#### CONFERENCE SUMMARY

David J. Clark

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California 94720 , U.S.A. The appear was prepared as a nonzero of a  $\alpha$  of the field of the linear model of the field of the model of the model of the model of the appear of the model of the mod -NOTICE -

### Summary

This paper reviews briefly the main r ults presented at this conference. The sections are as follows: Highlights, General Observati as, Fundamental Processes in Sources, Positive on Sources, Negative Ion Sources, Beam Formatior .nd Emittance Measurements, Stripping, Accelera' .s and Experiments, and Future Prospects.

#### Introduction

I wish to thank the Conference Chairman, Bob Livingston, and the Program Committee for the privilege of summarizing this conference. The papers presented us with the latest progress in heavy ion source research and technology. Of equal importance were reports on studies of the fundamental processes which occur in jon formation, beam extraction and cmittance measurements , and stripping piocesses in gases and foils. There were also several excellent pape.s on heavy ion acceleration projects and the experiments to be done there. The performance of these accelerators and the quality of experiments are heavily dependent upon the intensity, quality, and mass range of beams available from the heavy ion sources . In this short summary paper I can mention only a fraction of the large variety of work de scribed here in over 50 papers. For the whole story the reader should certainly consult the complete proceedings.

As usual the local crganizing committee from Oak Ridge arranged an excellent conference schedule, including social activities. The outdoor dinner and folk dancing were enjoyed by everyone, especially the large friendly bear. There were two free afternoons when the delegates could travel to the old settlement at Cades Cove or up to the ridge of the Smoky Moun tains. The fall colors were at their peak near the altitude of Gatlinburg. The delegates who went to Oak Ridge National Lab after the conference had a congenial dinner there Thursday evening before the tour on Friday. Many spent a comfortable night at the Royal Scottish Inn (formerly the Holiday Inn) . The tour was organized to include the ORIC cyclotron, a description of the plans for the new 25 MV tandem accelerator facility, and the Van de Graaff Laboratory. After lunch the group went to the Thermonuclear Division to see the ORMAK and ELMO Bumpy Torus experiments, and the associated neutral hydrogen sources used for injection. The delegates were impressed by the wide variety of ion source work being done at Oak Ridge.

The opening address by Zucker of Oak Ridge gave an interesting historical perspective on the early develop $t$  munt of  $N^*$  sources at Oak Ridge. The motivation was weapons effects, but this source formed the basis of future PIG sou-ce development at Berkeley, Dubna and elsewhere. The work at Oak Ridge was in turn baged on the Calutron sources developed at Berkeley for uranium separation In the early 1960\*s. Those sources rame from the cyclotron sources of the 1930's where the magnetic field was free. So we see *i* stepby-Ktep prn'-cHs of PIG source development with con-

 $-$ 

أعادته وأولا

tributio: from many groups from the 1930's to the<br>1950's. The sources are now in a period of ra new sources are now in a period of rapid growth, wi . development underway in many laboratories. There were informative evening sessions on the EBIS , and emittance measurements. This was an excellent<br>epportunity - r all of the EBIS groups to meet with r all of the EBIS groups to meet with Donets, its ime developer, and discuss technical questions.



Fig. 1. Conference highlights.

#### Highlights

This Conference follows the tradition of the previous one, also at Gatlinburg, four years ago at this same colorful time of year in the Smokies. There was much progress in the heavy Ion source field during these four years. To me the highlights of the Conference were the presentation of results obtained in the past year by the Dubna group under Denets, who developed the EBIS (Electron Beam Ion Source), and by Geller's group at Grenoble building ECR (Electron Cyclotron Resonance) sources. These highlights are illustrated in Fig. 1. Thene sources show significant improvement in charge state distribution over the present standard PIC (Penning Ion Gauge) source. This is illustrated in Fig.  $2$  by plotting some if the nitrogen data presented here, interpolating between carbon and oxygen for ECR, along with some older PIG Bource data<sup>1</sup>. The EB<sup>1</sup>S has produced the spectacular

ぐり

**i** 



Fig. 2. Charge state distributions of three types of positive ion sources.

result of 25% fully stripped nitrogen beams, with  $10^{10}$  particles/pulse intensity. The ECP has as particles/pulse intensity. The ECR has an intermediate distribution between the PIG and F.BIS, but has the advantage over EBIS of a long duty cycle. The plasma regimes of these sources are shown in Fig. 3, an updated version of a similar plot by Winter and Wolf in 1974.' Their electron temperature and n. values are indicated. The electron temperature must be several times the ionization potential of the last electron removed, for efficient ion production, n:, Rust be large enough to make the high charge states. The new EBIS and ECR sources have higher n: and electron temperatures than conventional PIG and duoplasmation sources and so make the higher charge



Fig. 3. Plasma parameters of positive ion sources. L<sub>o</sub> is electron temperature, n is electron<br>density. • Is Ion confinement time.

state ions shown in Fig. \_ <sup>&</sup>gt;. The LASER source also has a high n<sub>' (</sub>, but has a very short duty factor,<br>The arrows in Fig. 3 Indicate that the EBIS and ECR ire still being improved.

# General Observations

There are a number of observations I made while dutifullv attending each session. One is the phenom-enon of disrovery oi an Important result by accident. Of course the discovery requires experience and alertness by the experimenter to evaluate and use the result. An example of this is the discovery of back bombardment production of solid material beams by Hudson, Mai lory and Lord, when a large copper beam appeared during a xenon acceleration run. This effect has proven to be a simple and effective method of producing beams from solid materials in cyclotrons. Another example, described by Middleton, is the discovery that the addition of oxygen improves the lithium beam from a sputter source. Oxygen is easy to add bv accident. This is now a standard mode of source operation. A third example, reported by Fasolo. was the observation of a large current of heavy negative ions found as a contaminant in an H\* beam. These ions were from wall cutgnssing, but could also be used in the future in a ,untrolled way.

Another interesting type of information presented was the description of ideas that didn't work. Bethge described some attempts to use high magnetic field and electron injection to improve the charge state distribution in an axial extraction PIG source. There was no significant improvement, but it is well worth reporting this experiment to save the time of other groups, and indicate more promising directions to proceed.

We all learned some new vocabulary during the Conference. Some of the words which I now understand better are electron affinities and ab initio calculations, discussed in negative Ion sessions. In the F.BIS work we heard about electron gun perveance and Siillouir flow of electron beams. In the field of PIG sources, I am familiar with hot and cold cathode PIG sources, and know that cold cathodes sometimes run hot, but this can be confusing to the listeners<br>outside the PIG groups. "Arc-heated cathodes" would better describe cold cathodes that run hot.

There are some mysteries to be unravelled in the future. An example is the origi.i of the high energy electrons in the PIG source observed by Schulte, Wolf and Winter. Another question for the future raised by Stelson is what the average charge of a uranium beam will be from the Berkeley SuperHILAC at 8.5 MeV/ nu:leon, after passing through a stripping foil. There is a disagreement between different theories. Including Stetson's.

A special commendation for heroic performance in the face of severe technical difficulties must go to Joyce Kaufman, who continued her talk on electron affinities without slides, when the projector failed. To the listener there was practically no discontinuity in the talk.

In the following sections 1 will review briefly the topics covered in the sessions, with emphasis on the excellent review papers which bring us up-to-date and give us some predictions of the future.

# Fundamental Processes In Sources

In the session on fundamental processes in sources there were several very interesting invited papers on electron bombardment and charge exchange cross-sections, electron affinities, sputtering, VUV spectroscopy, and

B. formation, The papers by Dain of Colorado and Salzhorn of tiessen reviewed the cross-sections for electroncommullisions. These processes fon, fon-you, and are fundarantal in understanding the formation of ions in plasmas or electron beams, and also in interactions of ion beams with cases and solids in strippers and in residual vacuum. The status of theoretical calculations of electron affinities was reviewed by Eautrup of John Hopkins, showing good agreement with experimental values. The experimental situation on electron affinities was summarized by lineberger of (olorado, The electron affinities, or hinding energies, determine the production rate of negative fon beams trom sources, and so are of great significance for fander accelerators.

A review of the sputtering process was given by Andersen of TR" and Aarhus. Spottering occurs inside sources, on extraction electrodes, and on collimators and tarrets. It usually is a problem, as in the erosion of PIG cathedes or extractors. In these cases one chooses materials with low sputterine rates like tungsten, tantalum of titanium. But sputtering also can be very useful, as in the case ofproduction of beams from solid materials in both positive and negative ion sources. This paper gives many useful references. It also presents an interesting electron micrograph of a sputtered surface, Fig. 4, illustrating the striking deviation of the surface from the smooth one assumed by theorists. This complicated surface may contribute to "dose effects": the change of spottering yield with time by as much as a factor of 10.



Scanning electron micrograph of polycrystalline Fig. 4. tunesten surface after sputtering. (Andersen).

Other papers discussed studies of H' formation and charge exchange of hydrogen with heavy tons at SRI, and VUV spectroscopy work on source plasmas at Vienna.

## Positive lon Sources

Some comparisons of positive ion source performance and plasma parameters were given in Figs. 2 and 3. The standard source for heavy fon cyclotrons, linaes and recently a synchrotron (the LBL Bevatron) has been the P.G. Some excellent work has been done on disoplasmations at GSI, and recent exciting results have come from the FCR and EB1S groups.

#### P16 Sources

The development of several types of PIG source at (SI, Darmstadt was described by Schulte, Jacoby and Wolf in a review paper. One type, shown in Fig. 5,



Fig. 5. PIG source with filament-heated cathode and sputtering electrode developed at GSI. Darmstadt. (Schulte, Jacoby and Wolf).

has a filament-heated cathode similar to that of the Dubna design. A blased sputtering electrode is used for solid naterial feed, giving beans of 10. A peak of  $Pb^2$  and 4.A de of  $U^2$ . An arc-heated PIG source has been used for tantalum beams. A variation of sputtering electrode geometry developed by Gavin of LBL is shown in Fig. 6. This is a pair of ring sputtering



Fig. 6. PIG source with new ring sputtering electrode geometry, I.Bl. (Gavin).

cle trodes between the extraction slit and the cathodes. cavin reports an increase in Au. Intensity to 10 A peak with the ring structure, in increase of a factor of a compared to a single electrode behind the silt. Another method of sputtering is used by Hudson, Mallory an cord of ORSL. This uses a system dilch occurs materilly in a cyclotron fun source. The low chaige states of a heavy support gas like senon are extracted tren the source but are returned to it shen the rf voltive reverses. They sputter material out of a block which is inside and tangent to the arc bure, opnosite the slit. A large variety of solid material beams have been produced in the ORIC cyclotron in this way.

Makey of the Kur hatey Institute des ribed develconcert of a filament-heated PIG source. He has done experiments with magnetic field variation along the ary produced by coffs around the cathode regions. The objective was to control the potential distribution illing the arc, and create higher charge states.

Maily other interesting papers were presented on Plo sources, such as the basic studies by Schulte, Welt and Winter of 631 and Vienna on power flow and electron velocities. Other papers discussed development and enittance nearerements for end extraction Plu sources at Frankfurt, vacuum imprevements at Berkeley, emittance measurements on a railal extraction PIG at Berkeley, and a PIG source rest to illty at as Eldge.

## Dueplasmatron and Duopigatron Sources

The development of duoplasmatrons for heavy fons at SI over the past ten years was described by Veller. The charge/mass required by the UVHAC is elerator is .0st. The duoplasmatron satisfies this requirement well tor lighter heavy fons such as Ar". At Xe the competition with the PIG source becomes stiff. Feller Insertbed the present design, Fig. (, with small Clameter Internediate electrode (eften called 2 or rwischen electrode in English), magnetic field maximum at the anode hole, and better anode couling, Fig. 8. Tils lesign has greatly increased the output of Ke<sup>rr</sup> . (r) JO A peak, other papers presented duoplasmatron epit attens for Ne" at Berkeley and He" at Bubarest, and unipleatent production of argon beams at Orkay.



Latent GSI duoplasmatron source for Ar" and Fig. 7.  $\mathbf{v}$ (Feller and Suller).



Evolution of intermediate electrode anode Fig. 8. design in GSI duoplasmatron (Keller and sunt family

# FCR (Flectron Cyclotron Resonance) Sources

The most successful results from ECR sources have come from Geller's group in Grenoble, which has been building such sources for ten years. Three successful versions were reviewed at the Conference: MAFIOS, SUPERMAFIOS and IRIPLEMAFIOS. MAFIOS is shown in Fig. 9.



Fig. 9. FCR single stage source MAFIOS at Grenoble (Geller),

This is a single stage source using 2 kg of microwave power at 10 GHz to create the plasma, which diffuses into a nieror tield where high charge state fons are created by hombardment with kilovolt ECR electrons. The total output is over 20 mA, and the charge distribution is similar to that of a PIG source. It has the advantage over the PIG of having no electrodes to erede. and so has a very long 11 time. Geller helieved that there was a basic limitation in high charge state production from this source due to the high pressure and limited confinement time of the ions. So he built a new device with two stages, SUPERMAFIOS. Here a second magnet1, mirror and microwave cavity was used. This system is shown as the first two stages of Fig. 10.



Fig. 10, ECR Sestage source IRIPLEMAFIOS. First two stages are SUPERMAFIOS (Geller).

The high charge state distribution was much improved<br>over MATOS, with charge states up to  $Xe^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} = 0.08 \pm ab$ served. The time evolution of kenon charge states is shown in Sig. 11. The output of this nource was only 30 .A because extraction was from a region of low plasma density. So a third stage of nirror field was added to compress the plasma, and a maynetic shield reduced the field quickly at the extractor for optimum extracted quality, Fig. 10. This system is called IRIPLEMATIOS This triple system gives about 300 .A, 10 times the hear of SUPPRMAFION. More is available but a collinator is used to prevent Faraday cup outgassing, by blocking some of the plasma at extraction. The charge distribution is somewhat better than SUPERMAFTOS: 25 0.7  $\sim$  and pared to .5', due to the longer diffusion time. The success of this source illustrates breaking through the limitations of pressure and confinement time of the single stage source. This same limitation applies to PIG sources, which are also single stage devices.



Fig. II. Time evolution of xenon charge states in SUPERMAPIOS ECR source (Geller).

other groups at ORNL and Marburg reported results of single stage ECR sources. The charge state distributions are similar to or somewhat better than the PIG but the extraction systems have not been fully developed. The ORSI system. INTEREM, is shown in Fig. 12.



1

Fig. 12. Fe's source INTEREN at ORNE showing coil systems. (Tamagawa, Alexeff, Pones and Milleri

## EEES, tontinement and LASER Sources

Another source breakthrough which occurred in the last several vears is the successful operation of the 1815 (Electron Beam Ion Source). This source produces very high charge states (Xe<sup>19</sup>) and has the prospect of important applications in accelerator injection, polarized for production, and atomic physics studies.

It has reached its greatest success at Dubna in the group led by Donets. Donets proposed this source in 1967. Since then he has built four versions: EBIS-1. EBIS-2, KRIOS-1 and KRIOS-2. EBIS-1 and EBIS-2 have normal conducting coils and the KRION's have superconducting coils for better field uniformity and low power consumption on a high voltage platform.

A schematic drawing of the EBIS configuration and potential distribution is shown in Fig. 13. The riming



F12, 13, Schematic view of EBIS source KR10N-1 and potential distribution. In upper view electron beam passes from pun (1,2) through drift tubes (b) to collector (4). Charge states are analyzed by a time-of-flight mass spectrometer (9, 10, 11, 12). In lower view potential distribution along axis is that of (B) during injection, (C) during fontration and (A) for extraction. (Donets). sequence is shown in Fig. 14. The time-of-flight spect rum tor xenon is shown for ionization times from J msec !,• }9 msoc in Fie- 15. This illustrates beautifully the



Fig. 14. Time sequence of injection (t--t ), ionization  $U - t$ .) and extraction  $(\geq t)$  for EBIS. source KR10N-1 (Donets).



Fig. 15. Time evolution of xenon charge state spectrum during ionization time in EBIS source KRI0N-1 (Donets).

radual build-up of high charge states. At 39 msec the<br>average charge is Xe<sup>ra</sup>, with Xe<sup>18</sup> seen at 107 of the<br>Xe <sup>a</sup> intensity. The Intensity is about IO<sup>17</sup> fons/pulsc. The electron beam current was 1.5 A with a density of 10 A/cm' and an energy of 2.3 keV. The residual pressure lig. 17. Principle of TOFEBIS type of EBIS source •was 2 ' 10" • torr using a liquid he Hun pumpiiig system it  $F$  K, The magnetic field from the superconducting

solenoid was about 1 ) T. An improved version of this source, KRION-2, has been built for continued development, since KRION-1 has been installed in the injector for the Dubna 10 GeV synchrotron. KR10N-2 has improved vacuum of less than 10"'' torr, magnetic field of 2.5 T and an electron energy of 7 KeV. It has produced ni-<br>trogen beams with 25? N<sup>\*</sup> .

Arianer described the Orsay EBIS source, SILFEC, which has operated since 1971. The highest charge states<br>observed are Ne<sup>xt</sup> , Ar<sup>ia</sup> and Xe<sup>21</sup> . Studies are underway on a superconducting design, CRYFBIS, for injection of fully stripped ions up to neon into the rebuilt Saturne II synchrotron. The design goals are *a* basic vacuum of 10 ° torr, electron current density 1000<br>A/cm , and ion currents of 10<sup>17</sup>/pulse. To get these high electron current densities an external gun must be used with magnetic compression. An example of this type nf gun is shown in FIR. 16.



Fig. lb- Calculated electron trajectories in ar external gun using agnetic compression, Ursay. (Arianer and Goldstein).

The group at Texas A & M of Hamm, Choate and Kenefick is building an KBIS for Injection Into a cyclotron. They are using an external electron gun with magnetic compression. The goal is to make beams<br>of C<sup>er</sup> , Ar<sup>lia</sup> and Xe<sup>. by</sup> with fast repetition rate pulsing, since the cvclotron operates continuously.

Ar Frankfurt, the group of Becker and Klein are developing an EBIS source with continuous output. Here the ions drift through the electron bean in one pass and arc- ionized during their time-of-flight. Hence the system is called TOFFBIS. The principle *oi* the source is shown in Fig. 17. The operation is similar to the



being developed at Frankfurt. (Kleinod, Becker, Klein and Schmidt).

EB1S except that there is no trapping of ions, but a continuous drift from injection lo extraction, Two versions have been built: one with an internal electron gun as in Fig. 17, and another with an external gun us ing magnetic compression, and extraction through the gun<br>cathode. The charge state distribution is about the The charge state distribution is about the same as that of a PIG. The goal is to make 10° part/ sec of U'" for the UNILAC.

Another continuous EPIS has been built at Giessen by Clausnitzer, Klinger, Miller and Salzborn. It has charge state distributions similar to that of a PIG. with zenon charge states up to Xe<sup>11</sup> observed. Currents are 1 nA - I.A. It has been used for 2 vears now on .jtrmic physics experiments.

Other tvpes of sources reported fnrltide an rf quadrupole trap developed at IEr, I'rsay. Ions are stored for minutes and the first few charge states of argon are observed. From Vale laser-initiated vacuum arcs were reported. Large rates of mass luss were observed, but no charge state distributions were measured.

## Negative Jim Sounes

Negative ion sources use one of two principles of operation: conventional positive ion sour es followed by charge exchange canals, or modified positive ion sources with reversed polarity for direct extraction of negative lons. Recent developments have used direct extraction. As Middleton points out in his review paper great advances in negative ion technology have been made in the last 5 years. The most important improvement has been the use of reside in the sputtering of the feed material, wither as a positive fun sputtering beam or as a couting on the feed material. or both. The papers were rainly directed to this area of development. This type of source makes high intensity heavy and can be built with a set of cenes of different materials in a wheel which can be quickly rotated to change fous. The prin ipal application of<br>segative four-sources is on tacket clearrastatic acted-**LEADATS.** 

The principle of operation as one of Middleton's courses is shown in each lb. The cester jun bean comes from a funcional surface fundrer. The beam is accelerated to 20-30 FeV and strikes a hollow come containing the read material. Seguitve ions come out the other side through choic in the center of the cone and are accelerated through 20-30 keV back to ground potential. The wheel contains in comes which



Fig. 18. Cesium beam spulter ion Hource for negative Ions, Mnlv. ol Pennsylvania. (Middleton).

can be rotated into the beam in a few seconds. A eas inlet allows negative ions to be produced from gases, usually with a titanium cone. The output for various ions Is a few i.A of l.i" and B" , and 10's of  $A$  of  $H'$ ,  $C'$ ,  $O'$ ,  $SL'$ , and  $S''$ .

Fig. 19 is a graph shown by Smith of Wisconsin illustrating the general trend of increasing output of bean: current with electron affinity for several sputter sources.



 $\frac{1}{2}$ , 19. Data from several negative ion sputter sources shoving the increase of output with electron at fixity. (Smith).

i h..p~;.i:i of Florida State described an inverted sputter source. This is a variation of the Middleton source in which the negative beam is extracted back through the ionizer rather than through the sputter .one. I he idea was based on the observation that the ionizer was being bombarded by some negative ions otit eiit rated in *a* snail spot. So by optimizing this effect th.ipman has designed a compact source having<br>good beam quality and lifetime. The "final" version is shown in Fig. 20.



Fig, JO. Inverted negative ion sputter source of Florida State, Beam exits to right. t('h.i|iman).

Other papers on negative icas described beam measurements on the Aarhus AN1S source, studies of the Wisconsin SP1CS source, a modified Hortlg source at ORNL, a duoplasmatron at AXL, a charge exchange He" source In Argentina, and a polarized I.f" source at Hamburg in an invited paper bv Stef'ens.

## Beag. Formation and Emittancc Measurements

A comprehensive review of beam formation and space .har^e neutralization was given bv Green of Culham. He described the various analytical and computational treatments of beam extrac'on fron plasmas by a number of electrode systems. Intensity limitations and space . harge effects were discussed. Many useful simple formulas are given to help understand this inportant •it-id of getting the beam out *ot* the source.

Kyder of Oxford told us about his well developed svstem to measure emittance and energy spread of nega-:ive ion sources. A two slit system is used for enfltance measurement, with a stepping motor to scan the first slit followed by a deflection magnet to scan the bean across a second slit into a Faradav cup. A computer processes the data and gives a scupe or plot display. The energy spread measurement Is made with a retarding field energy analyzer. Measurements have been made on beams from a number of different negative ion sources. This gives a valid comparison of beam quality, and helps in understanding the relation between source construction and beam quality. I understand that Hyder is even willing to measure positive i.n source beams, after appropriate negotiations have heen made. Some discussion alter the paper indicated that it would he a good Idea when quoting enlttance areas to state the - explicitly, e.g. 10 *•* rm -irad • y>-i. so we all know where it is.

## Stripping

A review of stripping of heavy ions In foils and .vises was given by Moak of Daresbury and uRXl.. lie Jis. ussed experimental measurements of charge state :isirlbutions, and dependence of the distribution upon ••tripper density, shell effects, and njlefular weight f gas strippers. The data for the average charge of rro-iine Ions in various gases and solids is shown In Fig. 21. Some interesting work has been done at ink



Fig- 21. Average charge of bromine ions in gases and solids vs. energy. (Moak).

Ridge showing that the average charge of the ion ran be increased by more than *a* factor 2 over the equilibrium value when the (on is scattered by about I degree in a gas stripper at low pressures. This effect may be uneful in tandem accelerator strippem. Moak discusses the interesting unanswered question of which theory explains the difference in average

charge produced by sulid and gas strippers. In another paper some measurements on angular and energy spread due to stripping are reported by the GANII group. The Frankfurt group described a high density gas jet st ripper.

Yntemi presented studies on lifetimes of carbon fulls in heavy ion beams. Since foll strippers rive higher charge states than gases, they give higher energy beams when used in tandem accelera'ors. But shcrt foil lifetime in high intensity beams is a basic disadvantage. A foil exposed to a beam becomes '-.-rlnkled after a period of bombardment. It then hecomes stretched and tears as shown in Fig. 22. Yntema has compiled data on lifetime of carbon foils from many heavy ion accelerators. This is shown in Fig.  $\sqrt{3}$ . There is a consistent increase of lifetime times



Foll in heavy ion hemm of tandem ac elerator 22. at Munich. (Yntera).



Fig. 23. Lifetimes of unhealed carbon foils at various lobs. The high point in the upper left Is a heated oscillating full In a 4 MeV *Hi* beam at Argonne. (Yntema).

beam density with increasing energy-rucleon. The very high Argonne point is the result of heating the foll to 400-500<sup>°</sup>C and escillating the foil in the beam. useillating the foil helps to reduce the gradual thickening, which is caused by some combination of cracking of hydrocarbons and structural changes in the foll material.

#### Accelerators and Experiments

A review was given of positive heavy fon accelerator projects by Grunder of Berkeley. He summarized the new machines being completed or studied. Conparisons were given of the performances of various accelerator combinations. An example of a comprehensive group of heavy ion accelerators is at Berkeley, where the SuperBILAC is designed to accelerate all ions to 8.5 MeV/A (fons up to xenon arc used at present). It injects the Bevatron on a timeshare basis for further acceleration to 2 GeV/nucleon. This "Bevalac" facility has been eperating for over a vear, Fig. 24. Also at IBI the 88-Inch Cv-lotron accelerates lighter ions to 10-35 MeV/nucleon. Grunder showed interesting rough estimates of the performance of accelerators using a conventional PIG source and the new EBIS source. Fig. 25 shows the output of a high duty cycle heavy fon-



CRR 729 4520

Fig. J., The 184 Bevila facility commeting the SuperHILAC and Bevatron, (LBL),

Tina, assuming stripping at an appropriate energy (SuperHILAC or UNILAC). The PIG source is superior to the EBIS for this application. On the siner hand for synchrotron injection the pulsed output of the EBIS matches the time acceptance of synchrotron very well, so the EBIS is much superior to a PIG for this case, as shown in Fig. 26.

Large tandem accelerators were re-lewed by Jones of Oak Ridge. He discussed the many projects around the world which are planned or under construction. One of the most interesting is the Oak Ridge 25 MV folded tandem now under construction, shown in a schematic layout in Fig. 27. The energy is higher than that of any existing tandem and the fulded construction has not been used on large tandems before, so this will be a unique accelerator. I'm rure we all wish our Oak Ridge hosts success with this project.

There were reports on the heavy for performance of the new Louvain evelotron, and on the heavy ion linac project at IPCR, Japan.

In conclusion Stelson of Oak Ridge presented us with a view of experiments to be done with heavy lons.



# LINAC OUTPUT - AVERAGE INTENSITY

XBL 7510-8843

Fig. 25. Output of high duty cycle heavy ion linac, assuming appropriate stripping. (Grunder).

Some of the paths to be explored in the fields of nuclear, atomic, and solid state physics can be predicted from present work while others must await the future in which we can explore more of the massenergy-intensity space which the new accelerators will open up.

#### Future Prospects

What can we expect in the next few years in the<br>heavy ion source field? In charge exchange and impact cross-sections we can expect many more measurements using heavy ion sources in test facilities. In positive ion sources we can expect EBIS sources to be injecting accelerators at Dubna, Texas A & M and Orsay. Better electron guns will come into operation using magnetic compression. in ECR sources, higher frequency microwaves will produce higher density plasma and higher charge states. More improvements will be made on negative ion sputter sources. New heavy ion accelerators will come into operation such as UNILAC. the ORNL tandem and GANIL. New unexpected experimental results will appear. Finally another heavy ion conference as pleasant as this one will take place, in less than four vears, in Europe.

#### Arknowledgements

I would like to thank the speakers who lent slides and viewgraphs for my talk, and whose figures I used for this paper.



XBL 7510 8844

*2b.* Output of heavy ion 1 inac Injector fnr a synchrotron. (Crunder).

# References

- J.R.J. Bennett, TEEE Trans. Surl. Sci. NS-19, 2,  $\mathbf{I}$ p. 48 (1972).
- 2. H. Winter and B. H. Wolf, Fror. 'nd Symp. on Ion Sources and Formation of Ion 'eams, Berkeley, Calif., 22-25 Oct. 1974: LBL-3399, p. V-1.



Fig. 27. Oak Ridge 25 MV folded tandem electrostatic accelerator system now under construction. (Jones) .