

t. :•..- •

IC/SO/86 INTERNAL BEPOET (Limited distribution)

International Atomic Energy Agency

and

 \mathbb{R}^d (United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization

DENSITY OF STATES, POISSOS'S FORMULA OF SUMMATION AND WALFISZ 'S FORMULA *

Pu Fucho •* International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy.

Using Poisson's formula for summation, we obtain an expression for density of states of d-dimensional scalar Helmoholtz's equation under various boundary conditions. Likewise,we also obtain formulas of Walfisz's type. It becomes evident that the formulas obtained by Pathria et al. in connection with ideal bosons in a finite system are exactly the same as those obtained by utilizing the formulas for density of states.

> MIRAMARE - TRIESTE June 1980

• To be submitted for publication.

** Permanent address: Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Beijing, People's Republic of China.

In order to study the statistical properties of a system of finite volume, it is necessary to knov the density of states of the system. For a rectangular body satisfying the **periodic** boundary condition (PBC), Neumann boundary condition (NBC) and Dtrichlet boundary condition (DBC) for dimensionality $d = 1,2,3$, the expressions for density of states are given in flefs.l to 3. They are derived indirectly from a temporal coherent function of a finite black body. It had been pointed out ²⁾ that this expression can follow from Walfisz's formula of lattice swnmation which was known more than fifty years ago. It was clarified further in Ref.3 that the formulas for n finite system of ideal bosons obtained by Pathria et al. μ)-6) with the help of Poisson's formula of summation are exactly the same as those derived from the formula for density of states. Also, the Poisson formula itself is nothing but the one-dimensional Walfiaz formula. In this short communication we have obtained not only the general formula for density of states for any dimension d by using Poisson's formula of summation but also showed that Walfisz's type formulas can be readily derived from Poisson's formula. The method of Pathria et al. h ¹)-6) is to apply Poisson's formula to the function to be summed, while in obtaining these formulas from that of density of states we must suns the delta function at the beginning. The two methods are naturally equivalent.

Starting from the corresponding one-dimensional Poisson formula, it is not difficult to show by induction that the d-dimensional Poisson equations are

$$
\sum_{l_{1,j}\cdots l_{k}\neq -\infty}^{\infty} f(t_{i,j}\cdots,t_{k}) = \sum_{n_{1,j}\cdots n_{k}\neq -\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_{i,j}\cdots x_{k})
$$

$$
e^{i\pi i (n_{1}x_{1}+\cdots+n_{k}x_{k})} dx_{1}\cdots dx_{k}, \qquad (1)
$$

$$
\sum_{k_{1}, \dots k_{4}}^{\infty} f(x_{1}, \dots, x_{4}) = \left(\frac{\eta}{2}\right)^{4} f(x_{2}, \dots, x_{n}) + \sum_{s=1}^{4} \left(\frac{\eta}{2}\right)^{4-s} \sum_{1 \leq j_{1} \leq \dots j_{s} \leq d}
$$

$$
\sum_{k_{1}, \dots, k_{4}}^{\infty} n_{j_{1}} = -\infty \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_{1}, \dots, x_{j_{1}}, \dots, x_{j_{2}}, \dots, x_{j_{3}}, \dots, x_{j_{4}}) dx_{j_{5}}
$$

$$
\exp \left\{2 \pi i \sum_{k=1}^{5} n_{j_{1}} x_{j_{2}} \right\} \prod_{p=1}^{4} dx_{j_{p}}
$$
 (2)

- 2 -

The summation in the abeve equations are restricted to integers. In formula (2) $n = \pm 1$ and when $n = 1$ the sum at the left-hand side begins from zero, for $n = -1$, it begins from one. $f(x_1,..., x_d)$ is a function of d variables. If

$$
f(x_{p1},...,x_{pd}) = f(x_1,...,x_d)
$$
 (3)

for all permutations P applying on $(1,\ldots,d)$ and $\qquad \qquad$ From (1) it follows that

$$
f(x_1, ..., x_j, ..., x_d) = f(x_1, ..., x_j, ..., x_d)
$$
 (4)

then (2) becomes

$$
\sum_{i_1, i_4 = \frac{1}{2} \text{ } (i \cdot y)} f(t_1, \dots, t_4) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^d f(s_1, \dots, 0) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^d \sum_{s=1}^d f^s \left(\frac{d}{s}\right)
$$

$$
\sum_{x_1, x_2, x_3 = -\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_1, \dots, x_s, 0, \dots, 0) e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{d} (n_1 x_1 + \dots + n_s x_s)} dx_1 \dots dx_s
$$
 (5)

The scalar Helmholtz equation in the rectangular region $L_1 \times \cdots \times L_d$ $\frac{1}{2}$ is the internal contract of $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ and

$$
\left\{ \left(x_{i}^{k} + \cdots + x_{k}^{k} \right) + k^{k} \right\} \quad \psi \left(x_{i_{1}} \cdots, x_{k} \right) = 0 \quad . \tag{6}
$$

For PBC, the wave vector k is equal to

$$
k = \ell \pi \sqrt{\frac{l_1^{'}}{l_1^{'}} + \cdots + \frac{l_d^{'}}{l_d^{'}}}, \qquad (7)
$$

where k_1, \ldots, k_d are integers. For **NBC**

$$
k = \mathcal{R} \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4}{\ell_1^2} + \cdots + \frac{\ell_d^2}{\ell_d^2}} \qquad , \qquad (8)
$$

where $\mathbf{1}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{1}_d$ are integers from 0 to \bullet • For DBC the same formula applies but i_1, \ldots, i_d are integers from 1 to ∞ .

For PBC, the density of states $D_A(k)$ is, by definition, equal to

$$
D_{\underline{\imath}}(\underline{\mathbf{k}}) = \sum_{\underline{\imath}_{ij} \cdots \bar{\imath}_{\underline{\imath}}}^{\infty} \delta(\underline{\mathbf{k}} - \underline{\imath} \underline{\kappa} \sqrt{\frac{\underline{\imath}_{j}^{\underline{\imath}}}{\underline{\imath}_{j}^{\underline{\imath}} + \cdots + \frac{\underline{\imath}_{\underline{\imath}}^{\underline{\imath}}}{\underline{\imath}_{\underline{\imath}}^{\underline{\imath}}}}) \qquad . \qquad (9)
$$

$$
D_{d}(k) = \sum_{n_{12}, \ldots, n_{d} = -\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(k - 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{x_{1}^{2}} + \cdots + \frac{x_{d}^{2}}{x_{d}^{2}}})
$$

$$
\exp(i\pi i (n_{1}x_{1} + \cdots + n_{d}x_{d}) dx_{1} \cdots dx_{d}
$$
 (10)

Introducing the d-dimensional spherical co-ordinate system $(y, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{d-1})$ $0 \leq y \leq \infty$, $0 \leq \theta_1 \leq \pi$, $i = 1, \ldots, d-2$, $0 \leq \theta_{d-1} \leq 2\pi$ and taking θ_1 as the angle between vectors $(x, /L, ..., x)/(L_a)$ and $(L, n, ..., L, n_a)$ we have

$$
D_{d}(k) = \frac{L_{i} \cdots L_{d}}{(2\pi)^{d}} k^{d-i} Q_{d-i} \sum_{n} \int_{-1}^{1} e^{i n \hat{k} \hat{r}} \left(1 - j^{n}\right)^{\frac{d-2}{2}} dy \tag{11}
$$

where $n = \sqrt{n_1^2 n_1^2 + \cdots + n_d^2 n_d^2}$. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ represents that n_1, \ldots, n_d are taken over all integers from $-\infty$ to ∞ and Ω _{d-1} is the solid angle subtended by a $(d-1)$ dimensional sphere. From the integral representation of the Bessel function, it gives

$$
D_{4}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{L_{1} \cdots L_{4}}{(2\pi)^{4}} \mathbf{k}^{4-l} \Omega_{4} \sum_{n} \Gamma(\frac{d}{2}) \frac{J_{4-l} (n\mathbf{k})}{(n\mathbf{k}/2)^{4/l-l}} , \qquad (12)
$$

which con be written as

1. 医血管麻痹性咽喉炎 医二氯乙基 医阿尔斯氏征 医二氯甲基甲基 医甲基甲基甲基

$$
= \frac{L_1 \cdots L_d}{(z \pi)^4} k^{d-1} \Omega_d + \frac{L_1 \cdots L_d}{(z \pi)^4} k^{d-1} \Omega_d \sum_n' \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right) \frac{J_{d-1}(n\mathbf{k})}{(nk/2)^{d/2-1}} \qquad (13)
$$

the first tern is the Weyl term vhich forms the essential part and the second term is the oscillatory part. The meaning attached to each of them is evident.

-lt-

equal to For NBC and DBC, the density of states $D^{n}(k)$ is, by definition,

$$
D_{\perp}^{\uparrow}(\kappa) = \sum_{k_0 - k_1 = \frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \delta\left(\kappa - \pi \sqrt{\frac{k_1^{\prime}}{L_1^{\prime}} + \cdots + \frac{k_n^{\prime}}{L_n^{\prime}}} \right) , \qquad (14)
$$

while for NBC, $\eta = 1$ and for BBC, $\eta = -1$.

Because conditions (3) and (4) are satisfied, . we may apply Eq. (5) to obtain

$$
D_{\underline{\mathbf{a}}}^{1}(\mathbf{k}) = \left(\frac{\eta}{z}\right)^{\underline{\mathbf{a}}} \left\{\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{k}) + \sum_{s=i}^{d} \gamma^{s} \left(\frac{\underline{\mathbf{a}}}{s}\right) D_{s}(\mathbf{k} ; z_{i_{1}}, \dots z_{i_{d}})\right\} \cdot (15)
$$

Thus the density of states for NBC and DBC can be expressed in terms of that for PBC. It shouldbe noted that each aide of the rectangular is doubled in the corresponding expression for PBC. This is denoted explicitly in Eq.(15). Substituting Eq.(12) in (15) , we have

$$
D_{\perp}^{T}(\mathbf{k}) = (\frac{1}{2})^{d} \left\{ s(\mathbf{k}) + \sum_{s=1}^{d} \gamma^{s} \left(\frac{d}{s} \right) \frac{l_{1} \cdots l_{s}}{\pi^{s}} \ \mathbf{k}^{s-l} \Omega_{s} \right\}
$$

$$
\sum_{n} \Gamma \left(\frac{s}{i} \right) \ \frac{J_{\frac{1}{2}} - 1} {\left(n \mathbf{k} \right)^{s/2 - 1}} \right\} \ . \tag{16}
$$

When $d = 1.2.3$ the results in Refs.1 to 3 are obtained from Eqs.(16) and (12).

Now, we calculate the total number of states with the magnitude of a vector less than k in wave vector space. For FBC, it is given bydefinition that

$$
N_4(k) = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_4}^{\infty} \theta(k - z\pi) \sqrt{\frac{k_1^2}{k_1^2} + \dots + \frac{k_4^2}{k_4^2}} , \qquad (17)
$$

where θ is the usual step function defined by

$$
\theta(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \mathbf{k} > 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{k} \le 0 \end{cases}
$$

At k = 0, θ (k) can be either defined as $\frac{1}{2}$, 1 or 0 with the understanding that the states situated at the boundary are counted $\frac{1}{2}$, counted or not counted, . respectively.

Repeating the calculations, we have

$$
N_{d}(k) = \frac{L_{1} - L_{d}}{(2\pi)^{d}} \Omega_{d-1} \sum_{n} \int_{0}^{k} \frac{\Gamma(1/z) \Gamma((d-1)/z)}{(n\omega)^{d/2 - 1}} 2^{d/2 - 1} J_{d/2 - 1}(nw) w^{d-1}/w \quad .
$$
\n(18)

Utilizing the formula for Bessel functions

$$
\frac{d}{dy} \hat{\mathbf{y}}^{\nu} \mathbf{J}_{\nu}(\mathbf{y}) = \hat{\mathbf{y}}^{\nu} \mathbf{J}_{\nu}(\mathbf{y})
$$

and completing the integral with respect to v, we obtain

$$
N_4(k) = \frac{L_1 - L_4}{(2\pi)^4} V_4 \sum_n \Gamma\left(\frac{4}{2} + 1\right) \frac{J_{4/2}(nk)}{(nk/2)^{4/2}},
$$
 (19)

where V_d is the volume of a d-dimensional sphere of radius k . This is just the Walfisz formula. For NBC $(n = 1)$ and DBC $(n = -1)$, the number of states $N_A^{\eta}(\mathbf{k})$ with magnitude less than k is given by

$$
N_{\epsilon}^{\dagger}(k) = \sum_{i_1 \cdots i_k = \frac{1}{2} \{i \cdot \tau\}}^{\infty} \theta(k - \pi) \overbrace{\frac{i_1^{\epsilon}}{i_1^{\epsilon}} + \cdots + \frac{i_k^{\epsilon}}{i_k^{\epsilon}} }^{\theta(\frac{1}{2})}, \qquad (20)
$$

Similexly ve have

$$
N_{\mathbf{1}}^{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{r}) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\mathbf{L}} \left\{ o(\mathbf{r}) + \sum_{s=i}^{\mathbf{L}} \left(\frac{s}{s} \right) N_{\mathbf{1}}\left(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}, \math
$$

-5- - 6 -

 $\sim 10^{-1}$ km

These are formulas of the Walfisz type. From the point of view of the lattice summation, it can be interpreted as the number of lattice points in the first quadrant in a sphere with radius k in wave number space. For $n = 1$, the points on the co-ordinate plane is taken into account in the first quadrant. For $n = -1$, it is not taken into account. The term $\theta(k)$ comes from the contribution of the vertex, terms with $s = 1, 2, \ldots$ come from the boundary line, boundary plane. From definitions, it follows that

and

$$
\frac{d}{dk} \quad x_d^n(k) = p_d^n(k)
$$

 $\frac{d}{dx}$ N_A(k) = D_A(k)

which may be verified readily from Eqs. (12) , (19) , (16) and (21) .

The technique ^{4/-0/} used by Pathria et al. is to apply Poisson's summation formula directly to the function $\int f(k) = \int \left(2\pi \sqrt{\frac{I_1^2}{I_1^2} + \cdots + \frac{I_k^2}{I_k^2}} \right)$ \mathbf{r}

$$
\sum_{i_{1},\cdots,i_{k}}^{\infty} f(z_{K} \sqrt{\frac{x_{1}^{k}}{i_{1}^{k}} + \cdots + \frac{i_{k}}{i_{k}^{k}}}) = \sum_{n_{1},\cdots,n_{k}}^{\infty} \int_{-n_{0}}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-n_{l}}^{\infty} f(z_{K} \sqrt{\frac{x_{1}^{k}}{i_{1}^{k}} + \cdots + \frac{x_{k}}{i_{k}^{k}}})
$$

$$
\exp \left\{ i \pi i \left(n_{1} x_{1} + \cdots + n_{k} x_{k} \right) \right\} d x_{1} \cdots d x_{k}.
$$

Repeating the calculations performed abore, we hare

$$
\sum_{l_1, \dots, l_d = -\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\int (x \pi \sqrt{\frac{l_1^2}{l_1^2} + \dots + \frac{l_d}{l_d^2}})}{(\pi \pi)^d} = \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_d = -\infty}^{\infty} k^{d-l} Q_l \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_d = -\infty}^{\infty} \frac{J_{N_l - 1}(n\pi)}{(n\pi/2)^{d/2 - 1}} d\pi \quad (22)
$$

This result is certainly the same as that obtained from

$$
\sum_{t_{1},\dots,t_{k}}^{\infty} f(z\chi) \sqrt{\frac{t_{1}^{2}}{t_{1}^{2}} + \dots + \frac{t_{k}^{2}}{t_{k}^{2}}} = \int_{0}^{\infty} f(k) D_{k}(k) dk
$$

by substituting into it the $D_A(k)$ given by Eq.(12). While in obtaining Eq.(12) for $D_{\rm d}(k)$, the Poisson formula is applied to the delta function.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank Professor Abdus Salam, the International Atomic Energy Agency and UHESCO for hospitality at the International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste. He would also like to thank Professor M.P. Tosi for reading the manuscript.

REFEKEKC2S

1) B. Steinle, H.P. Baltes and M. Pabst, Phys. Rev. A12, 1519 (1975).

 $\frac{1}{\lambda}$

 $\frac{4}{3}$

 $\ddot{}$

- 2) H.P. Baltes and B. Steinle, J. Math. Phys. 16 , 1275 (1977).
- 3) A.M. Chaba, Phys. Rev. A30, 1292 (1979).
- 4) S. Greenspoon and R.K. Pathria, Phys. Rev. $\underline{A9}$, 2103 (1974).
- 5) C.S. Zasada and R.K. Pathria, Phys. Rev. $A15$, 2439 (1977).
- 6) A.N. Chaba and R.K. Pathria, Phys. Rev. $A18$, 1277 (1978).
- 7) A. Walfiaz, Math. *Z.* 19_, 1275 {1977).

-7-

RIAZUDDIN; Two-body D-meson decays in non-relativistic quark model.

and the non-eikonal effects in tensor asymmetries in elastic proton-

A.M. KURBATOV and D.P. SANKOVICH: On the one variational principle in

G. STRATAN: On the alpha decay branching ratios of nuclei around $A = 110$.

N.Y. AYOUB: Effect of high lying states on the ground and few low lying

G.C. CHIRARDI, V. GORINI and G. PARRAVICINI: Spatial localization of

M.V. MIHAILOVIC and M.A. MAGARAJAN: A proposal for calculating the

importance of exchange effects in rearrangement collisions.

L. FONDA, N. MANKOČ-BORŠTNIK and M. ROSINA; the decay of coherent rotational

quantum states and physical meaning of the marix elements of the resolvent

A. BULGAC, F. CARSTOIU and O. DUMITRESCU: Double folded Tukawa interaction

W. KRÓLINOWSKI: Lepton and quark families as quantum-dynamical systems.

excited O* energy levels of some closed-shell nuclei.

states subject to random quantum measurements.

G. ALBERI, M. BLESZYNSKI, T. JAROSZEWICZ and S. SANTOS: Deuteron D-wave

G. GELMINI: The a-vave QcQc states. IC/79/163

deuteron scattering.

quantum statistical mechanics.

notential between two heavy ions.

- S. MARCULESCU and L. MEZINCESCU: Phase factors and point-splitting in IC/79/165 supersymmetry.
- A. FASOLINO, G. SANTORO and E. TOSATTI: Instability, distortion and IC/79/166 dynamics of the W(100) surface.
- $10/79/168$ T.D. PALEEV: The trace formulae for realizations of Lie algebras with A-operators. Analysis of the Fock representations.

 $10/60/1$ W. KRÓLIKOWSKI: Towards a dynamical preon model.

IC/80/23 P. ROZMEJ, J. DIDEK and W. MAZAREWICZ: Possible interplay between nonaxial and heisdecapole degrees of freedom - An explanation for "enormously" large Q.7

TC/80/24 REVISED.

IC/80/25 G. MAIELLA: Path-integral measure and the Fermion-Bose equivalence in INT.REP. the Schwinger model.

IC/80/26 N.S. CRAIGIE, S. NARISON and RIAZUDDIM: A critical analysis of the electromagnetic mass shift problem in QCD.

 $IC/80/27$ D.K. CHATURVEDI, U. MARINI BETTOLO MARCONI and M.P. TOSI: Mode-coupling INT REP. theory of charge fluctuation spectrum in a binary lonic liquid.

IC/80/28 ABDUS SALAM: Gauge unification of fundamental forces (Nobel lecture).

10/80/30 R. MOJAROV, E. MADJAKOV and V. ANTONOVA: High spin structure in a coupled bands model.

 $1C/80/31$ AINED OSMAN: Rearrangement collisions between four identical particles as a four-body problem.

 $(C/80/3h)$ I.Zh. PETKOV and M.V. STOITSOV: On a generalization of the Thomas-Fermi INT.REP.* method to finite Fermi systems.

ے الگ

operator.

 $IC/80/5$

 $IC/80/6$

 $1C/80/7$ INT.REP.[#]

IC/80/8 INT.REP." $1C/80/9$

IC/80/10

 $IC/80/11$

 $1C/80/12$

 $IC/60/13$

TC/80/14

INT.REP.^{*}

INT.REP.*

INT.REP.

1NT.REP.*

A.N. ANTONOV, V.A. WIKOLAEV and I.Zh. PETKOV; Nucleon momentum and IC/80/37 density distributions of nuclei.

IC/80/61 K.G. AKDENIZ and O. OGUZ: A new class of meronic solutions. INT.REP.

Z.A. KHAN: Elastic scattering of intermediate energy protons on the and 12c. $IC/80/h1$ INT.REP.

 -1 where

all completes and

 $IC/80/44$ A. OSMAN: Two-nucleon transfer reactions with form factor models.

 $IC/80/45$ E.W. MIELKE: Tovards exact solutions of the non-linear Heisenberg-Pauli-INT.REP Weyl spinor equation.

 $IC/80/46$ N.S. CRAIGIE: Catastrophe theory and disorders of the family system. INT.REP.

R. BECK, M.V. MIHAILOVIĆ and M. POLJSAK: Calquistion of nuclear reaction IC/80/53 parameters with the generator co-ordinate method and their interpretation.

10/80/58 S. YOKSAM: Spatial variations of order parageter community for $T \leq T_a$. INT.REP.

 $IC/60/59$ J.K.A. AMUZU: Sliding friction of some metallic glasses. INT.REP.

IC/80/60 Zi-Zhao GAN and Guo-Zhen YANG: A theory of coherent propagation of INT.REP. light wave in semiconductors. \sim

 $-111-$

مسترا أسرده مناقرات