AUGUST 1979 PPPL-1573 UC-20s

::ASTER

IL AN

le *'*

?

THE UNIVERSAL MODE REVISITED

BY

P. K. KAW AND P. N . GUZDAR

PLASMA PHYSICS LABORATORY

RIMCETON UNIVERSITY »*t«ClfON , MIW JMSEY

«&••#•'* * lirtiiH,

MOttGf

<9

 \mathcal{V}_\pm

i **w * 7 ^ » "c .**

V

Li report waa prepared aa an -account of werk sponsored by the United States Gov-**Malthar the United States nor the** δ **Ottitad itataa Snergy fteeearch and Development Aamihiatration, nor any of their eaployeee, nor any of thair contractora, aubcontractora,** or their employees, makes any warranty, express **or implied, or aaaoataa any laga? liability or raapenaibility for tha. accuracy, completeneae or uaefulneaa of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that ita usa would not infringe privately owned rigbta.** $\pi\gamma\tilde{Y}$

Printad in tha Onitad Stataa of America.

Available from National Technical Information Sarvica U. S. Department of Connerce *•>.* **5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, Virginia 22151 Price: Printed Copy \$ » . j Microfiche \$3, 00**

 \circ .

The Universal Mode Revisited

 $\left\langle \cdot \right\rangle$

Á

 $\ddot{}$

P. K. Kawand P. N. Guzdar Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University Princeton, New Jersey 08544

ABSTRACT

Our present understanding of the theory of universal eigenmodes in a collisionless plasma slab with magnetic shear, is reviewed and critically examined.

The theory of universal drift waves in a plasma slab with magnetic shear has recently attracted renewed attention.¹⁻⁵ Numerical and analytical work has led to the surprising discovery that drift wave eigenmodes in a collisionless plasma with Maxwellian electrons are always stable.^{2,3} Similar conclusions have been obtained for collisional plasmas.4 Numerical work on the current-driven problem has shown that a drifted Maxwellian electron distribution function can drive the collisionless drift eigenmode unstable, provided the parallel electron current is sufficiently strong.⁵

In this note we reiterate that for monotonic electron distributions, the stability of the universal eigenmode in a plasma slab with magnetic shear is strictly tied to the assumed even symmetry $[f_Q(-v_{j+}) = f_Q(v_{j+1})]$ of the function. Departures from even symmetry may lead to instability, irrespective of whether the distribution carries any net current or not. This reflects the fact that in the eigenmode problem there is a delicate competition between the growth effects due to locally resonant electrons and the shear damping effects due to the global mode structure and that this balance is determined not by the first velocity moment of f_{α} but by a more complex velocity weighting. It appears chen, that a detailed knowledge of the shape of $f_{Q}(v_{\perp})$ may be crucial in determining stability of the universal eigenmode. Parenthetically, we note here that it is quite likely that the electron distribution function in a tokamak is far from a simple drifting Maxwellian (cf., runaway effects due to E_{++} , ⁶ interesting effects due to heat

-2-

flow along stochastic field lines⁷ etc., and some experimental evidence in this direction⁸).

We consider the simplest model of drift waves in a sheared magnetic field. The equilibrium magnetic field is given by $\bar{B} = B_0 (\bar{e}_z + x/L_s \bar{e}_y)$, the ions are treated as a cold species and the elections are described by the drift kinetic equation. The ion density fluctuation (obtained from equations of continuity and motion for ions) is given by

$$
\frac{\tilde{n}_1}{n_0} = \rho_s^2 \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{e\phi}{T} \right) + \left(\frac{\omega_\star}{\omega} + \frac{k_{||}^2 c_s^2}{\omega^2} \right) \frac{e\phi}{T}
$$
 (1)

where $\rho_{\rm s}^2 = T/M\omega_{\rm ci}^2$, $\omega_* = cT/eBL_n$, $c_{\rm s}^2 = T/M$, we have assumed $exp(ik_y y - i\omega t)$ dependence and $k_{1+}(x) = k_y x/L_s$. The first term in Eq. (1) comes from divergence of ion polarization drift, the second term comes from $E \times B$ motion of ions along the density gradient, and the last term comes from the parallel ion motion. The electron response, obtained from the drift kinetic equation, is given by

$$
\frac{\dot{n}_{\text{e}}}{n_{\text{o}}} = \int dv_{\text{II}} f = \left(\frac{\omega_{\star}}{\omega} + \int dv_{\text{II}} \frac{\left[\left(\omega_{\star}/\omega\right)v_{\text{II}} f_{\text{o}} + \left(\frac{\text{T}}{\omega}\right)\left(\frac{\partial f_{\text{o}}}{\partial v_{\text{II}}}\right)^{2}\right]}{\left(\frac{\omega}{k_{\text{II}}}\right) - v_{\text{II}}}\right) \frac{\text{e}\phi}{T}.
$$
\n(2)

Using quasineutrality, we get the mode equation

$$
\nabla^2 \phi + \int dv_{||} \frac{(1/\omega) v_{||} f_0 + (1/2) (\partial f_0 / \partial v_{||})}{v_{||} - x_e / x} \phi + \frac{x^2}{x_s^2} \phi = 0
$$
 (3)

where x is normalized to ρ_{s} , ω to ω_{\star} , ϕ to T/e, v_{++} to $(2T/m)^{1/2}$, $x_e = (w/w_*) (m/2M)^{1/2} (L_S/L_n)$ and $x_s = (w/w_*) f L_S/L_n$, Together with the boundary conditions at \pm " (outward γ ing waves for growing modes) , this equation defines the eigenvalue problem. For a Maxwellian f_{α} , it reduces to the standard form.¹

We first demonstrate that the stability of drift wave eigenmodes is only determined by the even symmetry of $f_0(v_{++})$. II
Prima Following a procedure due to Antonsen,3 we introduce a variable 'i by the equation $x = -i\omega n$. Changing from x to n in (3), multiplying by ϕ^* , integrating from -¹⁰ to +¹⁰, separating the real and imaginary parts and manipulating them, we get the equation

$$
\int d\eta \left[\frac{1}{|\omega|^2} \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \eta} \right|^2 + \left(k^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{\kappa_s^2} \right) n^2 |\phi|^2 - |\phi|^2 \int d\mathbf{v}_{\parallel} \frac{(\mathbf{v}_{\parallel}/2) (\partial f_o / \partial \mathbf{v}_{\parallel})}{\mathbf{v}_{\parallel}^2 + (\kappa_c^2/\omega^2 n^2)} \right]
$$

$$
-\frac{\Omega}{2\gamma}\int\mathrm{d}\eta\,\left|\phi\right|^2\int\mathrm{d}v_{||}\frac{(x_e/\omega\eta)[(\vartheta E_0/\vartheta v_{||})+(2v_{||}E_0/\Omega)]}{v_{||}^2+(x_e^2/\omega^2\eta^2)}=0
$$

where $\omega = \Omega + \textbf{i}\gamma$, and it is to be noted that $\omega/x^{}_{\rm e}$ and $\omega/x^{}_{\rm S}$ are independent of ω .

If $f_0(v_{\parallel}) = f_0(-v_{\parallel})$, the last term vanishes. Furthermore, for monotonically decreasing $f_0(v_{\pm 1})$, all the other terms are positive definite and cannot add up to zero. This is the Antonsen proof of eigenmode stability.³ If $f_0(v_{\vert \vert}) \neq f_0(-v_{\vert \vert})$, the last term is finite and of indifferent sign and the stability proof breaks

down. Thus, for monotonic functions, the absolute stability of drift waves is only dependent on the even symmetry of $\mathsf{f}_\mathsf{Q}(\mathsf{v}_\bot)$ and has nothing to do with its detailed functional form.

Numerical investigation of Eq. (3) using the shooting and WKB methods has established² that for Maxwellian electrons, the eigenmodes are always stable (Imo < 0). We have recently confirmed⁵ that this is indeed true for any assumed even and monotonic form of $f_{Q}(v_{j,j})$. Furthermore, we have found that for $M_{11} \rightarrow \infty$ (i.e., on ignoring parallel ion response), the eigenmodes are always marginally stable (Im $\omega = \emptyset$); this latter result is independent of $k \rho_{s}$, L_{s}/L_{n} and form of shear function and only depends on evenness of $f_{\alpha}(v,.)$. Here then is the provocative mystery stripped to its barest essentials. Why, for even $f_0(v_{\overline{1}})$, are there exact concellations taking place in this model? We still do not know the answer and would like to deepen the mystery by a physical description of the cancellations.

we multiply Eq. (3) with $(n_0e^2/T) \omega \phi^*$ integrate in x from -L to L (where L is some large distance) and take the real part of the resulting equation; this leads us to the following equation:

$$
2\gamma \int_{-L}^{L} dx \left[\frac{\omega_{\text{pi}}^2}{\omega_{\text{ci}}^2} \left(\frac{1}{\theta \pi} \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right|^2 + \frac{k^2 |\phi|^2}{8\pi} \right) + \frac{\omega_{\text{pi}}^2}{|\omega|^2} \frac{k_{\text{H}}^2 |\phi|^2}{8\pi} \right] - \frac{\omega_{\text{pi}}^2}{\omega_{\text{ci}}^2} \text{Im} \left(\omega \phi^* \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right) \Big|_{-L}^{L}
$$

$$
= \frac{n_{\text{o}}e^2}{T} \text{ Im } \int_{-L}^{L} dx \, |\phi|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_{||} \, \frac{v_{||} f_{\text{o}} \omega_{*} + (\omega T/m) (\partial f_{\text{o}}/\partial v_{||})}{v_{||} - (\omega/k_{||})} \quad . \tag{4}
$$

 $-5-$

We can readily interpret the various terms in Eq. (4) . The terms proportional to Y (viz., the first three terms on the left side and a portion of the right side) can be interpreted as fie rate of change of the mode energy; mode energy is dominantly in the form of kinetic energy of perpendicular and parallel ion sloshing and the parallel electron sloshing (viz., nonresonant electron effects). The fourth term on the left side can be interpreted as the Poynting flux of drift waves out of the ends $\pm L$. [To verify this, note that the x -Poynting flux \equiv Re(c/4n) $E_{i=1}^{*}B_{y}$ and $B_y = (i/k_{++}) (4\pi/c) J_x = -(4\pi NMc^2/B^2) (\omega/ck_{++}) (\partial \phi/\partial x)$ etc.] This term is responsible for the shear damping of drift waves. The remaining term (viz., the leftover portion of the right side) may, using the drift kinetic equation, be shown to be the Re(J_{II}E^{*}₁₁) work done by resonant electrons on the wave. This is the term which could drive the wave unstable. Equation (4) is thus.a power balance equation. The rate of J'E work done by resonant electrons is balanced by the rate of growth of mode energy minus the energy flux out of the ends. The real mystery of the recent drift wave work is that for even $f_0(v_{n,i})$, the frequency Rew and mode-structure adjust in such a way that for large kp., there is a near exact cancellation¹⁰ of the J'E work done by resonant electrons and the energy flux out of the ends; the cancellation is <u>exact</u> for the model $(M_{11} \rightarrow \infty)$ in which outward energy flux and shear damping is dominated by nonresonant electron effects. We do not see any obvious physical reason for this cancellation. So the mystery remains: Is there anything deeper in this exact cancellation for symmetric f_{Ω} ?!

-6-

Once it is appreciated that the stability of drift wave eigenmode is tied to the even symmetry of $f_0(v_{\perp})$, the next obvious task is to investigate stability for more general electron distributions. One such effort is the calculation for a drifted Maxwellian⁵; this shows that the instability is recovered when the current exceeds a critical value. In the discussion given below, we present the results of a numerical investigation (using WKB and shooting methods) of the eigenmode stability for a number of nonsymmetric electron distributions.⁹ Our first choice is the model

$$
f_{+} = (C_1/v_e)/[1 + (v_{||}/v_e)^2]^2 v_{||} > 0
$$
\n(5)

$$
f_{-} = (C_1/v_e)/[1 + (v_{||}R/v_e)^2]^{N} v_{||} < 0
$$

with $M > 2$ and C_1 determined by the normalization condicion $\int f dv_{||} = 1$. R controls the width of the distribution for $V_{||}$ \langle 0. For R = R_C = 1/(N - 1)^{1/2}, the distribution carries no net current: any other choice of R gives a net parallel electron current. This model may be treated as a simple analytical approximation to the runaway distribution function in a plasma with parallel electric field. Both the WKB and shooting methods show that such nonsymmetric distributions give unstable eigenmodes. Table I presents the results of γ versus $k^2 \rho_S^2$ obtained from the shooting code for $N = 8$, $R = 1/(7)^{1/2}$, $L_S/L_{ri} = 10^2$ and $(M/m) =$ 1837. Significant growth rates are observed. The growth rate does

not change very much when R is made slightly different from R_C so that a net current flows. Thus, it is the asymmetry of f_{r_i} rather than the current carried by it which determines the growth rate. We have also considered the effect of peaking the distribution function away from $v_{11} = \emptyset$. This is accomplished by replacing v_{11} with $(v_{\parallel} - v_0)$ in Eq. (5). R and v_0 may again be adjusted so that no net current flows; this model could correspond to *a* physical situation where parallel temperature gradients and electric fields distort f_o to give a large heat flow but not net current. Table II shows the variation of γ/ω_* vs v_o/v_e. The growth rate car. be substantially increased by peaking the distribution away from $v_{j+1} = \emptyset$.

So what do we conclude? Stated briefly, we conclude that stability of the universal eigenmode is tied to symmetries of $f_0(v_{11})$. For nonsymmetric f_0 , unstable modes can be obtained with a growth rate determined by the detailed shape of f_0 . Finally, we believe that from a physical point of view, it is still a mystery why for symmetric f_{α} , the local growth terms are always overpowered by the shear damping terms.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

we appreciate discussions with E. J. Valeo, R, *B.* White, and C. R. Oberman.

 $-8 -$

This work was supported by United States Department of Energy Contract No. EY-76-C-02-3073, and by United States Air Force Office of Scientific Research Contract No. F 44620-75-C-0037.

t

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$

 $\pmb{\ast}$

ł

 $L_S/L_N = 100$, m/M = 1/1837, J_{||} = 0, k² = 0.1

,

$-10-$ TABLE I

 $\hat{\tau}_{\rm AB}$

 L_S/L_N = 100, m/M = 1/1837, v_o/v_e = 0, R = 1/(7)^{1/2}

REFERENCES AND NOTES

 $1.$ For early work see H. L. Berk and L. D. Pearlstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. _23, 220 (1969); M. N. Rosenbluth and P. J. Catto, Nucl. Fusion j_5, 573 (1975).

ł

 \overline{z}

ŧ

- £>. w. Ross and *S.* M. *Mahajan,* Phys. Rev. Lett. 4fl, 2. 324 (1978); K. Tsang, P. J. Catto, J. C. Whitson, and J. Smith, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 327 (1978); Liu Chen, P. t). Guzdar, R. B. White, P. K. Kaw, and C. R. Oberman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 649 (1978).
- $3.$ T. Antonsen, Jr., Phys. Rev. Lett. *Al_,* 33 (1978), Y. C. Lee and Liu Chen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 708 (1979).
- 4. P. N. Gusdar, Liu Chen, P. K. Kaw, and C, R. Oberman, Phys. Re ,. Lett. 40, 566 (1978); Liu Chen, P. N. Guzdar, J. Hsu, P. K. Kaw, C. R. Oberman, and R. B. White, Nucl. Fusion 19, 373 (1979).
- $5.$ D. w. Ross and S. M. Mahajan, Phys. Fluids .22, 294 (1979); S. Inoue, K, Itoh, and S. Yoshikawa, Nucl. Fusion 18, 755 (1978).

-11-

- 6. Y. C. Sun, N. K. Winsor, and R. M. Kulsrud, Phys. Pev. Lett. 31, 690 (1973); C. S. Liu and Y. Mok, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 162 (1977).
- 7. D. Forslund, J. Geophys. Res. <u>75</u>, 17 (1970).
- 8. K. Molvig, J. E. Rice, and M. S. Tekula, I Phys. Rev. Lett. £1, 1240 (19/3).

けんかいか

- 9. Guzdar and Kaw (in preparation); the eigenmode equation is exactly soluble analytically for a Lorentzian $f_0(v_{j_1})$ as will be shown in this paper.
- 10. More accurately, for *i* bitrary $k\rho_{\rm st}$, we have the inequality that J-E wo^t *:* done is always less than the outward energy flux.

TABLE CAPTIONS

......• I. Variation of normalized growth rate γ/ω_* with $k^2 \rho_S^2$ for a current-free asymmetric f_0 [given by Eq. (5)] with peak at v $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

 λ

 \bullet

Table II. Variation of Y/w_{*} with v_{0}/v_{e} for a current-free asymmetric distribution peaked at v_{\parallel} = v_{0} .

 $\mathcal{L}^{(n)}$