FR-1803458

LYCEN/7838 Juin 1978

A new approach of the vector analysis

and Konstrationage

おおおおお かっかい かいかい かいしん かいしょう かいしょう かいかい アイ・シート アイ・シート

E. Elbaz and J. Meyer Institut de Physique Nucléaire and IN 2 P 3 Université Claude Bernard Lyon-I 43, Bd du 11 Novembre 1918, 69621 Villeurbanne, France

The Graphical Spin Algebra has been shown to be applicable in a cartesian coordinate system without major modification. Then the (G.S.A.) allows a new and very easy approach of the usual vector analysis. Some examples of application are given.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Graphical Spin Algebra (G. S. A.) (Elbaz and Castel 1972) is now well-known as a powerful tool to handle the Racah algebra of the SU_2 group. Different extension have been given for the SU_3 group or even for all compact groups (Agrawala and Belinfante 1968, Guichon 1975, Stedman 1975, 1976). The (G. S. A.) lies on a one-to-one diagrammatic representation of the elements of the group and on some fundamental rules of transformation based on the well-known rotational invariance orthogonality and completeness relations. For the purpose of this paper only some basic aspects of the (G. S. A.) have to be known (Elbaz and Castel 1971).

Recently we have shown (Elbaz and Nahabetian 1977) that one could define a graphical representation of the vectors or vector operators in a spherical coordinate system and use the (G.S.A.) to get very interesting results with the Wigner-Eckart theorem for instance. We have then been interested in writing directly a tensor in a spherical or in a cartesian basis (Elbaz and Meyer 1978) and shown that the vector and tensor polarization observables could be obtained

without any difficulty and in a systematic way. But appeared then an interesting feature. When a graphical representation of the metric tensor (Coope and Snider 1970) $E^{j}(r|s)$ was given one could use the (G. S. A.) without important alteration in a spherical coordinate system as usual or even in a cartesian system.

The choice of a proper convention to link cartesian and spherical systems was then important and it appeared that the use of the Biedenharn-Rose convention allowed and identical graphical representation of the scalar and dot products of two vector operators. Moreover since the "3nj" coefficients are scalars, independent of the coordinate system one could use the (G.S.A.) without specifying the reference frame and defining it only at convenience.

Such a result was sufficiently important to reconsider the graphical representation of the vector operators. It appeared effectively that the usual graphical rules of the (G. S. A.) and the knowledge of two special cartesian Clebsch-Gordan coefficients gave immediately all the usual results known as the vector analysis and allowed the obtention of a lot of new relations in that field. In a didactic point of view the method allowed to get easily some well-known results tedious Constrained Branch Constrained States and a second system of the constrained system of the constrained system

to establish and difficult to remember.

git alleger a Miste

In the first part of this paper we shall recall the relation between cartesian and spherical coordinates and the graphical representation of the corresponding vectors (or vector operators). Then we shall introduce the cartesian Clebsch-Gordan coefficients and evaluate two simple cases. It will define the proper convention as to obtain identical representation of the scalar and dot products in cartesian or spherical coordinates. We shall then show how to use these results in the vector analysis.

2. STANDARDIZATION OF VECTOR OPERATORS

An infinitesimal rotation α around an Ou axis transforms the \vec{a} unitary vector of a cartesian coordinate system into \vec{a}' with

$$\vec{a}' = \vec{a} + \alpha \vec{u} \wedge \vec{a}$$
 (2.1)

A vector operator A thus becomes

$$A_{a'} = \vec{A} \cdot \vec{a}' = \vec{A} \cdot (\vec{a} + \sigma \vec{u} \wedge \vec{a}) = \vec{A} + \sigma \vec{A} \cdot (\vec{u} \wedge \vec{a}) \quad (2.2)$$

As an operator A transforms into

$$A_{a'} = D_{\alpha}^{+} A_{a} D_{\alpha} = (i + i\alpha J_{u}) A_{a} (1 - i\alpha J_{u})$$
(2.3)

a comparison between (2, 2) and (2, 3) gives the commutator

$$\begin{bmatrix} J_{u}, A_{a} \end{bmatrix} = i \overrightarrow{A} \cdot (\overrightarrow{u} \wedge \overrightarrow{a})$$
 (2.4)

If the \vec{u} unitary vector is chosen as \vec{e}_3 unitary vector along the Oz axis in a cartesian coordinate system and \vec{a} along the Ox, Oy, Oz axis, one finds immediately that

$$\begin{bmatrix} J_{z}^{A} A_{x} \end{bmatrix} = i A_{y}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} J_{z}^{A} A_{y} \end{bmatrix} = -i A_{x}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} J_{z} A_{z} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$(2.5)$$

We note on the other hand that

$$\vec{u} = \vec{e}_1 \vec{e}_2 \vec{e}_3$$

$$\vec{a} = \vec{e}_1 \vec{e}_2 \vec{e}_3$$
 (2.6)
$$\vec{A} = \vec{J}$$

leads to the usual commutation relations

$$\vec{J} \wedge \vec{J} = i \vec{J}$$
 (2.7)

Let us now consider the transformation by rotation of an irreducible tensor operator $(I. T. O.) T_{kn}$

$$\Gamma'_{kq} = R T_{kq} R^{+} = \sum_{p} T_{kp} D^{k}_{pq}(R)$$
 (2.8)

An infinitesimal rotation α around the Ou axis gives the Racah's definition

$$\begin{bmatrix} J_{u}, T_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{p} \langle k\rho | J_{u} | kq \rangle T_{kp}$$
(2.9)

Such an expression can then be evaluated by setting the Ou axis along the cartesian axis and we get the well-known relations

$$\begin{bmatrix} J_z, T_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = q T_{kq}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} J_{\pm}, T_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = T_{kq\pm 1} \begin{bmatrix} (k^{\pm}q+1) (k^{\mp}q) \end{bmatrix}^{1/2}$$
(2.10)

with $J \neq J = J_x \neq i J_y$.

If we now compare the commutators

$$[J_z, A_z] = 0$$
 and $[J_z, T_{10}] = 0$

it becomes natural to set a linear relation between them

$$T_{10} = c A_z$$
 (2.11)

Substituting this value into (2.10) gives

$$c[J_{\pm}, A_{z}] = \sqrt{2} T_{1\pm 1}$$

or equivalently

$$\mathbf{T}_{1\pm 1} = \frac{\mathbf{c}}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \left[\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{z}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{i} \left[\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{y}}, \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{z}} \right] \right\}$$
(2.12)

The above commutators are easily determined with (2, 4)

$$\begin{bmatrix} J_x, A_z \end{bmatrix} = -iA_y$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} J_y, A_z \end{bmatrix} = iA_x$$
(2.13)

٢

We now obtain a linear relation between the cartesian $(A_x A_y A_z)$ components of the \vec{A} vector operator and the T_{lq} components of an I. T. O. . One defines the standard components $A_{l\mu}$ of the \vec{A} vector operator

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} \\ A_{10} \\ A_{1-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{c}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{ic}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \\ \frac{c}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{ic}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_{x} \\ A_{y} \\ A_{z} \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.14)

Note that two conventions are usually chosen to determine the $\, c \,$ coefficients

Edmonds (1967) c = + 1 (2.15) Biedenharn and Rose (1953) c = + i

We can finally note that the standardization of the kinetic momentum J allows an easy graphical expression of Racah's definition of the ITO since the Wigner-Eckart theorem applied to the $J_{l\mu}$ matrix element gives

$$\langle kp | J_{l\mu} | kq \rangle = - kq$$

$$(2.16)$$

where the marking circle brings the $< k \parallel J \parallel k >$ value,

We introduce (2.16) into (2.9) to get after summation over the magnetic quantum numbers

$$\begin{bmatrix} J_{\mu}, T_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{\mu} & u \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{\mu} & u \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & u \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq} \\ t_{kq} & t_{kq} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} t_{kq} & t_{kq}$$

and since

$$< k \parallel J \parallel k > = c \sqrt{k(k+1)(2k+1)}$$
 (2.18)

$$[J_{\mu}, T_{kq}] = T_{kq^{\frac{1}{2}}\mu} c \sqrt{k(k+1)(2k+1)} \begin{pmatrix} q+\mu & l & k \\ k & \mu & q \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.19)

a result already mentioned by Brink and Satchler(1971).

3. DIAGRAMMATIC REPRESENTATION OF VECTOR OPERATORS

3. 1 Spherical (standard) coordinates.

Using the (G. S. A.) diagrams (Elbaz and Nahabetian 1977), we define a spherical, or standard basis l_{μ}

$$||\mu\rangle \approx \mu_{\mu} \qquad (3.1)$$

which verifies completness and orthonormalization relations

$$\mathbf{\Sigma} \left| \mathbf{\mu} \right\rangle < \mathbf{\mu} \left| = \mathbf{\mu} \right\rangle^{\prime} = 1 \qquad (3.2)$$

$$< 1\mu \downarrow 1\mu' > = -\frac{1\mu}{2} \frac{1\mu'}{2} = \frac{1\mu}{2} \frac{1\mu'}{2} = \frac{1\mu}{2} \frac{1\mu'}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{$$

In such a basis a vector operator A will be defined by its components [18]

$$A_{1\mu} = \langle \hat{A} | 1_{\mu} \rangle = - \stackrel{\hat{A}}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{T_{\mu}}{\longrightarrow} = \hat{A} \stackrel{T_{\mu}}{\longrightarrow} \qquad (3.4)$$

$$A_{1\mu}^{+} = \langle l_{\mu} | \hat{A} \rangle = - - \hat{A} - \frac{I_{\mu}}{44} = \hat{A} + \frac{I_{\mu}}{44}$$
(3.5)

The scalar product is introduced through

The standardization (2, 4) finally gives

$$\langle \hat{A} | \hat{B} \rangle = -c^2 \vec{A} \cdot \vec{B}$$
 (3.8)

As previously mentioned Edmond's convention gives

$$\langle \hat{A} | \hat{B} \rangle = - \vec{A} \cdot \vec{B}$$
 (3.9)

while the Biedenharn's convention leads to

$$\langle \hat{A} | \hat{B} \rangle = \vec{A}, \vec{B}$$
 (3.10)

3.2 Cartesian coordinates.

One introduces the cartesian vector basis $\overline{\vec{e}}_r$ and for the sake of simplicity we set

$$|\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}}\rangle = |\mathbf{1}\mathbf{r}\rangle = \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}} \equiv \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}} \qquad (3.11)$$

with r = 1, 2, 3 = x, y, z. They form a complete orthonormal basis

$$\sum_{\mathbf{r}} |\mathbf{1}\mathbf{r} > < \mathbf{1}\mathbf{r}| = |\mathbf{1}| = 1$$

$$(3.12)$$

$$< |\mathbf{1}\mathbf{r}| = = \frac{\mathbf{1}\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{1}\mathbf{r}} = \delta_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{s}}$$

At this stage one must point out that the above relations are particular cases of the description of tensors in cartesian coordinates.

 $\binom{j_1}{m_1}$ defines a tensor of rank m_1 (3 components) and of order $j_1(\binom{2}{2}j_1 + 1)$ independent components), a Cartesian Clebsch-Gordan coefficient defines the decomposition of two irreducible spaces H^{j_1} and H^{j_2} into a sum of subspace H^{j_3} (Coope and Snider 1970)

$$(A^{j_1}(m_1) \bullet B^{j_2}(m_2))_{m_3}^{j_3p} = \sum_{m_1m_2} A^{j_1}(m_1) B^{j_2}(..._2) < j_1m_1 j_2m_2 | j_3m_3|_p$$

(3.13)

 $m_1 + m_2 = 3$ is the dimension of the product space, and p is the multiplicity.

One then define the metric tensor

$$\stackrel{t}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{j_{3}}{\longrightarrow} = \stackrel{j_{3}}{E}(t \mid t') \qquad (3.14)$$

and the more general completeness relation becomes



Since $E^{1}(r|s) = \delta_{rs}$ we find the above mentioned relation.

7.

The cartesian components of vector operators are then

with r = x, y, z = 1, 2, 3.

The scalar product is now

$$\langle \hat{A} | \hat{B} \rangle = \sum_{i} \langle \hat{A} | 1i \rangle \langle 1i | \hat{B} \rangle = \hat{A} \longrightarrow_{i} \hat{B} = \sum_{i} A_{i} B_{i}^{+}$$

when dealing with hermitian operators $B_i^{\dagger} = B_i$ and

$$\langle \hat{A} | \hat{B} \rangle = \hat{A}, \hat{B}$$
 (3.18)

4. CARTESIAN SPHERICAL TRANSFORMATION COEFFICIENTS

We can express a spherical component A of the \vec{A} vector operator in a cartesian coordinate system

$$A_{\mu} = \langle \widehat{A} | 1_{\mu} \rangle = \sum_{i} \langle \widehat{A} | e_{i} \rangle \langle e_{i} | 1_{\mu} \rangle$$
$$A_{\mu} = \sum_{i} A_{i} \langle e_{i} | 1_{\mu} \rangle \qquad (4.1)$$

Graphically it follows that

$$\langle e_i | 1 \mu \rangle = \frac{q_i}{2} \frac{1}{2}$$
 (4.2)

It defines the matrix element of the U- transformation matrix

$$A_{\mu} = U_{\mu}^{i} A_{i} = \sum_{i} \langle e_{i} | \mu \rangle A_{i}$$
(4.3)

$$U_{\mu}^{i} = \begin{pmatrix} <\mathbf{e}_{1} \mid 1 > <\mathbf{e}_{2} \mid 1 > <\mathbf{e}_{3} \mid 1 > \\ <\mathbf{e}_{1} \mid 0 > <\mathbf{e}_{2} \mid 0 > <\mathbf{e}_{3} \mid 0 > \\ <\mathbf{e}_{1} \mid -1 > <\mathbf{e}_{2} \mid -1 > <\mathbf{e}_{3} \mid -1 > \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{c}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{ic}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \\ \frac{c}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{ic}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(4.4)

and

$$A_{i} = U_{i}^{\mu} A_{\mu} = \sum_{\mu} <_{\mu} |e_{i} > A_{\mu}$$

$$(4.5)$$

with

This transformation matrix is unitary and one can easily verify that

$$\sum_{i} < l_{\mu} \cdot \{e_{i} > < e_{i}\} \ l_{\mu} > = U_{i}^{\mu} \cdot U_{\mu}^{i} = -\frac{\mu}{4} - \frac{e}{4} - \frac{\mu}{4} = S_{\mu\mu}^{1} \ (4.7)$$

$$\sum_{i} < e_{i}\} \ \mu > < \mu \ |e_{j} > = U_{\mu}^{i} \ U_{j}^{\mu} = -\frac{e_{i}}{4} - \frac{e}{4} - \frac{e}{$$

An operator K^2 transforms the variance of the matrix element (Stone 1976)

$$K^{2} < 1_{\mu} | e_{i} > = (-)^{1-\mu} < e_{i} | 1-\mu >$$

$$K^{2} \xrightarrow{\mu} e_{i} = \frac{e_{i}}{\mu} \frac{1}{\mu} \qquad (4.9)$$

and one can verify that in any case $K^2 c^* = -c$ (4.10)

The Edmond's convention gives $K^2 = -1$ while the other gives $K^2 = +1$. Here again it seems more natural to give up the Edmond's convention and use c = i.

5. TENSOR PRODUCT IN SPHERICAL COORDINATES

The A_{μ} considered as ITO of rank 1 allows the determination of the tensorial product $\left(A_{\mu} \times B_{\nu}\right)_{kq}$ and graphically it immediately follows that



The tensorial product of zero rank is related to the scalar product since

$$(A_{\mu} \times B_{\nu})_{00} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{1}{16} - \frac{1}{16} - \frac{1}{16}$$
 (5.2)

$$= \frac{\kappa^2}{\sqrt{3}} \stackrel{\widehat{A}}{\longrightarrow} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \stackrel{\widehat{B}}{\longrightarrow} = \frac{\kappa^2}{\sqrt{3}} (-e^2 \vec{A} \cdot \vec{B})$$
(5.3)

and the rank one to the cross-product

$$(A_{\mu} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{B}_{\nu})_{ll} = \frac{\mathbf{i} \mathbf{c}}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\vec{A} \wedge \vec{B} \right)_{ll} = \frac{\mathbf{i} \mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{i}} \left(\vec{A} \wedge \vec{B} \right)_{ll} = \frac{\mathbf{i} \mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{i}} \left(\vec{A} \wedge \vec{B} \right)_{ll} = \mathbf{i} \mathbf{c} \left(\vec{A} \wedge \vec{B} \right)_{ll} = \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c} \left(\vec{A} \wedge \vec{B} \right)_$$

or equivalently

$$(\vec{A} \wedge \vec{B})_{1L} = \frac{i\sqrt{6}}{c}$$

$$\frac{\lambda z}{1 + \frac{1}{B}}$$
(5.5)

I

One can then obtain the graphical representation of more complex products like

$$(\vec{A} \wedge \vec{B}) \cdot \vec{C} = (\vec{B} \wedge \vec{C}) \cdot \vec{A} = (\vec{C} \wedge \vec{A}) \cdot \vec{B} = -i\sqrt{2}c \quad \vec{c} + \frac{1}{1+c}$$

$$(5.6)$$

and

A AMERICAN

$$\left(\left(\vec{A} \wedge \vec{B}\right) \wedge \vec{C}\right)_{1L} = -\frac{2}{c^2} \qquad \vec{i} \qquad (5.7)$$

or even construct other tensors of rank one. We have for instance



We then express the sum over X = 0, 1, 2 to get with (5.7)



One can also determine the k = 2 tensor components of the tensorial product of two vector operators

$$(A_{\mu} \times B_{\nu})_{2\nu} = \frac{2z}{1 + i}$$
(5.10)

1

which leads to

$$\begin{cases} (A_{\mu} \times B_{\nu})_{22} = A_{1}B_{1} = \frac{c^{2}}{2} \left[(A_{x}B_{x} - A_{y}B_{y}) + i (A_{y}B_{x} + A_{x}B_{y}) \right] \\ (A_{\mu} \times B_{\nu})_{21} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (A_{0}B_{1} + A_{1}B_{0}) = -\frac{c^{2}}{2} \left[(A_{z}B_{x} + A_{x}B_{z}) + i (A_{z}B_{y} + A_{y}B_{z}) \right] \\ (A_{\mu} \times B_{\nu})_{20} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (A_{1}B_{-1} + A_{-1}B_{1} + 2A_{0}B_{0}) = -\frac{c^{2}}{\sqrt{6}} (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B} - 3A_{z}B_{z}) \\ (A_{\mu} \times B_{\nu})_{2-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (A_{0}B_{-1} + A_{-1}B_{0}) = \frac{c^{2}}{2} \left[(A_{z}B_{x} + A_{x}B_{z}) - i (A_{z}B_{y} + A_{y}B_{z}) \right] \\ (A_{\mu} \times B_{\nu})_{2-2} = A_{-1}B_{-1} = \frac{c^{2}}{2} \left[(A_{x}B_{x} - A_{y}B_{y}) - i (A_{y}B_{x} + A_{z}B_{y}) \right] \\ (5.11) \end{cases}$$

the state of the second second

6. SCALAR AND CROSS PRODUCTS IN CARTESIAN COORDINATES

Let us construct the tensor product of two vector operators in cartesian coordinates



In order to obtain the scalar and the cross-product we have to evaluate two particular cartesian Clebsch-Gordan coefficients $< lr_1 lr_2 | 00>$ and $< lr_1 lr_2 | ls>$.

It can be easily found that

$$< |\mathbf{r}_{1}||\mathbf{r}_{2}||00> = \sum_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}} < |\mathbf{r}_{1}||\mu_{1}> < |\mathbf{r}_{2}||\mu_{2}> \cdot < |\mu_{1}||\mu_{2}||00>$$

$$= \sum_{\mu_{1}} < |\mathbf{r}_{1}||\mu_{1}> < |\mathbf{r}_{2}||-\mu_{1}> < |\mu_{1}||-\mu_{1}||00> (6.2)$$

$$= \sum_{\mu_{1}} < |\mathbf{r}_{1}||\mu_{1}> < |\mathbf{r}_{2}||-\mu_{1}> \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (-)^{1-\mu_{1}}$$

We know that

$$< 1r_2 | 1 - \mu_1 > (.)^{1 - \mu_1} = \kappa^2 < 1\mu_1 | 1r_2 >$$
 (6.3)

and then

$$< \mathrm{Ir}_{1} \mathrm{Ir}_{2} | 00 > = \mathcal{E} < \mathrm{Ir}_{1} | \mathrm{I\mu}_{1} > \mathrm{K}^{2} < \mathrm{I\mu}_{1} | \mathrm{Ir}_{2} > \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \mathrm{K}^{2} \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{r}_{1}} \mathrm{r}_{2}$$

$$(6.4)$$

One can obtain then

$$(A_{r_1} \otimes B_{r_2}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \quad \kappa^2 \vec{A}, \vec{B}$$
 (6.5)

and a comparison with (3.10) shows that the Biedenharn's convention leads to the same value of the tensor product of zero order

$$(A_{r_1} \otimes B_{r_2}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \vec{A} \cdot \vec{B}$$
 (6.6)

in any coordinate system

Let us now evaluate the cartesian Clebsch-Gordan coefficient

$$< |r_{1}||r_{2}|||s> = \sum_{\mu_{1}|\mu_{2}|\mu_{3}} < |r_{1}||\mu_{1}> < |r_{2}||\mu_{2}> < |\mu_{3}||^{1} >$$

$$(6.7)$$

$$< |\mu_{1}||\mu_{2}|||\mu_{3}>$$

The use of the $U_r^{\mu_1}$ matrix elements gives without difficulty the value

$$< \ln_1 \ln_2 | \ln > = \frac{\mathrm{ic}}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon$$
 (6.8)

where $r_1 r_2 s = 1$ if $r_1 r_2 s$ is an even permutation of 1, 2, 3 indices and $r_1 r_2 s = -1$ for an odd permutation and zero elsewhere.

In cartesian coordinates one thus finds that

$$(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{r}_{1}} \times \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{r}_{2}}) = \frac{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{c}}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (\mathbf{\vec{A}} \wedge \mathbf{\vec{B}})_{\mathbf{g}}$$
 (6.9)

It is exactly the result obtained in spherical coordinates. It thus appears that one can use the same graphical representation of the scalar and crossproduct in spherical, cartesian coordinates if one choose the Biedenharn convention that is c = i and in that case

$$\hat{A} \stackrel{4}{\longleftarrow} \hat{B} = \vec{A} \cdot \vec{B}$$

$$(6.10)$$

$$\frac{Aq}{\sqrt{4}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (\vec{A} \wedge \vec{B})_{q}$$

in any reference frame. When working in spherical coordinates $q = \mu = 1, 0, -1$ and in cartesian coordinates q = s = 1, 2, 3 = x, y, z.

7. VECTOR ANALYSIS

Let us first recall some obvious but useful results. The crossproduct of two vector operators reads now



If the components of \overline{A} operator commute one can change the lecture order of the diagram without affecting the result ; one knows however that such a change multiplies the result by $\langle - \rangle^{1+1+1}$. It then follows

We obtain for instance



and with the Pauli matrices



An other interesting result is obtain with the cartesian coordinates coefficients



$$\sum_{a} e_{r_{1}r_{2}} e_{r_{1}r_{2}'} e_{r_{1}r_{2}'} e_{r_{1}r_{1}'} e_{r_{2}r_{2}'} e_{r_{1}r_{2}'} e_{r_{1}r_{2}'} e_{r_{2}r_{1}'} (7.7)$$

Let us now examine different products obtained with vector operators. One notes that when one introduces the $\vec{\nabla}$ differential operator $\hat{\nabla} \vdash \stackrel{dq}{\longrightarrow}$ one obtains easily some well-known results

$$(c\overline{u}r1\,\overline{A}) = (\overline{\nabla}\wedge\overline{A})_{q} = \sqrt{6}$$

$$(7.8)$$

while

 $div A = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = \hat{\nabla} - \vec{A} + \hat{A}$ $\nabla^{2} = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\nabla} = \hat{\nabla} - \vec{A} + \hat{\nabla}$ (7.9)

and with the use of (7.1)



7.1 The triple scalar product.

$$\vec{A}.(\vec{B}\wedge\vec{C}) = \sqrt{6} \quad \hat{\vec{A}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \quad \hat{\vec{A}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{$$

It represents the volume of the parallelepped having \vec{A} , \vec{B} and \vec{C} as three of its edges. Due to the symmetry property of the "3jn" coefficient one can start the lecture from any vector operator and thus obtain

We can express the above diagram in term of the determinant



If $[\vec{A}, \vec{A}] = 0$ one refinds that



When two of vector operators are differential operators



7.2 Scalar products of two dot products.

The use of (7.6) gives an interesting expression of the scalar products of two dot products



$$(\vec{A} \wedge \vec{B}), (\vec{C} \wedge \vec{D}) = (\vec{A}, \vec{C}) (\vec{B}, \vec{D}) - (\vec{A}, \vec{D}) (\vec{B}, \vec{C})$$
 (7.16)

When dealing with vector operators which do not necessarily commute, one must take care of the order of the operator in the left and right hand sides. When the above are only vectors the order is unimportant.

7.3 The double cross-product .



One can consider that one works in cartesian coordinates and uses (7.6) to immediately obtain

16.

になるなないできた。 こうかい



 $\vec{A} \wedge (\vec{B} \wedge \vec{C}) = \vec{B} (\vec{A}, \vec{C}) - (\vec{A}, \vec{B}) \vec{C}$ (7.17)

If $\vec{A} = \vec{B} = \vec{\nabla}$ one immediately obtains the well-known result

 $\mathbf{curl} \, \mathbf{curl} \, \mathbf{\vec{C}} = \mathbf{\vec{\nabla}} \wedge (\mathbf{\vec{\nabla}} \wedge \mathbf{\vec{C}}) = \mathbf{\vec{\nabla}} (\mathbf{\vec{\nabla}} \cdot \mathbf{\vec{C}}) - \mathbf{\nabla}^2 \mathbf{\vec{C}} = \mathbf{grad} \, \mathrm{div} \, \mathbf{\vec{C}} - \mathbf{\nabla}^2 \mathbf{\vec{C}}$ (7.18)

On can use now the graphical representation of the double cross-product and the usual rules of the (G.S.A.) to get the analytical expression of a particular tensor



One can develop that expression since X = 0, 1, 2 and the corresponding "6j" coefficient take the values $-\frac{1}{3}$, $\frac{1}{6}$, $\frac{1}{6}$. One then obtains

$$(\vec{A} \wedge (\vec{B} \wedge \vec{C}))_{q} = -\frac{2}{3} (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B}) C_{q} - \frac{1}{2} (\vec{C} \wedge (\vec{A} \wedge \vec{B}))_{q} +$$

$$\vec{A} + 4q + (7.20)$$
One sets
$$T_{1q} (\vec{A}, \vec{B}, \vec{C}) = + 2 + - 5 + \frac{2}{3} + 4 + (7.21)$$

and it follows that

(

2

$$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{1q}}(\vec{A}, \vec{B}, \vec{C}) = (\vec{A} \wedge (\vec{B} \wedge \vec{C}))_{\mathbf{q}} + \frac{2}{3} (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B}) C_{\mathbf{q}} + \frac{1}{2} (\vec{C} \wedge (\vec{A} \wedge \vec{B}))_{\mathbf{q}}$$
(7.22)

Or with (7.17)

$$T_{1q}(\vec{A}, \vec{B}, \vec{C}) = (\vec{A}, \vec{C}) B_{q} - (\vec{A}, \vec{B}) C_{q} + \frac{2}{3} (A, B) C_{q} + \frac{1}{2} [(\vec{B}, \vec{C}) A_{q} - (\vec{A}, \vec{C}) B_{q}]$$
$$T_{1q} = \frac{1}{2} (\vec{A}, \vec{C}) B_{q} - \frac{1}{3} (\vec{A}, \vec{B}) C_{q} + \frac{1}{2} (\vec{B}, \vec{C}) A_{q} \qquad (7.23)$$

identical to (5.9).

One can rich the same result starting from the product ($\vec{B},\,\vec{C}$) A $_q$ $(\vec{B}, \vec{C}) A_{q} = \frac{\vec{b} - \vec{A}}{\vec{A} - \vec{C}} = \sum_{q} \vec{A} \vec{C}$ ı ĉ

and since X = 0, 1, 2

$$(\vec{B}, \vec{C}) A_{q} = \frac{1}{3} (\vec{A}, \vec{B}) C_{q} + \frac{1}{2} (\vec{C} \wedge (\vec{A} \wedge \vec{B}))_{q} + T_{lq}$$
or
$$T_{lq} = (\vec{B}, \vec{C}) A_{q} - \frac{1}{3} (\vec{A}, \vec{B}) C_{q} - \frac{1}{2} (\vec{C} \wedge (\vec{A} \wedge \vec{B}))_{q}$$
(7.24)

and if the double/cross-product is expressed with (7.17) one refinds (7.23).

7.4 Multiple cross-product.

million destablished and the second

: ;

ł

The use of the graphical representation of the scalar and crossproducts and the rule (7.6) allow us to write in different ways a multiple cross-product. Let us take an example



One can cut the diagram in an another way



$$\vec{A} \wedge ((\vec{B} \wedge \vec{C}) \wedge \vec{D}) = (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{D}) (\vec{A} \wedge \vec{C}) - (\vec{C} \cdot \vec{D}) (\vec{A} \wedge \vec{B})$$
 (7.26)

One sees on such a simple example the powerfull of our method. One can analytically verify the (7.25) or (7.26) equalities but it demands a high degree of intuition to discover them with other techniques. One can ask the reader to find directly the value of $\overline{A}^{\Lambda}(\overline{B}^{\Lambda}(\overline{C}^{\Lambda}(\overline{D}^{\Lambda}\overline{E})))$,



- (\$\vec{A}, \$\vec{B})(\$\vec{c}, \$\vec{D})\$\vec{E} + (\$\vec{A}, \$\vec{B})(\$\vec{E}, \$\vec{c})\$\vec{D}\$ (7.27)

8. SOME EXAMPLES OF APPLICATION

One can use (3, 17) to show that for the σ Pauli matrices considered as vector operators

$$T_{2q}(\vec{\sigma},\vec{\sigma}) = 0 \qquad (8.1)$$

One can then easily obtain the following



We use the X = 0, 1, 2 and (7, 5), (8, 1) to get

$$(\vec{\sigma}, \vec{A}) (\vec{\sigma}, \vec{B}) = \frac{1}{3} \hat{\vec{A}} + \frac{1}{3} \hat{\vec{B}} + 3 \hat{\vec{A}} + \frac{1}{3} \hat{\vec{A}} + \frac{1}{3} \hat{\vec{B}} + 3 \hat{\vec{A}} + \frac{1}{3} \hat{\vec{A}} + \frac{1}{3} \hat{\vec{B}} + 3 \hat{\vec{A}} + \frac{1}{3} \hat{\vec{A}} + \frac{1}{3} \hat{\vec{B}} + 3 \hat{\vec{A}} + \frac{1}{3} \hat{\vec{A}} + \frac{1}{3} \hat{\vec{B}} + 3 \hat{\vec{A}} + \frac{1}{3} \hat{\vec{A}} + \frac{1}{3} \hat{\vec{B}} + \frac{1}{3} \hat{\vec{B}} + \frac{1}{3} \hat{\vec{A}} + \frac{1}{3} \hat{\vec{B}} + \frac{1}{3} \hat{\vec{A}} + \frac{1}{3} \hat{\vec{B}} + \frac{1}{3} \hat{\vec{$$

 $(\vec{\sigma}, \vec{A}) (\vec{\sigma}, \vec{B}) = (\vec{A}, \vec{B}) + i \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{A} \wedge \vec{B})$ (8.2)

One can obtain a more general expression when starting with the product of two scalar products of vector operators

$$(\vec{A},\vec{C})(\vec{B},\vec{D}) = \hat{\vec{A}} + \hat{\vec{C}} + \sum_{X} \hat{\vec{X}}^{2} + \frac{\hat{\vec{A}}}{\hat{\vec{A}}} + \sum_{X} \hat{\vec{A}}^{2} + \frac{\hat{\vec{A}}}{\hat{\vec{A}}} + \frac{\hat{\vec{A}}}{\hat{\vec{A}}}$$
(8.3)

We set

1010年11月1日

$$T_{2}(\vec{A}, \vec{B}) \cdot T_{2}(\vec{C}, \vec{D}) = + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{3}$$
(8.4)

and one easily obtains

$$(\vec{A}, \vec{C}) (\vec{B}, \vec{D}) = \frac{1}{3} (\vec{A}, \vec{B}) (\vec{C}, \vec{D}) + \frac{1}{2} (\vec{A} \wedge \vec{B}) . (\vec{C} \wedge \vec{D})$$
$$+ T_2 (\vec{A}, \vec{B}) . T_2 (\vec{C}, \vec{D})$$

or the well-known form

$$T_{2}(\vec{A},\vec{B}), T_{2}(\vec{C},\vec{D}) = (\vec{A},\vec{C})(\vec{B},\vec{D}) - \frac{1}{3}(\vec{A},\vec{B})(\vec{C},\vec{D}) - \frac{1}{2}(\vec{A}\wedge\vec{B}), (\vec{C}\wedge\vec{D})$$

$$(8.5)$$

If $\overrightarrow{C} = \overrightarrow{D} = \overrightarrow{\bullet}$ one refinds (8.2). If all the vector operators are different

$$T_{2}(\vec{A},\vec{B}), T_{2}(\vec{C},\vec{D}) \approx \frac{1}{2} (\vec{A},\vec{C}) (\vec{B},\vec{D}) + \frac{1}{2} (\vec{A},\vec{D}) (\vec{B},\vec{C})$$
$$- \frac{1}{3} (\vec{A},\vec{B}) (\vec{C},\vec{D})$$
(8.6

. ^

A comparison with (7.21) and (7.23) shows that

$$T_{2}(\vec{A}, \vec{B}), T_{2}(\vec{C}, \vec{D}) = T_{1}(\vec{A}, \vec{B}, \vec{C}), \vec{D} = + \frac{2}{3}$$
(8.7)

or equivalently

いたいないというとも

$$T_{2}(\vec{A}, \vec{B}) . T_{2}(\vec{C}, \vec{D}) = T_{1}(\vec{C}, \vec{D}, \vec{B}) . \vec{A} = T_{1}(\vec{C}, \vec{D}, \vec{A}) . \vec{B}$$
$$= T_{1}(\vec{A}, \vec{B}, \vec{D}) . \vec{C} \qquad (8.8)$$

since the above diagram can be cut by isolating any component.

One can evaluate the $T_2(\vec{A}, \vec{B})$. $T_2(\vec{C}, \vec{D})$ scalar product by starting from a mixed product



One can now change the coupling scheme



It comes finally that

$$T_{2}(\vec{A}, \vec{B}) \cdot T_{2}(\vec{C}, \vec{D}) = \frac{2}{3} (\vec{A}, \vec{B}) (\vec{C}, \vec{D}) + \frac{1}{2} (\vec{A} \wedge \vec{B}) \cdot (\vec{C} \wedge \vec{D}) - (\vec{A} \wedge \vec{C}) \cdot (\vec{B} \wedge \vec{D})$$
(8.11)

This expression gives (8.6) when one expresses the mixed products in terms of scalar products as in (8.9).

We note that when $\vec{C} = \vec{D} = \vec{\sigma}$ one can reach the dot product $(\vec{\sigma} \wedge \vec{A})$. $(\vec{\sigma} \wedge \vec{B})$ but it is easier to get it directly



or with (8.2)

Let us finish by an example in which both cartesian and spherical aspects of the (G.S.A.) have to be used

$$(\vec{s}_{1},\vec{r})(\vec{s}_{2},\vec{r}) = \hat{s}_{1} + \frac{1}{r} = \sum_{X} \hat{x}^{L} + \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1$$

and since X = 0, 1, 2



Since $\vec{r} \wedge \vec{r} = 0$ the second diagram vanishes and we are left



(8, 16)

We divide the two sides by the length r^2 of the \vec{r} vector and set



Since the only directions of the $\frac{r}{r}$ vector are now involved in the diagram, one can normalize it by $\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}}$ in order to have $\frac{4\pi}{10} \hat{r} \equiv \sum_{m} \hat{r}$ and use the usual technique of the (G. S. A.) on the two spherical harmonics thus left



(8, 19)

where $\frac{2m}{r} = Y_{2m}(\bar{r})$ the usual spherical harmonic in the \hat{r} direction, and $\hat{S} = S_{4m}$ is the standard form of the spin vector operator.

ų,

9. CONCLUSION

 $\vec{A} = \hat{A} + \frac{1q}{1}$

We have shown in this paper two important results. First if we use the Biedenharn-Rose convention c = i for the transformation of the cartesian basis into a standard (spherical) basis, the (G. S. A.) is applicable without major modification in cartesian coordinates. Moreover, the graphical representations of the scalar and dot products and of the scalar " 3nj " coefficients in the two coordinates are identical. One can thus work without specifying a priori the coordinate system. The second important result is that the (G. S. A.) can give a new powerful approach of the vector analysis in its more usual aspect. In that case one can deal with the only few graphical representations and rules





One note that when dealing with these rules only, one can avoid the $\sqrt{6}$ numerical coefficient in the dot product, but the use of the other rules of the (G. S. A.) makes this coefficient indispensable. We have given here

only few examples of the many possibilities of the (G.S.A.) and of this approach of the vector analysis.

REFENENCES

Agrawala VK and Belinfante JG 1968 Ann. Phys. (NY) 49 130 Biedenharn LC and Rose ME 1953 Rev. Mod. Phys. 25 729 Brink DM and Satchler GR 1971 Angular Momentum 2nd ed. (Oxford : Clarendon Press) Coope JAR and Snider RF 1970 J. Math. Phys. 11 1003 Edmonds AR 1957 Angular Momentum in Quantum Mechanics (Princeton, NJ : Princeton University Press)

Elbaz E and Castel B 1971 Amer. J. Phys. 39 868

1972 Graphical Methods of Spin Algebras in Atomic, Nuclear and Particle Physics (New York : Dekker) Elbaz E and Nahabetian RS 1977 J. Phys. A : Math. Gen. 10 1063 Elbaz E and Meyer J 1978 to be published Guichon PA M 1975 Report Lycen 7570 (Lyon Univ.) The Madison Convention 1971 in Barschall HH and Haeberli H 1971 Polarization Phenomena in Nuclear Reactions (Madison, Wisconsin : the University of Wisconsin Press)

Stedman GE 1975 J. Phys. A : Math. Gen. 8 1021

_____ 1976 J. Phys. A : Math. Gen. 9 1999

Stone AJ 1976 J. Phys. A : Math. Gen. 9 485