COSMOLDONY

IC/80/100 ENTERNAL REPORT (Limited distribution)

International Atomic Energy Agency and /2010 United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization

and the second sec

3676/80

INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

DO NEUTRON STARS DISPROVE MULTIPLICATIVE CREATION

IN DIRAC'S LARGE NUMBER HYPOTHESIS? *

Asghar Qadir ** International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy,

and

Asif A. Mufti Mathematics Department, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan.

ABSTRACT

Dirac's cosmology, based on his large number hypothesis, took the gravitational coupling to be decreasing with time and matter to be created as the square of time. Since the effects predicted by Dirac's theory are very small, it is difficult to find a "clean" test for it. Here we show that the observed radiation from pulsars is inconsistent with Dirac's multiplicative creation model, in which the matter created is proportional to the density of matter already present. Of course, this discussion makes no comment on the "additive creation" model, or on the revised version of Dirac's theory.

> MIRAMARE - TRIESTE July 1980

To be submitted for publication.

** Permanent address: Mathematics Department, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan.

Dirac's cosmology is based on his large number hypothesis $\frac{1}{2}$ (L.N.H.) which is the assumption that if two large numbers are comparable there is some deep underlying physical reason and not a mere accident. It turns out that the ratio of the estimated age of the Universe, t_p , to e^2/mc^3 (m,e being the mass and charge of the electron, and c the speed of light) is 7×10^{39} . Also, the ratio of the electric to gravitational force between an electron and a proton, e^2/G Mm (M being the proton mass and G the gravitational "constant") is 2 × 10³⁹. Further, the estimated number of nucleons in the Universe. N. is of order 10^{10} . On the basis of his L.N.H. Dirac takes G to vary inversely with time and N to vary as the square of time. For total conservation of energy he invokes a "negative energy sea" which is not observable. (Incidentally, this problem of matter creation does not arise in Dirac's revised theory $\frac{3}{2}$.) Dirac then constructs two models depending on whether matter is created uniformly through all space (additive creation) or more where more matter is present (multiplicative creation). In the one case astronomical distances scale up while in the other case they scale down, with time $1^{(1),2}$. This fact provides a test of the theory in principle by observing solar system distances over sufficient periods of time. Unfortunately, the test is not very "clean" as there could be many other factors responsible for such effects at the type of accuracy required of 10⁻¹⁰ every two years.

Before going on to consider a "clean" test of multiplicative creation in L.N.H. let us consider the conclusions of the hypothesis. It <u>can</u> be argued that e^2/G Mm is fundamental as matter is composed of atoms whose structure depends on the forces between protons and electrons. However, there are as to be no reason to prefer $t_p(mc^3/e^2)^{-1}$ to $t_p(Mc^3/e^2)^{-1}$ which is 4×10^{42} or the ratio of t_p to the Planck time $\sim 10^{61}$, or $(mc^3/e^2)^{-1}$ to Planck time $\sim 10^{21}$, etc. Considering the number of dimensionless quantities that can be constructed in this way it is inevitable that two or three of them are comparable to an order of magnitude. Whereas an <u>exact</u> agreement of two forty digit numbers <u>is</u> unlikely, an order of magnitude agreement has a probability of one in forty only. If more than forty such dimensionless constants can be found then there is a certainty that at least two will agree to an order of magnitude! For this reason one may have difficulties in accepting the L.N.H. as such, but this does not in any way disprove Dirac's cosmology with varying G and creation of matter.

To obtain a "clean" test of the theory we need some phenomenon in a critical state where very small effects would make a very big difference. For multiplicative creation such a critical situation is provided by neutron stars. They radiate away a small fraction of their mass, and the effect of

-2-

this mass loss can be observed. If they were also "accreting" matter due to multiplicative creation, the mass loss would be drastically altered. Relying on the observed data we can see what limits would be imposed on the neutron star mass. Let us consider this point in more quantitative detail.

Since multiplicative creation requires an increase of mass proportional to the mass and the square of the time, we must have

$$\mathbf{m}_{t_1}/t_1^2 = m_{t_2}/t_2^2$$
 (1)

Thus the mass at time t can be expressed in terms of the mass at the present time by

$$\mathbf{m}_{t} = \mathbf{m}_{t} \left(t^{2} / t_{p}^{2} \right) . \tag{2}$$

Thus the ratio of change of the mass of a neutron star due to multiplicative creation at the present time is

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{m}_{t}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{t}}\right)_{t=t_{p}} = \left(\frac{2\mathrm{m}_{t_{p}}}{\mathrm{p}}\right)_{t=t_{p}} . \tag{3}$$

According to the "traditional" neutron star model of pulsars 4),5) their energy loss was due to dipole radiation. It is well known now 6 that there are also other mechanisms responsible for energy loss

$$\frac{dE_{rad}}{dt} = \frac{dE_{rot}}{dt} + \Delta E/dt , \qquad (4)$$

where dE_{rad}/dt is the total energy radiated, dE_{rot}/dt is the rotational energy loss given by

$$dE_{\rm rot}/dt = I\omega\dot{\omega}$$
 (5)

(I being the moment of inertia of the neutron star, ω its frequency and $\hat{\omega}$ the rate of decrease of frequency) and $\Delta E/dt$ is the non-rotational energy that could be radiated.

Putting multiplicative creation into the picture we get the total energy loss $dE_{\rm m}/dt$ being given by

$$dE_{T}/dt = -dE_{rad}/dt + 2mc^{2}/t_{p} .$$
 (6)

Now, for the observed slowing down of pulsars to be consistent with Eq.(6), i.e. the left-hand side to be negative we must have

$$|dE_{rad}/dt| \ge 2mc^2/t_p \quad . \tag{7}$$

This equation gives a limit of the mass of the pulsars

$$M = t_{p} (dE_{rad}/dt)/2e^{2}$$
(8)

for a given amount of radiated energy.

Using the standard method of deducing the radiated energy from the observed energy flux $^{5)}$ on the data for 20 neutron stars $^{5),7)}$ we find that 18 of them are entirely incompatable with multiplicative creation, as we would require them to have masses less than $10^{-5} M_{\odot}$, and even down to $2 \times 10^{-8} M_{\odot}$! Of the other two one has a mass less than $0.06 M_{\odot}$, while the other is only limited by the Ruffini limit $^{4)}$. We conclude that apart from other considerations multiplicative creation of matter is ruled out by the existence of neutron stars.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

One of the authors (A.Q.) would like to thank Professor Abdus Salam, the International Atomic Energy Agency and UNESCO for hospitality at the International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste.

-4-

. .

-3-

• •

REFERENCES

- 1) P.A.M. Dirac, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A 333, 403-418 (1973).
- 2) P.A.M. Dirac, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A <u>338</u>, 439-446 (1973).
- 3) P.A.M. Dirac, "The large number of hypothesis and the Einstein theory of gravitation", preprint of the Physics Department, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida.
- 4) R. Ruffini, <u>Physics and Contemporary Needs</u>, Vol.I, Ed. Riazuddin (Plenum Publishers, 1977).
- 5) E.J. Groth, <u>Neutron Stars, Black Holes and Binary X-Ray Sources</u>,
 Eds. H. Gursky and R. Ruffini (D. Riedel Publishing Co., 1979).
- A. Qadir, R. Ruffini and L. Violini, Lettere al Nuovo Cimento <u>27</u>, 381-384 (1980).
- D. ter Haar, Phys. Rep. <u>3C</u>, 57-125 (1972).

Serial No.	Pulsar PSR	Distance» ¥ 10 ²¹ cms.	Observed energy flux × 10 ³¹ egrs./sec.	м * 10 ²⁷ gms.	м/м × 10 ⁻⁶
	0.208				
	U320	3.1	2.0	3.3	1.6
2	0527	5.0	0.3	0.5	0.25
3	0532*	6.1	5 × 10 ⁶	8.3 × 10 ⁶	4.1 × 10 ⁶
4	0628	0.6	0.5	0.83	0.4
5	0808	0.4	0.03	0.05	0.02
6	0823	2.8	0.3	0.5	0.2
7	0833 #	1.5	7 × 10 ⁴	1.2 × 10 ⁵	0.6 × 10 ⁵
8	0834	1.2	1.0	1.7	0.8
9	0950 ⁻	0.2	10.0	17.0	0.08
10	1133	0.4	1.0	1.7	o.8
ш	1237	1.5	0.2	0.33	0.16
12	1506	1.2	5.0	8.3	4.1
13	1642	0.5	3.0	5.0	2.5
14	1749	3.3	1.0	1.6	0.8
15	1919	0.8	0.2	0.33	0.16
16	1929	0.5	3.0	5.0	2.5
17	1933	6.1	4.0	6.7	3.8
18	2015	3.0	0.02	0.03	0.016
19	2045	1.2	0.05	0.08	.0.041
20	2218	4.3	4.0	6.6	3.3
E 4					

Table I

The distance and the observed energy flux of 20 pulsars is given. On the basis of Eq.(8) and this data, the calculated upper mass limit, M, is given in grams and solar masses. The pulsars marked with a * are not inconsistent with multiplicative creation, but the others are --- even if we allow neutron stars with mass as little as 10^{-5} Mg :

CURRENT ICTP PREPRINTS AND INTERNAL REPORTS

IC/79/163	G. GELMINI: The s-wave QcQc states.	IC/80 /16	AHMED OSMANT: Four-body problem for four bound alpha particles in 160.			
10/79/165	S. MARCULESCU and L. MEZINCESCU: Phase factors and point-splitting in supersymmetry.	1C/80/17 INT.REP.*	NAMIK K. PAK: Introduction to instantons in Yang-Mills theory (Part I).			
IC/79/166	A. FASOLINO, G. SANTORO and E. TOSATTI: Instability, distortion and dynamics of the W(100) surface.	IC/80/18 INT.REP.	RIAZUDDIN: Neutral current weak interaction without electro-weak unification.			
IC/79/168	T.D. PALEEV: The trace formulae for realizations of Lie algebras with A-operators. Analysis of the Fock representations.	IC/80/19	N.S. CRAIGIE, S. NARI SON and RIAZUDDIN: An apparent inconsistency between the Dyson and renormalization group equations in QCD.			
IC/80/1	W. KRÓLIKOWSKI: Towards a dynamical preon model.	IC/80/20 INT.REP.*	W.I. FURMAN and G. STRATAN: On alpha decay of some isomeric states in Po-Bi region.			
		IC/80/23	P. ROZMEJ, J. DUDEK and W. NAZAREWICZ: Fossible interplay between non- axial and hegadecapole degrees of freedom - An explanation for "enormously" large Q ₄ ?			
		1C/80/24	REVISED			
IC/80/5 INT.REP.*	RIAZUDDIN: Two-body D-meson decays in non-relativistic quark model.	IC/80/25 INT.REP.*	G. MAIELLA: Path-integral measure and the Fermion-Bose equivalence in the Schwinger model.			
IC/80/6 INT.REP.*	G. ALBERI, M. BLESZYNSKI, T. JAROSZEWICZ and S. SANTOS: Deuteron D-wave and the non-eikonal effects in tensor asymmetries in elastic proton-	IC/80/26	N.S. CRAIGIE, S. NARISON and RIAZUDDIN: A critical analysis of the electromagnetic mass shift problem in QCD.			
10/80/7	A.M. KURBATOV and D.P. SANKOVICH: On the one variational principle in guardum statistical machanics	IC/80/27 INT.REP.*	D.K. CHATURVEDI, U. MARINI BETTOLO MARCONI and M.P. TOSI: Mode-coupling theory of charge fluctuation spectrum in a binary ionic liquid.			
IC/80/8	G. STRATAN: On the alpha decay branching ratios of nuclei around $A = 110$.	IC/80/28	ABDUS SALAM: Gauge unification of fundamental forces (Nobel lecture).			
10/80/9	N.Y. AYOUB: Effect of high lying states on the ground and few low lying excited 0^+ energy levels of some closed-shell nuclei.	TC/80/20	P. NOTADOV. P. WADTAKOV. and V. ANGOVOVA - Mich. and a structure in a			
10/80/10	L. FONDA, N. MANKOC-BORŠTNIK and M. ROBINA: The decay of coherent rotational states subject to random quantum measurements.	10/00/30	H. SUJARDY, E. MAIDAROV and V. ASTOROVA: High spin structure in a coupled bands model. AHMED OSMAN: Rearrangement collisions between four identical particles as a four-body problem.			
IC/80/11	G.C. GHIRARDI, V. GORINI and G. PARRAVICINI: Spatial localization of quantum states and physical meaning of the marix elements of the resolvent operator:	10/00/11				
IC/80/12 INT.REP.*	M.V. MIHAILOVIC and M.A. MACARAJAN: A proposal for calculating the importance of exchange effects in rearrangement collisions.					
IC/80/13	A. BULGAC, F. CARSTOIU and O. DUMITRESCU: Double folded Yukawa interaction potential between two heavy ions.		I.Zh. PETKOV and M.V. STOITSOV: On a generalisation of the Thomas-Fermi method to finite Fermi systems.			
1C/80/14 INT.REP.*	W. KRÓLIKJWSKI: Lepton and quark families as quantum-dynamical systems.	141.101."				

.

* Internal Reports: Limited distribution THESE PREPRINTS ARE AVAILABLE FROM THE PUBLICATIONS OFFICE, ICTP, P.O., BOX 586, I-34100 TRIESTE, ITALY.

11

÷

-i-

- E. F. L. Marger

1.11

-ii-

... .

T

. . . .

ž

1

1

10/80/37	A.N. ANTONOV, V.A. NIKOLAEV and I.Zh. PETKOV: Nucleon momentum and density distributions of nuclei.	IC/80/61 INT.REP.*	K.G. AKDENIZ and O. OGUZ: A new class of meronic solutions.
IC/80/40 INT.REP.*	W. KROLIKOWSKI; An integral transform of the Salpeter equation.	IC/80/63 INT.REP.*	H.B. SINGH and H. HAUG: Optical absorption spectrum of highly excited direct gap semiconductors.
INT.REP.*	and 12 C.	IC/80/64 INT.REP.*	W. KLONOWSKI: Living protein macromolecule as a non-equilibrium metastable system,

IC/80/65 INT.REP.* IC/80/66

INT.REP.*

IC/60/44 A. OSMAN: Two-nucleon transfer reactions with form factor models.

IC/80/45 E.W. MIELKE: Towards exact solutions of the non-linear Heisenberg-Pauli-INT.REP.* Weyl spinor equation.

IC/80/46 N.S. CRAIGIE: Catastrophe theory and disorders of the family system. INT.REP.*

- IC/80/53 R. BECK, M.V. MIHAILOVIC and M. POLJSAK: Calculation of nuclear reaction
 - parameters with the generator co-ordinate method and their interpretation.

10/80/58	S. YOKSAN:	Spatial	variations	of	order	parameter	around Kondo	impurity
INT.REP.*	for T f T_c.							

- IC/80/59 J.K.A. AMUZU: Sliding friction of some metallic glasses. INT.REP.*
- IC/80/60 Z1-Zhao GAN and Guo-Zhen YANG: A theory of coherent propagation of INT.REP.* light wave in semiconductors.

.

I

•

H.G. REIK: An approximation to the ground state of E & ϵ and $r_8 \oplus r_2$ Jahn-Teller systems based on Judd's isolated exact solutions.

A.N. ERMILOV, A.N. KIREEV and A.M. KURBATOV: Spin glasses with non-

Gaussian distributions. Frustration model.

• • •

1