Donets, its ime developer, and discuss technical questions.



Fig. 1. Conference highlights.

#### Highlights

This Conference follows the tradition of the previous one, also at Gatlinburg, four years ago at this same colorful time of year in the Smokies. There was much progress in the heavy ion source field during these four years. To me the highlights of the Conference were the presentation of results obtained in the past year by the Dubna group under Donets, who developed the EBIS (Electron Beam Ion Source), and hy Geller's group at Grenoble building ECR (Electron Cyclotron Resonance) sources. These highlights are illustrated in Fig. 1. These sources show significant improvement in charge state distribution over the present standard PIG (Penning Ion Gauge) source. This is illustrated in Fig. 2 by plotting some of the nitrogen data presented here, interpolating between carbon and oxygen for ECR, along with some older PIG source data1. The EBIS has produced the spectacular

kg



Fig. 2. Charge state distributions of three types of positive ion sources.

result of 25% fully stripped nitrogen beams, with  $10^{19}$  particles/pulse intensity. The ECR has an intermediate distribution between the PIG and ESIS, but has the advantage over EBIS of a long duty cycle. The plasma regimes of these sources are shown in Fig. 3, an updated version of a similar plot by Winter and Wolf in 1974.<sup>4</sup> Their electron temperature and n; values are indicated. The electron temperature must be several times the ionization potential of the last electron removed, for efficient ion production. n; must be large enough to make the high charge states. The new EBIS and ECR sources have higher n; and electron temperatures and and duoplasmation sources and so make the high charge starge.



Fig. 3. Plasma parameters of positive ion sources. E is electron temperature, n is electron density. Is ion confinement time.

state ions shown in Fig. 2. The LASER source also has a high  $n_{\rm eff}^2$ , but has a very short duty factor. The arrows in Fig. 3 indicate that the EBIS and ECR are still being improved.

## General Observations

There are a number of observations I made while dutifully attending each session. One is the phenom-enon of discovery of an important result by accident. Of course the discovery requires experience and alertness by the experimenter to evaluate and use the result. An example of this is the discovery of back bombardment production of solid material beams by Hudson, Mallory and Lord, when a large copper beam appeared during a xenon acceleration run. This effect has proven to he a simple and effective method of producing beams from solid materials in cyclotrons. Another example, described by Middleton, is the discovery that the addition of oxygen improves the lithium beam from a souther source. Oxygen is easy to add by accident. This is now a standard mode of source operation. A third example, reported by Fasolo, was the observation of a large current of heavy negative ions found as a contaminant in an H beam. These ions were from wall outgassing, but could also be used in the future in a controlled way.

Another interesting type of information presented was the description of ideas that didn't work. Bethge described some attempts to use high magnetic field and electron injection to improve the charge state distribution in an axial extraction PIC source. There was no significant improvement, but it is well worth reporting this experiment to save the time of other groups, and indicate more promising directions to proceed.

We all learned some new vocabulary during the Conference. Some of the words which I now understand hetter are electron affinities and ab initio calculations, discussed in negative ion sessions. In the EBIS work we heard about electron gun perveance and alillouir flow of electron beams. In the field of PIG sources, 1 am familiar with hot and cold cathode PIG sources, and know that cold cathodes mometimes run hot, but this can be confusing to the listeners outside the PIG groups. "Arc-heated cathodes" would better describe cold cathodes that run hot.

There are some mysteries to be unravelled in the future. An example is the origin of the high energy electrons in the PIG source observed by Schulte, Wolf and Winter. Another question for the future raised by Stelson is what the average charge of a uranium beam will be from the Berkeley SuperHIAC at 8.5 MeV/ nucleon, after passing through a stripping foil. There is a disagreement between different theories, including Stelson's.

A special commendation for heroic performance in the face of severe technical difficulties must go to Joyce Kaufman, who continued her talk on electron affinities without slides, when the projector failed. To the listener there was practically no discontinuity in the talk.

In the following sections 1 will review briefly the topics covered in the seessions, with emphasis on the excellent review papers which bring us up-to-date and give us some predictions of the future.

# Fundamental Processes in Sources

In the session on fundamental processes in sources there were several very interesting invited papers on electron bombardment and charge exchange cross-sections, electron affinities, sputtering, VUV spectroscopy, and B tornation, the papers by bonn of tolorado and Salzhorn of tolessen reviewed the cross-sections for electronion, ion-ton, and to secollisions. These processes are fundamental in understanding the formation of ions in plasmas or clusterin beans, and also in interactions of ion beans with cases and solids in strippers and in residual vacuum, the status of theoretical relealations of electron affinities was reviewed by Kautan of John Hopkins, showing good agreement with experimental values. The experimental situation on electron affinities was summarized by lineberger of Colorado. The electron aftinities, or binding energies, determine the production rate of negative ion beams from sources, and as are of creat significance for tanders accelerators.

A review of the sputtering process was given by Andersen of 18" and Aarhus. Sputtering occurs inside sources, on extraction electrodes, and on collimators and tarrets. It usually is a problem, as in the erosion of PIG cathodes or extractors. In these cases one chooses materials with low sputterine rates like tungsten, tantalum of titanium. But sputtering also can be very useful, as in the case ofproduction of beams from solid materials in both positive and negative ion sources. This paper gives many useful references. It also presents an interesting electron micrograph of a sputtered surface, Fig. 4, illustrating the striking deviation of the surface from the smooth one assumed by theorists. This complicated surface may contribute to "dose effects": the change of sputtering yield with time by as much as a factor of 10-



Fig. 4. Scanning el.stron micrograph of polycrystalline tunesten surface after sputtering. (Andersen).

Other papers discussed studies of H<sup>+</sup> formation and charge exchange of hydrogen with heavy ions at SRI, and VUV spectroscopy work on source plasmas at Vienna.

## Positive lon Sources

Some comparisons of positive ion source performance and plasma parameters were given in Figs. 2 and 3. The standard source for heavy ion cyclotrons, Hinars and recently a synchrotron (the LBL Bevatron) has been the P.G. Some excellent work has been done on duoplasmations at GSI, and recent exciting results have core from the FCR and EBIS groups.

### PIG Sources

The development of several types of PIC source at (SI, Darastadt was described by Schultz, Jacoby and Wolf in a review paper. One type, shown in Fig. 5.



Fig. 5. PIG source with filament-heated cathode and sputtering electrode developed at (SI, Darmstadt. (Schulte, Jacoby and Wolf).

has a filament-heated cathode similar to that of the Dubna design. A biased sputtering electrode is used for solid material feed, giving beams of 10. A peak of  $\beta h^{-1}$  and  $4_{\rm eA}$  dc of  $U^{+1}$ . An arc-heated PIG source has been used for tantalum beams. A variation of sputtering electrode geometry developed by Gavin of 151. is shown in Fig. 6. This is a pair of ring sputtering



Fig. 6. PIG source with new ring sputtering electrode geometry, LBL (Gavin). ele tresles between the extraction slit and the cathodes, usein reports an increase in Au<sup>+</sup> intensity to 10 A peak with the ring structure, with increase of a factor of a compared to a single electrode behind the slit. Another method of spattering is used by Hudson, Mallary an userd of OKNL. This uses a system which occurs interview in a cyclotron ion source. The low charge states of a heavy support gas like aroun are extracted from the source but are returned to it should be a block which is inside and tangent to the arc bare, opposite the slit. A large variety of solid interfal beams how been produced in the ORIC evolution in this way.

Makey of the Kur hatey Institute des ribed develprot of a filament-heated PIG source. He has done experiments with magnetic field variation along the arc produced by coils around the cathode regions. The objective was to control the potential distribution close the arc, and verate higher charge states.

Many other interesting papers were presented on Plo-sources, such as the basic studies by Schulte, Wilt and Winnac on power flow and electron velocities. Other papers discussed development and emittance measurements for end extraction Plo-sources at Frankfurt, vacuum inprovements at Berweley, emittance measurements on a ratial estraction PlG at Berkeley, and a PlG source test of filty at as Ridge.

## Duoplasmatron and Duopigatron Sources

The development of duoplasmatrons for heavy fons at (3) over the past ten years was described by Veller. The charge/mass required by the UNHAU coelerator is (20%). The duoplasmatron satisfies this requirement well for lighter heavy fons such as Ar<sup>+</sup>. At Xe<sup>+</sup> the competition with the PIG source because stiff. Feller isserbled the present design, Fig. <sup>+</sup>, with small character intermediate electrode often called 2 or registern electrode in English), magnetic field maximum at the anode hole, and better anode cooling. Fig. 2. This lesien has greatly increased the output of Xe<sup>+</sup> to (are 10 A peak), other papers presented duoplasmatron up it ations for Ne<sup>+</sup> at Berkeley and Ne<sup>+</sup> at Bucharest, at subjection preduction of across the resc.



Fig. 7. Latest GSI duoplasmatron source for Ar  $^*$  and  $\lambda_s$   $^{**}$  (Feller and Miller).



Fig. 5. Evolution of intermediate electrode anode design in GSI duoplasmatron (Keller and Muller).

## FCR (Flestron Cyclotron Renonance) Sources

The next SWECKSGEL results from ECR sources have one from Geller's group in Grenoble, which has been building such sources for ten years. Three successful versions were reviewed at the Conference: NAFIOS, SUPERNAFIOS and URIPLEMAPIOS. MAFIOS is shown in Fig. 9.



Fig. 9. FCR single stage source MAFIOS at Grenoble (Geller),

This is a single stage source using 2 ke of microwave power at 10 GHz to create the planma, which diffuses into a nirrer field where high charge state loss are created by hombardment with kilowolt ECR electrons. The total output is over 20 mA, and the charge distribution is similar to that of a PIG source. It has the advantage over the PIG of having no electrodes to erode, and so has a very long 11 time. Gelier helived that there was a host's limitation in high charge state production from this source due to the high pressure and limited confinement time of the ions. So he hullt a new device with two stages, SUPERNAFIOS. Here a second magnetic mirror and microwave cavity was used. This system is shown as the first two stages of Fig. 10.



Fig. 10. ECR 3-stage source IRIPLEMAFIOS. First two stages are SUPERMAFIOS (Geller).

The high charge state distribution was much improved over MAHOS, with charge states up to  $Xe^{-\pi}$  (.08 ) observed. The time evolution of kenon charge states is shown in fig. 11. The output of this source was only it .A because extraction was from a region of low plasma density. So a third stage of mirror field was added to compress the plasma, and a magnetic shield reduced the field quickly at the extractor for optimum extracted quality, Fig. 10. This system is called TRIPLEMAFIOS This triple system gives about 300 .A, 10 times the beam of SUPFRMAFION. More is available but a collimator is used to prevent Faraday cup outgassing, by blocking some of the plasma at extraction. The charge distribution is somewhat better than SUPERMAFIOS: 21.0\* pared to .5% due to the longer diffusion time. The success of this source illustrates breaking through the limitations of pressure and confinement time of the single stage source. This same limitation applies to PHE sources, which are class single stage devices.



Fig. 11. Time evolution of xenon charge states in SUPERMAPIOS ECR source (Geller).

other groups at ORNL and Marburg reported results of single stage ECR sources. The charge state distributions are similar to or somewhat better than the PIG hat the extraction systems have not been fully developed. The ORN system, INTREM, is shown in Fig. 12.



1

He. D. D.S. Source INTEREM at ORNE showing coff systems. (Tamagawa, Alexeff, Pones and Miller).

### FEIS, Continement and LASER Sources

Another source breakthrough which occurred in the last several years is the successful operation of the RIS (flextron beam ion Source). This source produces yery high charge states (Xet\*) and has the prospect of important applications in accelerator injection, polarized ion production, and atomic physics studies.

It has reached its greatest success at Dubna in the group led by Donets. Donets proposed this source in 1967. Since then he has built four versions: EBIS-1, EBIS-2, KRIOX-1 and KRIOX-2. EBIS-1 and EBIS-2 have normal conducting coi's and the KRIOX's have superconducting coi's for better field uniformity and low power consumption on a high voltage platform.

A schematic drawing of the EBIS configuration and potential distribution is shown in Fig. 13. The timing



Fig. 13. Schematic view of EBIS source KR108-1 and potential distribution. In upper view electron beam passes from gun (1,2) through drift tubes (b) to collector (4). Charge states are analyzed by a time-of-flight mass spectrometer (9, 10, 11, 12). In lower view potential distribution along axis is that of (B) during injection, (C) during fouration and (A) for extraction. Chomets). sequence is shown in Fig. 14. The time-of-flight spectrum for xenon is shown for ionization times from 3 msec to 39 mage in Fig. 15. This illustrates heautifully the



Fig. 14. Time sequence of injection (t--t ), ionization (t -t.) and extraction (>t.) for EBIS source KRION-1 (Donete)



Fig. 15. Time evolution of xenon charge state spectrum during ionization time in EBIS source KRION-1 (Donets).

radual build-up of high charge states. At 39 msec the average charge is Xe<sup>-1</sup>, with Xe<sup>-1</sup> seen at 107 of the Xe fintensity. The intensity is about 1017 ions/pulse. The electron beam current was 1.5 A with a density of 30 A/cm<sup>2</sup> and an energy of 2.3 keV. The residual pressure Fig. 17. Principle of TOFEBIS type of EBIS source was 2 / 10 torr using a liquid helium pumping system at 4° K. The magnetic field from the superconducting

solenoid was about 1 3 T. An improved version of this source, KRION-2, has been built for continued development since KRION-1 has been installed in the injector for the Dubna 10 GeV synchrotron. KR10N-2 has improved vacuum of less than 10<sup>-1</sup> torr, magnetic field of 2.5 T and an electron energy of 7 KeV. It has produced ni-trogen beams with  $25^{\circ}$  N<sup>3</sup>.

Arianer described the Orsav EBIS source, SILFEC, which has operated since 1971. The highest charge states observed are Ne<sup>10</sup>. Ar<sup>10</sup> and Xe<sup>10</sup>. Studies are underway on a superconducting design. CRYEBIS, for injection of fully stripped ions up to mean into the rebuilt Saturne II synchrotron. The design goals are a basic vacuum of  $10^{-9}$  torr, electron current density 2000 A/cm, and ion currents of  $10^{12}/pulse$ . To get these high electron current densities an external gun must be used with magnetic compression. An example of this type of yun is shown in Fig. 16.



Fig. 16 Calculated electron trajectories in an external cun using agnetic compression. Orsay, (Arianer and Goldstein).

The group at Texas A & M of Hamm, Choate and Kenefick is building an EBIS for injection into a evelotron. They are using an external electron gun with magnetic compression. The goal is to make beams of  $C^{**}$ ,  $Ar^{1,**}$  and  $Xe^{***}$  with fast repetition rate pulsing, since the cyclotron operates continuously.

At Frankfurt, the group of Becker and Klein are developing an EBIS source with continuous output. Here the ions drift through the electron heam in one pass and are ionized during their time-of-flight. Hence the system is called TOFEBIS. The principle of the source is shown in Fig. 17. The operation is similar to the



being developed at Frankfurt. (Eleinod. Becker, Klein and Schmidt),

EBIS except that there is no trapping of ions, but a continuous drift from injection to extraction. Two versions have been built: one with an internal electron gun as in Fig. 17, and another with an external gun using magnetic compression, and extraction through the kun cathede. The charge state distribution is about the same as that of a PLG. The goal is to make  $10^{-7}$  part/ sec of  $0^{116}$  for the VillAG.

Another continuous EEIS has been built at Glessen by Clausnitzer, Elinger, Süller and Salzborn. It has charge state distributions similar to that of a PIG, with zenon charge states up to Xe<sup>-10</sup> observed. Currents are 1 nA - 1.A. It has been used for 2 years now on atomic physics experiments.

Other types of sources reported include an rf quadrupole trap developed at 1EF, Orsay. Jons are stored for minutes and the first few charge states of argon are observed. From Yale laser-initiated vacuum arcs were reported. Large rates of mass loss were observed, but no charge state distributions were measured.

### Negative Ion Sources

Negative ion sources use one of two principles of operation: conventional positive ion sour os followed by charge exchange canals, or modified positive ion sources with reversed polarity for direct extraction of negative ions. Recent developments have used direct extraction. As Middleton points out in his review paper great advances in negative ion technology have been made in the last 5 years. The most important improvement has been the use of reside in the sputtering of the feed material, either as a positive ion sputtering beam or as a coating on the feed material. or both. The papers were rainly directed to this area of development. This type of source makes high intensity hears and can be built with a set of cones of different materials in a wheel which can be quickly rotated to change lons. The principal application of regative ion sources is on tuble clusteristatic ac elcraters.

The principle of operation of one of Middleten's correstics is shown in the last the code tables bear is corresting to magnetize the term of the bear is a colorated to 200 GeV and strikes a hollow one containing the tool material. Negative reas one out the other side through challs in the conter of the cone and are a cletted through 200 GeV back to ground percention.



Fig. 18. Cosium beam sputter ion source for negative ions, Daiv. of Pennsylvania. (Middleton),

can be rotated into the beam in a few seconds. A gas inlet allows negative ions to be produced from gases, usually with a titanium cone. The output for various loss is a few LA of Li and B<sup>\*</sup>, and 10<sup>\*</sup>s of ... A of H<sup>\*</sup>, C, O<sup>\*</sup>, Si<sup>\*</sup>, and S<sup>\*</sup>.

Fig. 19 is a graph shown by Smith of Wisconsin illustrating the general trend of increasing output of beam current with electron affinity for several spatter sources.



Fig. 19. Data from several negative ion sputter sources aboving the increase of output with electron affinity. (Smith).

Chaptan of Florida State described an inverted source in which the negative beam is extracted back through the ionizer rather than through the sputter come. The idea was based on the observation that the ionizer was being bombarded by some negative fons onentrated in a small spot. So by optimizing this effect therean has designed a compact source having good beam quality and lifetime. The "final" version is shown in Fig. 20.



Fig. 20. Inverted negative ion sputter source of Florida State. Beam exits to right. (Chapman).

Other papers on negative icas described beam measurements on the Aarhus ANIS source, studies of the Wisconsin SPIGS source, a modified Hortig source at URNL, a duoplasmatron at ANL, a charge exchange He<sup>-</sup> source in Argentina, and a polarized li<sup>+</sup> source at Rambure in an invited paper by Stefforms.

### Beam Formation and Emittance Measurements

A comprehensive review of beam formation and space charge neutralization was given by Green of Culham. He described the various analytical and computational treatments of beam extraction from plasmas by a number of electrode systems. Intrusity limitations and space harge effects were discussed. Many useful simple formulas are given to help understand this important field of getting the beam out of the source.

Hyder of Oxford told us about his well developed system to measure emittance and energy spread of negative ion sources. A two slit system is used for emittance measurement, with a stepping motor to scan the first slit followed by a deflection mignet to scan the beam across a second slit into a Faraday cup. A computer processes the data and gives a scope or plot display. The energy spread peasurement is made with a retarding field energy analyzer. Measurements have been made on beams from a number of different negative ion sources. This gives a valid comparison of beam quality, and helps in understanding the relation between source construction and beam quality. I understand that Hyder is even willing to measure positive ion source beams, after appropriate negotiations have been made. Some discussion after the paper indicated that it would be a good idea when quoting emittance areas to state the - explicitly, e.g. 10 - rm mrad Yey. So we all know where it is.

#### Stripping

A review of stripping of heavy ions in foils and cases was given by Moak of Daresbury and ORNL. Be discussed experimental measurements of charge state distributions, and dependence of the distribution upon stripper density, shell effects, and achecular weight is as strippers. The data for the average charge of browing long in various gases and solids is shown in Fig. 21. Some interesting work has been done at its



Fig. 21. Average charge of bromine ions in gases and solids vs. energy. (Moak).

Ridge showing that the average charge of the ion can be increased by more than a factor 2 over the equilibrium value when the ion is scattered by about 1 degree in a gas stripper at low pressures. This effect may be useful in tondem accelerator strippers. Mask discusses the interesting unanswered question of which theory explains the difference in average charge produced by solid and gas strippers. In another paper some measurements on angular and energy spread due to stripping are reported by the GANI group. The Frankturt group described a high density gas jet stripper.

Yntema presented studies on lifetimes of carbon folls in heavy ion beams. Since foll strippers give higher charge states than gases, they give higher energy heams when used in tandem accelerators. But shirt foil lifetime in high intensity beams is a basic disadvantage. A foil exposed to a beam becomes wrinkled after a period of bombardment. It then beomes structhed and tears as shown in Fig. 22. Yntema has compiled data on lifetime of carbon foils from many heavy ion accelerators. This is shown in Fig. 23. There is a consistent increase of lifetime times



F1 , 22. Foll in heavy ion heam of tandem as elevator at Munich. (Yntema).



Fig. 23. Lifetimes of unheated carbon foils at various labs. The high point in the upper left is a heated oscillating foil in a 4 MeV Ni beam at Argonec (Yntem).

beam density with increasing energy-ruleon. The very high Argonne point is the result of heating the foil to 400-500 C and escillating the foil in the beam. Oscillating the foil helps to reduce the gradual thickening, which is caused by some combination of cracking of hydrocarbons and structural changes in the foil reaterial.

#### A celerators and Experiments

A review was given of positive heavy ion accelerator projects by Grunder of Berkeley. He summarized the new machines being completed or studied. Conparisons were given of the performances of various accelerator combinations. An example of a comprehensive group of heavy ion accelerators is at Berkeley, where the SuperHILAU is designed to accelerate all ions to 8.5 MeV/A (ions up to xenon are used at present). It injects the Bevatron on a timeshare basis for further acceleration to 2 GeV/nucleon. This "Bevalac" facility has been operating for over a year, Fig. 24. Also at IBI the 88-Inch Cyclotron accelerates lighter ions to 10-35 MeV/nucleon. Grunder showed interesting rough estimates of the performance of accelerators using a conventional PIG source and the new EBIS source. Fig. 25 shows the output of a high dury syste heavy ion



CBB 729 4520

Ffg. 24. The 18b Beyrlac facility connecting the SuperHILAC and Beyatron. (LBL).

The assuming stripping at an appropriate energy (SuperHILAC or UNIAC). The PIG source is superior to the EBIS for this application. On the other hand for suchrotron injection the pulsed output of the EBIS matches the time acceptance of synchrotron very well, so the EBIS is much superior to a PIG for this case, as shown in Fig. 26.

Large tandom accelerators were releved by Jones of Oak Ridge. He discussed the many projects around the world which are planned or under construction. One of the most interesting is the Oak Ridge 25 MV folded tandem now under construction, shown in a schematic layout in Fig. 27. The energy is higher than that of any existing tandem and the folded construction has not been used on large tandems before, so this will be a unique accelerator. I'm rure we all wish our Oak Ridge hosts success with this project.

There were reports on the heavy ion performance of the new Louvain cyclotron, and on the heavy ion linac project at IPCR, Japan,

in conclusion Stelson of Oak Ridge presented us with a view of experiments to be done with heavy long.



## LINAC OUTPUT - AVERAGE INTENSITY

XBL 7510-8843

Fig. 25. Output of high duty cycle heavy ion linac, assuming appropriate stripping. (Grunder).

Some of the paths to be explored in the fields of nuclear, atomic, and solid state physics can be predicted from present work while others must await the future in which we can explore more of the massenergy-intensity space which the new accelerators will open up.

### Future Prospects

What can we expect in the next few years in the heavy ion source field? In charge exchange and ispact cross-sections we can expect many more measurements using heavy ion sources in test facilities. In positive ion sources we can expect EBIS sources to be injecting accelerators at Dubna, Texas A & M and Orsay. Better electron guns will come into operation using magnetic compression. in ECR sources, higher frequency microwaves will produce higher density plasma and higher charge states. More improvements will be made on negative ion sputter sources. New heavy ion accelerators will come into operation such as UNILAC, the ORML tandem and GANIL. New unexpected experimental results will appear. Finally another heavy ion conference as pleamant as this one will take place, in less than four years, in Europe.

#### Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the speakers who lent slides and viewgraphs for my talk, and whose figures I used for this paper.



XBL 7510 8844

Fig. 26. Output of heavy ion linac injector for a synchrotron. (Grunder).

## References

- J.R.J. Bennett, 'EEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. NS-19, 2, p. 48 (1972).
- H. Winter and B. H. Wolf, Froc. and Symp. on Ion Sources and Formation of Ion Leams, Berkeley, Calif., 22-25 Oct. 1974; LBL-3399, p. V-1.



Fig. 27. Oak Ridge 25 MV folded tandem electrostatic accelerator system now under construction. (Jones).