jfj />,-, **OFNL/NUREG-16**

A Model of Iodine Transport and Reaction Kinetics in a Nuclear Fuel Reprocessing Plant

Wallace Cavis, Jr.

Prepared for the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Nuclear Material Safety & Safeguards Under Interagency Agreement ERDA 40-549-75

BLANK PAGE

 Γ . Γ

 \mathbf{r}

Printed in the United States of America. Available from National Tecnnical Information Service U.S. Department of Commerce 5285 Port Roya! Road. Springfield. Virginia 22161 Price: Printed Copy \$5.00; Microfiche \$3.00

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States **Government Neither the United Slates no' the Energy Research and Development ' Administration. United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, nor any of their !** employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the ac curacy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or **pricess disclosed, or represents thai its use would not infringe privately owned rights ;**

 $\overline{1}$

ORNL/NUREG-16 Dist. Category UC-4

Contract No. W-7405-eng-26

CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY DIVISION

A MODEL OF IODINE TRANSPORT AND REACTION KINETICS IN A NUCLEAR FUEL REPROCESSING PLANT

Wallace Davis, Jr.

Completion date: May 1977 Publication date: August 1977

Prepared for the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Nuclear Material Safety & Safeguards Under Interagency Agreement ERDA 40-549-75

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830 operated by UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION for the ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

DISTRIBUTION TO THE UNDECUMENT IS UNLIMIT

CONTENTS

 $\bar{1}$

۰.

A MODEL OP IODINE TRANSPORT AMD REACTION KINETICS IN A NUCLEAR FUEL REPROCESSING PLANT

Wallace Davis, Jr.

ABSTRACT

A model is presented to describe the time-dependent flow and retention of stable iodine isctopes and, additionally, the extent of radioactive decay of ¹³¹ 1 in a nuclear fuel reprocessing plant. The plant, which is similar to, but slightly more complex than, Allied-General Nuclear Services^t plant at **Barnwell, South Carolina, consists of 16 units of equipment** such as a voloxidizer or graphite burner, fuel dissolver, sol**vent extractors, storage tanks, vaporizers, primary iodine sorbers, and silver zeolite. The rate of accumulation of bulk and radioactive iodine in these units and in the environment is described in terms of two sets of 19 differential eauations that contain parameters representing experimental data, including flow rates that are functions of physical or chemical kinetics in each process unit. In the absence of experimental data, the reaction rates were assumed to obey first-order kinetics with individual time constants related to estimates of unit capacity for iodine. Isotopic exchange equilibrium is assumed to be maintained in all units.**

Based on the meager experimental data and "reasonable" values of bulk-iodine retention times for use in the kinetic processes, reasonable time-dependence of iodine retention factors (RFs) [alternatively designated as decontamination factors (DFs) or confinement factors (CFs)] by the plant has been calculated. In particular^ RFs for a new plant in excess of 106 fur 8table iodine and ¹² I decrease to the range of 10³ to 102 as plant operating times exceed 50 to 100 days. The RFs for ¹³¹I also decrease initially, for a period of $\sqrt{10}$ days, **but then increase by several orders of magnitude due to radioactive decay and isotopic exchange. Generally, the RFs for** ¹³¹I exceed those for stable iodine by factors of 10^{*} or **larger, depending on the operating time and on many other variables.**

The computer programs written to solve the two sets of 26 mass flow rates and to integrate the two sets of 19 differential equations can easily be modified to utilize experimental data which will be obtained in the future, including data that might demonstrate one or more of the rate processes not to be first**order.**

1. INTRODUCTION

Radioactive iodine isotopes, both short-lived 13I I (half-life of 8.05 days) and the long-lived I2 'l (half-life of 16 aillion years), are generated in nuclear fuels; very snail aaounts will be discharged to the environment both at the reactors, where they are formed, and at fuel reprocessing plants, where they will be encapsulated for permanent storage. The Environmental Protection Agency has issued^{1,2} standards that will require normal operations within the uranium fuel cycle to be conducted in such a manner as to provide reasonable assurance that: (a) the annual dose equivalent does not exceed 25 millirens to the whole body, 75 millirems to the thyroid, and 25 millirems to any other organ of any member of the public; (b) the quantity of 129 I released to the environment per giga**the public; (b) the quantity of 12, I released to the environment per gigavatt-year of power produced by the entire fuel cycle does not exceed 5 mlllicuries.**

A Light-Water Reactor (LWR) fuel reprocessing plant, such as that of 3 Allied-General Nuclear Services at Barnwell, South Carolina, annually will process fuel that contained 1500 metric tons of heavy metal (MTHM = uranium **+ plutonlum) as charged to reactors which produce 142,000 MW(e)-year of energy during 1 year of operation. This 1500 MTBM will contain more than 50 Ci** ¹²⁹I (>300 kg) and, when processing is performed 160 days after discharge **of fuel from the reactors, more than 1000 Ci¹³²I (>10 mg). This spent 4 fuel will pass through process operations involving fuel dissolution,** solvent extraction, sorption in solutions [such as $Hg(W-)_{2}$ -HNO₃], and **sorption on silver-exchanged zeolites and on Ion exchange resins. These and other unit operations, such as neutralization of solutions and distillation, are parts of an extremely complicated system of iodine transport to "a few" final storage stations.**

A quantitative description of the movement of iodine in a fuel reprocessing plant on the basis of laboratory experimental data does not appear to be possible at the present time since many of the plant processes have not been studied in sufficient detail. For example, the kinetics of **iodine sorption In Hg(NO|)2-IW03 solution or on silver-exchanged zeolites can not be determined on the basis of existing data. In the absence of**

laboratory data, descriptions of the chemistry and kinetics of a number of the processes involving iodine retention nay not be possible unless appropriate data are obtained at the fuel reprocessing plant itself.

A nuclear fuel reprocessing plant, such as that at Barnwell, Is designed to operate, and probably will operate, without the maintenance of constant flows of materials from one equipment unit to another. Be**cause of expected discontinuities in such flows, mathematical calculations concerning the movement of iodine through an actual plant could become extremely complicated. The time scales of flow discontinuities are not known but may be in the range of a few days to a few weeks. However, much can be learned about the kinetics of many chemical or physical processes from a model that assumes some type of constancy or regularity of these flows.**

The present report is concerned with a model of the time-dependent flow of iodine, stable and radioactive, through a fuel reprocessing plant. 4 This plant was first described by Finney et al, in a generic cost/benefit analysis of the environmental impacts of reprocessing LVR fuel; it is similar *tof* **but somewhat more complicated than, the Barnwell plant. The mathematical model of the conceptual plant is based on particular, potentially realistic assumptions of continuous flow of iodine from one unit to the next; on the radioactive decay of a short-lived isotope; on physical and chemical retention of iodine in various process units; and on the discharge of part of the iodine into the environment. Transfer of iodine and decay of ¹³¹ I in the conceptual plant under steady state conditions were described by Davis et al.** in a generic cost/benefit analysis of the **environmental impact of reprocessing High-Temperature Gas-Cooled Reactor (HTGR) fuel.**

Two of the primary purposes of this report are to identify most of the variables that control the flow of iodine and to Identify some of the various types of laboratory data, such as kinetic rate constants and equipment holdup times, that could simplify the description of iodine flow in the reprocessing plant. By identifying these data, the extent to which such a plant must serve as an experimental unit could be reduced.

It should be noted that iodine Is shown to be discharged to the environment in two streams, Q150E rud Q160E. These, and the-corresponding environmental sinks, units 17 and 18, are retained as separate items in the mathematical analysis to differentiate between iodine that is discharged in the conventional off-gas stream (Q160E) and iodine discharged with steam (Q150E). The model plant is assumed to discharge excess water as steam carrying volatile components such as iodine, but net as liquid water, which would contain many radioactive nuclides at low concentrations.

Flows of total iodine or 13, I are expressed in Fig. 1 by the letter Q followed by four alphanumeric characters. The first two characters specify the unit from which iodine flows; the second two characters specify the unit to which iodine flows. Each flow variable has two possible subscripts; subscript 1 refers to total iodine, and subscript 2 refers to the snort-half-lived isotope lll I . For example,

QOOOl(l) - the rate of flow of total iodine from fuel storage to the graphite burner or voloxidizer;

Q0103(2) • the rate of flow of X3l I from unit ¹ to unit 3; QlOll(l) « the rate of flow of total iodine from unit 10 to unit 11; Q160E(1) - the rate of flow of total iodine from unit 16 to the environment.

Equations that describe the flow of total iodine or ¹³¹ I in the fuel reprocessing plant are expressed as a function of flows into and out of individual units as follows:

(Accumulation of *m* **(Flow of iodine _ (Flow of iodine _ (Decay of iodine ,. iodine in unit K)** into unit k) and to out of unit K) in unit K) **For example,**

 $\mathbf{\hat{N}_1} = 0.0001(1) - [0.0102(1) + 0.0103(1)] - \lambda \mathbf{n}_1$ (B-1)

and

 $n_1 = Q0001(2) - [Q0102(2) + Q0103(2)] - \lambda n_1$ (B-2)

where N_1 and n₁ represent the rates of accumulation of total iodine and of **¹³¹ I , respectively, in unit 1. The flow terms QOOOl(l), Q0001(2), etc., are described above, while the term** λn_1 corresponds to the decay of '''I

in unit 1. Due to its long half-life, 12, I is stable in the context of this report since the longest real tine of significance is the lifetime (30 to 40 years) of the fuel reprocessing plant.

Terminology of equations such as (B-l) and (B-2), and their counterparts for each unit of equipment of Fig. 1, has been modified in this report *to* **be suggestive of FOKTKAlf programming. This permits a close identification with the computer programs that are used to solve the mathematical model for the desired quantities. Calculation of these quantities is based on numerical integration of two sets of 19 differential equations, each set Involving 27 flows, one radioactive-decay constant, and 15 physical or chemical rate processes. The total-iodine inventory and its rate of accumulation in unit K (Fig. 1) are symbolized by EN(K,1) and DER(K,1), respectively, instead of the H. and N. , which would correspond (with k * 1) to Eq. (B-l). The 13I I inventory and** *its* **rate of accumulation in unit K are symbolized by EH(K,2) and DER(K,2), respectively, instead of n, and n., which would correspond to Eq. (B-2). Analytical descriptions of time derivatives (DER) of inventories of iodine in the various units, with L « 1,2, are given by Eqs. (1) to (19).**

DER(1,L) =
$$
[00001(L)-T(1)]-(00102(L)+00103(L))
$$
, (1)

$$
DER(2, L) = [Q0102(L) + Q0402(L) + Q0502(L) + Q1202(L)
$$

+Q1402(L)-T(2)]-[Q0209(L)+Q0216(L)], (2)

 $DER(3, L) = [Q0103(L)+Q1303(L)-T(3)]-[Q0304(L)+Q0305(L)]$ (3)

$$
DER(4, L) = [Q0304(L)-T(4)]-[QQ402(L)+QQ409(L)],
$$
 (4)

 $DER(5, L) = [Q0305(L)-T(5)]-[Q0502(L)+Q0506(L)+Q0507(L)],$ (5)

 $DER(6, L) = Q0506(L) - T(6)$ (6)

DER(7,L) =
$$
\{Q0507(L)-T(7)\}\cdot [Q0713(L)+Q0714(L)]
$$
, (7)

$$
DER(8, L) = [Q1008(L) + Q1308(L) - T(8)] - [Q0810(L) + Q0815(L)],
$$
 (8)

$$
DER(9,L) = [Q0209(L)+Q0409(L)-T(9)]-[Q0910(L)+Q0919(L)],
$$
 (9)

$$
DER(10,L) = [Q0810(L)+Q0910(L)-T(10)]-[Q1008(L)+Q1011(L)], \qquad (10)
$$

 $DER(11,L) = [Q1011(L)-T(11)]-Q1112(L)$ (11)

 $\sim 10^{-10}$

$$
DER(19,L) = Q0919(L)-T(19)
$$
 (19)

In these equations, $T(K)$ corresponds to λn_L of Eq. (B-2) and is defined **as:**

$$
T(K) \equiv EL*EM(K,2) \quad , \tag{20}
$$

where

EL = the radioactive decay constant, λ , of 131 (0.0861/day), and **EN(K,2) = the inventory of** 131 **in unit K.**

The two sets of 19 differential equations, Eqs. (1) to (19), of the time-dependent model of iodine accumulation can be integrated when we specify the initial inventory in each unit, the initial value of each flow, and a method for evaluating the time dependence of these flows. In this report, we nave considered only a new plant in which all initial inventories are zero and all initial flow rates are zero except those into unit 1, Q000l(l) and Q0O0K2). The value of QOOOl(l) is set equal to 1.0 (i.e., 1 day's input/day), and the value of Q0001(2) is set equal to 1.0D-7* in accord with previous discussions' concerning the activity of ¹³¹I in an LWR fuel **reprocessing plant, wherein the fuel is processed 160 days after discharge from the reactor. The assumptions used to calculate the remaining two** sets of 26 flows and the use of available experimental data are described **in the next section.**

***The D refers to double precision. Thus, 1.0D-7 means 1. x 10~7 in double-precision calculations.**

3. EXPERIMENTAL DATA AND ASSUMPTIONS CONCERNING FLOWS

Most of the available experimental information pertaining to iodine flow in process units shown in Fig. 1 are summarized in Table 1 as DFs, as fractions (A13 or ASl and AS2) of iodine flowing in one of two or three possible directions, as the ratio of flows (Al, A3) in two possible directions, or as the fractional rate of recycle (due to sparging, purging, or venting) of iodine from storage tanks (RECYCL and HLVEHT). The values of these variables are not known very accurately; in addition, all values depend upon how a particular unit of process equipment is operated. For exaaple, the variable RECYCL will be as large as 0.00216 only if the sparge or purge rate at the MLW storage tank, Fig. 1, is sufficiently low so that iodine in liquid and vapor phases is in thermodynamic equilibrium and the equilibrium conditions correspond⁵ to an iodine equilibrium concentration ratio $[C(1iq)/C(vap)]$ of 5000.

Each pair of equations, (1) to (19), contains terms for iodine entering a unit and iodine leaving a unit; in the case of units 17 and 18, each the environment, and unit 19, containing permanently isolated iodine, only ¹³¹ I leaves the unit, by decay. The model of this report is based on the assumption that the rate at which iodine (total, stable, or radioactive) leaves a unit is proportional to the amount of iodine in the unit (more specifically, the degree to which the unit is saturated with iodine). This approach was used previously and is expressed as:

Total Flow of I_2 out of unit $K =$ [Total Flow of I_2 into unit $K - T(K)$] $*EN(K,1)/ENMAX(K)$. (21)

Here,

ENMAX(K) = the capacity of unit K for iodine.

To maintain consistency with the definition of EN(K,1), ENMAX(K) is expressed in units of days of iodine input to the plant. For exa&ple, the graphite burner or voloxidizer unit 1 may have a capacity of a few hours of input of iodine; that is, after a few hours of operation, iodine leaves unit 1 as fast as it enters the unit, except for radioactive decay.

Table 1. Experimental Information uaed In analysing the reference fuel reprocessing plant

 \sim

 \overline{a}

Table 1. (Continued)

 \sim 10 \sim

 \sim

 \sim

 \sim

 \sim

 $\overline{}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \math$

 $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$

Equation (21) describes one of the assumptions that defines the model of this report. A second assumption is that iodine removal in each equipment unit (units 6 and 17 through 19 excepted since they are infinite sinks) is described by the first-order kinetic Eq. (22):

$$
S(K) = 1 - \exp[-\Lambda(K) \star T], \qquad (22)
$$

where

- $S(K) = EN(K,1)/ENMAX(K)$, the fraction of the capacity of unit K **already used;**
- $\Lambda(K)$ = the time constant for iodine retention in unit K (day^{-1}) ; and **T • time (days of reprocessing plant operation under the ideal**
	- **conditions of constant iodine input and the absence of any flow disturbances). [This T should not be confused with T(K) defined in Eq. (20).]**

The assumption of first-order kinetics is made because of the absence of experimental data on rates of reaction of iodine in the various units of Fig. 1. It is recognized that this assumption is not accurate for all units, particularly the silver zeolite bed of unit 16 and the ion exchange bed of unit IS. Further discussion of reaction rates is included in Sect. 5- However, regardless of how S(K) is calculated, it is used to evaluate flows according to Eq. (21), as shown in detail in Appendix A.

Equations (21) and (22) are written to apply to total iodine. However, **an additional assumption concerning the model is that complete isotopic exchange occurs in each of the 16 units (1-5, 7-16) of equipment of Fig. 2.** As a result of this assumption, Eqs. (21) and (22) also apply to 131 . **Such an assumption is nearly correct as it pertains to the flow of iodine species from a fuel dissolver, the solvent extraction system, waste rtorage** tanks, distillation units, Hg(NO₃)₂-HNO₃ scrubbers (used in units 2 and 4 at Barnwell³), the Iodox system, 11 and some other possible components of a fuel reprocessing plant. Assumption of complete exchange of moleculariodine species also probably applies to the flow of iodine in and through **silver zeolite, as previously discussed:** however, there is uncertainty concerning the degree of exchange of iodine present in organic iodides.

The quantities A(K) are actually calculated froa the equation:

 $A(K) = \ln 2$./TENNAX(K) , (23)

where TSMAX(K) is a aeasure of iodine-retention tiae in unit K. Individual values and definitions of TEMHAX(K) are given in Appendix B.

Two other specifications are used in the present model, namely, that iodine flow froa both the MLW and *I X* **storage systeas, units 11 and 14 of Fig. 1, is controlled by reprocessing-plant operators independently of flows into these units. In both cases, the flow of iodine froa the unit is assumed to be proportional to the inventory of iodine in the unlr. This corresponds to a purge if there is flow, or to zero if there is no purge.**

Based on the definitions and specifications given above, the following sequence of operations leads to determination of all flow rates.

- 1. Solve the five pairs $(L = 1,2)$ of flow equations, (24) to (28) .
	- $Q0103(L) = [0.0001(L)-T(1)]*S(1)$, (24)
	- $Q0102(L) = Q0103(L)*A1$, (25)
	- $Q1112(L) = R*E4(11,L)$, (26)

 $Q1202(L) = Q1112(L)*S(12)$, and (27)

Q1402(L) * HLVENT*EH(14,L) . (28)

- **2. Solve the system of 18 siaultaneous equations, expressed in matrix form in Eq. (29), by numerical aethods. It should be noted that,** for brevity, S(K) and T(K) are expressed as SK and TK, and the sub**script (L) has been oaitted froa flow terms in this equation. It should also be noted that A91 occurs as 1/A91. To avoid numerical difficulties, A91 should always be set to a value greater than 0.0, even if all the iodine reaching unit 9 is permanently fixed. In this case, a value such as A91 • 1.0D-10 is effectively equivalent** to A91 = 0.0 .
- **3. Solve the final three pairs of equations, (30) to (32). Q0919(L) - Q0910(L)*(1-A91)/A91 , (30)**

CANL DWG 76-324RI

 $\sim 10^{11}$ m $^{-1}$

 \mathbf{r}

 $\bar{1}$ $\bar{1}$

 $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$

 (29)

$$
Q150E(L) = [00815(L)-T(15)]*S(15), and \qquad (31)
$$

$$
Q160E(L) = [Q0216(L)-T(16)]*S(16) . \qquad (32)
$$

Following evaluation of flows according to Eqs. (24) through (32), the **two sets of 19 differential equations, (1) to (19), can be integrated by** numerical methods.¹⁶

The entire process f evaluating thr two sets of 26 flows and then integrating the two sets of 19 differential equations by the methods outlined above requires less than 0.1 sec per time step with the IBM 360/91 **coaputer. In the present studies, initial tiae steps were 0.02 days and were increased to 5 and 10 days after 100 days or aore of plant operation. Coaputer execution tiae can be shortened V30Z on che IBH 360/91 as described in Appendix C. However, prograas listed in Appendix C are based on Steps 1, 2, and 3 (above).**

4. EXAMPLES OF CALCULATIONS

Exaaples of calculated relative flow rates, of plant RFs, of unit DFs, of relative radioactivities, and of total iodine inventories are shown in Fig^s. 2 to 12. The first five figures are for Case 1, which corresponds to Case 2a of Finney et a ¹.⁴ and of Davis et a 1.⁵ Case 1 of this report differs from Case 1 of refs. 4 and 5 by having an iodineremoval ion exchanger (unit 15); in other aspects, it is the same as Case 1 of these references. For example, only 90% of the contained iodine is vaporized from the dissolver solution, and iodine fixation is not employed. Figures 2 and 3 contain plots of relative flows of iodine in some of the **Higuid and gasborne streams during the first 500 days of operation.** of a plant initially containing no iodine. As expected, the flows all increase; some of the flows attain constant values, corresponding to steady, state conditions and to saturation of various units with iodine, while others continue to increase. The curves in these figures are determined by the particular values of input parameters. Those values used to derive Figs. 2 to 6 are listed in Table 2 under Case 1. Two parameters, namely TENMAX(11) and TENMAX(14), in this table require further comment

Fig. 2. Relative total-iodine flow rates in liquid streams, Case 1.

Relative total-iodine flow rates in gasborne streams, Fig. 3. Case 1.

Fig. 4. Plant retantion factors for total iodine and 131 I in liquid (1) and vapor (v) streams and for the composite streams (p), Case 1.

ORNL-DWO 77-513

ORNL-DWG 77-514

 $\bar{\Gamma}$

Fig. 6. Calculated time variation of decontamination factors of five process units, Case 1.

 $\bar{1}$

 $\bar{1}11$

 Γ

Fig. 7. Relative radioactivity, due to 131 I, of iodine in some of the equipment units and in the environment, Case 2.

Fig. 8. Calculated tine variation of decontamination factors of five procpss units, Case 2.

 $\bar{\alpha}$

liquid (1) and vapor (v) streams and for the composite streams (p), Case 2.

24

ORNL-DWO 77-5I7RJ

Fig. 10. Calculated time variation of decontamination factors of five process units, Case 3.

ORNL-DWG 77-519

 $\overline{\mathbf{z}}$

Fig. 12. Calculated time variation of decontamination factors of **five process units. Case 4.**

ORNL-OWG 77-520

þ Parameter	Value of parameter for Case			
	\mathbf{I}	$\cdot 2^c$	$3\overline{d}$	4^e
Q0001(1)	1.			
Q0001(2)	$1.0 D-7$			
\mathbf{M}	$6.67D-1$			
DF ₂	$1.0\;$ D+2			
${\bf A}$ 3	9.			
DF4	$1.0\;$ D+2			
A51	$4.0 D - 2$			
A52	$6.0 D - 2$			
DF7	3.			
DF8	4.			
A91	1.	$1.0D - 3$		
DF10	$1.0\;D+2$			
A13	0.75			
RECYCL	$2.16D - 3$			$1.0D-3$
HLVENT	$1.0 D - 3$			$2.0D - 4$
T ₁ /2	8.05			
TERPLAX(1)	$1.0 D-1$			
TENMAX(2)	6.3			
TENMAX(3)	$5.0 D-1$			
TERRAY (4)	6.3			
TENNAX(5)	1.			
TENMAX (6) ^b	$1.0\,D+6$			
TERMAX(7)	2.			
TERRIAX (8)	5.			
TENMAX(9)	2.			
TERMAX (10)	5.			
TERRAX(11)	$1.0\,D+6$			
TENNAX (12)	$4.0\ \mathrm{D} + 2$			$2.0D + 3$
TENMAX(13)	1.			
TENMAX(14)	1.0 _{DF6}			
TENMAX(15)	$4.0\text{ }D+2$		$2.0D + 3$	
TENMAX (16)	$4.0\;D+2$		$2.0D+4$	

Table 2. Values of parameters used in some case studies["]

^aBeyond Case 1, only changed paraaeters are shown. Each change applies to all subsequent case calculations.

TERMAX(6) is actually not used in the model since unit 6 (solvent **purification) is assuned to be a permanent sink for iodine.**

^cCa8. *1* **corresponds to the discharge of 99.9% of the iodine collected in unit 9 to unit 19, where the iodine is permanently isolated.**

Case 3 corresponds to increasing the capacities of units 15 and 16 until their time constants are 2000 days (5.5 years) and 20,000 days (55 years), respectively.

^e Case 4 corresponds to reducing rates of sparging HLW (unit 14) and MLW (unit 11) storage tanks and increasing capacity of unit 12.

because of the very large value, 1.0D+6 days, assigned to them. By assigning large values to these parameters, it is apparent that the corresponding values $\Lambda(11)$ and $\Lambda(14)$ of Eq. (23) will be very small and, **therefore, that S(ll) and S(14) of Eq. (22) will be nearly zero. Thus, a large value of TEMMAXOO aatheaatically covresponds to a very large capacity of a unit for retaining iodine, or to the escape of very little iodine from the unit. The units of interest here are as follows: (1) the HLW storage tank (unit 11), and (2) the HLW storage tank (unit 14). There is nothing unique about the assignment of 1.0D+6 days of iodine** feed since any value greater than **M.C.H** would adequately represent a **very large iodine storage capacity. If the value of TERMAX(14) is in the range of tens to hundreds of days, then unit 14 can be considered equivalent to a HLW solidification systea frca which all contained iodine is returned to the main process as flow Q1402.**

Liquid and gasborne plant RFs for both total iodine and for ¹³¹ I , shown in Figs. 4 and 9, are defined as:

Unit DFs shown in Figs. 6, 8, 10, and 12 are defined for total iodine and for 13I I as:

$$
DF = \frac{\text{Rate at which element or nuclide enters the unit}}{\text{Rate at which element or nuclide leaves the unit}} \tag{34}
$$

Many aspects of Figs. 2 to 6, Case 1, require minimal discussion when the various curves are viewed in terns of Fig. 1. For example, steady state flow of iodine is achieved within less than 20 days of the srirt-up of a new plant in the following streams (as expected from the input data of Table 2) ; Q0102 and Q0103 from the graphite turner or voloxidlzer; Q0305 from dissolver to solvent extraction system; Q0SO2, Q0506, and Q0507 from the solvent extraction syster; and Q0713 and Q0714 from the HLW evaporator. However, steady state is not achieved for iodine flow from the MLW evaporator to the MLW storage tank, Q1011, nor for iodine flows Q0209, 00409, Q1H2, Q1202, or Q1402.

Plant RFs in Fig. 4 are defined as follows:

 $RF(\ell) = 00103/0150E$,

RF(v) « Q0102/Q16CE ,

and

 $RF(p) = (00102+00103)/(0150E+0160E)$.

The subscripts £, v, and p correspond to liquid streaa, gasborne stream, and plant composite, respectively. Bere, the subscripts 1 (for total iodine) and 2 (for ¹³¹ I) have not been included in the flow teras for brevity. Vith these definitions and with nunerical examples, it is easy to show that the value of RF(p) is less than the large:, value of either RF(£) and RF(v) but greater than the smaller of these.

Figures 4, 6, 8 to 10, and 12 show reversals of CFs and DFs for ¹³¹ I that are readily explained. As described in ref. 7, the DF for ¹³¹ I exceeds that for stable iodine and 12, I by an anount that depends on the extent of isotopic exchange, the holdup tine during which radioactive decay can occur, the extent of iodine recycle, and the sorber operation tine. In the present report, complete isotopic exchange has been assumed. The ratio $DF(^{131}I)/DF$ (equipment), where DF (equipment) **applies to stable ¹²⁷ I or to long-lived 12, I , is shown for one set of conditions in Fig. 13 (taken from Fig. 6b of ref. 7). The paraaeters of this two-unit systea are shown in Fig. 13. As can be seen, the ratio of DFs will be 1.0 only if the degree of isotopic exchange is 0.0; for all values of fractional isotopic exchange greater than 0.0, there will be an initial increase in the ratio DF(I31I)/D*(equipment), followed by a decrease. For the paraaeters used to obtain Fig. 13, the ratio ceases to increase after VL00 days. This figure is based on a constant, feed rate of ¹³¹ I and stable iodine; the increase in the ratio of DFs corresponds to the increase shown in Figs. 4, 6, 8 to 10, and 12 start**ing after \vee 10 days of operation of the model plant of this report.

The decrease in the RF or DF of 13I I that is shown for the first 10 days in Figs. 4, 6, 8 to 10, and 12 does not appear in Fig. 13 because of differences in initial flow conditions. Thus, Fig. 13 is based

Fig. 13. Effects of time, recycle, and fractional exchange on relative decontamination factors for I. DF(equipmant) • 20, TENMAX • 60 days, R - RECYCL • 1 x 10"' (from ref. 7).

 \overline{a}

w

on a constant flow of '''I and stable iodine into the system; by contrast, the flows of radioactive and stable iodine into units 15 and 16 are initially zero, but these flovs increase rapidlv. The flow of stable iodine to unit 15, Q0815(1), parallels that of Q0810(l), and the flow of stable iodine to unit 16, Q0216(l), parallels that of 00209(1) (Fig. 2). lithematically, the first reversals in Figs. 4, 6, 8 to 10, and 12 occur as a result of the differences:

[Q0815(2)-T(15)J, froaEq. (A-28),

and

(Q0216(2)-T(16)], from Eq. (A-i;) .

Initially, the tens Q0815(2) and Q0216(2) of ¹³¹ I flow into units 15 and 16 dominate the tens T(15) and T(16), which are the decay rates An_{is} and λn_{16} of '''I in these units. However, as a result of isotopic **exchange and long holdup tines, the tens T(15) and T(16) increase faster than the flow tens Q0815(2) and Q0216(2). These changes lead tc reversals in DFs for '''I.**

The second reversals in the RFs and DFs of ¹³¹ I are due to a reduction in the residual capacity (an approach to saturation) of units 15 and 16. In an actual plant, these units would be replaced or they night initially be considerably larger than those corresponding to Case 1 of thi'i report (Figs. 4 and 6). Such an Increase is shown in Cases 3 and 4 (Figs. 10 and 12) wherein the variables TENPAX(15) and TENPAX(16) were **lncreeptfd to 2000 days (5.5 years) and 20,GOO days (55 years), respectively. This value for TENMtX(16) implies that the silver-exchanged zeoli'e unit 16 would not need to be replaced during the 30- to 40-year life of the fuel reprocessing plant.**

The permanent removal of 99.9Z of the iodine reaching unit 9 by discharge from uults 2 and 4 through fixation in unit 19 produces a significant increase in plant CFs, as shown by a comparison of Figs. 4 and 9. However, there are other important factors, such as the rates of purging or sparging units 11 and 14. These rates are reduced in Case 4.

For the purpose of modelling the time-dependent flow of iodine through a particular nuclear fuel reprocessing plant, it would be more efficient to include only those equipment units actually contained in the plant. For example, the Barnwell plant does not contain units 1, 8, and 15 of Fig. 1? hence, elimination of the equations for these units would simplify the computer calculations. However, some equipment units in Fig. 1 can be "deleted" 'in a process sense) by the appropriate choice of time constants. For exa », units 8, 10, and 12 can be deleted by decreasing their time constants (TENPAX) to 0.01, 0.01, and 3 days, **respectively, from the values 5, 5, and 400 days used in generating the Case 1 plots of Figs. 2 to 6. Gross reduction of a time constant [e.g., reducing TENMAK12) to 0.001 davs] can lead to numerical instabilities, including the values of ¹³¹ I flows becoming negative after periods corresponding to days or weeks of plant operation. This effect has not been investigated in much detail; it is, however, associated with differences between numbers being very close to 0.0.**

5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Many experimental data are needed in order to determine the timedependent flows and inventories of total iodine and ¹³¹ I in a fuel reprocessing plant. These include the 13 parameters listed in Table 1 and rate constant' to calculate the 15 fractions S(K) described by the firstorder time constants TENMAX(K) in Sect. 2.* All of these parameters are needed if the fuel reprocessing plant actually contains all of the units shown in Fig. 1. Excluding the values of QOOOl(l) and QOO01(2), which are well known, the parameters of Table 1 are probably uncertain by factors ranging from 1.25 to 10, or larger. Hence, numerical values **pertaining to the examples discussed in Sect. 4 are aore qualitative than quantitative. Overall, calculated total-iodine RFs, Fig. 4, are consistent with those assumed or calculated in the steady state models used in refs. 4 and 5. However, a few aspects do need further comment. First, plant**

^{*}TENm\XT6) is not actually used in model calculations because unit 6 is considered to be a permanent sink for iodine.

RFs for 13l I will exceed those for stable iodine by values in tbs range of 10* (Fig. 4) , including Case 1. Studies in which the capacities of units 15 and 16 are increased to many years, perhaps as long as the lifetime of the plant (Cases 3 and 4), show that the DF value of 1311 will **exceed that of stable iodine by 10s or aore. Such factors are considerably higher than those used in refs. 4 and S. Second, no studies described here are based on parameters that would lead to the "near rero" release described bv Tarbro, Harrington, and JOT; however, only a few of the parameters listed in Tables 1 and 2 would need to be changed to produce this near zero release. Changes that would be required are as follows: (1) increase A3 from 9 to 99 or 99.5, corresponding to the evolution of 99 or 99.5Z of iodine froa the dissolver instead of the 90Z used in all studies reported here; (2) increase DF2 to 103 or 10*, corresponding to** the use of the Iodox process¹¹ or to the operation of a $He(MO₃)_{2}$ -HNO₃ **scrubber solution at a significantly higher efficiency than has been assumed thus far;** $4,5$ (3) increase A91 to 10^{\bullet} or 10^{\bullet} from the value 10³ **used in this report, corresponding to the aore coaplete discharge of iodine froa unit 9 for permanent isolation in unit 19. Finally, it should be noted that the case studies reported here and modifications that could lead to still greater retention of iodine are all based on the assumption that the process equipment containing iodine does not leak. Any iodine leaking from process equipment would enter the cell-ventilation off-gas equipment, thereby partially invalidating the aodel plant defined in Fig. 1.**

It is difficult to assess the accuracy of the assumption of firstorder kinetics, Eq. (22), as it applies to a process unit for iodine removal. The voluaetric change in each unit due to iodine remove'' (Fig. 1) is so small that liquid and sol. phase processes may be considered *TO* **occur at constant volume. For example, an iodine flow** of ~ 10 g-atoms/day **in an LWR fuel reprocessing plant having a capacity of 5 metric tons (MT) of (uranium + plutonium) per day corresponds, et a maximum concentration of 300 g uranium/liter, to a maximum of 0.0005 M I, or 0.00025 J* I² . Removal of all of this iodine would produce a trivial change in the volume of the dissolver solution in unit 3 of Fig. 1. As a second**

example, sorption of iodine on a 26Z silver-exchanged sodium zeolite will probably not exceed 25 to 50 ag of iodine per milliliter of zeolite before the zeolite is replaced; this corresponds to less than a 12 change in the volume of the zeolite.

Without going into details, such es those found in ref. 19, the nearly-constant-vclume process of iodine removal in each of the many units of an LWR fuel reprocessing plant would appear to be fairly accurately represented by first-order kinetics. This comment applies to the following potential process unics: (1) a batch dissolver and (2) a continuous dissolver, the solvent extraction system, or distillation units which nearly correspond to back-mix flow reactors. Sorption on silver zeolite or on ion exchange resin are probably more accurately 19 represented by the plug-flow processes.

The present model of iodine transport and chemical reaction or physical retention is capable of being accommodated to any experimental data that may be obtained in the future. For example, no difficulty would be encountered if any, or all, of the rate processes were found *to* **require replacement of first-order kinetics by more complicated processes. Each replacement of a first-order kinetic equation, as in Eq. (22), would be simple from the standpoint of computer programming. Su-:» replacement would produce small changes in the curves of Figs. 2 to 12 but could not produce major changes.**

6. REFERENCES

1. "Environmental Radiation Protection Standards for Nuclear Power Operations," Environmental Protection Agency [40 CFR Part 190 Subchapter F - Radiation Protection Programs] [FRL 659-6], Fed. Regist. 42, 9-Thursday (Jan. 13, 1977).

 $\bar{1}$. $\bar{1}$

 $\overline{1}$

2. Environmental Radiation Protection Requirements for Normal Operations of Activities in the Uranium Fuel Cycle, Final Environmental Statement (40 CFR 190). EPA 520/4-76-016 (Nov. 1, 1976).

- **3. Allied-General nuclear Services, Barnwell nuclear Fuel Plant Final Safety Analyois Report, Docket Bo. 50-332 (Oct. 10, 1973).**
- **4. B. C. Finney, R. E. Blanco, R. C. Dahlaan, F. G. Kitts, and J. P. Wither spoon. Correlation of Radioactive Baste Treafent Costs and the Environnental Inpact of Baste Effluents In the Buclear Fuel Cycle for Dse in Establishing "as Low as Practicable'' Guides - Bnclear** Fuel Reprocessing, ORBL/TH-4901 (May 1975). An update of this report **is** *ma* **follows: B. C. Finney, R. E. Blanco, R. C. Dahlaan, G. S. Hill,** F. G. Kitts, R. E. Moore, and J. P. Witherspoon, correlation of **Radioactive Waste Treatment Costs and the Environmental Impact of Baste Effluents in the Bnclear Fuel Cycle - Reprocessing Light-Water Reactor Fuel. 0BBL/WOREG/1M-6 (January 1977).**
- **5. W. Davis, Jr., R. E. Blanco, B. C. Finney, G. S. Hill, R. E. Moore, and J. P. Witherspoon, Correlation of Radioactive Waste Treataent Costs and the Envlronaental Iapact of Waste Effluents In the Buclear Fuel Cycle - Reprocessing of High-Teaperature Gas-Cooled Reactor Fuel Containing ²³³ U and Thoriua. 0RHL/HUREG/TM-4 (May 1976).**
- **6. E. R. Irish and W. H. Reas, "The Purex Process A Solvent Extraction Method for Irradiated Uraniua," pp. 83-106 in Syaposiua on the Reprocessing of Irradiated Fuels Held at Brussels. Belgiua. Hay 20-25, 1957, USAEC, TID-7534.**
- **7. W. Davis, Jr., Models for Calculating the Effects of Isotoplc Exchange, Radioactive Decay, and of Recycle In Reaoving Iodine froa Gas and Liquid Streaas, ORNL-5060 (Septeaber 1975). See also, Hucl. Sci. Sag. 61, 11 (1976).**
- **8. J. H. Goode (coapiler and ec\), Voloxidatlon-Rfcaoval of Volatile Fission Products froa Spent LMFBR Fuels. ORNL/TM-3723 (January 1973).**
- **9. H. Beaujean, H. Bohnenstlngl, M. Laser, E. M&rz, and H. Schnez, "Gaseous Radioactive Emissions froa Reprocessing Plants and Their Possible Reduction," in Syaposiua on Envlronaental Behavior of Radionuclides Released in the Nuclear Industry, Aix-en-Provence, France, May 14-18, 1973.**
- **10. Chen. Technol. Div. lanu. Prog. Rep. Hay 31. 1970. 0RML-4572, p. 69.**
- **11. G. I. Cathers and V. E. Sbockley, "Removal of Organic and Inorganic Iodine fron a Gaseous Atmosphere," U.S. Patent 3,752,876 (Aug. 14, 1973).**
- **12. G. I. Cathers and C. J. Shipaan. "Removal of Iodine from Nitric Acid Solutions," U.S. Patent 3,792,154 (Feb. 12, 1974).**
- **13. G. I. Cathers and C. J. Shipaan, "Methods for Removing Iodine froa Hltric Acid," U.S. Patent 3,803,295 (Apr. 9, 1974).**
- **14. H. W. Godbee, Use of Evaporation for the Treafent of Liquids in the Wuclear Industry. ORHL-4790 (September 1973).**
- **15. R. G. Edwards and R. E. Funderlic, "Matrix Equation Solver" in The Computing Technology Center Bumerical Analysis Library, ed. by G. V. Hestley and J. A. Watts, CTC-39 (Oct. 6, 1970).**
- **16. F. D- Haaaerling, "Subroutine KUTTA" in The Coaputiag Technology** Center Numerical Analysis Library, ed. by G. W. Westley and J. A. **Watts, CTC-39 (Oct. 6, 1970).**
- **17. 0. 0. Tarbro, ?. E. Harrington, and D. S. Joy, Effluent Control in** Fuel Reprocessing Plants, ORHL/TM-3899 (March 1974).
- **18. R. D. Ackley and R. J. Davis, Effect of extended Exposure to Simulated LMFBR Fuel Reprocessing Off-Gas on Radio iodine Trapping Performance of Sorbents (Final Report), 0RKI/TM-4529 (August 1974).**
- **19. 0. Levenspiel, Chemical Reactor Engineering. J. Wiley and Sons, H. Y., 1962.**

BLANK PAGE

7. APPENDICES

APPENDIX A. EVALUATION OF IODINE FLOW RATES

As noted in Sect. 2, the aodel of this report is based on the assuaptions that (1) each equipaent unit through which iodine flows has a definable aaxiaua capacity (holdup) for iodine and (2) the rate at which iodine leaves the unit is proportional to the degree of saturation of this aaxiaua and to the rate at which iodine enters the unit. For unit 1, the aodel therefore corresponds to:

$$
DER(1,L) = [00001(1)-T(1)]*[1-S(1)], \qquad (A-1)
$$

or to the equivalent fora

$$
Q0102(L)+Q0103(L) = [Q0001(1)-T(1)]*S(1).
$$
 (A-2)

In Table 1, the experiaental datua Al provides the equation:

Q0102(L)-A1*Q0103(L) « 0 . (A-3)

From Eqs. (A-2) and (A-3) we thus obtain

$$
Q0103(L) = [Q0001(L)-T(1)]*S(1)/(1+A1), \qquad (A-4)
$$

and froa Eq. (A-3) we obtain

$$
Q0102(L) = \mathbf{A}1*Q0103(L) . \tag{A-5}
$$

Equations corresponding to other units are as follows;

Unit 2:

$$
Q0209(L)+Q0216(L)-Q0402(L)*S(2)-Q0502(L)*S(2) =
$$
\n
$$
[Q0102(L)+Q1202(L)+Q1402(L)-T(2)]*S(2)
$$
\n(A-6)

and

$$
Q0209(L)+Q0216(L)*(1-DF2) = 0.
$$
 (A-7)

Unit 3:

$$
(0304(L)+00305(L)-01303(L)*S(3) = [00103(L)-T(3)]*S(3)
$$
 (A-8)

and

$$
Q0304(L) - Q0305(L) * A3 = 0
$$
 (A-9)

Doit 4:

$$
Q0402(L)+Q0409(L)-Q0304(L)*S(4) = -S(4)*T(4)
$$
 (A-10)

and

$$
Q0402(L)*(DP4-1)-Q0409(L) = 0.
$$
 (A-11)

Unit 5:

$$
-Q0305(L)*S(5)+Q0502(L)+Q0506(L)+Q0507(L) = -S(5)*T(5)
$$
 (A-12)

$$
Q0502(L)*(1-AS1)-Q0506(L)*AS1-Q0507(L)*AS1 = 0
$$
 (A-13)

and

$$
-Q0502(L)*A52+Q0506(L)*(1-A52)-Q0507(L)*A52 = 0.
$$
 (A-14)

Unit 6:

This is the solvent purification unit; the equations refer to the net accumulation of iodine. Thus, this unit i3 treated as a peraanent sink for iodine, which is lost only by radioactive decay.

Unit 7:

$$
-Q0507(L)*S(7)+Q0713(L)+Q0714(L) = -S(7)*T(7)
$$
 (A-15)

and

$$
Q0713(L)*(DF7-1)-Q0714(L) = 0.
$$
 (A-16)

Unit 8:

$$
Q0810(L)+Q0815(L)-Q1008(L)*S(8)-Q1308(L)*S(8) = -S(8)*T(8)
$$
 (A-17)

and

$$
-Q0810(L)+Q0815(L)*(DF8-1) = 0.
$$
 (A-18)

Unit 9:

$$
-Q0209(L)*S(9) - Q0409(L)*S(9) + Q0910(L) + Q0919(L) = -S(9)*T(9)
$$
 (A-19)

and

$$
-Q0910(L)*(1-A91)+Q0919(L)*A91 = 0
$$
 (A-20)

Unit 10:

$$
-Q0810(L)*S(10)-Q0910(L)*S(10)+Q1008(L)+Q1011(L) = -S(10)*T(10)
$$
 (A-21)
and
Q1008(L)*(DF10-1)-Q1011(L) = 0. (A-22)

Unit 11:

This is the MLH storage tank system. Iodine leaves this unit only if it is purged, or sparged, at a rate

$$
Q1.12(1) = R^*RN(11, L) , \qquad (A-23)
$$

where R is the sparge rate in units of day *^l* **and EN(11,L) is the inventory of component L in unit 11.**

Unit 12:

$$
Q1202(L) = [Q1112(L)-T(12)]*S(12)
$$

= [R*EN(11,L)-T(12)]*S(12). (A-24)

Unit 13:

$$
-Q0713(L)*S(13)+Q1303(L)+Q1308(L) = -S(13)*T(13)
$$
 (A-25)

and

$$
Q1303(L)*(A13-1)+Q1308(L)*A13 = 0.
$$
 (A-26)

Unit 14:

This is a permanent HLW storage system that is vented to the off-gas system. The rate of venting is considered to be operator-controlled according to

$$
Q1402(L) = HLVENT*EN(14,L), \qquad (A-27)
$$

where HLVENT is a vent rate (similar to R), in units of day'1, and EN(14,L) is the inventory of component L in unit 14.

Unit 15:

$$
Q150E(L) = [Q0815(L)-T(15)]*S(15) . \qquad (A-28)
$$

Unit 16:

$$
Q160E(L) = [Q0216(L)-T(16)]*S(16) . \qquad (A-29)
$$

Unit 17:

This is the envlronaent, which receives iodine due to the vaporization of iodine-containing excess water froa the plant. This is a peraanent sink for iodine, which i⁻ lost ouly by radioactive decay.

Unit 18:

This is the environaent, which receives iodine froa the off-gas system. This, also, is a peraanent sink for iodine, which is lost only by radioactive decay.

Unit 19:

This is a peraanent sink corresponding to any nethod of peraanent reaoval of iodine froa the system.

Equations (A-4), (A-5), (A-23), (A-24), and (A-27) can be solved for values of Q0102(L), Q0103(L), Q1202(L), and Q1402(L>. These four flow rates plus Q0001(L) are then used in the 18 by 18 matrix Eq. (29) to solve for Q0209(L), Q0216(L), Q0304(L), Q0305(L), Q0402(L), Q04G9(L), Q0502(L), Q0506(L), Q0507(L), Q0713(L), Q0714(L), Q0810(L), Q0815(L), Q0910(L), Q1008(L), QlOll(L), Q1303(L), and Q1308(L). Three of these 18 flow rates, naaely Q0815(L), Q0216(L), and Q0910(L), are then used to solve for the three remaining flows Q150E(L), Q160E(L), and 00919(L).

APPENDIX B. DEFINITIONS AND VALUES OF PARAMETERS

The values of TENMAX(K) defined in this report are not the same as those that would noraally be determined in laboratory experiments. In the latter, a constant flow of iodine in a liquid or gas stream would be used to introduce this element into some type of sorter; in a fuel reprocessing plant, this inlet flew would continually increase until the preceding unit(s) was(were) saturated with iodine. Thus, for example, the constant used for the iodine-sorter unit 2 (Fig. 1) will be influenced by the buildup of iodine in units 1, 4, 5, 12, and 14. Individual values of TENMAX(K) are described as follows.

- **TENHAX(l) the holdup-time constant of iodine in a head-end voloxidizer or graphite burner. It is presumed to be on the order of a few hours, or M).l days, in units consistent with those used in this report.**
- **TENMAX(2) * the holdup-time constant of iodine in the primary iodine** sorber in the off-gas system. If unit 2 is a $Hg(NO_3)_2$ -HNO₃ **scrubber and if this solution is continuously partially replaced, then the equivalent holdup time may be VL week.** Concentrated nitric acid of the Iodox process¹¹ or an **efficient metal-exchanged zeolite might provide holdup times significantly longer.**
- **TENMAX(3) the holdup-time constant of iodine in the unit in which U 0² , Pu0² , Th02, etc., of the nuclear fuel, are dissolved. This time is in the order of a few tenths of a day.**
- **TENMAX(4) * the holdup-time constant of iodine in any iodine-retention unit in the dissolver off-gas stream. This may be as long** as 8 days if a Hg(NO₃)₂-HNO₃ scrubber solution is used and **as short as 0.01 days if no such unit is used.**
- **TENMAX(5) the holdup-time constant of iodine in the solvent extraction system. This is probably in the order of a few tenths to 0.5 days.**

- **TBMAX(6) * the holdup-tiae constant of iodine in the solvent recovery** (repurification) system. Iodine arriving at this unit, which may be a Na₂CO₃-NaOH scrubber-washer system, is not **expected to return to the solvent extraction systea. For this reason, there is no flow froa unit 6 [see Fig. 1 and Eq. (6)], and TEMMAX(6) Is not actually used in the aodel; it** *is assigned a nonzero value,* **such as 1.IH6 days, for convenience.**
- **TEMHAX(7) * the holdup-tiae constant of iodine in a HLW aqueous waste** evaporator. This is presumed to be \sim 1 to 3 days.
- **TENKAX(8) » the holdup-tiae constant of iodine in the iodine-reaoval partial evaporator. It is considered to require a saall nuaber of days to approach steady state operation.**
- **TENMAX(9) = the holdup-tiae constant of iodine in what aay be a neutralization unit froa which iodine aay be discharged for peraanent isolation. The retention tiae will probably be only a few days.**
- **TEMMAX(IO) = the holdup-tiae constant of iodine in the MLW evaporator. If such a unit is used, the tiae constant aay be on the order of a few days.**
- **TENMAX(11)** = the holdup-time constant of iodine in the MLW storage tank. **The holdup-tiae is assumed to be very long and set equal to l.W-6 days in this study.**
- **TENMAX(12) the holdup-tiae constant of iodine in any unit through which sparge gas froa the MLW storage tank passes before reaching tte off-gas system. This time will be short (0.01 to 1 day) if no such unit is used and may range from many days to many years if, for example, a silver-exchanged zeolite is used.**
- **TENKAX(13) « the holdup-time constant of iodine in the nitric-acid recovery system. This will be on the order of a few days.**
- **TEMHAX(14) * the holdup-tiae constant of iodine in the HLW storage system (probably very long unless this systea is considered to include waste solidification). A value of 1.IH6 is used in this study.**
- **TEMHAX(IS) * the holdup-tiae constant of iodine in the iodine-reaoval ion exchange. This constant will range froa hundreds of days to aany years when such a unit is used.**
- **TIRHAX(16) = the holdup-time constant of iodine in the silver-exchanged zeolite of the off-gas systea. It will range froa hundreds of days to aany years.**

APPEmuIX C. SSCOHPOSITIOB OF MATRIX EQUATIOB (29)

The matrix Eq. (29) can be decomposed into a 9 by 9, a 4 by 4, and a 5 by 5 matrix, shown in Eqs. (C-1) to (C-3). To take advantage of this decomposition, these equations Bust be solved in the order listed since flows Q0304 and Q05O2 determined in Eq. (C-1) are used in Eq. (C-2); flow Q1308 froa Eq. (C-1) and flows Q0209 and Q0409 fro. Eq. (C-2) are used in Eq. (C-3). Several tine checks show that a computer program based on solving these three smaller matrices executes and prints ~30Z faster than **a program based on the 18 by 18 matrix of Eq. (29). However, the program listed in this appendix is based on Eq. (29).**

Samples of calculations shown in Figs. 2 to 12 pertain to an initially "new" plant; that is, one not exposed to iodine before the zero time of these plots. The computer programs are not so restricted; instead, SUBROUTINE FEP requires that initial inventories be provided as input. All input values of Initial inventories were set to zero in this example.

ORNL DWG 76-700

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$

ORNL DWG 76-701R1

 \mathbb{I}

 $(c-3)$

```
CORNOU/TAFRS/WITH, WOO, UTPP, WITCO
             \begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{STTE} & * & * & * \\
\text{F10F} & * & * & * \\
\text{F10F} & * & * & * \\
\end{array}STRIC = 26<br>CALL LIST IT (05, STOP, STI S)
              MODE STIL
             CALL THE<br>530P 1357<br>250
            2
           \mathbf{z}\ddot{\phantom{0}}BATA OUR/1.0207
             CALCULATION OF THE KENTIC FACTORS, S(I), GOES BERL, URBE<br>S(I) = FRACTION OF A UNIT'S CARACITY TO SOME LODE OF THAT HAS<br>M. PRADY BERN USED.
             00 10 2 1 = 1, 19<br>
IF (I.CT. 16) 60 70 0 2<br>
IF (SII) .EQ. 082 60 70 0 2<br>
SG) = CBE - DEIF (- ALAMA(I) + TIRE)<br>
T(I) = EL * EF(I, 2)
       \overline{\bf n}82
    102 CONTI NUE
c
\tilde{\epsilon}END CALCULATION OF S(I)
           END CALCULATION 07 S(T)<br>
10 S(P) = 1,2<br>
(QHIT(I,D) = (CONT)[1) = 1(1) = 5(1)<br>
(QHIT(I,D) = (CONT)[1) = 1(1)<br>
(QHIT) = (CONT)[1,D) = 1(1)<br>
(QHIT(I) = 11(2)[1) = 3(1)]<br>
(QHIT(I) = 11(2)[1] = 3(1)]<br>
(DN2(I) = 11(2)[1] = 3(1)
\frac{c}{c}. . . . .
     182
     152
     202
      212
```
 $\mathbf c$

しんしん

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{M} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{I} \left( \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{E} \right) \\ \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{M} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{I} \left( \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{E} \right) \\ \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{S} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{I} \left( \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{E} \right) \\ \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{S} \mathbf{S} \852
302 CONTI NOE
            mar
            PO
           SUBDUTISE TRE<br>
18741CIT 1231-9 (A-1,0-2)<br>
binks/oo care: (10)<br>
binks/oo care: (10)<br>
binks/oo car (10)<br>
binks/oo car (10),<br>
binks/oo car (10),<br>
binks/oo car (20), Bye(19,2), Eybato (250,19)<br>
binks/oo care: (250,20)<br>
binks/o
            summertyc.rep.
           1 DESCRIPTION 12. 2 DESCRIPTION (4), 1180\frac{1}{2}, 1180\frac{1}{2}, 1180\frac{1}{2}, 1180\frac{1}{2}, 1180\frac{1}{2}, 1180\frac{1}{2}, 1180\frac{1}{2}, 120\frac{1}{2}, 120\frac{1}{2}, 120\frac{1}{2}, 120\frac{1}{2}, 120\frac{1}{2}, 120\frac{1}{\overline{\mathbf{r}}EXTERNAL EQUA
             THIS PROGRAM ARALIZES THE FLOWS OF IODINE ISOTOPES IN A POCLEAR-FUEL BEPROCESSING PLANT THAT HAS A NEAD-END TREATNUM, SECN AS<br>VOLOISBATON FOR LUP AND LAFDE PUELS ON NORBY PE FOR ETGE FUELS,<br>FLUS SOLVENT EXTRACTION AND WAS 
                                                    = 1 BEFERS TO TOTAL IODINE<br>= 2 BEFERS TO I-131
              \mathbf{r}. PASE OF PLOW INTO UNIT 1
              00001 (T)
                                                     = RATE OF FLOW FROM GUIE 1 TO GUIT 2
              00102 (I)
              09103 (1)
                                                     . PATE OF FLOW FROM UNIT 1 TO UNIT 3
```
cccccc

cananan

 $\ddot{}$

 $\ddot{}$

 $\frac{c}{c}$ ccc

ė

¢

c

c ¢

c

ć

coc

c ¢ ċ

> c ċ ć

ċ

Ċ ¢

ć

さつりつりつりつりつりつりつりつりつりつり

 $\frac{c}{c}$

ć

ć

ccccccccc

connoca

 $\frac{c}{c}$

c

¢

ē

 $\bar{\nu}$

SHTT 16

 $III111$

PLOW RATZS

TIER

 \mathbf{r}

 \mathbf{a}

 $\overline{1}$

451

A52

291

 λ 13

 $b72$

ore

ori

DP8

 2110

 $1(3)$

 $P(1)$

BAFLEP

BIXT 14 - RIGE LEVEL VASTE STORAGE STSTER 52

UEET 15 = IOBIVE-BENOVAL ION EVCRANCE

. A SILVER EMBLITE SORRER WHIT.

. ISE ESTIROMEST VIA VAPORIEED VATER

DIMENSIONLESS (MORNALIZED BY DIVIDING ACTUAL FLOR
BATE BY THTE OF FLOW INTO UNIT 1)

. DAYS, A CONVERTING ESINGE THE BALF-LIFE OF T-131 IS B.05 BAYS

= Q0102(1) / Q0103(1)
= IOBINE VAPORIZED / IOBINE RERAISING IN TWEL
= IOBINE VOLOGISPIZER OF GRAPHITE DORNER, USIT 1
= 0.67 (APPROX) FOR THE VOLOIIBIZER
= 2. (APPROX) FOR THE VOLOIIBIZER

= $00304(1)$ / $00305(1)$
= IOBIUE VAPORIZED / IOBIUE REMAIBIUG IU SOLUTION
IU THE DISSOLVER

= QS582(1) / (QO502(1) + QO506(1) + O8507(1))
= PRACTION OF IODINE LEAVING THE SOLVENT EXTRACTION
SYSTEM THAT NEPORTS TO THE OFF-GAS (PITTLE AS
OBGANIC IODIDES)

= QO506(1) / (QO502(1) + QO506(1) + QO507(1))
= FRACTION OF IODINE LEAVING THE SOLVENT EITRACTION
= STSIEM THAT BEFORTS TO THE SOLVENT PORIFICATION

= 00910(1) / (00910(1) + 00919(1))
= PRACTION OF IODINE LEAVING THE IODINE-COLLECTION
= 0011 9 THAT IS RECICLED TO THE PLANT RATRER THAN

= @0209(1) + 00216(1)) / 00216(1)
= EQUIPRENT OF OP THE PEINART UNIT 2 IN THE OFF-GAS

 $\begin{array}{lll} \texttt{a} & (Q0402\{1\} & 0.0409\{1\}) & / & 00402\{1\} \\ \texttt{b} & \texttt{EQ9IPREFT} & \texttt{DP} & \texttt{THE TODLES SOBEE} & \texttt{IF THE DISSOLTEB} \\ \texttt{OPT-GAS SETEAR} & \end{array}$

* (Q0810(1) * Q0815(1)) / Q0815(1)
* mostemset by across the Iodiss-Renoval Partial
Evaporator

= {Q1008{1} + Q1011{1}} / Q1008{1}
= EQUIPRENT DV ACROSS THE RISCELLANEOUS LIQUID
= WASTE RTAPORATOR

= 01303(1) / (01303(1) + 01308(1))
= rogipassi of across int nuos azcovert system

STREAM
= 100. FOR NG (NO3) 2-MHO3 AND CADRIUM ZEOLITE
= 1000. FOR NOGOT

= $(00713(1) + 00714(1))$ / $00713(1)$
= EQBITREBT DF ACROSS THE HLW EVAPORATOR

= NOLDUP TIME IN UNIT J, DAYS

= DERIV (EN(1,1)) / DT

SEING FIXED FOR PEPHAVENT ISOLATION

= 9. (APPROX) IN MARRAL OPERATIONS
= 199. (APEROX) WHEN IODING EVOLUTION IS USED

= BLC6(2.) / 8.05 PER DAY FOR I-131

THE SECONDARY IDDINE SORDER IN THE OFF-GAS STREAM

.

= ateristorless

· DECAT CORSTART

.

ST 5728

 \blacksquare

. *. . .* .

 $- - - - - 19997 7888857815 - - - - -$

 $=$ HALP-LIPE OF $I-131$ -0.05 DATS

SECT 19

. IN TODINE FIXATION BUIT

. THE ENVIRONMENT VIA THE OFF-GAS UIIT 18

つうつつつつつつつつつつ

t

 $P(2)$ $\frac{1}{2}$
 $\frac{1}{2}$
 $\frac{1}{2}$
 $\frac{1}{2}$
 $\frac{1}{2}$
 $\frac{1}{2}$ $^{1(1)}_{1(2)}$ $\frac{1}{100}$
 $\frac{1}{100}$ T 10 CALL IBISH

19025 = 16

0R = 1.090

12 has (EI, 9001) ACCURC, DEL, 7802, BEL7(I), I = 1,8585)

12 has (EI, 9011) ESPS, TRES, 187(1, DEL7(I), I = 1,8585)

51 has (EI, 9051) Nel, 970, 187, 1978, DF1, DF1

17 (PT2 - 002) Nel, CALL IBISBL LOWER - 1
LOWER - 15(L)
00031 (1) - 002
00031 (2) - 002
00031 (2) - 002 / 021110
ARCIP - 002 / 021110 $71 = 0.0$
 $7E = E$ THe only and the state of 2

The only 2

The only 2
 $11 - 19$
 10 $11 - 19$
 11
 10
 11
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 15
 16
 17
 18
 18
 19
 151 $= 012 - 572$ $= 514 - 042$
 $= - 012$ $= 0$ $= 002 - 152$ SA(9,7) = OFE

SA(9,8) = OFE

SA(9,8) = OFE

SA(11,10) = OF7 - OFE

SA(11,10) = OF7 - OFE

SA(11,10) = OF7 - OFE

SA(11,11) = OF7 - OFE

SA(12,12) = DF1 - OFE

SA(12,12) = DF1 - OF

SA(14,15) = OF7 - OF

SA(16,16) = OF7 -ARTIO(I) = 08ATIO

PATTO(I) = 08ATIO

PATTO(I) = 08ATIO

PATTO(19) = 08ATIO

PATTO(19) = 08ATIO

201 DO 301 J = LOFES, LUPPER

TO = 11

T1 = 11 + DELT(1)

CALL ROTEL(1)

CALL ROTEL(1)

CALL ROTEL(1)

CALL ROTEL(1)

CALL R

9216 (3, 1) = 02216 (1) = 02216 (1) = 02216 (1) = 02216 (1) = 02216 (1) = 02216 (1) = 02216 (1) = 02216 (1) = 02216 (1) = 02216 (1) = 02216 (1) = 02216 (1) = 02216 (1) = 02216 (1) = 02216 (1) = 02216 (1) = 02216 (1) = 022 221 231
 291 $\begin{array}{lll} & \texttt{EPEATU} & \texttt{G} & \texttt{G} & \texttt{G} & \texttt{G} \\ \texttt{COU} & \texttt{7100} & & & \\ \texttt{BO} & \texttt{201} & \texttt{J1} & \texttt{6} & \texttt{1,30} \\ & \texttt{I} & \texttt{I} & \texttt{J}, & \texttt{J1} & \texttt{I} & \texttt{I} \\ & \texttt{CGI} & \texttt{SILIDP} & & & \\ \texttt{CGI} & \texttt{SILIDP} & & & \\ \end{array}$ 251 281 301 COUTINUE **** CALL OSTFUT(FIRINTO, Q, R, ST, SURER, SURER), TT, TTL, TERP,

CALL OSTFUT(FIRINTO, Q, R, ST, TT, LUPPER)

CALL PLOTIZ (ERRATO, Q, R, ST, TT, LUPPER)

CO TO TI

YOU FORRET (NO.9101)

9001 FORRET (NO.9101)

9001 FORRET

 $\ddot{}$

SOMETHE INSET

INFINITE REAST (1-5,0-2)

COMENS/REGORI/MARENT (15, 20), 5(19, 5(16), 7(20)

COMENS/REGORI/MARENT (15, 29), 34(16, 18), 11(16, 2), 34(17, 2)

COMENS PROCESS (15)

ORE = 1, 958

OCT 13 I = 1, 54

0 (13) I = SUBDUTIBE IN ISH. 143 CONTINUE **EXTREE THE** SHIDTIFIE OFFICINATO, 3, 4, 57, 59829, 597231, 17, 111, 1121,
1 DIMSION ENATO(256,19)
DIMSION ELOS (19)
DIMSION (125,20)
DIMSION (125,20)
DIMSION F(25,20)
DIMSION F(25,20)
DIMSION F(256,30)
DIMSION SHEER (259), 592011/59) 441 JL1 = - 49
JL2 = 0 JL2 = 0

00 461 1 = 1, IOP

9HITE (RO,9901)

9HITE (RO,9951)

9HITE (RO,9951)

JL1 = JL2 + BL1, JL2

JL2 = JL2 + BL1, JL2

00 451 e JL1, JL2

9HITE (RO,9501) 57(R), (Q(R,RT), RA = 1,10)

CONTINUE 651 461 COUTINUE JI2 = 0

DO 561 [= 1, 190

WHITE (NO,9101)

WHITE (NO,9101)

JI1 = JI1 + 50

JI2 = JI2 + ML(I)

DO 461 n = JI1, JI2

WHITE (NO,9901) ST(N, (Q(N,H), NR = 11,20)

CONTINGE

CONTINGE 081 CONTINUE

501 CONTINUE

501 CONTINUE

311 = -89

312 = 0

20 21 1 = 1, 10P

921 TE (80,910 T)

921 TE (80,9421)

511 = 314 0 51

314 = 314 15

317 = 321 CONTINUE

521 CONTINUE

521 CONTINUE **AR1** 521 CONTINUE
311 = - 49
312 = 0 $364 - 7$
DO 561 I = 1, IOP

 \mathbf{z}

9直言 (ゆ,9101)
9直言 (め,9161)
9直言 (め,9151)
J11 = J11 - 50
J12 = J22 - 31(1)
10 551 = - J1, J12
tartist (ゆ,9991) 5:(り, (?他,96, 所 = 1,10)
continue 551 J12 = 0

bo 621 = 1, IW

vert (bo,9101)

vert (bo,9101)

vert (bo,9101)

vert (bo,9101)

J11 = J11 + 5d

J12 = J12 + B11, J12

po 611 = J11, J12

vert (bo,9101) 57(1), (i(d,in), in = 21,27)

cortinut

CONTINE 411 CONTINUE

421 CONTINUE

421 CONTINUE

311 = 19

312 = 0

20 71 1 = 1, 10

VELTE (80,9301)

VELTE (80,9531)

311 THE (80,9551)

311 = 311 + 50

312 = 312 + 311, 312

212 = 312 + 311, 312

711 CONTINUE 611 711 CONTINUE

721 CONTINUE

721 CONTINUE

311
 $\alpha = 0$

312 = 0

20 76 1 = 1, IUP

92172 (80,9301)

92172 (80,9301)

92172 (80,9301)

711 = 312 + 311, 312

83 312 = 311, 312

84172 (80,9901) 51(8), (289 NTO(8,88), 83 731 CONTINUE

741 CONTINUE

741 = -09

312 = 0

10 021 T = 1, IW

981712 (80,9361)

981712 (80,9361)

981712 (80,9351)

311 = JI1 + 56

312 = 312 + 36

312 = 312 (1)

981712 (80,9391) ST(31, (TT(*n,P*H), RH = 1,10)

811 CO CONTRES

J12 = 0

D12 = 0

D12 = 0

D11 = 1, 10P

PHITE (RO, 9101)

WHITE (RO, 95601)

WHITE (RO, 9553)

J11 = J12 + 512 + 50

J20 = J12 + J11 = J11, J1

D0 031 R = J12 + J11, J1 JLA – TAI, JLA – JLI, JLZ
DO 831 N – JLI, JLZ
- BRITE (RD,9901) SI(R), (TT(",RR), SH – 11,19), SOREF(R) CONTINUE 831 $041 \frac{\text{COFTI FUT}}{311} = -0.9$
 $312 = 0$ $0.0611 - 1,107$

 \cdot

 $\ddot{}$

 \sim \sim

 \mathbf{r}

 \sim

 \hat{r}

ż,

 \mathbf{r} \mathcal{A}

viiti (10,910年)
1点11 (10,9611)
1点11 (10,9551)
Jii - Jii - Se
Jii - Jii - Se
Jii - Jii - Jii (1)
12 - Jii - Jii (1) 00 051 h = J(1, 112)

1911 (10,9901) 57(1), (??(1, 10), 101 = 20,29)

CONTINE 851 PRINT (80,999) 37(8), (IT(8, Rm), BS = 30, 30), ARATED

17) CONTINUE

17) CONTINUE

1911 (80,999) 37(8), (IT(8, Rm), BS = 30, 30), ARATED

17) (92161 (18)

1910 1921 (19)

1922 (19) (93364 (19) (93395(19) (93913(19) (93
 FRITE (80,9901) ST(8), (TT(8, RM), RM = 30, 30), ARATID 0828971 00714(1 $-21300(1)$ g0209 (2 11.82 09596 (2) 09597 (2) 09713 (2) 09714
| 09815 (2) 09910 (2) 09919 (2) 01808 (2) **QO714(2** - 0 $21011(2) 7/2 13013(2) 0.592(2) 0.1502(2) 0.1303(2) 0.1304(2) 0.1304(2) 0.1304(2) 0.1304(2) 0.1304(2) 0.1304(2) 0.1304(2) 0.1304(2) 0.1304(2) 0.1304(2) 0.1304(2) 0.1304(2) 0.1304(2) 0.1304(2) 0.1304(2) 0.1304(2) 0.1304(2)$ $\begin{array}{cccccccc} \text{1)} & \text{01402(2)} & \text{01392(2)} & \text{01392(2)} & \text{01392(2)} & \text{01392(2)} & \text{01394(2)}\\ \text{2} & \text$ 210 SUBDUTIE OUTBE(I, I, IMI, INI), LEAT)
DIRENSION D(IS), R(250), T(250)
DIRENSION I(1), T(1)
DO 106 I = 1,LRAT
2(I) = T(I)
To = 0 106 CONTINUE

206 IA = 0

216 IP = IP + 1

226 IR (IP - CL. LUAT) RETURE

226 IR (IP - CL. LUAT) RETURE

IP (IP) - 226 IA = IA + 1

D(IA) = 2(IP)

T(IA) = 2(IP)

24 IA = 1 (IP)

24 IA = 1 (IP) LET = 18

256 IF (IP - CT, LEAI) PETOPE

276 IF (IP - CT, LEAI) PETOPE

276 IF (IC(IP) -LE, TTIE - OR, R(IP) -ST, THAI) 30 TO 306

286 IA = IA = ICEP

CIA) = R(IP)

IN CIA = ICEP

IF (IR = ICEP)

IF (IR = ICEP) INT (IP .EQ. INAN) 60 TO 306

GO TO 256

306 CALL CUNTER, D. LHX, 100) GG TO 206

```
SUBBOTIFF HATD (THEITO, Q, 2, 37, TEU, LEET)<br>SUBBISDE DETOOL(250), DETOOP (250)<br>DIERVISCE DETOOL(250), DETOOP (250)<br>DIERVISCE DETOOP (250), DETAIL(5)<br>DIERVISCE DETOOP (250,19), Q(250,20), R(250,20)<br>DIERVISCE ST(255, ...
  9INBISH IT(254)<br>19INBISH HITLE(29,10)<br>19INBISH IMIS (5), IHAI (9, ISTEE(5), ISTE (5)<br>19INBISH IMIS (5), IHAI (9, ISTEE(5), ISTE (5)<br>COMMA/HITLE/AFFOR (5), ITAC<br>19IN-1 INGIL, INGILI<br>19IN-1 INGIL, INGILI<br>19IN-1 INGILI, INGIL
   (49.1)CORRESPONDS TO SECCESSIVE VALUES OF QUODI(1)
   Q(1, 2)CORRESPONDS TO SECCESSIVE VALUES OF QUIO2(1)
   0.0.3CONDISIONES TO SIECRSSTER VALUES OF ORIGINAL
   Q(D, k)CORRESPONDS TO SECONSTER FALRES OF 00204/11
   (5, 4 و
                CORRESPONDS TO SECURSIVE VALUES OF OG216(1)
   (4, لو و
                CORRESPONDS TO SECCESSTVE VALUES OF QUODA(T)
                CORRESPONDS TO SUCCESSIVE VALUES OF QO305(1)
   Q(1, 7)CORRESPONDS TO SECCESSIVE VALUES OF GOODZ(1)
   (فرانو و
                CORRESPONDS TO SECRESIVE VALUES OF OBSERVA
   0.01.91Q(3.10)CONDISIONERS TO SECCESSIVE VALUES OF 00502/11
   0.011nCORRESPONDS TO SECRESIVE VALUES OF OBSOLIA.
   91.12CORRESPONDS TO SECCESSIVE VILUES OF OGSO7(1)
                CORRESPONDS TO SECURSIVY VALUES OF OUTIFIER
   Q(1, 1)COSPESPOSES TO SECCESSIVE VALUES OF Q0710(1)
   0(1.14)Q(1.15)CORRESPONDS TO SECCESSIVE VALUES OF QUOTO(1)
                CORRESPONDS TO SECCESSIVE VALUES OF OGRASCII
   e 43.161
   0.17CORRESPONDS TO SECONSTRY VALUES OF CONTRACT
   Q(17, 18)COBRESPONDS TO SECCESSIVE VALUES OF 0091961)
   0.13.151CORRESPONDS TO SECRESIVE VALUES OF OVORAGIO
   Q(3,20)CORRESPONDS TO SECCESSIVE VALUES OF Q1011(1)
   0.022nCORRESPONDS TO SECCESSIVE VALUES OF 01112(1)
   91.22CORRESPONDS TO SECCESSIVE VALUES OF 01202(1)
                CORNERONDS TO SECONSTRE VALUES OF 01303431
   o (J.231
   G(J,24) CORRESPONDS TO SECCESSIVE TALUES OF Q1309(1)
   OLI.251 CORPESPONDS TO SECCESSIVE VALUES OF O1002(1)
   Q(1, 26)CORRESPONDS TO SECURSIVE VALUES OF Q150E(1)
   Q(J,27) CORRESPONDS TO SUCCESSIVE VALUES OF OT60E(1)
   P(J,T) ARE THE CORRESPONDENC PLOYS OF 1-131
        بالرهام والمتواطن
   IF (NTB .tg. 11897) GO TO 25<br>#TB = 1:997
   STB 11877<br>
FRA: (21,9005) ACTRAX, ACTRIR, IODRAY, IODRIB<br>
READ (21,9005) STBAX(1), BRBAX(2), DTRIR(1), DTRIR(2)<br>
READ (23,9005) IATIS(1), BAXIS(2), XHAX(1), XHAX(2)<br>
READ (23,9005) IATIS, XSTP(1), XSTP(2)<br>
PEAD (23,90
25 contribute
   CONTINUE<br>
RAB (72,9015) RCASE, STB)<br>
CALL INTORC(DCASE, STB)<br>
XSTRP(1) = (XHAX(1) – XHIM) / XAXIS(1)<br>
XSTRP(2) = (XHAX(2) – XHIM) / XAXIS(2)
```
¢ ccc

ē

 $\frac{c}{c}$ ċ

c

cc

 $\frac{c}{c}$

ċ

è

ċ

 $\frac{c}{c}$ č

ē c

ē ¢

Ċ

c
c

 $\frac{c}{c}$

¢

 $\frac{c}{c}$ $\frac{\mathsf{c}}{\mathsf{c}}$

ē

ē ć

ċ

 $\frac{c}{c}$

ċ

¢ Ċ

ć

Ċ ē ē

¢ ċ

ccc

¢

 $\frac{c}{c}$

. . .

17 (UCASE .CE. 10) J = 2
CALL BEFLAC(TETTLE(1,1), 1, 31, "L(IQUID) S(TREMIS) – C(ASE) = 3")
CALL BEFLAC(TETTLE(1,2), 1, 33, "G(ASDODER) S(TREMIS) – C(ASR) = 5 ١Ŋ, CALL BERACHITER(1, 3), 1, 46, 'L(19075 MB C(ASEMBER) S(TYRACS) - C(152 5)
CALL MELAC(THITLE(1,9), 1, 16, "C(152) 5')
CALL MELAC(THITLE(1,5), 1, 37, "TOTAL IGNISE INVENSITS - C(152) 1 \bullet T^* $\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon}$ FLOTTING IS PERFORMED BY OSE OF THE BISSINA SYSTEM OF
INFIDERATED SOFTWARE SYSTEMS COMP. C ¢ $\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{C} & \mathsf{C} \mathsf{M} \mathsf{L} \mathsf{L} \mathsf{L} \mathsf{C} \mathsf{H} \math$ **LEVEL 0.1** $LIVU. 1, 2, 3$ t/S LEVEL 1,2,3 P/S P/S 975 P/S P/S LEVEL $1, 2, 3$ P/S CALL CREDOT $60 115$
 $135 17 = ?$
 $107 = ?$
 $CAL CMD SE$ $60 \overline{30}$ 115 $ict = 4$ CILL DASE $60 70 115$
155 IV = 12
1CT = 5 CALL DOT 60 fo 115
165 IV = 14 ICT = 6
CALL PESET('BOT') 40 TO 115 CONTINUE
CALL PHYSOP(2,, 1.5)
CALL PHYSOP(2,, 1.5)
CALL TITLE(0, 0, 0, 0, 18, 1, IATIS(1), TATIS(1))
CALL TLOG (ININ, ISTEP(2), THIN, TCTCLE)
CALL RGBAIS(NHIN, ISTEP(2), INAL(2), IATIS(1),
TATIS (200, 0, 0, 0, 0)
TATIS (20

 $225 \text{ tr} = 0.000 \text{ tr}$
 $225 \text{ tr} = 20$
 $225 \text{ tr} = 9$
 CLL CUSSE $40 10 215$
 $215 17 - 21$ $\begin{array}{cc}\n\overline{111} & \overline{0} & \overline{10} \\
\overline{0} & \overline{0} & \overline{0} \\
\overline{0} & \overline{0} & \overline{0}$ - CALL BASE
255 COUPLERS
255 COUPLERS
- CALL EMBSIC)
- TLENG = INFESS ("BELATIVE TOOT BE TO BE SATESS", 100)
- TOO BASE = 1. - DATES
- TOO BASE = 1. - DATES THE CALIFORT - 4. - 0.5 + THIS

CELL MESSIC ("BELITITY IGENE NOT BETSI", 100, - 1.0, TORIG

CALI MESSIC ("BELITITY IGENE NOT BETSI", 100, - 1.0, TORIG

CALI MEST ("BELIT")

CALI MEST ("BET")

CALI MEST ("BET")

CALI MESSIC 37 3 = 27 - 1

315 3 = 27 - 1

CALL BATER (51, 9(1, 37), THAI, 1813, 182)

CALL BATER (51, 9(1, 37), THAI, 1813

25 7 - 5

27 - 5

CALL CAPPOT

335 37 = 4

CALL CAPPS

CO TO 315

36 37 = 4

CALL CAPPS

CO TO 315

36 37 = 4 $CAL L
\nCAL L
\n60 30 315
\n355 .77 = 9
\n357 = 5$ 3CT = >

CAL BOT

60 ft0 315

365 JV = 13

3CT = 6

CAL BESSET (* DOT *) 60 TO 315 : COFIFICI
CALL PHISOR(2., 1.5)
CALL PHISOR(2., 1.5)
CALL TITLE(0, 0, 0, 0, 18, 1, IAIIS(1), TAIIS(1))
CALL TLOG(ARIS, ISTP(2), THIS, TCTCLE)
CALL TCHAIS(ARIS, ISTP(2), IBAI(2), IBIIS(1),
1................................. .
CALL FRART CALL TRANT

JUT = 16

415 JS = JCT - 1

CALL OUTER (57, 0(1, JV), TRAT, TRIF, LUAT)

CALL OUTER (57, 0(1, JV), TRAT, TRIF, LUAT)

LCT = 2

CALL CRUPOT

63 JV = 17

JCT = 0

CALL CRUPOT

35 JV = 19

JCT = 0

CALL CRUPOT

C $CAL C CMB 5R
\nCAL C CMB 5R
\nG0 T0 415
\nG0 T0 415
\nG0 L0 5R1
\nG0 L0 5R1
\nG0 L0 5R1$ 60 TO 015
455 JV = 22

 \sim $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\ddot{}$

 \bullet

 \bullet

 $3C7 = 11$ CALL BOT 60 m ats $3a - 12$ CALL MESET("DOT") 50 TO 415

CALL REGET(2)

CALL REGET(2)

THES = THESS ("MELMIVE IGNISE PLOT BRESS", 100)

TORE = 4. - 0.5 * TLER:

CALL RESSAS ("MELRIVE IGNISE PLOT BRISS", 100, - 1.0, TORIC;

CALL RESSAS ("MELRIVE IGNISE PLOT BRISS", 100 **405 CONTENEE** CHI TIR I(TIRLE (1, 3, . - 100, 0, 0,
1
1 TIR I(TIRE EXTENTES PECTORSS', 100, IAIIS (2), IAIIS (2))
1 TCCLE = TAIIS (2, / ALOG NO (DPIRI (3) / TCPLE (3)
CALL HOG (NEIS, ISTP (2), REEI (2), IAIIS (2),
1 TAI 100 TAIING TIR \mathbf{r} \bullet CALL FRASE CALL PRAIS

1/5 ICT = ICT + 1

1/5 ICT = ICT + 1

CALL MASSIQ C3

CO TO (175, 75, 759, - ICT

7/5 CALL OFTER (FOT')

CALL OFTER (ST, BITOBL, BRAZ(1), BFBII(T, LUAD) 60 TC 725 745 CALL BASE CALL OUTDBE(ST, DFIORT, DIMAX(1), DFSIN(1), LUAD
60 TO 725
755 CALL NOT 755 CALL BOT

CALL GOTING (ST, DFIGDP, SWAX (1), BFRID(1), LUAX)

775 ICO = ICT

785 ICT = ICT + 1

IF (ICT - CT + 1)

ICT = ICT - 10

IS = ICT - 1 CO 20 785 **835 CALL BOT**
CALL OUTRING (ST., DEV.310, BEWAX (1), DEWING (1), LHAN) **875 CALL OOTING (31, 20)**

235 CONTINUE

CALL MESE ("BOT")

CALL MINE(TITLE (1,0), - 100, 0, 0,

CALL MINE(TITLE (1,0), - 100, 0, 0,

1

TCTCL = TATIS(2) / ALG10(ACCTME / ACTRIF)

CALL TIGG (IREE, ISTUP (2), ACTRIF, TCTC 1815 IS = 1

CALL GUTPIE(ST, ENRATO(1,IV), ACTRAI, ACTRIS, LEAD

CALL GUTPIE(ST, ENRATO(1,IV), ACTRAI, ACTRIS, LEAD

CO TO (1025, 1035, 1045, 1055, 1065, 1105), ICT

1025 IV = 11

ICT = 2 CALL CHINOT 60 to 1015
1035 IV = 14
1Ct = 3
CALL CHID 58 $60 1015$
1045 IV = 16 i cr = $\ddot{\bullet}$ CALL DASH

CALL DASH

CO TO 1015

1055 IV = 17

ICT = 5

CALL LOT

(c) TO 1315

1065 17 = 18

127 = 4

12822(19071)

(c) CALL RISE?(19071)

(c) CALL RISE?(19071)

(c) CALL TITL?(17112)

(c) CALL TITL?(17112) (1713)

(c) TITL?(2) ALL CONTER (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)

(c) CALL NG (18712 1215 IS = 1ct - 1

Call **misting**S1

Call **misting**S1

Call **corrects:**

Call **nothers:**

Call 1225, 1225, 1245, 1255, 1265, 1275, 1285, 1295, 1195,

10 1315, 1325, 1315, 1355), 1ct

1225 IV = 3

Call Cmeter

125 IV = 0

C $[1295] \begin{array}{r} \text{CAL C C T} \\ \text{Co O} \text{ TO } 1215 \\ \text{IC}^+ = 0 \\ \text{CALL} \text{ US S} \\ \text{CA O } 1215 \\ \text{LO T} \text{ O } 1215 \\ \text{IC}^+ = 5 \\ \text{IC}^+ = 7 \\ \text{IC}^- \text{ CO} \\ \text{C}^- \text{ CO} \end{array}$ CALL BOT
 $= 0 \text{ to } 1215$
 $1265 \text{ } 17 + 9$
 $157 + 6$ 107746 call complete

call complete

call on 1215

1275 17 = 11

1275 17 = 11

1241 complet

call complete

1295 17 = 15

1295 17 = 15 $1305 \text{ T} = 16$
1305 IV = 16

ICT = 10

CALL BESTI('DOT') 006685214 CALL CERFORT
1925 17 = 18
1925 17 = 18
1935 17 = 18
2011 17 = 19
1935 17 = 19
1935 17 = 19
1935 17 = 19 13.5 ICT * 13

CALL DASR

COMITSUS

CONTISUS

CONTINUE

CALL PRISCR(10458')

CALL PRISCR(2... 6.)

CALL PRISCR(2... 6.)

CALL PRISCR(2... 6.)

CALL PRISCR(2... 6.)

CALL TITLE (TITLE (1,6), 100, 0, 0, 18 , 1, IARIS(1), TAX CALL CRUDSH 1635 1645 GO TO 1665 1655 CALL DOT

 $\ddot{}$

 $\ddot{}$

 \sim $\epsilon_{\rm{av}}$

 $\ddot{}$

 $\ddot{}$

 $\ddot{}$ \mathbb{R}^2

```
1665 CML an RED (I)<br>CALL 001195 (ST, W(251, I), LOE 05, 0.1, LSAI)
\begin{array}{llll} \text{1} & \text{defBAITE} & \text{TIR} & \text{(P1TS)} & \text{S}\text{A} & \text{190} \\ \text{CALI-PlanR} & \text{10} & \text{1955} & \text{I} & \text{I} & \text{J} & \text{S} \\ \text{10} & \text{1955} & \text{I} & \text{I} & \text{J} & \text{S} \\ \text{1025} & \text{CALI} & \text{CIRIBOT} & \text{1955} & \text{1955} & \text{1955} & \text{1955} \\ \text{1026} & \text{Cte 35 call (1995)<br>
te 35 call bass<br>
te 6 te 1 bass<br>
te 6 te 1 bass<br>
call bass<br>
call bass<br>
te 5 call bass<br>
call users (2)<br>
call carrier (3)<br>
call carrier (3)<br>
Te 35 call bass (3)<br>
Te 35 call carrier (3)<br>
Te 35 call agency
```
BLANK PAGE

ORHL/BUREG-16 Dist. Category UC-4

DREKHAL DISTRIBUTIOH

 ϵ

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

 \sim \sim \sim

- 131. W. H. Levis, Nuclear Fuel Services, Inc., 6000 Executive Blvd., Rockville, MD 20652
- 132-133. J. L. Liverman, Energy Research and Development Administration, Washington, D. C. 20545
	- 134. H. Lowenberg, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D. C. 20555
	- 135. P. Loysen, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D. C.
20555 20*))*
D T 1
	- 130. P. J. Magno, U. D. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D. C. 20*)))*
D. T. Mang. 1
	- $137.$ B. O. Mann, Environmental Protection Agency, P. 0. Box 15027,
- Las Vegas, NV 0711-1 -139. J. B. Martin, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, U. C. 20777
D. D. N299.-
	- leo. D. R. Miller, Energy Research and Development Administration, Washington, D. C. 20545
	- lt-1. W. A. Mills, Environmental Protection Agency, Waterside Mall, Washington, D. C. 20460
	- 142. H. A. C. McKay, A.E.R.E., Harwell, Didcot, Berks., England
143. R. I. Newman, Allied General Nuclear Services, P. O. Box 8
	- R. I. Newman, Allied General Nuclear Services, P. O. Box 847, Barnwell, SC 29812
	- *ikk.* A. M. Piatt, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Box 999, Richland, WA 99352
	- 145. G. B. Pleat, Energy Research and Development Administration, Washington, D. C. 20545
	- lU6. R. G. Post, Department of Nuclear Engineering, University of Arizona, Tuscon, AR 85721
	- 147. A. J. Pressesky, Energy Research and Development Administration. Washington, D. C. 20545
	- 148. Research and Technical Support Division, Oak Ridge Operations 149. A. D. Riley, Allied Chemical Corporation, P. O. Box 430,
	- A. D. Riley, Allied Chemical Corporation, P. O. Box 430, Metropolis, IL 62960
	- 150. A. P. Roeh, Allied Chemical Corporation, 550 Second Street, Idaho Falls, ID 83401
	- 151. W. A. Rodger, Nuclear Safety Associates, 5101 River Rd., Bethesda, MD 20016
- 152-155. L. C. Rouse, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D. C. 20555
	- 156. W. D. Rowe, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M. Street, S. W., Washington, D. C. 20460
	- 157. J. Schacter, General Offices, Union Carbide Nuclear Division, Oak Ridge, TN 37830
	- 158. A. Schneider, Georgia Institute of Technology, School of Nuclear Engineering, Atlanta, GA 30332
	- 159. K. J. Schneider, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Box 999, Richland, WA 99352
	- 160. J. M. Selby, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Box 999, Richland, WA 99352
	- 161. J. J. Snefcik, General Atomic Company, P. 0. Box 8l60'J, San Diego, CA 92138
- **162. G. L. Simoons, Science Applications, inc., 1200 Prospect Street, La Jblla, CA 92037**
- **163. C. M. Slansky, Allied Chemical Corn., 55C Second Street, Idaho Falls, ID 83UOI**
- **16^. B. I. Spinrad, Radiation Center, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331**
- **163. H. £. Stelling, Energy Research and Development Administration, Washington, D. C. 20545**
- **166. C. E. Stevenson, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 S. Cass Ave.,** Argonne, IL 60439
- **167. M. J. Szulinski, Science Applications, Inc., Richland, HA 99352**
- **168. R. C. Vogel, Exxon Nuclear Company, Inc., Richland, WA 99352**
- **169. W. R. Voight, Energy Research and Development Administration, Washington, D. C. 205^5**
- **170. B. F. Warner, U.K.A.E.A-, Windscale and Calder Works, Sellafield, Seascale, Cumberland, England**
- **171. A. M. Weinberg, Oak Ridge Associated Universities, Oak Ridge, TN 37830**
- **172. W. Weinlander, GFK Institute f. Heisse Chemie, Postbox 36UO, D7500, Karlsruhe, West Germany**
- **173- A. K. Williams, Allied General Nuclear Services, P. 0. Box 847, Barnwell, SC 29&L2**
- **174-374.** Given distribution as shown in TID-4500 under Category UC-4