employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their

and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of mendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recom-

United States Government or any agency thereof.

Anf-831047--75

CONF-831047--75

DE83 015377

Eutectic Penetration Times in Irradiated EBR-II Driver Fuel Elements*

by

P. R. Betten J. H. Bottcher B. R. Seidel

EBR-II Project Argonne National Laboratory 9700 South Cass Avenue Argonne, Illinois 60439

The submitted manuscript has been authored by a contractor of the U.S. Government under contract No. W-31-109-ENG-38. Accordingly, the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or allow others to do so, for U. S. Government purposes.

Submitted for presentation at the 1983 ANS Winter Meeting in San Francisco, California

October 30 - November 4, 1983

*Work supported by the U. S. Department of Energy under contract W-31-109-38.

MASTER



The experimental test procedure employed the use of a high-temperature furnace which heated pre-irradiated elements to temperature and maintained the environment until element-cladding breach occurred. Pre-irradiated elements of the Mark-II design were first encapsulated in a close-fitting sealed tube that was instrumented with a pressure transducer at the top of the tube and a thermocouple at the element's top-of-fuel axial location. The volume of the capsule was evacuated in order to better identify the pressure pulse which would occur on breach and to minimize contaminants. Next, a three-zone fast-recovery furnace was heated and an axial temperature profile, similar to that experienced in the EBR-II core, was established. The encapsulated element was then quickly inserted into the furnace and remained there until clad breach occurred. The element was then removed from the furnace immediately. Visual and metallurgical examination of the rupture site was done later. A total of seven elements were tested in the above manner. Details of the Mark-II design are given in Reference 7 with the nominal dimensions quoted here: clad 0.D., 0.442 cm, clad I.D., 0.381 cm, and fuel 0.D., 0.330. These data were correlated by the following equation with a correlation coefficient of 0.958,

$$r = 0.011987 \left(\frac{T}{715}\right)^{28.501} (1 + b)^{0.54573}$$
 (1)

over the range of 750°C < T < 850°C, and $0 \le b \le 7.8$ at%,

where r is the eutectic penetration rate in mm/hr, T is the temperature in $^{\circ}$ C, and b is the burnup in at%.

Six of the seven data points and the curve fit of equation (1) are illustrated in Figure 1. Also shown in Figure 1 are eutectic penetration data obtained from out-of-pile dip-tests with 304 stainless steel clad in contact with molten uranium. 5 the eutectic alloy which forms at about 715°C is assumed identical to that formed in the Mark-II elements and has a composition of U-34 at% Fe. A comparison of the data obtained from the rupture tests with the out-of-pile eutectic penetration tests indicates that the clad breach eutectic penetration rates are much higher than that obtained from the dip-tests. The reason for this is the fact that the actual irradiated fuel elements were employed and thus indirectly included other variables which may have affected the eutectic penetration rate. That is, parameters such as fission gas pressure, fission products, sodium bond, fuel porosity, clad properties after irradiation, and other in-pile environmental effects which may not necessarily affect the eutectic formation rate, could affect the time to element rupture via an indirect mechanism. For example, the time to element breach may not necessarily depend upon how long the eutectic takes to penetrate through the entire clad thickness, but upon the time needed for the eutectic to penetrate sufficiently into the clad until the cladding stre's is sufficiently increased to breach the cladding. Because both high and low burnup elements were included in this study, these in-pile effects can be generally included by incorporating a fuel burnup parameter into the results. Thus, although the eutectic formation phenomena is the reason for element breach once the eutectic temperature is exceeded, there does appear to be other factors which enhance the effective penetration rate, i.e. time-to-rupture. The contribution of these other factors is difficult to quantify at this time.




Figure 1. A Comparison of Eutectic Penetration Rates Between Fuel-Cladding Rupture Tests and Molten-Dip Tests

References:

- C. M. Walters, "Interdiffusion Between Uranium-5 w/o Fissium Alloy and Type 304 Stainless Steel," Argonne National Laboratory Report ANL-6816, March 1964.
- C. M. Walters and J. A. Lahti, "Compatibility of U-Pu-F_Z Fuel Alloys with Potential Cladding Materials," Nuclear Applications, Vol. 2, August 1966, p. 308-319.
- 3) S. T. Zegler, H. V. Rhude, Jr., and J. A. Lahti, "Compatibility of Uranium-5 w/o Fissium Alloy with Types 304L and 316 Stainless Steel,"

 Argonne National Laboratory Report ANL-7596, September 1969.
- 4) C. M. Walters and L. R. Kelman, "Penetration Rate Studies of Stainless Steel by Molten Uranium and Uranium-Fissium Alloy," Journal of Nuclear Materials, Vol. 5, No. 3, 1962, p. 281-290.
- 5) C. M. Walters and L. R. Kelman, "The Interaction of Iron with Molten Uranium," Journal of Nuclear Materials, Vol. 20, 1966, p. 314-322.
- 6) C. M. Walters and C. E. Dickerman, "TREAT Study of the Penetration of Molten Uranium and U/5 w% Fs Alloy Through Type 304 Stainless Steel,"

 Nuclear Science and Engineering, Vol. 18, 1964, p. 518-524.
- 7) G. L. Hofman, et al., "Irradiation Behavior of Unencapsulated EBR-II Mark-II Driver Fuel to a Maximum Burnup of 6 at.%," Argonne National Laboratory Report ANL-8119, February 1976.