STEADY STATE THERMAL HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS OF A BOILING WATER REACTOR CORE, FOR VARIOUS POWER DISTRIBUTIONS, USING COMPUTER CODE THABNA

by

V. Venkat Raj and D. Saha Reactor Engineering Division Bhabha Atomic Research Centre Government of India, Bombay

ŧ,

Presented at the Third National Heat and Mass Transfer Conference held during December 11-13, 1975 at the Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay.

υà

/NIS- mf -- 3477

HE-95-75

STRADY STATE THREWAL HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS OF A BOILING WATER REACTOR CORE, FOR VARIOUS POWER DISTRIBUTIONS, USING COMPUTER CODE THANKA

V. Venkat Raj and D. Saha Reactor Engineering Division Bhabha Atomic Research Centre Government of India, Rombay.

Abstract

The core of a Boiling Water Heapter may see different power distributions during its operational life. How some of the typical power distributions affect some of the thermal hydraulic paremeters such as pressure drop, Minimum Critical Heat Flux Ratio, void distribution etc. has been studied using computer code THADHA. The effect of an increase in the leakage flow has also been analyzed.

ID MERCIA IVIE

R

Pf	•	exial power factor
I	-	Steam quality, weight fraction
æ	-	Void fraction
	-	Oritical Neat Flux

I. IFTHODOGTICK

In a Pressurised Water Reactor the sniel neutron flux distribution is besically sinusoidal, symmetrical about the central plane of core. In a Boiling Water Reactor with upward coolast flow, voids increase with height. This causes the neutron flux and hence heat flux to peak below the core mid plane. This flux distortion can be partially compensated by the insertion of control muss from the bottom. Depending on the amount of insertion of control rod, the axial power peaking may occur above the core mid plane or below the core mid plane. The effect of these flux distributions on the thermal hydraulic parameters of the Tarapur reactor, her been studied using the computer programme THABHA and the results are presented in this paper. The effect of an increase in the leakage flow fraction, i.e. the fraction of total flow which bypasses the fuel assembly, on the thermal hydraulic performance of the core has also been studied.

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE REACTOR COHE

Rash of the two Boiling Water Reactors at Tarapur has 284 fuel essemblies housed in & pressure vessel. The pross-section of a typical fuel assembly along with a control rod is shown in fig. 1a. Rach fuel accembly has 36 equal diameter fuel rods Arranged in square lattice within a shroud of square cross section. These role are attached to an upper and a lower tie plate with Seven spacers in between. The assembly rests on support casting We shown in fig. 1b. The support casting is opificed to reduce the flow dependency on power by increasing the fraction of fuel Assembly pressure drop which is power independent (single phase pressure drop at inlet). Peripheral fuel ageomblies which generate less power, have more restrictive orifices then the interior ones. The total coolant flow which enters the core, distributes between the various fuel assemblies on the basis of equal pressure drop in all the assemblies. Because of the clearances and tolerances of various commonents in the flow path between the pressure vessel inlet and inlet to fuel assumblies, part of the coolant bypeages the fuel assumblies as shown in fig. 1b. This is called leakage flow. This leakage flow mixes with the active coolant (i.e. coolent flowing through fuel assemblies) at the core outlet. The leakage flow may change with time because of change in clearances caused by in-reactor creep.

*

III. COMPUTER PROGRAMME THABBA

The analysis has been carried out using the computer code THANNA. A detailed description of the code is given in reference 1. For the analysis, the fuel assemblies in the core are divided into a number of channel types having same axial and

radial power factors and other thermal hydraulic characteristics. The code has now been modified to accommodate a maximum of 12 channel types and 24 arial nodes for each channel. Results of analysis, carried out using the code THAENA, are fed as input into a computer programme to evaluate physics parameters. These calculated values of physics parameters for some typical cases were compared with the values obtained from direct in-core measurements. A good agreement between the two values was observed. A beief description of the code follows.

Either the total core flow or the core pressure drop can be specified as input for the code. When one of the two is specified as input the other is calculated. The coolant flow distribution between the various fuel assemblies is estimated on the basis of equal pressure drop in all the assemblies. For each channel type, the code carries out a node by node calculation from bottom to top. The following pressure drop components are taken into account in calculating the total pressure drop.

1. Friction pressure drop (in non-boiling and boiling region)

は人間をついて歴史で

tie plate)

2. Local pressure drop (in inlet section, spacers and upper

- 3. Acceleration pressure drop
- 4. Elevation pressure drop

The coolant flow through the various channel types is adjusted so that the core pressure drop or the total core flow agrees with the specified input value, within the convergence limits specified. The steam quality, void fraction, Gritical Heat Flux (CHF) etc. are calculated by the code.

IV. ANALYSIS

The present analysis has been carried out for a core power of 700 MWt. Twelve channel types, each with 20 noise have been considered. The average pressure of coolant is 1030 psis and inlet enthalpy is 506.1 Btu/lb. For a total core flow of 2.92×10^7 lbs/ar, the analysis has been carried out to study the effect of (1) change in axial power distribution and (2) change in leakage flow. Keeping

the leakage flow constant at 10% of total flow, the effect of obanging the axial flux distribution in such a way that the peak shifts from near the bottom of the core to near the top has been analysed. For both the cases the total core flow is assumed to be the same. This assumption is justified because, as indicated in the next section, the differences between the pressure drops for the two cases are insignificant when compared to the total pressure drop in the circuit. Next, for a bottom-peaking axial flux distribution, the effect of a change in the leakage flow from 10% to 20% and 30% was examined. The data used for the analysis is given in Table 1. The results of the analysis are discussed in the next section.

V. DISCUSSION OF HESULIS

1. Effect of Variation in Arial Heat Flux Distribution: The analysis indicates that for a given total core flow, the change in heat flux from bottom peaking to top peaking has only a very minor influence on the ecolent flow distribution through the various channels. For channel types 1 to 7, the flow marginally increases whereas for channel types 8 to 12 it decreases slightly. This results in a corresponding decrease in exit steam quality for channel types 1 to 7 and increase in exit steam quality for channel types 1 to 7 and increase in quality for obtained types 8 to 12. The variation of steam quality, void fraction and Gritical Heat Flux Ratio (CHFR), i.e. ratio of CHF to surface heat flux, along the fuel channel for top peaking axial flux distribution is compared with that for bottom peaking flux in fig. 2. The curves in fig. 2 ard for channel type 1. The curves for other channel types also exhibit similar trends.

The non-boiling length, component pressure drops and Minisum Critical Heat Flux Ratio (MEMPR) for the two cases are compared in Table II. For top peaking flux distribution, the non boiling length increases considerably. This has the effect of reducing the voids and increasing the average coolant density in the core. Consequently, the elevation pressure drop also increases. However, the friction pressure drop and local pressure drop decrease. This is because of reduction in boiling length (over which two phase flow occurs). For top-peaking flux, the total core pressure drop

reduces to 25.2 pei as against 26.67 pei for bottom peaking case. The MCHTR also reduces for the top-peaking case. Also the location of MCHTR shifts closer to the fuel chamel exit.

2. Effect of Variation in Lookage Flow

For a total coolant flow rate of 29.2 \times 10⁶ lbs/hr, the effect of variation of leakage flow rate from 10% to 20% and 30% has been analyzed for bottom peaking axial flux. Since, the total core flow is assumed to be constant as the leakage flow increases, the amount of coolant which is swallable for cooling the fuel rods decreases. Consequently, the steam quality at various axial modes as well as at fuel chemnel exit increases as the leakage flow increases. This has the effect of reducing the MCHFR for all the chemnel types as well as the overall MCHFR for the core as a whole.

As lankage flow fraction increases both friction and local pressure drop components decrease. This is because the reduction caused by reduced coolant velocity through the fuel assemblies more than offsets any increase due to the higher values of two-phase pressure drop multiplier caused by increase in steam quality. The elevation pressure drop also decreases because of reduced average density of coolant over the channel. Since, all the component presure drop also decreases. As a consequence of increased leakage flow, even though the steam quality at fuel channel exit increases, the quality at core exit remains constant since the total core flow remains the same. The total core pressure drop, core MCHFR and core exit quality for various leakage flows are given in Table III.

REPORT

 $\frac{1}{2}$

V

1. V. Venkat Raj, A.K. Amand, D. Saha, "HARMA-A Computer Programme for the Thermal Hydraulic Analysis of Boiling Huclear Assemblies". Paper No. C-4, Second National Heat and Mage Transfer Conference, I.I.T., Kampur.

TABLE-I

INPUT DATA

Channel type	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	•	•	10	11	12
No.of channels in each type	· 4	28	4	28	4	52	4	*	4	4	4	86
Radial Power Factor	1,467	1.433	1.432	1.384	1,368	1.144	1.324	1.129	0.709	0,669	0.779	0.533
iveted Longth (ft)	11,8542	11,8542	12,0	12,0	11,8542	11,8542	12.0	12.0	11.8542	11.8542	12.0	12.0
inivated Longth(St)	0,9906	0,9806	0,8348	0.8348	0,9806	0.9908	0,8348	0,8348	0.9806	0. 9806	0.8348	0.8348

The axial flux distribution for channel type 1 is given in fig.2. The axial flux distribution for other channel types is more or less similar.

16.

19-

t /

7

a state to a second

0) 1

¥

ų

Channel Non-boilin Type length(ft)		iling (ft)	Friction pre- ssure drop(psi)		Local Pressure drop (psi)		Acceleration pre- ssure drop (psi)		Elevation pro- ssure drop(psi)		Tetal pressure drop(psi)		MCHIPE	
	Bottom	Top	Bottom	Top	Bottom	Top	Bottom	Top	Bottom	Top	Bottom	Top	Bottom	Top
1	0.488	2,626	8.331	6.61	15,14	14.99	0.572	0,572	2.62	3,03	26.67	25.2	3,12	2,34
2	0.554	2 .868	8,20	6.45	15.27	15.13	0.545	0.544	2.66	3,08	26.67	25.2	3.14	2.44
3	0.682	2.974	8,00	6.32	15.40	15,25	0.544	0.544	2,66	3,09	26.87	25,2	3.25	2.52
4	0.741	2.878	7.71	6.18	15.69	15,40	0.5053	0 .504	2.76	3,12	26.67	25.2	3.42	2,67
5	0.791	3,195	7.95	6.25	15.47	15,29	0.489	0,487	2.76	3,17	26.67	25,2	3.33	2,00
6	1.36	4.422	8,68	5.32	16.61	16,17	0.268	0.267	3,11	3,44	26.67	25.2	4.03	3.68
7	0.896	3.320	7.53	. 5.96	15.85	15.58	0.4520	0.449	2,83	3,22	26.67	25.2	3.49	2.87
8	1.622	4.228	6.39	5,21	16.86	16,30	0.255	0,257	3,17	3,44	26,67	25.2	4.32	3.81
9	1,155	3.791	2.28	1.71	21.37	20,11	0.123	0.124	2,89	3.26	28.67	25.2	4,90	4.81
10	1.278	4.159	2,14	1.60	21,45	20,15	0.105	0.107	2,98	3.54	26.67	25.2	5.09	5.0
11	1.023	3.256	2.49	1.89	81.24	20,03	0.134	0,184	2.78	3,14	36.67	25.2	i 4.58	4.50
12	2.037	5.104	1.55	1.28	21.07	20.30	0.029	0.043	3.40	3,57	20-07	25.2	7.09	7.02

TABLE-II

24

.

٠

١

.

1

.

.

Bart Barrens and

÷

- /

 •

TABLE-III

Leakage flow (\$)	Tetal core Pressure Drep(psi)	Core MCEUR	Core exit quality
10	26.67	3.19	0,0666
20	\$2.75	2,89	0,0056
30	19.08	2 .87	0.0666
L.,			1

¥

257

ł

¥.

4

. ..

ą



27.2

Ser. 26.744

a color and a company

-**4**-

Q

ų



3.21

ę :

į.,

-10n