

OITS-107

REMARK ON CHARGE QUANTIZATION AND LEFT-HANDED NEUTRINOS*

Nilendra G. Deshpande
 Institute of Theoretical Science
 University of Oregon
 Eugene, Oregon 97403

ABSTRACT

Renormalizability of the standard SU(2) X U(1) unified gauge model requires charge quantization provided the right-handed neutrinos are absent from the theory.

*Research supported in part by DCE grant EY-76-F-06-2230.

The standard SU(2) X U(1) model of Weinberg¹ and Salam² with the Glashow-Iliopoulos-Maiani³ (GIM) mechanism for quarks has recently emerged as the successful model of weak and electromagnetic interactions. It has also been known for some time that the model is free of Adler-Bell-Jackiw triangle anomalies⁴ with the conventional charge assignment for quarks and leptons and the assumption that the quarks are color triplets, as required by Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD). This cancellation further takes place generation by generation.

Once the charge assignment is assumed, charge quantization, i.e., the equality of proton and positron charges and absence of neutron charge, follows. What is however not realized is that the charge assignment normally assumed is the only one that leads to a renormalizable theory, provided however that neutrinos occur only in the left-handed state.

Let us first restrict ourselves to the first generation of particles. These are:

douplets:
$$\begin{bmatrix} u^\alpha \\ d^\alpha \end{bmatrix}_L \quad \begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ e^- \end{bmatrix}_L \tag{1}$$

singlets
$$u_R^\alpha, d_R^\alpha, e_R^- \tag{2}$$

where α is the color index. In the SU(2) X U(1) model we have the generator \vec{T} and Y and the gauge fields \vec{W} and B. The only possible anomalies are in W_3W_3B and BBB couplings, and conditions for their removal are

$$\sum_{\text{douplets}} Y(i) = 0 \tag{2}$$

$$\sum_{\text{left-handed}} Y(i) - \sum_{\text{right-handed}} Y(i) = 0 \tag{3}$$

DISCLAIMER

This book was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Boj

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

We can always define charge as

$$Q = T_3 + Y \quad (4)$$

where a unit of charge is the charge of W^+ boson. Then Eq. (2) and Eq. (3) reduce to

$$\sum_{\text{left-handed}} Q_i = \sum_{\text{right-handed}} Q_i = 0 \quad (5)$$

Now we also require that QCD together with weak and electromagnetic theory be anomaly-free. The only possible anomaly is in the BGG vertex, and this requires

$$\sum_{\text{left-handed hadrons}} Q_i = \sum_{\text{right-handed hadrons}} Q_i \quad (6)$$

From Eq. (1) and (4) we further have the relation

$$Q_{u_L} = Q_{d_L} + 1 \quad (7)$$

$$Q_{\nu_L} = Q_{e_L} + 1 \quad (8)$$

We write Eqs. (5) and (6) explicitly

$$3(Q_{u_L} + Q_{d_L}) + Q_{\nu_L} + Q_{e_L} = 0 \quad (9)$$

$$3(Q_{u_R} + Q_{d_R}) + Q_{e_R} = 0 \quad (10)$$

$$Q_{u_L} + Q_{d_L} - Q_{u_R} - Q_{d_R} = 0 \quad (11)$$

Now the weak requirement that electron and d quark have mass leads to

$Q_{e_L} = Q_{e_R}$ and $Q_{d_L} = Q_{d_R}$. Then the only solution to the above equations is

$$Q_{\nu} = 0 \quad Q_e = -1, \quad Q_u = \frac{2}{3}, \quad Q_d = -\frac{1}{3} \quad (12)$$

To see that this result is special to the W-S model, note that in $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)$ model⁵, since both helicities of ν are present we would obtain

$$3(Q_u + Q_d) + Q_{\nu} + Q_e = 0 \quad (13)$$

and

$$Q_u - Q_d = Q_{\nu} - Q_e = 1 \quad (14)$$

This is sufficient to establish

$$Q_{\text{proton}} = -Q_e \quad (15)$$

$$Q_{\text{neutron}} = -Q_{\nu} \quad (16)$$

but not $Q_{\nu} = 0$. Thus we see that the left-handed neutrino plays a crucial role in charge quantization.

We have restricted ourselves to only the first generation in proving the above results. Clearly, if we add more quarks and leptons, the equation would remain the same in number, but the unknowns would increase. This suggests that the additional generation of quarks and leptons occurs through a higher group like $SU(2) \times U(1) \times SU(2)$ suggested by Wilczek and Zee⁶. Then charge quantization holds generation by generation.

We note that charge quantization is also obtainable in a grand unification scheme, like the $SU(5)$ scheme of Georgi and Glashow⁷ or $SU(8)_L \times SU(8)_R$ model⁸. These schemes are suggestive but not established because they require the instability of the proton. It is therefore nice to be able to understand the quantization of charge within the conventional picture.

REFERENCES

1. S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Letts. 19, 1264 (1967).
2. A. Salam, in Elementary Particle Theory, edited by N. Svartholm (Almquist and Wiksell, Stockholm, 1969) p. 367.
3. S.L. Glashow, J. Iliopoulos and L. Maiani, Phys. Rev. D2, 1285 (1970).
4. C. Bouchiat, J. Iliopoulos and Ph. Meyer, Phys. Letters 38B, 519 (1972);
D.G. Gross and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. D6, 477 (1972).
5. J.C. Pati and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. D10, 275 (1974); A. De Rujula, H. Georgi and S.L. Glashow, Annals of Phys. (N.Y.) 103, 242 (1977).
6. F. Wilczek and A. Zee, "Horizontal Interactions and Weak Mixing Angles,"
University of Princeton preprint, 1978.
7. H. Georgi and S.L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 32, 438 (1974).
8. N.C. Deshpande and P.D. Mannheim, University of Oregon preprint OITS-106.