

$FRR20 3066$

LAPP-TH-57 March 4, 1982

Lι

A LOWER LIMIT ON THE EFFECTIVE MASS OF VALENCE GLUQNS IN BARYONS

H. Hogaasen, LAPP, Annecy-le-Vieux, France and University of Oslo, Norway

and

J, Wroldsen University of Oslo, Norway

A B S T R A C T

To explain the results of a P matrix analysis of KN scattering data we invoke the effects of one gluon quark antiquark annihilation. The sign of the resulting force is then giving a lower limit on the mass of valence gluons in baryons.

 \mathbf{r}

 $\ddot{=}$

Ą,

pedicated to Professor H. Wergeland on the occasion of his
on the occasion of his
70th birthday on the occasion of his 70th birthday

Hadronic spectroscopy is generally veil understood «hen one assumes that mesons are laade of quark-antiquark pairs and baryons of three quarks.

Inside QCD one would expect states that are more complex. Not only is there place for the mesons and baryons that we get by adding extra qq pairs to the usual configurations but one would also expect to see states with valence gluons.

There has indeed been great excitement about the possibility that glueballs i.e. colour singlet states made up of two colour octet gluons have already been r observedI » **'** .

In this letter we shall not be able to present any direct evidence for states **with valence gluona but we shall try to give a lower limit on the effective gluon** mass in baryons. Our assumption will be that there are s wave multiquark states **observed, either as primitives or_ as resonances, and from the experimental fact** that the hypercharge zero isovector state is heavier than the corresponding iso- scalar $\overline{J}^P = 1/2$ ^{\overline{I}} state wa shall deduce a lower mass for the qqqG states.

Let us take the least controversial view, namely that multiquark s-wave sates can be seen as primitives²⁾: due to colour confinement the wave function of the **quarks in the hadron vanishes at a certain (bag) radius R. In the collision c two hadrons it is then natural to look for states which reflect this vanishing oc the internal wave function at a distance b between the CM coordinates of the two hadrons. This is done with the P (or F) metric which has poles when the radial wave function vanishes at a predetermined value of r i.e. r • b. The S matrix is defined by separating the wave function in outgoing and incoming waves and caking the ratio of their coefficients. The F matrix on the other hand is defined by separating the wave function in parts that do not vanish for r • b and parts that da vanish for r • b and caking the ratio of their coeffi**cients. This is (in the elastic case) equivalent to define $P(E) = \frac{1 + F(E)}{E}$ by the logarithmic derivative of the wave function at the point $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{b} : \frac{\mathbf{u}_k(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{E})}{\mathbf{v}_k(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{E})} = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{E})$ **Here P(E)** is the P matrix²⁾, F(E) Feshbach and Lomons F matrix³⁾ and u_g(r,E) **is the solution of the radial Schrodinger equation.**

The P matrix is of course determined by the S matrix and vice versa. A pole in the P matrix at a definite energy E - E, then signals that the wave function vanishes at a radius r - b and Chis vanishing can have a dynamic origin. It can reflect the confinement mechanism of the coloured quarks and if this is so the value of b that gives us information about confinement should lie between **P.** and 2R "where R is the bag⁴⁾ radius of a single hadron bag. Jaffe and Low **suggest to use a value b • 1.4 H and show chat the values of the energy where the corresponding P matrix for nr scattering has poles indeed correspond to** energy eigenstates as calculated in the MIT bag⁴⁾.

 $\ddot{ }$

 $\mathbf{1}$

This is very interesting indeed, because it gives a signature even for states that are so shortlived chat they are practically part of the continuum. In the case when resonances are narrow and the phase shift rapidly varying, the pelas in the S and P matrix are at almost the same energy. There is today probably no genuine multiquark meson state seen in the S matrix, but in the P matrix they **show their signature as poles, both in the nonexotic and exotic sector.**

The P matrix analysis has also been done in the dibaryon sector⁵⁾ and in **the meson-baryon seetor .**

This last case is of particular interest for us. Roiesnal⁶⁾ has analysed the KN, π N and KN elastic scattering and found rather good correspondence between **poles in the P matrix and bag model eigenstates for the Q**Q system in an overall** $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ wave with $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\text{P}} = 1/2$.

One disagreement however is striking.

 $\overline{}$

Whereas the bag model $7-9$ predict the lowest $Y = 0$ $J^2 = 1/2$ $I = 1$ and **1 * 0 states Es and A; to be degenerate,the experimental analysis find that Is is (at least) 90 MeV heavier than A5, the mass of A5 in the P matrix** analysis is 1.45 GeV. One could believe - and one of us did so - that this is **easily understood because there is an effective flavour symmetry breaking in the colour magnetic interaction» This is th< the elegant, explanation of the S-A mass** difference in the $J^P = 1/2^+$ Q³ sector.

Numerically $M_{\nu} - M_{\lambda} = 75$ MeV and this is of the same order that is neces**sary** to explain the $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ mass difference in the $J^2 = 1/2^2$ Q⁴ \overline{Q} sector that we discussed. Unfortunately an explicit calculation^{11,12} shows that even **allowing for flavour symmetry breaking in th - olaurmagnetic interaction the mass** degeneracy of A_S and E_S persist. As A_S and E_S fall in the same flavour **multiplet it would be awkward to invoke that big differences in the spatial wave function give this mass difference. He are therefore forced to seek another explanation and this is readily at hand.**

In multiquark states there is often an interaction between a quark and an **antiquark of the same flavour which is absent in ordinary mesons, namely the annihilation potential**¹³,¹⁴) originating from \overline{Q} annihilation into a single **gluon. In mesons which are QQ colour singlets this force (which has its QED analogue in the *S repulsive annihilation potential of positroniun) is necessarily absent, since the gluon transforms under the eight dimensional representation** of Su^{colour}. In $\sqrt{q^2}$ mesons and $Q^*\bar{Q}$ baryons there is however often a component of the wave function where $Q\overline{Q}$ pairs form a colour octet, flavour singlet $J^P = I^$ **subsystem, and pair annihilation will induce a force which should have observable effects. Tentative phenomenological applications of this genuine quantum**

 $\overline{\mathbf{2}}$

field effect for gluons has been given for high mass baryons and for $J^P = 0^+$ **Q 2 q ² mesons. For q ² ^ ² mesons this gives the desirable mixing ³ ~ 1 5 >⁰ f states with and without hidden strangeness (as pairs) that explains how \$(980) and** S["](980) can have similar couplings to π and KK channels²⁾. Indications are **chat the annihilation potential is attractive but the analysis is rather messy and complicated. In the s wave q**Q sector we have an ideal system to explore** the annihilation force by analysing how it influences the two states A_5 and Σ_5 .

It clearly has to be such that ϵ_5 is becoming heavier than Λ_5 . We should **note that this result which is based on the P matrix analysis of KH scattering** is true also if we assume (but not believe) that $Q^4\vec{Q}$ states show up as resonances (in the S matrix). There are J^2 **=** $1/2^2$ Υ **=** 0 Υ **=** 0 states at 1405 MeV and **1670 MeV** well below the lightest corresponding $J = 1/2$ $Y = 0$ $\Sigma(1750)$ \sim

To begin we shall restrict our modal Fock-space to Q^Q states» and only at the end make some comments to what happens if we include discrete Q^3G states where Q^3 is a colour eight QQQ system and G is a valence gluon.

The colour magnetic Hamiltonian over colour spin space is

$$
B_{CM} = -\frac{r}{i^2 j} c_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j \lambda_i \lambda_j
$$

where σ_z and λ_z are the generators of spin and colour transformations of **particle i. The summation is over all pairs of particles (quarks and antiquark) in Che hadron. The coefficients axe equal in the flavour symmetry limit, with flavour symmetry breaking we distinguish the interaction between noustrangenonacrange (qq) , nonstrange-strange (qa) and strange-strange (as) quartes. Typical values of the coefficients** C_{ij} that we use are: $C_{i0} \equiv C_{0}$ = 18 MeV, **ij qq o C 3 C, -» It MeV , C " î C, » 7 KeV. qs** *^l* **as •£**

Zn the case where we use as a basis magically mixed flavour states for T = 0 J^2 = 1/2^{$-$} I = 0 and i H_{CM} is represented by a 5 \times 5 matrix¹¹,¹²) **which in the flavour symmetric limit reduces to a 2* 2 dimensional matrix. (This is for states where there is no hidden strangeness, they form the lowest lying states in this flavour sector.)**

N f,

Э

The matrices for relevant $I = 0$ and $I = I$ states are identical so all five $I = 0$ energy eigenstates for $qqqs\bar{q}$ states with $J = 1/2^-$ are degenerate **with an appropriate I • 1 state.**

When annihilation is included we get an additional piece in the Hamiltonian B. and qq^sq and qqsss states will mix, making our inviriant model space 12 dimensional for Σ_5 like states, 9 dimensional for A₅ states. As in ref. 13) **we define the action of the annihilation potential on colour octet spin 1 QQ**

3

states to be

 \Rightarrow

$$
B_A \mid Q\overline{Q} > \begin{bmatrix} B_0 & B_0 & B_1 \\ B_0 & B_0 & B_1 \\ B_1 & B_1 & B_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u\overline{u} \\ d\overline{d} \\ g\overline{u} \end{bmatrix}
$$

If the gluoas had been free massless particles we should have a direct parameter free analogy with the Pirenne annihilation potential in positronium and $B_1 = 6 C_2$ where C is the strength parameter in the colour magnetic Hamiltonian¹³⁾ For a bound (confined) gluon however, depending on whether the gluon mode has a **smaller or larger effactive mass than the QQ pair tba annihilation interaction is repulsive or attractive** $\begin{pmatrix} 14 & 15 \end{pmatrix}$ ($\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ or ≤ 0). We therefore express B_1 **as B. " a C. and. let a vary to study the effect** *of.* **the annihilation on the** states Λ_5 and Σ_5 . The result for the lowest lying Λ_5 and Σ_5 states is **shown on fig. I where the Ag mass bas been normalized to 1,45 GaV which is** the energy where the I-O 7-0 P matrix has a pole. From the figure it is obvious that. **annihilation has to be attractive. This is in agreement with the earlier estimates. Moreover, if M(Es) - M(Ag) ^a 100 Metf B.* -3C. and for oonatranga quarlcs then B = -60 HaV. This is therefore even quantitatively the same value that was** favoured in an analysis of 0 Q²Q² masons and baryons colour isomers '' ',

As we said before, the attractive annihilation shows ehae the effective gluon mass M(G) in a baryon is greater than the effective mass of a qq pair which is » 720 MeV. fle therefore have the inequality M(G) > 750 HeV, a result that does not seem unreasonable.

To be sure that we do not make a preposterous claim, the same problem was repeated in a different manner.

The model Fock-space was enlarged to include three discrete states Q³ G with valence gluons G both for isospin 0 and I. The annihilation term H, now has first order matrix elements becween the Q^U Q and Q³ G subspaces whereas the colournagnetic and mass terms have $Q^4\overline{Q}$ and Q^3G as two invariant subspaces. **Diagonalization of the 15 * 15 I - I and 12 x 12 I » 0 energy matrices was done for a varying effective gluon mass and the result was as follows:**

ĩ

For a very low effective gluon mass M(G) *<* **500 MeV the lightest states both** for $I = 0$ and $I = I$ were mainly Q^3G states with little mixing of $Q^4\bar{Q}$ com**ponents. The lowest I • 0 state was always lighter than the Lowest I - 1 state, For* the states where the Q^Q component was dominant the situation was quite different.**

The lowest As state was below the lowest *Z\$* **state only if the effective**

mass of the valence gluon was bigger than 800 MeV.

S

Þ

As the P matrix polas found in KN scattering occurs at energies that correspond quite closely to the energy of $Q^4\overline{Q}$ states it would surprise us **enormously if they ara tha result of Q³ G states. If they indeed are mainly Q^Q states our earlier conclusion is therefore unchanged.**

To summarize then: if tha P matrix pole in the 1* 0 KN scattering amplitude is due to a Q'+q state and not a Q³ G state the effective mass of the gluon in a baryon has to be bigger than $750-800$ MeV. The lowest $0³G$ **state is therefore heavier than [500 MeV.**

s

- **1) F.E. Close, Rutherford preprint R.L.-81-066, Talk at ch« Liaboa Conference**
- 2) R.L. Jaffe and F.E. Low, Phys. Rev. D19 (1979) 2105.
-
- 4) T.A. De Grand, R.L. Jaffe, K. Johnson and J. Kiskis, Phys. Rev. D12 (1975) **4) T.A. Da Grand, R.L. Jaffe, K. Johnson and J. Kiakis, Phys. Rev. D12 (197S)**
- 5) R.L. Jaffe and M. Shatz, CalTech preprint CALT-68-775 (1980).
- 6) C. Roiasnel. Phys. Rev. D20 (1979) :646.
- 7) H. Högmasen and P. Sorba, Nucl. Phys. B145 (1978) 119.
- **7) E. Uôgaaaen and 7. Sorba, Nucl. Phys. B145 (1978) 119.**
- 9) P.J. Mulders, A.T. Aerts and J.J. de Swart, THEF-NYM-78.3 Nijmagen.
- 10) A. de Rujula. E. Georgi and S.L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. D12 (1975) 147.
- 11) J. Wroldsen, University of Oslo, Physics Institute report 81/23.
- 12) R.P. Bickerstaff and B.G. Wybourne, Aust. J. Phys. 33 (1980) 951.
- 13) Chan Bong-Mo and H. Högassen, Z. Physik C7 (1980) 25.
- 14) E. Bögassen in Proceedings of the Workshop on Baryonium and other unusual Hadron States, Orsay 1979 (eds B. Nicolescu, J.M. Richard and R. Vinh Mau), Orsay 1979.

Hadron States, Orsay 1979 (eds B. Nicolescu, J.M. Richard and R. Vinh Mau),

- 15) R.P. Bickerstaff and B.H.J. McKellar, University of Melbourne UM-P-81/90.
- 16) C. Brieman et al., Rev. Mcd. Phys. 52 (1980) n° 2.
- 17) J. Wroldsen, to be published.

FIGOBE CAPTIOH

÷,

Fig. 1 The mass of Σ_5 as the annihilation contribution varies. As is **normalized to be at 1,45 GeV.**

 \mathbf{q}

 ω .

 .

 .