(12) UK Patent Application (19) GB (11) 2 073 477 A

- (21) Application No 8108260
- (22) Date of filing 17 Mar 1981
- (30) Priority data
- (31) 80/10559
- (32) 28 Mar 1980
- (33) United Kingdom (GB)
- (43) Application published 14 Oct 1981
- (51) INT CL³ G21F 9/06
- (52) Domestic classification **G6R** 1A10
- (56) Documents cited GB 1347927
- (58) Field of search G6R
- (71) Applicants
 British Nuclear Fuels
 Limited,
 Risley,
 Warrington,
 Cheshire, WA3 6AT.
- (72) Inventors

 Douglas Davies,

 Edward Walter Hooper.
- (74) Agents
 G.J. Owen,
 Patents Branch,
 United Kingdom Atomic
 Energy Authority,
 11 Charles II Street,
 London, SW1Y 4QP.

(54) Waste treatment

(57) In the treatment of wastes, such as liquid radioactive effluents, it is known to remove radionuclides by successive *in situ* precipitation of cobalt sulphide, an hydroxide, barium sulphate and a transition element ferrocyanide, followed by separation of the thereby decontaminated effluent.

In this invention, use is made of precipitates such as obtained above in the treatment of further fresh liquid radioactive effluent, when it is found that the precipitates have additional capacity for extracting radionuclides. The resulting supernatant liquor may then be subjected to a further precipitation treatment such as above. Decontamination factors for radionuclides of Ce, Ru, Sr and Cs have been considerably enhanced.

5

65

SPECIFICATION

Waste treatment 5 This invention relates to the decontamination of liquid radioactive effluents. Radio-nuclides present in liquid radioactive effluents such as effluents arising from the reprocessing of irradiated nuclear fuel have been precipitated for two purposes, firstly to reduce the radioactivity in the effluent to a level at which it may be discharged, for example into the sea, and secondly to concentrate the radio-nuclides into as small a volume as possible for storage purposes. British Patent Specification No 10 1,211,816 describes a method of decontaminating liquid radioactive effluents by, after optional oxidation of 10 the effluent, forming successively in situ and without separation of the respective precipitates, precipitates of ferrous sulphide and hydroxide, barium sulphate and a ferrocyanide of a transition element, the pH being between 7 and 9 at the end of the treatment, and the combined precipitates then separated from the decontaminated effluent. Part of the radio-nuclide content of the effluent thereby becomes incorporated into 15 the precipitates by processes such as adsorption on the surface of the precipitates, co-precipitation by 15 isomorphism and ion-exchange on the precipitates. When the above process is used to treat an effluent comprising a solution produced by dissolution of irradiated Mg/Zr alloy clad fuel elements in nitric acid, it is generally found that decontamination factors of about 150 may be achieved for βy-emitting radionuclides and that decontamination factors of >1000 may be 20 achieved for α-emitting radionuclides. However, in order to discharge treated effluent into the sea, 20 decontamination factors of the order of 1000 are currently required. It has now been surprisingly found according to the present invention that precipitates obtained in decontamination processes such as described before have additional capacity for incorporating radionuclides therein and that use may be made of this capacity in the decontamination of further liquid 25 radioactive effluent in order to meet the aforementioned requirements. 25 Thus, the present invention provides a method of decontaminating liquid radioactive effluents comprising (i) forming precipitates in the effluent to extract radionuclides from the effluent into the precipitates: (ii) separating the combined precipitates from the effluent; 30 (iii) contacting the separated combined precipitates with fresh liquid radioactive effluent thereby to 30 extract radionuclides from the fresh effluent into the combined precipitates; and (iv) removing the supernatant effluent resulting from step (iii) and forming precipitates therein to extract radionuclides from the effluent into the precipitates. The precipitates may then be separated to give a decontaminated effluent. 35 In certain test experiments, Decontamination Factors of radionuclides of Cs, Sr and Ce were improved by a factor of 2-30 by the above method in comparison with the prior art method. The invention therefore gives rise to a treated effluent which is more suitable for safe discharge than hitherto. Also, the invention makes more effective use of the capacity of the precipitates to contain radio-nuclides and is therefore capable of 40 giving rise to a reduced volume of precipitates, for example half that obtained hitherto. This is a desirable 40 aim since, ultimately, such precipitates have to be treated and stored in a safe environment. An example of a liquid radioactive effluent to which the present invention may be applied is the effluent arising as a result of the handling of irradiated fuel from the first generation of British nuclear power stations. Thus, irradiated uranium metal fuel encapsulated in a magnesium (Magnox) alloy is decanned and the 45 separated Magnox cladding dissolved in nitric acid; solids are removed and a large proportion of the U and 45 Pu content of the resulting solution is extracted by solvent extraction in accordance with methods known in the art. The remaining aqueous solution constitutes a liquid radioactive effluent and may include for example, ions of Mg, Al, Fe, Ca, U, Pu, Sb, Ce, Sr, Cs and Ru wherein the radionuclides Ce¹⁴⁴, Sr⁹⁰, Cs¹³⁷ and Ru¹⁰⁶ are particularly noteworthy as radioactive contaminants. The Mg and Al arise from the canning material. The Fe and Ca arise from incidental additions during 50 storage and the U and Pu are constituted by residual fuel which adhered to the Magnox cladding during the mechanical decanning process and which was incompletely extracted during the above mentioned solvent extraction process. The Sb, Ce, Sr, Cs and Ru arise as fission products of the original irradiation process. The precipitates produced in step (i) and step (iv) may be precipitates known in the art for removing 55 radioactive nuclides from liquid radioactive effluents and, as is known in the art, their order of production 55 and the conditions under which they are produced (such as pH) may be selected to optimise the removal of particular radionuclides from the effluent. The selection of precipitates and their conditions of production will be determined by the composition of the liquid radioactive effluent. Where the effluent arises from the first generation of British nuclear power stations and has a constitution as indicated above, sulphate ions 60 may be added initially so that BaSO₄ may subsequently be precipitated. A transition metal (eg Ni) 60 ferrocyanide may then be precipitated; this removes Cs, probably by an ion exchange mechanism. Sulphide ions may next be provided and Ba++ ion added to precipitate BaSO₄ and remove SR by isomorphous

precipitation of SrSO₄. Co⁺⁺ ions may be added to precipitate CoS which removes Ru by ion exchange. Al(OH)3 will be precipitated at some stage during addition of OH ions and it is believed that this probably

65 removes Ce, possibly by a co-precipitation or by adsorption onto the AI(OH)3.

5

It has been found that increasing the pH to about 6.5 during step (iii) increases the decontamination factors of Ce, Sr, Cs and Ru.

The invention will now be particularly described by way of example only as follows:

5	Examp	ole

A volume (100 to 200 ml) of simulated liquid radioactive effluent solution in 0.5M HNO₃ and of the following composition was prepared:-

•	Mg	20 g/1	Cs 1.16 mg/l		
10	Al	0.14 g/l	Sr 0.35 mg/l		10
	Fe	0.35 g/l	Ru 0.95 mg/l	,	é
15	Ca	0.5 g/l	Ce 0.97 mg/l		⁵ 15

The listed elements were, of course, present in ionic form. Small quantities of the radioactive nuclides Cs¹³⁷, Sr⁸⁵, Ru¹⁰³ and Ce¹⁴⁴ were added in the form of their chlorides, ie the solution was 'spiked'.

20 Step (i)

The simulated solution was then treated by the following additions in sequence: $-SO_4^-$, OH^- , Ni^{2+} and Fe(CN)₆⁴⁻, OH⁻, S⁼, Ba²⁺, Co²⁺, OH⁻, floculating aid. This gave precipitates including Ni₂Fe(CN)₆, BaSO₄ and CoS.

After 11/2 hours settling, the decontamination factors in respect of Ce, Ru, Sr and Cs were determined by 25 standard methods and then additional Ba²⁺ together with a floculating aid added to precipitate further BaSO₄. After 1½ hours settling, the decontamination factors were again determined.

Step (ii)

The supernatant liquor was drawn off to separate the precipitates.

The precipitates were stirred for 1 hour with a similar volume of fresh simulated liquid radioactive effluent, the pH having been adjusted to 6.5 to precipitate hydroxides of Al and Fe. After 2 hours settling, the decontamination factors were again determined.

35 Step (iv)

The supernatant liquor from step (iii) was drawn off, re-spiked and subjected to the same treatment as described above in step (i),

A final measurement of decontamination factors was carried out after a still further settling of 2 hours. The decontamination factor (or DF) values obtained are summarised in the table below in respect of two separate experiments, designated experiments 1 and 2 respectively. A decontamination factor in respect of a particular nuclide is the ratio of its total activity present before and after a particular treatment. It should be noted that, in view of the low concentration of the radionuclides in solution, the statistical accuracy of the decontamination factors is not high and that there is necessarily some scatter of results from one experiment 45 to another. The steps referred to in the table correspond to those in the above description, and the

measurements were taken at the stages described above.

20

25

30

35

40

herein described.

	R	adionucli	de and E	xperiment	Number					
		Се		Ru		Sr		Cs		
5	Step									5
		1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	
10	(i) before Ba ²⁺ addition after Ba ²⁺ addition	263 1380	383 1400	1600 1910	1145 859	44 1560	52 1390	740 2130	926 1900	10
1 <u>.</u>	(iii)	102	103	491	554	28	25	487	584	15
20	(iv) before Ba ²⁺ addition after Ba ²⁺ addition final measurement	66 322 372	101 277 319	115 124 124	97 85 91	87 326 304	53 245 318	227 157 167	245 123 140	20
25	Overall D F Product of steps (iii) and (iv)	oduct of steps ~35,000		~50,000		~8,000		~75,000		· 2 5
20	Additional experiments have been carried out which show that in a process according to the present invention, considerable economies can be made in the use of precipitating reagents, whilst still producing a final effluent with decontamination factors within permissable limits for discharge of the effluent into the sea.								· 2 5	
30	CLAIMS									30
	A method of decontam	inating lic	quid radio	active efflu	ients com	prising the	steps of			
35	forming precipitates in the effluent to extract radionuclides from the effluent into the precipitates; (ii) separating the combined precipitates from the effluent; (iii) contacting the separated combined precipitates with fresh liquid radioactive effluent thereby to						35			
40	extract radionuclides from the fresh effluent into the combined precipitates; and (iv) removing the supernatant effluent resulting from step (iii) and forming precipitates therein to extract radionuclides from the effluent into the precipitates.							40		
45	 A method according to claim 1 further comprising separating decontaminated effluent from the precipitates formed in step (iv). A method according to claim 1 or 2 comprising adding sulphate ions, sulphide ions, cobalt ions, hydroxide ions and ferrocyanide ions to the effluent in steps (i) and (iv). 						45			
ų TÜ	 4. A method according to claim 1, 2 or 3 comprising adjusting pH to about 6.5 during step (iii). 5. A method of decontaminating liquid radioactive effluents according to claim 1 and substantially as 							-10		