250 SAND770369 1-27-77 Unit Instee Rate U.C.

UC-70

Neutron and Gamma-Ray Sources In LWR High-Level Nuclear Waste

Stephen A. Duori



1210 IS UNLIMITED Issued by Sandia Laboratories, operated for the United States Energy Research & Development Administration by Sandia Corporation.

NOTICE

This report was prepared is an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Chergy Research & Development Administration, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their amployees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or respensibility for the accuracy, completeness of usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use wo. a not infinge privately conned rishts.

Printed in the United States of America

Available from National Technical Information Service U. S. Department of Commerce 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22181

Price: Printed Copy \$3.50 ; Microfiche \$3.00

SAND77+0369 Unlimited Release Printed June 1977

241

Distribution Category UC-70

NEUTRON AND GAMMA-RAY SOURCES IN LWR HIGH-LEVEL NUCLEAR WASTE

Stephen A. Dupree Theoretical Division, 5231 Sandia Laboratories Albuquerque, NM 87115

ABSTRACT

Predictions of the composition of high-level waste from U-fueled LWRs have beer used to calculate the neutron and garmw-ray source; in such waste al cooling times of 3 and 10 years. The results are intended for interim application to studies of waste shipping and storage pending the availability of more exact knowledge of fuel recycling and of waste concentration and solidification.

> the stroot wis probable is an adventer of work periodic bit (strand Sain Garmanne Henther for United Saint wer eine Instand Saint Imregfactsch auf Derekowere Additistissich, aus any of field methysis, son wor of the spectrum, make en instantistis, on the typipers, make en hibbit in respect Bit (addition contex), or strong bibbit in respect Bit (addition contex), or strong methysis (addition contex), addition addition second Bibbits and addition of the strong bit (addition second Bibbits) and second splits.

6.1.1.e.e.

4 5

. 6

12

j,

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

 Q_{ℓ}^{a}

3-4

CONTENTS

13

	rage
Introduction	7
Projected Composition of LWR High-Level Waste	7
Calculation of Source Strength	8
Conclusions	16
References	16

T+ BLES

Table	1	Page
1	Calculated Actinide Activities ir High-Level Waste From I MT of LWR U Fuel at 3- and 10-Year Decay Following Reprocessing	9
п	Calculated Fission Product Activities in High-Lovel Waste From 1 MT of LWR U Fuel at 3- Frai 10-Year Decay Following Reprocessing	10
ш	Calulated Fission Product Gamma-Ray Source Intensity per cm ³ of Solidified LWR Higi-Level Waste at 3- and 10-Year Decay Following Reprocessing	11
IV	Significant Contributing Fission Product Isotopes Calculated for Gamma-Ray Source Intensity in LWR High-Level Waste	12
v	Calculated Actinide Gamma-Ray Source Intensity per cm ³ of Solidified LWR High-Level Wastes at 9- and 10-Year Decay Following Reprocessing	13
VI	Calculated Neutron Source Intensity per cm ³ of Solidified LWR High- Level Waste at 3- and 10-Year Decay Following Reprocessing	14

4

 $\delta \partial \mathbf{k}$

5-6

....

3

11/2

NEUTHON AND GALIMA-RAY SOURCES IN LWR HIGH-LEVEL NUCLEAR WASTE

Introduction

Expected intensities of neutron and gamma-ray sources present in high-level nuclear waste depend on the history and composition of the particular waste. Characteristics of the reactor in which the waste was generated, the extent to which recycle chemistry is performed on the waste, the degree of concentration or solidification of the residual material, and the cooling time before and after recycling — all contribute to the radiation source intensities in a specific piece of waste material. Any attempt to generalize the neutron and gamma-ray source strengths in high-level nuclear waste must therefore constitute a compromise of some sort.

<۱

The purpose of this report is to provide an interim source definition for high-level radioactive waste (r.v., light-water reactor (LWR) fiel reprocessing. Pending the availability of more exact knowledge of fuel recycling and of waste concentration and solidification, the results should be useful to programs requiring such sources. The uncertainty in the results presented here although unknown — is certainly large, at least a factor of 2.

Projected Composition of LWR High-Level Waste

High-level wastes are defined¹ as "those aqueous wastes resulting from the operation of the first cycle solvent extraction system, or equivalent, and the concentrated wastes from aubsequent extraction cycles, or equivalent, in a facility for reprocessing irradiated reactor fuels." Thisse wastes are presumed to contain virtually all the generated fission products, several tenths of a percent of the U and Pu originally present in the spent fuel, and all other actinides generated during the irradiation cycle. Regulations call for these wastes to be solidified within a period of 5 years from the time the liquid is generated (one of the first steps in the fuel recycling) and to be shipped to a goortimet repository within 10 years from the time the liquid is generated.

The present study uses the results of an analysis by Blomeke et al.² as a basis for defining the neutron and gamma-ray sources in LWR high-level works. The source data presented here pertain only to U-fueled LWRs,² and the fuel is assumed to be reprocessed following a 150-day posturadiation decay period.

The Blomeke analysis assumed the Initiation of Pu recycle in the LWR fuels for 1979, Although this date may now appear premature, the assumption of Pu recycle at some point in the future is certainly reasonable.

· · · · · ·

Using models for the chemical treatment expected in spent-fuel recycling, including calcine and glassy product formation. Blomeke et al. predicted the volume of recycled waste, excluding cladding, to lie in the neigi borhood of 1 to 2 ft³ per metric ton (AIT) of LWR fuel charged to the reactor. Despite the uncertainty regarding these numbers, when one considers the likelihood of some dilution of the fully irradiated material with waste from partially irradiated malfunctioning fuel assemblies, equipment decontaminations, and other relatively low-intensity sources, the upperlimit volume of 2 ft³ of solidified high-level waste per metric ton is believed to be the most realistic number on wavallable.*

All tritlum and noble-gas fission products, as well as 99.9 percent of the 1 and Br fission products, are assumed to be removed from the maste during the initial reprocessing steps and, accordingly, are omitted from consideration in the source definition. The residue of U and Pu in the final solidified waste is assumed to be 0.5 percent of that initially present in the spent fuel, No clacking or structural material is assumed to be present in the end-product solidified waste.

The LWR fuel is assumed to be enriched to 3,34 percent in ²³⁵U. The power level is assumed to be 30 MW/MT, with a total burnup of 33 MWd/kg of heavy metal. The average neutron flux seen by the fuel during the irradiation period was 2.92×10^{13} m/cm².s. The actinide and the fission product compositions of waste from 1 MT of LWR fuel¹ derived from thus e assumptions are shown in Tables 1 and II.

It should be pointed out that some familiar isotopes are omitted from these tables b cause they do not contribute significantly to the radiation source in the waste material in the time frame of interest here.

Calculation of Source Strength

Given the isotopic composition of the waste material of interest, calculation of the gammaray source strength is straightforward insofar as the nuclear energy levels and cascade schemes of the pertinent nuclei are known. Determination of the neutron source strength from spontaneous fission is likewise straightforward; however, certain assumptions must be made to oblain as estimate of the neutron source from charged-particle reactions.

For present purposes the gamma emission rates for the various isopopes of Tables I and II were taken from the ORIGEN library.⁴ This library was as rembled by the Oak Ridge Mational Laboratory as a data base for the ORIGEN code. The isotope decay schemes in the library are taken from Lederor et al.⁵ Bremsstrahlung is included by assuming UO₀ as the matrix in which β -decay occurs.

In an u,date to Reference I, Kee et al.³ revised the estimate for the volume of waste from 1 MT of fuel charged to the reactor to 1 to 3 ft², with the upper limit as the recommended value. This, along with revisions in their actinide inventory, indicates that the present neutron results are conservative by approximately a factor of 2. whereas the present gemma-ray results are conservative by approximately 50 percent (both on a per cm³ basis).

	Activity (Ci)	
Isotope	3-Year Decay	10-Year Decay
²³⁴ Th	1.57-3 ^b	1.57-3
²³³ Pa	3.40-1	41-1
234m Pa	1,57-3	1.57-3
²³⁴ U	4,45-3	6.32-3
236 U	1.44-3	1.44-3
237 _U	1.07-2	7.69-3
238 _U	1,57-3	1.57-3
²³⁷ Np	3.40-1	3.41-1
²³⁹ Np	1,82+1	1.82+1
233 _{Pu}	9.69+1	9,29+1
239 _{Pu}	1.62	1.62
²⁴⁰ Pu	3.08	4.33
²⁴¹ Pu	4.46+2	3.20+2
²⁴² Pu	6.91-3	6.93-3
²⁴¹ Am	1.60+2	1.63+2
24.3m	9.02	8.74
²⁴² Am	9,02	8.74
²⁴³ Am	1.82+1	1.82+1
²⁴² Cin	1.68+2	7.17
²⁴³ Cm	3.44	2.96
²⁴⁴ Cm	2,14+3	1.64+3
245 _{Cm}	3.41 .	3.41-1
²⁴⁶ Cm	6,83-2	6.83-2

Calculated Actinide Activities in High-Level Waste From 1 MT of LWR U Fuel at 3- and 10-Year Decay Following Reprocessing²⁰

TABLE I

^RReprocessing is assumed to take place 150 days after completion of the fuel irradiation period.

^bRead 1.57-3, for example, as 1.57 x 10⁻³.

Sec.

÷ 1 1

ŝ

ŝ

9

an ann a' ann a' an

and the second

TABLE II

	Activity (Ci)	
Isotope	3-Year Decay	10-Year Preay
⁷⁹ Se	3.98-1	3.98-1
90 _{S1}	7.13+4	6,00-1
⁹⁰ Y	7.13+4	6.00+4
⁹³ Zr	1.89	1.89
^{93m} Nb	4,25-1	8.63-1
⁹⁹ Te	1.43-1	1. +3+ 1
¹⁰⁶ Ru	5,08+4	4.05+2
105 _{Rh}	5.08+4	4.06+2
107 _{Pd}	1.10-1	1.10-1
110m Ag	1.18+2	1.07-1
110 Ag	1,53+1	1.39-2
113m Cd	8,86	6.26
125 _{Sb}	3 70+3	5,06+2
125m	1.51+3	2, 51+2
126 _{Sn}	5.46-1	5.46-1
126m sh	5.46-1	5. 46-1
126 _{5b}	5.41-1	5. 41-1
134	7.70+4	7. 22+3
135	2,86-1	2,86-1
137	0 96-4	9 4714
137m n_	0.9114	7 7244
144	5, 514	1 01+2
144	5 10-4	1 01-2
147	J. 1944	6 90.4
151	1 22.1	1 1543
152	1.62+3	1.17+3
154_	1.03+1	0.50
ец 155.,	6.U2+3	4.45+3
Eu	2.01+3	1.31+2

Calculated Fission Product Activities in High-Level Waste From 1 MT of LWK U Fuel at 3- and 10-Year Decay Following Reprocessing^a

^aReprocessing is assumed to take place 150 days after completion of the fuel irradiation period,

ы.,

귀

It cause the matrix of the waste material is expected to be borosilicate glass or other low-2 material, this assumption produces a conservative over stimate of the gamma-ray source strength at energies below "oppoximately 1 MeV. The gamma source library also includes photon emission from the ${}^{18}O(\alpha,m^{21})$'s reaction, which is a significant source of neutrons in some types of spent fuel.

The total fishion product gamma-ray source calculated for high-level LWR waste at 3 and 10 years after reprocessing is given in Table [I]. The source is normalized to 1 cm³ of solidified waste, with an assumption of 2 ft³ of waste per metric ton of fuel charged to the reactor. The major contributors to the source in each energy group in the time frame of interest are shown in Table IV. It is apparent that a preporterance of the gamma product of solution for the isotopes important to gamma production in high-level waste as a function of time. see Figure 8 of Conen.³

TABLE III

Calculated Pission Product Gamma-Ray Source Intensity per cm³ of Solidified LWR High-Level Waste at 3- and 10-Year Decay Following Reprocessing⁸

Energy Group b	Upper Energy Bound (MeV)	Group Average Energy (MeV)	Photons/cm ³ - s E	mitted in Group
			3-Year Decay	10-Year Decay
5	3.5	3.25	1, 4+ 5	1.1.3
G	3.0	2.75	4.4-6	3.6-4
7	2.6	2.38	5.6-7	4.5.5
8	2.2	1.99	3,6+8	1.7-8
9	1.8	1.55	1.9+9	1.6-8
10	1.35	1.10	6.5+9	2.3+9
11	0,9	0.63	2.0411	6. B+ 10
12	0.4	0,30	1.5-10	3.049
	0.2			

a Reprocessing is assumed to take place 150 days after completion of the fuel irradiation period.

^bFission product gamma source in Groups 1-4 is zero (see Table V for energy structure).

*Cohen apparently used the same assumptions as Blomeke et al,

Τi	U	31	ъE	ľ	v.

Significant Contributing Fission Product Isotopes Calculated for Gamma-Ray Source Intensity in LWR Righ-Level Waste^a

Energy Group ^b	Upper Eaergy Bound (MeV)	Isotope	Photons cm. ³ s Emitted in Group	
			3-Year Decay	IC-Year Deca
5	3.5	106 _{Eh}	1,4+5	1.1.3
6	3.0	106 Rh	4.4+6	3.6+4
7	2.6	106 _{Rb}	5,6-7	4.5+5
8	2.2	144 Pr	2.8+8	5, 5+ 5
		106 RJ	7.6+7	6, 1+ 5
		90 Y	6.3.5	5,3+5
9	1.8	¹³⁴ Cs	1.5-9	1.4-8
		106 Rh	2.5+1	2. 0.6
		144 _{Pr}	1.2-8	2.4+5
		90 Y	2. t · 7	1.8+7
10	1.35	154 Eu	2,8+9	2.1+9
		¹⁰⁶ ян	1,7+9	1.4+7
		¹³⁴ Ca	1.6+9	1,5+8
11	0,9	134 LS	1.2+11	1.1.10
		137m Ba	6.4+10	5.4+10
12	0.4	106 _{Rh}	5.1+9	4.147
		144 _{Ce}	3.4+9	6.6-6
		¹⁴⁴ Pr	2.6+9	5. u ≁6
		⁹⁰ Y	2.3-9	1.7 9
		¹³⁷ C6	6.6+8	5,6→8

^aReprocessing is assumed to take place 150 days after completion of the fuel irradiation period.

^bFission product gamma source in Groups 1-5 is zero.

Although the fission products contribute almost all of the gamma source in LWP high-level waste, the actinides are the source of some gamma radiation due to spantaneous fission and other minor reactions. The gamma-ray sources from the actinides, including daughter products, are given in Table V. Except for the fact that the actinides contribute the only gamma rays present above 3.5 MeV (Groups 1-4), their contribution to the total gamma-ray source is negligible and Table III may be considered to be the total gamma source. The actinide gamma source is shown here only for completeness.

TABLE V

Energy Group	Upper Erarity Bound (MeV)	Group Average Energy (MeV)	Photons cm ³ s Emittea in Group	
			?-Year Decay	10-Year Decay
1	5.5	5,25	2,2 · 1	1,7-1
2	5.0	4.70	3.5-1	2.7.1
3	4,5	4.22	7.4.1	5.6 - 1
ł	4.0	3.70	1.2.2	8,9-1
5	3.5	3.25	1.8.2	1.4-2
6	3.0	2,75	2.9-2	2.2 • 2
7	2.6	2.38	C.3-2	4.8-2
8	2.2	1.99	1.3-3	9.6-2
ę	1.8	1.55	2.4-3	1.8.3
10	1,35	1.17	2,4.6	2.4-6
13	0.0	0.63	9.6+6	9.6-6
12	0.1 0.25 ¹⁷	0.32	2.0-6	2.0-6

Calculated Actimide Garuna-ray Source Intensity per cm³ of Solidified LWR fligh-Level Wastes at 3- and 10-Year Decay Following Reprocessing²

² Reprocessing is assumed to take place 150 days after completion of the fuel irradiation period.

 b Note that the lower Lound of group 12 differs (rota that of Tables III and IV. Omitted from this table are 7.6 x 10⁷ photons/cm³ s emitted by the actinides at energies less than 250 keV.

Neutron production by the actinides predicted for LWR high-level waste at 3 and 10 years after reprocessing is shown in Table VI. Contributions of the U and Np isotopes and of 235 Cm, 246 Cm, and 239 Pu to the total neutron production rate are insignificant in this time frame and therefore have been onsited from Table VI. Contributions due to spontaneous fission and (a, n) rearting are shown separately. The number of prompt neutrons emitted per spontaneous fission were taken from Devillers and Blum, ⁷ The (a, n) reaction rate, taken from Bell, ⁴ assumes the presence of an oxide matrix for μ . 16 O(μ , 12 N reaction in which half of the slowing down experienced by the alpha particles is due to the corgen. For a more extensive presentation of the isotopes contributing to the neutron source as a function of time, see Figure 11 of Cehen.⁹

TABLE VI

Isotope	Spontaneous Fission Neutron Production Rate (neutrons/ cm ³ .s		$18_{O(\alpha, n)}^{21}$ N Neutron Production Rate (neutrons/ cm ³ ·s)	
	3-Year Decay	10-Year Decay	3-Year Decay	10-Year Decay
238 Pi	2.5-1	2.4-1	1.6	1.5
240 Pu	2.1-1	3.0-1	4.1-2	5.7-2
²⁴¹ Pu	a	0	4.8	3.4
²⁴¹ Am	Ø	υ	2.6	2.6
^{242m} Am	0	D	1.2-1	1.2-1
²⁴² Am	0	0	1.2-1	1.2-1
243 _{Am}	0	0	2.6-1	2.6-1
²⁴² Cm	1.7+1	7.4-1	3.B	1.6-1
²⁴³ Cm	c	0	6.7-3	5.8-2
²⁴⁴ Cm	5.1+3	3.9+3	4.2+1	3.2+1
	5.1+3	8.9+3	5.5+1	4.0+1

Calculated Neutron Source Intensity per cm³ of Solidified LWR High-Level Waste at 3- and 10-Year Decay Following Reprocessing^a

Total neutron production rate (neutrons/cm³.s)

3-year decay	5.2+3
10-year decay	3.9+3

^aReprocessing is assumed to take place 150 days after completion of the fuel irradiation period.

The energy spectrum of the neutrons from spontaneous fission is reasonably well known and, for practical purposes, can be assumed to be equal to a ²³⁵U prompt fission neutron spectrum (presented in numerous references). The neutrons produced by the (σ , n) reactions are somewhat harder than an average prompt fission neutron. Their energies generally lie in the range of approximately 2 to 4 MeV; in the present case, however, because the (σ , n) neutrons constitute only a small fraction of the total neutron source, it is safe to assume that all neutrons have a prompt fission neutron energy spectrum. From Table VI it is clear that ²⁴²Cm may be expected to be the dominant spure of neutrons in LWR high-level waste at the cooking times of istresst.

Figures 8 and 11 of Reference 6 show the total gamma-ray power emitted and the neutrons/s emitted from all high-level nuclear waste generated in 400 GWe-years of operation of LWRs. In a fully developed nuclear power economy this amount of power is expected to be generated each year by nuclear reactors.

Current plans indicate that the high-level waste will be placed in metal canisters for transport to a permanent disposal site. Cohen's waste storage canister constitutes approximately 7.35 (t^3) , or about 2.2 × 10⁵ cm³, of solid/iled waste. Figure 11 of Reference 6 shows the neutron emission rate for each of these canisters. The 400 GWe-years of reactor operation is expected to generate approximately 4000 such canisters. The 400 GWe-years of reactor, operation is expected directly with these of Cohen. Upon converting the gamma source of Table III to watts and scaling to 40° canisters, one obtains 1.3×10^7 watts of gamma power at 3 years and 5.6 x 10^5 watts of gamma power at 10 years. Likewise, converting the neutron source of Table VI to neutrons per 7.85 t_1^3 canister gives 1.2 x 10^9 noutrons/s at 3 years and 8.7 x 10^8 neutrons/s at 10 years. All these neutron scales for the set of years. All

Conclusions

The LWR high-level waste radiation sources presented here are based on preliminary predictions of future light-water-reactor U fuel reprocessing. As such, they are subject to large uncertainties and should be treated as estimates only. Nevertheless, it is hoped that they will be of use to the fuel-cycle community in studies of waste transport and storage.

Only results from 3- and 10-year cooling time following reprocessing are presented. Because many different isotopes with widely divergent half-lives are present in the waste, it it improper to attempt to extrapolate to later times on the basis of the data presented here. Those interested in considering longer cooling times should see Figures 8 and 11 of Reference 6, which provide data out to 10⁸ years after reprocessing. Gamma spectral changes should be significant over this period, with the average gamma energy decreasing markedly, whereas changes in the neutron energy spectrum should be small. However, the uncertainty in the total source strengths will increase with cooling time because, with time, the sources will depend increasingly on the precise mixture of the transuranic isotopes generated during the fuel-irradiation period and will depend increasingly on the fission product mix. The former is known with significantly less accuracy than the later.

REFERENCES

- "Siting of Fuel Reprocessing Plants and Related Waste Management Facilities," Federal Register, 35, No. 222, 17530 (November 14, 1970).
- J. O. Blomeke, C. W. Kee, and J. P. Nichols, <u>Projections of Radioactive Wastes</u> To Be Generated by the U. S. Naclear Power Livustry, ORNL-TM-3965, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN (February 1874).
- C. W. Kee, A. G. Croff, and J. O. Blomeke, <u>Updated Predictions of Radioactive</u> Wastes To Be Generated by the U. S. Nuclear Power Industry, ORNL/Thi-5427, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN (December 1976).
- M. J. Bell, <u>ORIGEN The ORNL Isotope Generation and Deuletion Code</u>, ORNL -4928, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN (May 1973). The ORIGEN library is distributed along with the code as a code pickage CCC-217 by the Radiation Shielding Information Center, Oak Ridge, TN.
- C. M. Lederer, J. M. Hollander, and S. Porlman, <u>Table of Isotopes</u> (J. Wiley and Sons, New York, 1967).
- Bernard L. Cohen, "High-Level Radioactive Waste from Light-Water Reactors," Rev Mod Phys, <u>49</u>, 1 (1977).
- C. Devillers and P. Blum, "Neutron Multiplication and Shielding Problems in PWR Spent-Fuel Shipping," Reprint of paper presented at ANS/ENS International Conference, Washington, D. C., November 14-19, 1976. Abstr. tt published in <u>Trans Am</u> <u>Nucl Soc.</u> 54, 401 (1976).