EXPERIMENTAL EVIDENCE FOR LOW LYING INTRUDER STATES IN ⁵⁴Mn

M.TOULEMONDE, F. A. BECK, C.GEHRINGER, B.HAAS, J. C. MERDINGER, N.SCHULZ and J.P.VIVIEN Centre de Recherches Nucléaires et Université Louis Pasteur,

Strasbourg, France.

Abstract :

High spin states in ⁵⁴Mn were populated in the ⁵²Cr(α , pny) reaction. Using angular distribution, linear polarization and y -y coincidence measurements, the following unambiguous spin and parity assignements **were obtained : E_x, J^T ; 1073 keV, 6⁺ ; 1784 keV, 7⁺ ; 1925 keV, 7⁺ ; x 2857 keV, 8 and 3244, (9) . In addition a new level was observed at an excitation energy of 3939 keV. The experimental data show evidence for low lying intruder states as predicted by a recent shell model calculation.**

 52 Cr*lg* pp v^{54} α α **54 vy(6) coin, angular distributions, linear polarizations. Mn ;** deduced J, π , δ , γ branching ratios. Enriched targets. Comparison **with shell model calculations.**

I. Introduction

The configurations of low lying high spin states in nuclei near closed shells are expected to be rather pure. Several attempts have been made to observe such states in ⁵⁴ Mn (Poletti et al 1974, Alenius et al 1975, **Beale et al 1976 and Nathan et al 1977), but until now no unambiguous spin-parities higher than 5 have been assigned to excited levels. This fact hampers a straightforward comparison between experiment and a recent calculation made by Johnstone and Benson (1977). These authors** calculated excitation energies of states belonging to various n₁ particule-n₂ hole (n₁ p-n₂h) configurations relative to the ⁵⁶Ni core. In particular they predicted that the first 6^{\dagger} state which they associated with the **1073 keV level, is an intruder state having a 2p-4h configuration. The present work was initiated in order to identify unambiguously the high spin states and to determine their decay properties. The results confirmed the classification of states given by Johnstone and Benson (1977).**

2. Experimental method and data analysis

A 33 MeV a-beam, delivered by the Strasbourg MP tandem Van de Sraaff , struck the target and was stopped in a Faraday cup three meters away. The target consisted of a 10 mg/cm² pellet of Cr₂O₂(enriched **52 to 95** *"/a* **in Cr) sandwiched between two thin mylar foils. Several y-ray** techniques were used for studying the ⁵⁴Mn levels. Angular distributions were performed by detecting v-rays at 5 angles (90[°], 70[°], 55[°], 45[°] and **30 relative to the beam direction) with a 100 cm Ge(Li) detector placed at 20 cm from the target. The relative efficiency curve of the detector was obtained using the lines from Co and Eu radioactive sources. Its resolution (FWHM) was 2.3 keV for a 1 .33 MeV v-ray. The y-ray**

- 2 -

linear polarization (F. Beck et al. 1976) was simultaneously measured with a three Ge(Li) Compton polarimeter positioned at - 90⁰. The **polarisation sensitivity was determinated by comparing calculated and** measured polarizations of y-rays emitted in (p, p') reactions on ¹²C, ¹⁹F, ²⁴Mg, ²⁸Si, ⁵⁶Fe and ^{107, 109}Ag at the 7 MV Van de Graaff accelerator as well as known E2 transitions observed in the $(\alpha, 2n)$ reactions on 50 Ti, 52_{Cr} and ⁵⁴Fe at the MP tandem accelerator (Haas et al. 1978). In order to establish the level scheme and to obtain the directional correlation of **v-rays** emitted from the oriented nuclear states (D.C.O. method: J.A.Grau et al (1974)) a v-v coincidence measurement was also performed. The two $Ge(Li)$ counters were positioned at 35⁰ and 90⁰ in the reaction plane and **placed at 9 cm from the target. D.C.O. ratios were deduced from the** data by using the relative $y-y$ coincidence efficiencies measured in a 90[°]-90[°] configuration of the Ge(Li) detectors. Known lines from the 48 Ca(α , 2n)⁵⁰ Ti reaction (Haas et al. 1978) and from a 152 Eu source were

- 3 -

A simultaneous χ^2 fit was made to the angular distribution coefficients A_2 and A_4 , the linear polarization $p = \left[(N_1 - N_1)/ \right] / \left[(N_1 + N_1)/ \Omega \right]$ **and D.C.O. ratios R (35°, 90°). It determines, on the basis of the 0.1** *%* confidence level the angular momentum change Λ J, the mixing ratio δ **(Rose and Brink 1967), the electric and/or magnetic character of each transition and the attenuation parameter** α for the nuclear alignement. The α ₂ and α ₄ coefficients are related since in the present analysis a **gaussian distribution has been assumed for the magnetic substate population (G.A.P. Engelbertink et al 1977). The estimates of errors associated with the mixing ratios** δ **were obtained at a** χ^2 **value corresponding to one standard deviation from the normalised** χ^2 **min. * i** $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}$ **,** $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}$ **, \mathbf{r} =**

Due to the target structure no attempt has been made to determine lifetime values. However Doppler shifts have been observed for γ-rays corresponding to the 2857—>1073, 3244 **44** 31784 and **3939 — —> i. (57 keV transitions. Consequently an upper limit of 1 0 ps can be set for each initial level. This limit as well as lifetime values for other levels (see table 3) exclude the presence of M2, E3 or M3 transitions on the basis of unrealistic enhancement.**

3. Results

Excited levels and their decay modes, deduced from the present experiment, are shown in fig. 1 . Apart from the 3939 keV level which has not been reported prior to this work, the present decay scheme is in agreement with the one proposed by Alenius et al (1975). A summary of the present experimental data is given in table 1. The spin, parity 2 and mixing ratio values deduced from the *Y* **analysis are presented in table 2. Since spin and parity for the 156 and 3 68 keV levels have already been determined in previous work, the analysis was limited to the determination of the mixing ratio for the 156 and 212 keV transitions.** The present value for the 156 keV transition \int = 0.12 \pm 0.05 is to be compared with the one determined by Ogawa and Taketani (1972) $|\delta| < 0.1$ **who assumed a compound nucleus reaction mechanism to analyse their angular distributions.**

The properties of all the other observed levels for which no rigourous spin-parity assignments have previously be en reported, will be given in detail below,

3.1 . The 1073 keV level As shown in fig. 2, the χ^2 analysis yields a unique J^{π} value, namely 6^{\dagger} .

- 4 -

This is in agreement with the ℓ = 3 transfer to this level observed in the (d, t) reaction on 55 Mn, $J^{\pi} = 5/2$ (Taylor and Cameron 1976). **3.2. The 1784 keV level**

The existence of a doublet at this excitation energy has been shown by Alenius et al (1975). The decay of the level observed in the present work indicates that it is the same level as the one excited in the \mathcal{F}^{\prime} **V**(\mathcal{F} **Li**, p2n), \mathcal{F}^{\prime} **V**(α , n) and \mathcal{F}^{\prime} Ca(\mathcal{F}^{\prime} B, 5n) reactions (Poletti et al 1974, **Alenius et al 1975, Nathan et al 1977). Both realistic transition strengths** for the y-ray issued from this level and the χ^2 analysis limit J^{π} to 5^+ **or 7 . As it will be seen later the 7 value is the only one compatible with the y-decay of the 2857 keV level to this level.**

3.3. The 1925 keV level

As in the case of the 1 784 keV level the analysis of the y decaying the 1925 keV level leaves only the possibility 5^+ **and** 7^+ **and the y-decay of the 2857 keV level excludes 5 .**

3.4. The 2857 keV level

This level was found to decay $48 \pm 10\%$ to the 1073 keV level, $22 + 6\%$ to the 1784 keV level and $30 + 5$ % to the 1925 keV level. The angular **distribution and the linear polarization for the 2857 5» 1073 keV transition associated with several D.C.O. ratios (see table 1) reject** all possible spin-parities except $J^T = 8^+$. As a $J/J = 3$ solution would **yield unrealistic transition strengths for the other** \mathbf{v} branches. $\mathbf{J}^{\pi} = \mathbf{5}^{+}$ is **excluded for both the 1 784 and 1 925 keV level.**

3 . 5. The 3244 keV level

The branching ratios for this level could not be obtained since the 3244 *•)* **1 784 keV transition is obscured by a background transition 40** corresponding to the β decay of 40 K. The analysis of the 3244 \rightarrow 2857 keV

- 5 -

y-transition limits J^{\dagger} to J^{\dagger} or g^{\dagger} . The y-ray excitation function **measured by Alenius et al (1975), along with the arguments outlined by Taras and Haas (1975), support 9 . However such an assignment could depend on the details of the reaction mechanism involved, therefore the spin value 9 is indicated in parenthesis in fig. I.**

3.6. The 3939 keV level

This level, which decays to the 2857 keV and 1925 keV levels, was weakly excited in the present reaction and no branching ratios could be measured. In accordance with usual transition strengths the spin parity assignments for the 3939 keV level are limited to 6 , *7~***, 8 — , 9 .**

4. Conclusion

٠ź

54 Unambiguous spin assignements for a number of levels in Mn have been obtained in the present work. Several of these levels have already been associated by Johnstone and Benson (1977) with lp-3h states (1784 keV, 7 ⁺ *;* **3244 keV, 9 ⁺) or with 2p-4h states (1073 keV, 6 ⁺ ; 1925 keV, 7). Their classification was based on spectroscopic factors and on y-decay branches (calculated Ml and E2 transition strengths between states of different configurations being hindered). This identification is corroborate by the experimental transition strengths reported in table 3 and furthermore.confirm the configurational purety foreseen by Johnstone and Benson (1977). Note for example for the 1 784 keV level, the predicted lifetime of 1 .2 ps is in good agreement with the experimental result (Kudoyarov et al. 1976). However opposed to those levels, a rather strong mixing of both kind of configurations seems to happen in the wave function of the 2857 keV 8 ⁺ level. Theoretically, the 8 ⁺ states of the lp-3h and 2p-4h configurations are predicted at roughly**

- 6

the same excitation energy and therefore configurational mixing for the 2857 keV level is not surprising. This mixing could also explain the gamma branch from the 3244 keV to the 2857 keV level. Finally, the 3939 keV level could be the 9⁺ member of the 2p-4h K^{π} **= 6⁺ band since it decays mainly to the** *7* **2p-4h state and its excitation energy corresponds** to the one predicted by the $J(J + 1)$ rule.

- 7 -

Reference s

 $-$

Alenius N G, Arnell S E, Seï n E and Stankiewicz O 1975 [Nuov.Cim.2](http://Nuov.Cim.27) 7 A 249-7 6

Beal e D J, Poletti A R and Southern J R 1976 Nucl. Phys. A261 238-52

Beck F A, Byrski T, Knipper A and Vivien J P 1976 Phys ReV ÇJJ 1792-800

BuhlS, Gerne r J, Kampp W D, Nagel A and Nikutta W P 1977 Heidelberg Annual Report

Engelbertink GAP , Ekstrom L P, Scherpenzee l DEC , Eggenhuisen H H 1977 Nucl. Instr. and Meth. 143, 161-70

Grau J A, Grabowski Z W, Rickey F A, Simons PC and Steffen R M 1974 Phys. Rev . Lett. 32 677-80

Haas B, Beck F A, Gehringer C, Merdinger J C, Schulz N, Taras P, Toulemonde M, Vivien J P, Styczen J, Boie k E, Ztachura Zb, Pawlat T, Mûller-Arnke A 1978 Phys.Rev , Lett. 40. 1313-16

Johnstone I P and Benson H G 1977 J.Phys. G : Nucl. Phys.3 , L69-7 3

Kudoyarov M F, Lemberg I Kh and Pasternak A A 1976, Izv.Akad. Seria Fiz . 40_2103-13

Nathan A M, Olness J W, Warburton E K and Mc Grory J B 1 977, Phys.Rev.C I 6, 192-214

Ogawa M and Taketani H 1972 Nucl. Phys. A194 , 259-91

Poletti A P, Brown B A, Fossa n D B and Warburton E K 1974, Phys.Rev . C10 2329-39

Ros e H J and Brink D M 1967 Rev . Mod. Phys. 39 306-4 7

Taras P and Haas B 1975 Nucl. Instr. Meth. 1_21 73-82

Taylor T, Cameron J A 1976 Nucl. Phys. A257 427-3 7

Table 1 : Experimental data

E_i (keV)	E_r (keV)	A_2^a	A_4^a	P	Ъ) $'$ used to determine $D.C.O.$ ratios Transitions	R ^d
156.3^{c}	\mathbf{a}	$-0.357(8)$	0,000(20)			
368.3^{c}	156	$-0, 253(8)$	0.000(40)	$-0.33(2)$	$368 \longrightarrow 156 \longrightarrow 0$	1.17(5)
1073.2^{c}	368	$-0.269(10)$	0.010(30)	$-0.29(3)$	$1073 \longrightarrow 368 \longrightarrow 156$ $1073 \rightarrow 368 (\rightarrow 365 \rightarrow 0)$	1,00(3) 1, 13(3)
1783.5^{c}	368	0, 36(4)	$-0.10(6)$	0.55(9)	$1784 \longrightarrow 368 \longrightarrow 156$	1, 84(12) 2.18(17)
1925.2^{c}	1073	$-0.64(6)$	$-0.03(5)$	$-0, 03(5)$	$1925 \rightarrow 1073 \rightarrow 368$ $1925 \longrightarrow 1073 \longleftarrow$ $1368 \longrightarrow 156$ 1925 \longrightarrow 1073 $($ \longrightarrow 368 \longrightarrow 1156 \longrightarrow \longrightarrow 0	0.46(7) 0,66(10) 0, 56(9)
2856.5(3)	1073	0.41(6)	$-0.24(8)$	0.63(16)	2857 \longrightarrow 1073 \longrightarrow 368	1,49(15) 2,03(18) 2, 24(18)
	1784 1925	$-0.56(20)$	0.00(20)	$-0.03(18)$ 0, 01(8)		
3244.2(5)	1784				3244 — \rightarrow 1784 — \rightarrow 368 3244 \longrightarrow 1784 \longrightarrow 368 \longrightarrow 156 $3244 \longrightarrow 1784 \rightarrow 368 \rightarrow 156 \rightarrow 0$	1,26(17) 2, 23(19) 2,35(23)
	2857	$-0, 23(4)$	0.00(6)	$-0.51(16)$	$3244 \longrightarrow 2857 \longrightarrow 1073$ 3244 \longrightarrow 2857 \longrightarrow 1925	0,69(15) 1,34(20)
39399 (6)	2857 1925	$-0.6(3)$	$\tilde{}$	$-0.13(18)$	$3939 \longrightarrow 1926 \longrightarrow 1073$	2,50(80)

a) the finite solid angle attenuation factors are negligeable

b) unobserved transitions are in parenthesis

c) energy values from Nathan et al (1977) **r** , and the state of the state of Γ , Γ ,

d) These values do not include correction for the finite solid angle $\{ Q_2(90) = 0.93$; $Q_4(90) = 0.70$; $Q_2(35) = 0.95$ Ω (35^o) = 0.84 ¹. The solid angle corrections are however taken into account in the χ^2 analysis.

$E,$ (keV)	$E_r(keV)$	$\mathbf{J}^\pi_{\ \mathbf{i}}$	Λ J_f^{π}	δ	Y^2 ∩ min	degrees of freedom
156	$\mathbf{0}$	4^+	$\overline{3^+}$	0.12(5)	0.5	t
368	156	5^+	4^+	0.00(2)	0,5	I
1073	368	6^+	5^+	0.02(2)	0.6	2
1784	368	3^+	5^+	0.12(5)	8.0	z
		5^+	5^+	$-0.18(27)$	8.1	2
		7^+	5^+	0,00(5)	0.4	2
		4 ²	5^+	0.40(12)	8.4	2
		$7 -$	5^+	>11	9.6	Z
1925	1073	5^+	6^+	0.40(18)	4.8	2
		7^+	6^+	0.40(15)	2.4	S
		$5-$	6^+	0.6(3)	2.0	z
		$7-$	6^+	0.47(25)	4.0	\mathbf{z}
2857	1073	σ^+	6^+	0.02(5)	1.4	2
3244	2857	7^+	s^+	0.00(10)	9.0	2
		9^+	\mathbf{a}^+	0.00(10)	5.2	2

2

E_i (keV)	E_r (keV)	J_+^{π}	J_f^{π}	BR $(\frac{\sigma_0'}{2})$ a)	\mathcal{L} (ps)	B(EZ)	B(M1)
156	\mathbf{o}	4^+	3^+	1.0	$227(63)^{10}$	45 (40)	0.037(11)
368	156	5^+	4^+	99	10.4(16) ^{c)}	45.2	(7) 0.32
	$\bf{0}$	5^+	3^+	\angle 1		≤ 10	
1073	368	6^+	5^+	99	292 (50) $c)$	$\le 2, 6, 10^{-3}$	3.1 (5) 10^{-4}
	156	6^+	4^+	≤ 1		$\leq 4.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$	
1784	1073	7^+	6^+	$5 - 5$	$1.2 + 1.3$ ^d -0.4		$\leq 6.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$
	368	7^+	5^+	95		$+6,6$ 9.4 - 4.9	
1925	1073	7^+	6^+	95	$1.18 + 1.36$ ^e -0.44	$16.6 + \frac{28.1}{13.3}$	$0.36 + 0.26$ 0.21
	368	2^+	5^+	[5]		$[0.32 \pm 0.18]$	
2857	1925	a^+	7^+	25	$z^{d} < \tau < 10^{f}$	≤ 12	$\leq 5 \times 10^{-3}$
	1784	s^+	7^+	16		\leq 3.8	2×10^{-3}
	1073	\mathbf{a}^+	6^+	59		0.2 < B(E2) < 1.1	

Table 3 : Transition strengths in 54 Mn (W.u.)

a) Branching ratios from Alenius et al. (1975)

 $\left(b\right)$ from Nathan et al. 1977

 (c) from Poletti et al. 1974

 $\binom{d}{f}$ from Kudoyarov et al. 1976

 $e)$ from Buhl et al. 1977

f) $_{\text{present work}}$

- Fig. 1 : Comparison between the experimental levels and the results of shell model calculations. Only the v-branches observed in the present work are indicated.
- Fig. 2 : The χ^2 analysis of the angular distribution, linear polarization, D.C.O. ratio, $R = 1.00 \pm 0.03$, for the 1073 - 368 keV transition. Triangles and squares indicate the minimum of the 2 *)C* function for the corresponding spin and parity hypotheses. The angular distribution is shown in the insert.

.ء. ب

 $\alpha = 1.25$ \sim 0.000 \pm

 \overline{a}

 \mathbf{r}

Imprimé au Centre de Recherches Nucléaires Strasbourg 1979

ĺ

 $\frac{1}{2}$

ł,

J.