6 . International workshop on lase r interaction and related plasma phenomena Monterey, CA, USA 25 - 29 Oct 1982 CtA-CONF—6562

HIGH DENSITY TRANSITION LASER DRIVEN IMPLOSIONS

C. Bayer, D. Billon, M. Decroisette, D. Juraszek, D. Meynial Centre d'Etudes de Limeil, B.P. n" 27, 94190 Villeneuve-Saint-Georges, France.

INTRODUCTION

In the scheme of inertial confinement fusion, the conditions for fuel ignition have to be economically achieved by applying an isentropic compression. For laser fusion, required final densities range between 103 to 10* times the liquid fuel density *[\j .*

First experiments in the field have been often performed with so-called exploding pusher targets /2-7/ interests of which being **manyfold : easy manifacturing of the" pellet, a bare DT filled glass microballoon ; simply described behaviour _/_8—11/ lying on a strong emission of suprathermal electrons leading to a rapid increase of temperature and the explosion of the shell ; relative insensitiveness to hydrodynasic instabilities and irradiation defects, authorizing simple focusing devices ; a low areal density at the end of compression, insuring an easy detection of any core emission (neutrons, a particles and X-rays), and thus a precise analysis of the implosion.**

As final densities are low $-$ a few $1/10$ g.cm⁻³ - ..efforts **were oriented towards ablation ; the objective is to progressively compress the fuel by an unexpanded pusher, and to preserve,it from preheating.**

The two methods generally proposed for reducing preheat are : **on one hand, a high Z screen in the pusher, or at least a thicker target wall , os the other hand, a reduction of fast electron generation, obtained by lowering the irradiance or employing shorter wavelength : this last solution appears very attractive, as providing in the sase time high absorption rate and low preheat ratio ^12-15/. In any case, a precise knowledge of electron transport is**

necessary. Recent experimental and theoretical works give some evidence that fast electrons are preferentially emitted towards the underdense plasma, and trapped in a rapidly expanding suprathermal **corona ; as a consequence, temporal evolution of the preheat may differ from that of the laser pulse.**

I_n an ablative implosion, leading to high density and low tem**perature of the core (fuel and compressed pusher), and thus to high J~pdr values, conventional diagnostics such as X-rays imaging are no more suitable and other techniques like X-ray shadowgraphy ²³ or neutron activation 24 have to be developped.**

Several laboratories have been involved in so-called transition implosions, where both lengthening of the laser pulse and thickening of the pusher wall lead to a more ablative regime than the exploding pusher one 25,30. Qur first results in this field have been obtained with the Xd-glass facility C6, allowing a tetrahedral irradiation of DL£ microspheres and neon-filled microballoons 3'. The experiments ve present here, have been realized with the eight beams laser facility Octal 32,33 delivering 500 ps duration 1.06 ym pulses (Fig. 1), and plastic coated glass microballoons irradiated in cubic geometry ; high densities (10 \times P_{10} DT) achieved with the thicker **pellets have required an X-ray shadowçraphy probing system, which is described elsevere 23.**

Fig. 1 Typical laser pulse-shape recorded with an Xmacon infared camera (FWHM = 500 ps).

2

EXPERIMENTAL AXD NUMERICAL MEANS

Experimental Set-Dp

The eight f/1.5 lenses allow a nearly uniform energy deposition for $d/R = 2$, where d is the distance between best focus and target **center, and 3 cbe target radius** *1.* **Essential diagnostics mounted on the interaction chamber concern I.R. calorimetry, charged particles collection, neutron detection, and X-rays emission in the 1-10 keV range ; as previously said, X-ray shadowgraphy was developped in order to study the hydrodynamical behaviour of nearly ablative implosions, for which self emissions from the core are slightly detectable as the temperature is low and Jpdr high * .**

Targets

Experiments described here have been performed with glass mi**croballoons 120 ym in diameter, 1 ym thick, filled with equimolar D.T. at pressure up to 25 bars ; the wall thickness was increased up to 8 ym by plastic coating 32-33***%*

Numerical Restitutions have been performed with our one dimensional lagrangian code FCI1 (one fluid, two temperatures) which is provided with several subroutines allowing to simulate experimental diagnostics such as X-ray pinhole photography and time-resolved X-ray shadowgraphy ; zhe thermal heat flux is given by the harmonic mean of the classical spitzer thermal flux 34 and of the inhibited ther $mal flux Q_L$

$$
Q_{L} = f \times 0.64 \times n_e k T_e \left(\frac{kT_e}{n_e}\right)^{1/2}
$$

f is a free parameter in the code ; it has been kept equal to 5.10 for all the siculations presented here. The radiative transfer is treated by a multigroup method with a varying Eddington factor ; X-ray line emission is not taken in account.

Suprathermal electron transport is simulated by a multigroup **diffusion method with a limited flux insuring electrical neutrality at any time. Slowing down occurs through Coulomb collisions with thermal electrons. Self consistent electric field is not modeled, so fast** ions generation $(\mathbf{v} > 2.10^8 \text{ cm.s}^{-1})$ is not treated. Suprathermal dis**tribution function is assumed maxwellian, with**

$$
T_H = 62
$$
. $(\phi_i(t) \lambda^2/10^{17})^{1/2} T_e^3_{CR}(t) + T_{eCR}(t)$

where T^e CR is tbe thermal componant temperature in the vicinity of critical. In our cases, T_H was close to 10 keV.

There is presently no theoretical model providing with the fraction of absorbed energy carried away by fast electrons, which

appears thus as a second free parameter in the code ; this energy is wasted either in collision processes in the denser part of the target, or in ion acceleration in the corona.

For long laser pulses, the corona extension is large enough that the probability for a suprathermal electron to preheat the dense pellet is smal1 ; this induces a core-corona decoupling 35,

 \overline{a}

To simulate such an effect, we set in the code a preheat source decreasing ia time, the rate being adjusted to provide a best fit of experimental results (Fig. 2). For 120 ym microballoons, 8.5 Z of incident laser energy is attributed to fast electrons (i.e. 35 Z of absorbed energy) ; around 8 Z of this suprathermal energy is deposited into preheat, the remaining part is recovered into fast ions.

EXPERIMENTAL RESDLfS AND NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

Table 1.

We present here experimental results dealing with the influence of the shell thickness AR, for constant target diameter (2R), incident laser energy E_i) and DT pressure (ρ_{ODT}).

Target characteristics as well as absorption efficiencies, fast ions energy ratios and neutron yields are reported in table 1.

Numerical simulations are initiated with target anH"-laser pulse characteristics ; experimental energy balance deduced from ion and photon caloriaetry define the deposited energy ; the final fittings are carried cut by comparison with other experimental data, i.e. mainly the different X-ray emission and absorption recordings, and eventually tie neutron yields.

Focusizg conditions already mentionned insure a nearly uniform energy deposition, as evidenced by the X-ray pinhole picture (Fig. 3). **Fig. 4a présents the time resolved X-ray emission along a diameter of the bare aicroballooD (target D). Figs. 5a, 6a and 7a present the X-ray shadowgrams (time-resolved absorption picture along a diame**ter of the microballoon) for the plastic coated targets (E, F, G) ; **in this cases, core and corona self emissions are very faint, so that the main data for comparison with numerical restitutions are the time resolved X-ray absorption profiles (timings of X-ray probing with respect to the laser pulse are shown in Figs. 5b, 6b and 7b).**

Fig. 6a vas obtained vith three shots on identical targets but with different X-ray source timings (See ?ig. 6b). The transmission limits in the shadowgrams, (outlines A in Figs. 5c, 6c and 7c) are defined within a ± 5 um accuracy, due to the spatial resolution of the pinhole and to the noise in the recording, the dynamic of which (= 10) allowing not to discern strongly differing levels. Notice the double transmission profile which can be observed for the target G, whose transmission remains relatively high at the end of laser pulse (Fig. 7c).

These profiles have to be compared with the simulated isodensity contours (outlines A in Figs. 5d, 6d and 7d), defined as $I(r,t) = I_n(t) \times T(r,t) = \text{constant}$, where $I_0(t)$ stands for the **source intensity at time t, and T(r,t) for the target X-ray transmission. The X-ray source emission is assumed to be spatially uniform and to follow the same time evolution as the laser pulse :**

$$
I_0(t) = \frac{P(t - \Delta)}{P_M}
$$

where P_M is the maximum laser power, and Δ the probing delay time.

Bare Microballoon (D)

Numerical simulations for glass-DT interface r-t diagram and iso-emission contours are presented Fig. 4d ; level ratio between the highest emission zone (700 < t<850 ps) and the outer contour is s 100. Comparison with Fig. 4c brings out that :

- **the cinimum of outer X-ray emission contour radius appears at t s 850 ps, in agreement with experimental data**
- (t_{min} = 800 ± 150 ps) ;
- this time corresponds to the maximum DT compression :

of X-ray emission is well restituted.

- within the experimental uncertainties, space-time evolution of X-ray emission is well recritated

Coated targets (E, F, G)

Figs. 5d, 6d and 7d show the simulated r-t diagrams for glass-DT interface, induced shock in the fuel, and X-ray iso-transmission $(.1 < I/I_0 < .2)$.

Fig. 3 X-ray pinhole recordings for a bare microballoon 120 um **in diaaeter.**

 \mathbb{R}^2

 $\overline{7}$

Fig. A a) Tire resolved X-ray emission fcr target D ; b) Time scale relative to the incident laser pulse (L) ; c) Graphic interpretation of 4a ; d) Numerical simulation reults ; Broken lines correspond to isodensity costours, at different level.

Fig. 5 a) Time resolved X-ray shadowgram obtained with target E (upper signal : X-ray source alone lower signal : X-ray source transmission through the imploding microballoon) ; b) Time scale and relative timing of laser pulse (L) and X-ray source (X) ; c) Graphic interpretation of the absorption contour in a) ; d) Numerical simulation results (glass DT interface ;..... shock ; A«*«Iso transmission $\frac{1}{12} = 0.1$ and 0.2.

Fig. 6 a) Tiae resolved X-ray shadowgram obtained with target F (three different shocks) ; b) X-ray source timings for these experiments ; c) Graphic interpretation of the absorption contour in a) ; d) Numerical simulation results (-glass DT inter- phic interpretation of the **face ;. ..shock ; A==ci so-trans-** $\text{mission} = 0.1 \text{ and } 0.2.$ **AO**

Fig. 7 a) Time resolved X-ray shadowgram obtained with target G (three different shocks) ; b) X_j : X-ray source, timing used **in this experiment X2 : proper X-ray source timing for probing at maximum compression ; c) Gra absorption contour in a) ; d) Numerical simulation results (— glass DT interface ; ... shock Assaiso-transmission -J_ - 0.1 and 0.2.** $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$

For targets E and F, the X-ray probing time is well fitted and the minimum iso-transmission contour radius occurs at the same **time as that of the glass-DT interface (Figs. 5c, 6c).**

Experimental curves are well accounted by numerical ones within 100 ps, i.e. within the experimental accuracy. Also the probed region is close to the glass-DT interface, however, the spatial resolution is not sufficient to determine the DT compression.

For target G, the experiment (Fig. 7c) is well restituted (outlines A₁ on Fig. 7d) but the shadowgraphy timing does not allow to probe the implosion during the maximum of compression; a sup**plementary delay time of 400 ps would have been necessary, as shown** by the iso-contour simulated for Δ = 750 ps (outlines A_2 on Fig. 7d).

Experimental and calculated compression times are presented Fig. 8, versus the equivalent glass shell thickness

$$
\Delta R_{\text{SiO2.eç}} = \Delta R_{\text{SiO2}} + \frac{P_{\text{CBH1O}}}{P_{\text{SiO2}}} \quad \Delta R_{\text{CBH1O}}.
$$

Excepted target G for which timing was uncorrect, agreement is fairly good.

Fig. 8 Comparison of compression time estimated by X-ray shadowgraphs • and numerical simulation • .

Fuel thermodynamical behaviours for the different targets are presented Fig. 9 and 10, as well as temperatures and densities evolutions versus wall thickness (Fig. 11). Highest density (1.2 g.cm³) is obtained for 5.5 μ plastic coating. At last, **compared experimental and simulated neutron yields are shown Fig. 13**

Fig. 9 Fuel thermodynamical evolution for the different targets.

DISCUSSION

Uncertainties in evaluating the target performances are due to both experimental inaccuracy, and crudeness of modeling the physics in the code, particularly the absorption and transport processes. However, all the simulations have been done within identical conditions, and results can be compared as a function of the characteristic parameters of the implosion.

Progress towards a more ablative regime in order to improve Ppj and Spdr, involved :

a) A lowering of the entropy jump in the DT by suprathermal preheat and shock

The level of suprathermal preheat partly depends on the fast **electron mean free path compared to the shell thickness AR ; it can be reduced by increasing AR.**

The total preheat in the fuel T_p can be evaluated from the **DT thermodynamical diagram, as the starting temperature of the isentropic phase (Fig. 10).**

Numerical simulations show that the fraction of incident energy deposited in the fuel by suprathermals decreases when increasing the shell thickness (Table 2) ; in the same times, shock preheat is also reduced as the acceleration is lowered. As a result, T^p decreases from 7.105 to 2.105 °K (Fig. 10).

Table 2.

 \mathbf{t}^{\bullet} .

b) To improve the efficiency éf following transfers : Laser energy -> kinetic pusher energy -> Dt internal energy.

Classical ablative acceleration models ³⁶ give an hydrodynamic efficiency **where X is the ratio of the final pusher "H J - X**

mass to the initial one ; maximum η is obtained for $X = .2$. However, **such a model assumes a stationnary state, vhich is not reached in our cases : as a matter of fact, transit tine of the shock in the pusher is not snail compared to the laser pulse duration.**

Moreover, 7H depends also on the reaction pressure of the com**pressed fuel against the pusher, and thus of the suprathermal preheat.**

13

It is **the sage** for the second transfer process, the **efficiency of which depends on the** preheat of both fuel and pusher.

The evolution of the fractionnai maximum kinetic energy is reported Fig. !2a versus the equivalent shell thickness. This **target gets** its **maximum** kinetic energy at nearly the end of the **laser pulse** (800 **ps).**

Fig. 11 Final fuel density \bullet and temperature \bullet versus equivalent **shell thickness.**

ففقتها

 $\bar{1}$ $\alpha \rightarrow$

 $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$

 α

í

 $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{u}$ is

 $\alpha = \alpha = \alpha$

 α

r

b) and c) Variation of $\int \rho dR$ for the pusher and the fuel.

Compression efficiency and final performances are summarized Figs. 11 and 12. It can be observed that the maximum mean DT temperature decreases when ΔR is increased, while $\overline{\rho}_{DT}$ and $\int_{DT} \rho dR$ present **a maximum for** $\Delta R_{eq} \approx 4 \mu m$ **; also** $\int_{\text{pusher}} \rho \, dR$ **increases with** ΔR **.**

Maxima for fractionnai kinetic energy and JDTÉ^ ^R ar ^e obtained for slightly different wall thicknesses (respectively 3 and 4 ym) ; this can be due to a lower efficiency of the wall for the smaller thickness, as it is then more preheated.

Comparison between experimental and simulated neutron yield needs some comments (Fig. 13), as the second one appears generally greater. Neutron calculations are very sensitive to the physical models included in the codes, particularly those describing the energy transport processes ; thus model uncertainties make simula' tions unreliable to some extent.

- **x expérimental result**
- **A total numerical yields**
- **numerical yield at shock collapse (first burst).**

However, the discrepancy can also be due to other effects ignored by ID simulations :

- spherical three dimensional large scale effects due to **non uniform illumination**

]

n

- **hydrodynamic instabilities**
- **DT-glass mixing.**

From numerical simulations neutron appears to occur in several burst : the first occurs at the initial shock collapse ; the others during the phase of maximum concentrative. In the exploding pusher regime only the first burst exists as slowing down of the pusher is very fast. Neutron emission is then correctly evaluated ³². On the **contrary more ablative implosion shows numerically these several bursts.**

In our case, experimental results seem to indicate that only the first burst is observed (Fig. 13).

Such an effect is more clearly evidenced with large diameter high aspect ratio targets (240 < 2R_O < 340 um; 100 < Rg < 200) for **with the experimental neutron yield is close to the calculated first burst but about two order of magnitude below the total calculated yield. Disagreement is possibly attributed to upper mentionned effects wich can strongly affect the behaviour of the pusher at the end of compression.**

These fondamental issues are addressed in a theoretical paper devoted to the case of large aspect ratio microballoons *^.*

CONCLUSION

Implosion studies have been presented, the aim of which were to explore the transition between exploding and ablative regimes. Enhancement of ρ_{DT} and $(\rho R)_{DT}$ has lead to reduce the preheat fac**tors and optimize the laser—»fuel energy transfer by imploding thickened targets with intermediate laser pulse (500 ps).**

X-ray shadowgraphy has been df 'elopped, as a suitable diagnostic for ablative target probing. Numerical simulations' have been performed with a 1-D lagrangian code, a particular attention being beared on the description of suprathenaal transport.

Increasing the thickness of the target shell has been checked to increase $\int_{\text{ousher}} \rho \, \text{d} \mathbf{r}$, lower the preheat and induce a more abla**tive behaviour ; a maximum in final DT density has been observed.**

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

•

Authors thank the helpfull cooperation of D. Galmiche-and **J. Launspach ; they acknowledge the contilbution of laser manadgement, target fabrication and diagnostic teams ; they are greatly indebted** ℓ **t o 6. Coulaui, ?i Lucas and J. Bouard, H. Croso, J.P. Godefroy, J.Kobus, P. Larousse, J. Turberville for technical assistance .**

REFERENCES

;.',,,,.','"''.','''.'.'''f',',',W,^l,

l l, ^l, ^l, ^l, ^l. ^l, l li ^l,

^l,l<^l.IW1lll»IMI)llHIIII)IIIIIIIIIIMIII»l>>>(>W|iWi'|i|li

 $\ddot{}$

20. D.W. Forslund, J.U. Brackbill LA.DR 82, 1063. 21. R. Fabro, P. Mora Phys. Rev. Lett. , Vol. 90A, 48_ (1982). 22 C. Bayer et al. Anomalous Absorption Conference, Santa Fe, (1982). 23. - J. Lauaspach, D. Billon, M. Decroisette, D. Meynial Optics Communications 38, 407 (1980). - D. Billon, J. Launspach, D. Galmiche and D. Meynial X-ray shadowgraphy studies in laser experiments VIth International Workshop on Laser Interaction and Related Plasma Phenomena, Monterey 1982 24. E.M. Campbell, W.M. Ploeger, P.H. Lee and S.M. Lane Appl. Phys. Lett 36, (1980). 25. D. Billon et al. Laser Interaction and Related Plasma Phenomena, Vol. 4, (PPC 1977). **26. N.G. Basov et al. Sov. Phys. JETP 51, 2J2_ (1980). 27. A.S. Shikanov The Journal of Soviet -aser Research, 1, 128 (1980). 28. J.M. Auerbach et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 44, 1672 (1980). 29. M.H. key et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 45, 1801 (1980). 30. H. Azechi et al. Technology Reports of the Osaka University, Vol. 30, 157 (1980). 31. M. Bernard et al. A.P.S. Annual Meeting, Atlanta (1977). 32. Note C.E.A. n° 2163 (1980). 33. Note C.E.A. n*** 2230 (1981). 3*. L. Spitzer Physics of fully ionized gases (Wiley-Interscience N.Y. 1967). 35. **K.A. Bruckner, R.S. Janda Nuclear Fusion,** *]7_,* n* 6, 1265 (1977). **36. B.H. Ripin et al. Physics of Fluids, 23, 1012 (1980). 37. J.M. Dufour, D. Galmiche, B. Sitt,** Investigations of hydrodynamic stability of high aspect ratio **targets in laser experiments Vlth International Workshop on Laser Interaction and Related**

