Conf -820718 - 28 SLAC-PUB-2971 September 1982 الا به مراجع المراجع ا المراجع (T/E)

OBSERVATION OF THE RADIATIVE DECAY J/+ + Ynen***

ì

ε.

-

r

1

. .

- DISCLAIMER The reserve was prepared as an accurate of work accurate on the arrival of the reserve States Grow

terent and state a serie that is an User of the series of the series of the

Catherine Newman-Holmes

(Representing the Crystal Ball Collaboration)¹ Department of Physics Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544 and Scanford Linear Accelerator Center Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

ABSTRACT

The redistive decay J/o ~ ynrr has been observed in data taken with the Crystal Ball detector at the SPEAR e'e storage ring. In addition to the well-known n^t, the nam mass spectrum shows a broad enhancement contered at ~1700 MeV. There is no explicit evidence for the ((1440) in the num mags spectrum. We find:

 $\frac{BR(J/\psi + \gamma_1)(1 + \eta\pi\pi)}{BR(J/\psi + \gamma_1)(1 + kK\pi)} \sim 0^{-\kappa} \qquad (90\% \text{ coafidence level})$

Talk given at the XXI International Conference on High Emergy Physics Paris, France, July 26-31, 1982

3年450~PUU--2971

2003-007006

Nork supported in part by the Department of Energy, contracts DE-AGD2-76EB03072 (Princelon) and DE-AC03-768F00515 (SLAC).

^TLong version of this Conference presentation.

MASTER

Recently a resonance with mass 1440 MeV has been seen in the KEr mass spectrum from the radiative decay $J/\psi + \gamma KKr$,^{2,3} Partial wave and spin parity analyses performed by the Crystal Ball collaboration³ indicated that the state was a pseudoscalar which decayed predominantly to $\delta \pi$ with the δ decaying to KK. This state has been named $\chi(1440)$.⁴ The $\delta(950)$ also decays to $\eta \pi$ and the branching ratio for this channel might be expected to be larger than the branching ratio to KK because the δ mass is below KK threshold. One experiment⁵ finds:

$$\frac{BR(6 + \eta \pi)}{BR(6 + W_{A})} = 1.4 \pm 0.6$$

Thus we expect the 1(1440) to appear in the $\eta\pi\pi$ mass spectrum for a the process $J/\psi + \gamma\eta\pi\pi$. I will present results from a study of this process by the Crystal Ball collaboration.

The data are from a sample of 2,2 million J/ψ^*s produced in $e^+e^$ interactions at the SPEAR storage ring. The Crystal Ball detector primarily consists of a segmented spherical shell of Wal(TE) crystals covering 932 of the full solid angle. The energy resolution for photons is given by $\sigma_g/E = 0.026/E^{1/4}$ (E in GeV). The photon angular resolution is 23-40 mrad, depending on energy. An inner detector, consisting of magnetostrictive epsrk chambers and proportional wire chambers, was used to identify charged particles and measure their directions. Details of the detector performance and event selection procedures have been presented elsewhere.⁶

For this analysis, hadronic decays of the J/ϕ were selected which contained three photons and two charged particles or seven photons and no charged particles. A kinematic fit was parformed on events with three photons and two charged particles, 42% . hey case from $J/\phi + \gamma \eta e^{\frac{1}{2}\pi}$

DISTRIBUTION OF THE CONTRACTOR MONTHER

with the n decaying to $\gamma\gamma$.⁷ The seven-photon events were fit to the hypothesis $J/r^{1} = \gamma \eta \pi^{0} \pi^{0}$ assuming the n and both π^{0} 's decayed to two photons. Figure 1 shows the nmm mass distribution for events which fit the $\gamma \eta \pi^{n}$ hypothesis with confidence level greater than 1%. Both Fig. 1(a) and 1(b) show a narrow peak at 960 MeV corresponding to the decay $J/\psi + \gamma \eta^{1}$, $\eta^{1} + \eta \pi \pi$. In addition, both plots show a broad enhancement at roughly 1700 MeV. The dashed curve in Fig. 1(a) shows the $\eta \pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ spectrum expected for $J/\psi + \gamma \eta^{+}\pi^{-}$ Lorentz invariant phase space events. The enhancement seen in both $\eta \pi \pi$ spectra is unlike the phase space distribution. There is no explicit evidence for the $\tau(1440)$ though it could be contributing to the broad enhancement. I will present an upper limit for the decay $J/\psi + \gamma \eta$, $\tau + \eta \pi \pi$ later in this talk. First I will discuss the new enhancement in greater detail.

Several tests have been performed to investigate the enhancement. The possibility of a detector malfunction is unlikely as the signal appears in J/ψ data sets taken in two different running periods and is not confined to a specific region of the detector.

To study the strength of the n signal in the data, the n constraint was removed from the fit. Events with three photons and two charged particles were fit to the hypothesis $J/\psi + \gamma\gamma\gamma\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$. Figure 2 shows the $\gamma\gamma$ mass for events which fit with confidence level greater than 1%. All $\gamma\gamma$ combinations are included so there are three entries per event. Clear signals are seen for the π^{0} and η with very little background. This shows that η 's are definitely present in the data; they are not created by the kinematic fit. In addition we find that the broad enhancement in $\eta\pi\pi$ is correlated only with $\gamma\gamma$ masses in the η peak (Sig. 3).

As a further check, the kinematic fitting may be eliminated entirely. Events with three photons and two charged particles are selected, as above. Since charged particle momenta are undetermined, one cannot compute the two gamma-two charged particle mass. But the energy of the recoil photon is essentially equivalent to the two gamma-two charged particle mass. Figure 4 shows the recoil photon energy spectrum for four different $\gamma\gamma$ mass intervals. A broad bump is present in the recoil photon energy spectrum when the other two photons in the event form an η . This shows that the enhancement is not a product of the fitting procedure.

There remains the possibility that the enhancement comes from some other J/ψ decay, perhaps with one or more particles missing. Known decays of the J/ψ cannot be responsible because the sum of known J/ψ decays which contain an n is not large enough to account for the signal. For example, the decay $J/\psi + \phi \pi^+ \pi^-$, $\phi + n\gamma$, $n + \gamma\gamma$ would produce only about 25 events in our sample of 2.2 million J/ψ . With a detection efficiency of 202 we would expect to see 5 events. The number of events in the broad enhancement is about 100 times larger. Similarly, processes such as

with the π^{D} missing cannot account for an enhancement as large as that seen.

The decay $J/\psi \rightarrow \omega \eta$, with the ω decaying to $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, could produce a small contamination because the π^0 may appear as a single photon in our detector. These events contain a monochromatic η with momentum 1400 MeV and are thus easy to remove with a cut on η momentum. For this analysis,

- 4 -

events where the momentum of the η is greater than 1300 MeV have been removed.⁸

The enhancement is apparently not associated with a 6, or any other resonances in either n= or ==. Figure 5 shows Dalitz plots for $J/\psi + \gamma n = \pi^+ \pi^-$ events with $H_{n\pi^+\pi^-}$ near the center of the peak (1600 < $H_{n\pi^+\pi^-} < 1850$ MeV). The boundaries drawn are calculated for $M_{n\pi^+\pi^-} =$ 1710 NeV. There is no evidence for resonance structure in either the n= mass or the == mass. For example, the decay 6(980) \Rightarrow n= would produce bands near $H_{n\pi^-}^2 = 1.0$ GeV². No such bands are seen.

I suggest three possible interpretations for this new enhancement. First, the num mass distribution for events which contain a prompt y may be quite different from Lorentz invariant phase space. Then the enhanceman. could arise from the (nonresemant) decay of the J/ψ to a photon plus two gluons: $J/\psi + \gamma gg$. Secondly, the enhancement could be a single resonance. A third possibility is that it is a group of resonances.

The data may be fit with a single Breit-Wigner line shape. For the fit, the $\eta \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $\eta \pi^0 \pi^0$ mass spectra are fit simultaneously with the mass and width parameters constrained to be the same for both channels. A constant background was assumed for the $\eta \pi^0 \pi^0$ channel. For $\eta \pi^+ \pi^-$, we used a background determined by fitting the $\gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$ mass spectrum for events with a $\gamma\gamma$ mass combination in the η sidebands. (320 < M_{YY} < 470 MeV.) Figures 3(b) and 3(d) indicate the shape of the background. (The $\gamma\gamma$ mass intervals in Figs. 3(b) and 3(d) are wider than those used for the background determination.)

The fit has a χ^2 of 66 for 69 degrees of freedom. We find: M = 1710 ± 45 MeV and Γ = 530 ± 110 MeV where the errors include estimates of the systematic uncertainty. The detection efficiency was determined

- 5 -

by a Monte Carlo calculation to be 18% (6.6%) for $J/\psi + \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^- (\eta \pi^0 \pi^0)$, $\eta + \gamma \gamma$ for $M_{\eta \pi \pi}$ near 1700 MeV. Using the number of events in the peak as determined by the fit, one obtains the branching ratios:

$$BR(J/\psi + \gamma n \pi^{+} \pi^{-}) = 3.5 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{-3}$$
$$BR(J/\psi + \gamma n \pi^{0} \pi^{-0}) = 2.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.8 \times 10^{-3}$$

where the first error is statistical and the second systematic. These branching ratios are comparable to those of the largest known radiative decays of the J/ψ .

There is no evidence for 1(1440) in the $\eta \pi^{0.0}$ mass spectrum. The $\eta \pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ mass spectrum has a slight shoulder in the 1 region. To obtain an upper limit for the decay of the 1 to $\eta \pi \pi$, the $\eta \pi \pi$ mass spectra have been refit with an additional term for the 1(1440). The mass and width of the 1 ware fixed but the mass and width of the Breit-Wigner line shape used to describe the new enhancement were allowed to vary. The background used for the fit was the same as that used for the single-peak fit described above. The number of 1 events found by the fit is not strongly dependent on the background hypothesis because of the presence of the broad enhancement. The fitted width of the broad enhancement varies with changes in the background shape in such a way that the 1 region is not strongly affected. To further reduce the dependence on assumptions about the background, the fit may be performed using only data with $M_{\eta\pi\pi} < 2.0$ GeV. Figure 6 shows the fit which has a χ^2 of 33 for 30 degrees of freedom.

 $BR(J/\psi \Rightarrow \gamma_1)(1 \Rightarrow \eta \pi \pi) < 2 \times 10^{-3}$ (90% confidence level) For comparison, note that³

$$BR(J/\psi + \gamma \iota)(\iota + K\bar{K}\pi) = (4.0 \pm 0.7 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3}$$

- 6 -

Ξ,

In conclusion, we have observed the radiative decays $J/\phi + \gamma n \pi^+ \pi^$ and $J/\phi + \gamma n \pi^0 \pi^0$. We find

$$\frac{BR(J/\psi + \gamma_1)(\tau - \eta\pi\pi)}{BR(J/\psi + \gamma_1)(\tau + \chi\bar{\chi}_0)} < 0.5 \qquad (90\% \text{ confidence level})$$

a siteine t

.

.

.

There is a broad enhancement near 1700 WeV in the NTV mass spectrum which is produced with a substantial branching ratio. Its origin is uncertain,

REFERENCES

¹The Crystal Ball collaboration includes: C. Edwards, R. Partridge, C. Peck, F. Forter (California Institute of Technology); D. Antreasyan, Y. F. Gu, J. Irion, W. Kollmann, M. Richardson, K. Strauch, A. Weinstein (Harvard University); D. Aschman, T. Burnett (visitor), M. Cavalli-Sforza, D. Coyne, C. Neuman-Holmes, H. Sadrozinski (Princeton University); E. Bloom, F. Bulos, R. Chastnut, J. Gaiser, G. Godfrey, C. Kiesling, J. Leffler, W. Lockman, S. Lowe, M. Oreglia, D. Scharre, K. Wacker (Stanford Linear Accelerator Canter); D. Gelphman, R. Hofstadter, R. Horisberger, I. Kirkbride, H. Kolanoski, K. Königamann, R. Lee, A. Liberman, J. O'Rielly, A. Osterheld, B. Pollock, and J. Tompkins (Stanford University).

²D. L. Scharre <u>et al.</u>, Phys. Latt. <u>978</u>, 329 (1980).

³C. Edwards <u>et al.</u>, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>49</u>, 259 (1982).

⁴A pseudoscalar state has been observed previously in $\overline{p}p$ annihilation by P. Baillon <u>et al</u>. (Nuovo Cimento <u>A50</u>, 393 (1967)), who named the state E(1420). Since that time the E(1420) name has come to be associated with a 1⁴⁴ state observed in π^{p} interactions (C. Dionisi <u>et al.</u>, Hucl. Phys. <u>B169</u>, 1 (1980)). Thus we must introduce a new name for the pseudoscalar.

⁵N. R. Stanton <u>et al.</u>, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>42</u>, 346 (1979).

⁶M. J. Oreglia, Stanford Linear Accelerator Center Report No. SLAC-236, Ph.D. Thesis, Stanford University, 1980 (unpublished); F. C. Forter, CALT-68-853 or SLAC-PUB-2796, September 1981, in Proceedings of the 1981 SLAC Summer Institute on Particle Physics, Stanford, California, ed. by A. Mosher (1981).

⁷Note that the charged particle energies are determined from the constrained fit. In addition, charged pion-kaon separation is based only on the fit.

. .

⁸The process $\psi \rightarrow \omega \eta$, $\omega \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{0}$ is a background only for $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ and not for $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^{0}\pi^{0}$. Thus there is no cut on a womentum for the all-neutral events.

۰.

- Fig. 1. $\eta \pi \pi$ mass spectrum for $J/\psi + \gamma \eta \pi \pi$ events. Fig. 1(a) is $\eta \pi^+\pi^$ mass and Fig. 1(b) is $\eta \pi^0 \pi^0$ mass. The dashed curve in Fig. 1(a) shows $\eta \pi^+\pi^-$ mass spectrum expected from Lorentz invariant phase space.
- Fig. 2. YY mass for events which fit the hypothes's $J/\phi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma\pi^{+}\sigma^{-}$.
- Fig. 3. YYT mass for four different yy mass intervals.
- Fig. 4. Recoil photon energy spectrum for four different yy mass intervals. Evonts with three photons and two charged particles have been selected but no fit has been performed.
- Fig. 5. Dalitz plots for $J/\phi + \gamma \eta \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ events with 1600 < $M_{\eta \pi^{+} \pi^{-}}$ < 1850 MeV. The boundaries are calculated for $M_{\eta \pi^{+} \pi^{-}}$ = 1710 MeV. Fig. 5(b) has two entries per event.
- Fig. 6, num mass spectra as in Fig. 1. The solid curve is a fit which includes a contribution for the 1(1440) as described in the text.

1 and a 1 a state of

11 A strate data take in the state of the state



Fig. 1



-

Fig. 2

.



Fig. 3



ï

Fig. 4



Fig. 5



