LABORATOIRE ASSOCIÉ A L'IN2P3 de physique nucléaire institut

z

 216

IPNO 025 83-22

MASS AND EXCITED LEVELS OF THE NEUTRON-RICH NUCLE! 73Zn AND 74 Zn STUDIED WITH 76 Ge(14 C, 16 O) AND (14 C, 17 O) REACTIONS

M. BERNAS, Ph. DESSAGNE, M. LANGEVIN, J. PAYET, F. POUGHEON, P. ROUSSEL, W.-D. SCHMIDT-OTT, P. TIDEMAND-PETERSSON and M. GIRCO

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS SUD

IPNO DRE 83-22

 \mathbf{r}

MASS AND EXCITED LEVELS OF THE NEUTRON-RICH NUCLEI ⁷³ Z n AND ?4 Z n STUDIED WITH ⁷⁶ Ge(1 4 C , ¹⁶ 0) AND (^U C , ¹⁷ Q) REACTIONS

M. BERNAS, Ph. DESSAGNE, H. LANGEVIN, J . PAYET, F. POUGHEON, P. ROUSSEL, W.-O. SCHMIDT-OTT, P. TIDEMAND-PETERSSON and M. GIROD

÷,

 $\overline{1}$

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbf{V}$

73 MASS AND EXCITED LEVELS OF THE NEUTRON-RICH NUCLEI Zn AND ⁷⁴ Z n STUDIED w'lTH ⁷⁵ Ge(1 4 C , ¹⁵ 0) AND (C ? 0> REACTIONS

> M. BERNAS, Ph. DESSAGNE, M. LANGEVIN, J. PAYET, F. POUGHEON and P. ROUSSEL

> > *Institut de Physique Nucléaire, B.P. N°l, 91406 Orsay Cedex, France*

W.-D. SCHMIDT-OTT and P. TIDEMAND-PETERSSON

II. Physikalisches Institut, Universitat G&ttingen, S400 GSttingen, R.F.A.

M. GIROD

Service de Physique Neutronique et Nucléaire, Centre d'Etudes de Bruyèves-le-Chatel, B.P. N°S61, 92S42 Montrouge Cedex, France

Abstract : The ''Ge('^{-c}C,''''⁻'')'⁻''²Zn reactions have been studied at 72 MeV bombarding energy. The mass excesses of 73 Zn and 74 Zn were determined to be - 65.41 \pm .04 and - 65.62 \pm .04 MeV, respectively. In addition previously unknown excited levels were identified in both nuclei. The structure of 73 Zn is discussed in terms of H.F.B. calculations.

NUCLEAR REACTIONS 76 Ge(14 C, 16 O)⁷⁴zn and 76 Ge(14 C, 17 O)⁷³zn, E = 72 MeV measured 74 _{Zn} and 73 _{Zn} mass excess and excited state energies

1. INTRODUCTION

ţ,

Besides its basic interest in the field of wclear physics, the knowledge of binding energies of neutron rich nuclei is crucial for calculations of the neutron-capture processes. Those processes are responsible for the nucleosynthesis of elements heavier than iron in the universe. To a large extent, informations on heavy neutron rich species have been obtained from studies of fission products. However, for elements with $2 \leq 30$ the fission yields become negligeable. For lighter nucleides the fragmentation or spallation reactions were used to generate exotic nuclei. But they have until now hardly been applied for the production of elements with 20 \times Z \times 30 ; thus, in this mass region, direct transfer reactions are indeed appropriate for precise mass measurements and for the observation of excited levels in neutron rich isotopes.

We report here on a spectroscopic investigation of the $\frac{74}{30}$ ²n₄₄ and $\frac{73}{30}$ ²n₄₃ ${\tt nuclei.}$ Ground state and excited states were populated by two proton (${\tt U, ~^-0}$) and two-proton, one-neutron (C, 10), pick-up reactions on a live target,respectively. Masses and a few excitation energies were deduced from this first direct measurement.

The measured level structure of z n is compared with the recently observed *IM* 8-delayed y-ray from the decay of ^J Cu and discussed in term of Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov (H.F.B.) calculations of z n.

2. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

2.1. Set-up

The 72-MeV ¹⁴C beam of 20 pnA from the Orsay MP Tandem was used to bom- $\frac{1}{100}$ and $\frac{1}{100}$ and the C bodin of 20 pm. The MeV orsay MP Tandem was used to bom- 76 Ge) was evaporated on a 30 µg/cm² backing of ¹²C. The particles emerging from the target were analysed by the $n = 1/2$ double-focusing spectrometer "Bacchus" /2/. The magnetic rigidity and the trajectory angles of the emitted particles were determined from the measurement of their intersection with two resistive wire proportional counters (Fig. 1). An accuracy of 0.2° is achieved for the emission angle within a total solid-angle of 4 msr /2/. The particles were identified with an ionization chamber $(\Delta E_1, \Delta E_2, E)$ where the azimuthal coordinate is also measured /3/. Very small angle measurements were performed with a device set in the vacuum chamber of the spectrometer and designed so as to

 $-2 -$

catch the incident beam with a minor increment of background /4/.

2.2. Calibration of the results

On figures 2a and 2b are reported the 16 O and 17 O associated with the 74 2n and 1.7 σ final nuclei for two angle settings of the magnet. The spectra are corrected for kinematical effects for the germanium target. Therefore the reactions on the light target-contaminants, integrated over a few degrees, give broader peaks because of the differences in kinematics. For angles smaller than 6° lab those peaks overlap the excited state peaks of the Zn nuclei and prevent from following the angular distributions in this area.

The mass excess of the residual nuclei were deduced from the magnetic rigidity of the associated ejectiles 16 O and 17 O. In order to optimize the accuracy a special care was devoted to calibration.

- 1) On the 76 Ge target, the 16 O and 17 O particles of interest can be recorded with the same field setting of the magnet, therefore the spectra of 73 Zn and 74 Zn were measured simultaneously, yielding an accurate relative calibration.
- 2) The reactions on the ⁷⁴Ge target, leading to the ⁷²2n and ⁷¹2n nuclei whose masses are known have also been measured for the same magnetic setting providing precise reference points.
- 3) Within a one degree aperture set on the computer the peak due to target contaminants are narrow providing other reference points. However the reaction angle has to be carefully determined since an angle shift of 0.1° at 6° introduces a relative energy shift of \approx 20 keV between the germanium and oxygen contributions.

Finally, a calibration curve of the magnetic rigidity $B\rho$ as a function of the channel number (cl) was approximated by a second order polynomial. The dispersion of the values quoted above are compatible with a 40 keV accuracy.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Xuclear masses

The mass excesses of 73 Zn and 74 Zn derived here are given in Table 1. The earlier tabulated values /S/ resulting from 3 end-point determination

 $-3 -$

 $\overline{\mathbb{S}}$

/6/ are reported too and they are discussed further with some details. But since they were deduced from single spectra, and no information on the decay scheme were available at this time, only lower limits of Q_{α} were given.

Hence the present measurements represent the first direct determination of these masses.

The values extrapolated or calculated with the recently developped mass formulae are shown on the last part of the Table 1.

For 73 _{Zn} the M.E. limit obtained by combining the data of ref. /5/ with the mass of the daughter nucleus 73 Ga /6/ does not agree with our result. However this S-end point was derived on the basis of a plot $(N/\text{dWF})^{1/3}$ versus E_R and not from a normal Fermi-Kurie plot. A 1/3 power was applied instead of p the conventional 1/2 power because a statistical feeding of several levels in the daughter nucleus was assumed due to the high value of the Q_2 . A recent study /1/ of the β -decay of α and α is shown that, on the contrary, almost 90 α of the S-transitions are leading directly to the 73 Ge ground-state, thus indicating l'.îît the Fermi-Kurie plot should yield a more realistic value. This would then correspond to an end point energy lower by \approx 200 keV, (See Table 1). If the 200 keV uncertainty is maintained, both results become compatible.

The M.E. of 74 Zn quoted by Wapstra and Bos /5/ is based also on the Q_{α} measurement /6/ with the assumption that the ß-decay of 74 Zn is predominantly feeding a level at 250 keV in 74 Ga (Sec Ref. /8/). A more detailed study of the 74 _{Zn} decay /1/ showed that the main β -transitions are leading to levels at 110 keV (\sim 321), 253 keV (\sim 44%) and 895 keV (\sim 17%). The excellent agreement of the value derived by Wapstra and Bos with the present one seems explainable by the proximity of the weighted value of the three transitions given above with the assumed dominating one.

For both nuclei the measured values agree well with the predictions of Liran and Zeldes /7/ (Semi-empirical shell-model calculation), of Jânecke, Garvey and Kelson *111* (extrapolation formula) and of Uno and Yamada /8/ (liquid -drop formula with empirical shell corrections), while the five parameters calculation of Moller and Nix /9/ provides slightly less-bound nuclei (See Table 2).

In addition to the Ground-State (G.S.) lines used for the mass determination, the spectra shown in figure 2, exhibit a few peaks associated with excited levels of 73 Zn and 74 Zn.

3.2. Excited states of 74 Zn populated with the $(^{14}C, ^{16}O)$ reaction

The excitation energy of the first level in 74 _{Zn} was determined to be

- *i -*

E_v = 0.67 ± 0.03 MeV. It is very similar to the energy of the 2^+_1 level in 72 Zn $(E_c = 0.65$ MeV) and considering the level systematics of even zinc and germanium isotopes it is almost certain, that the 0.67 MeV line is connected with the 2^+_1 level of 74 _{2n}. However the spin assignment could not be confirmed by the very forward angular distribution as in Ref. /11/.

For the sake of reference we have also investigated /4/ the 74 Ge(14 C, 16 O) 72 Zn reaction; in addition to the G.S. and 2^{+}_{1} level, a third peak was observed corresponding to either the 0^+_2 at 1.505 MeV, to the 2^+_2 level at 1.657 MeV or to both of them. In ⁷⁴Zn a third peak was also observed at an excitation energy of 1.84 \pm 0.05 MeV ; the spin of this state was not determined ; already small at 6° compared to the oxygen contaminant line, this peak would become difficult $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ compared to the oxygen contains and the oxygen contains $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ would be contained become different contains $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ to follow at smaller angles, because of the larger rise of the contaminant

cross sections. The measured angular distributions for the " Ge(C, O) reactions are reported on figure 3 and figure 4. The curves are calculated from an Exact Finite Range - Distorted Waves Born Approximation (EFR - DWBA) performed with the code Saturn-Mars /12/. The optical parameters of the entrance and exit channels were taken from Ref. /13/.

For the reaction on 74 Ge (Fig. 3), even with the low statistic of the For the reaction on Ge (Fig- 3) , even with the low statistic of the very forward angles in case of $C_1 - 2$. The data are well reproduced by this calculation. For the reaction on 76 Ge (Fig. 4), the diffractive oscillations are somewhat washed out and the slope of the data points is smaller than the enveloppe of the calculated curves. This type of divergence, already observed in the Mg and Si study, is likely due to channel coupling effects /14/ and suggests the occurence of stronger deformations in the target or in the residual $s_{\rm in}$ e of stronger deformations in the target or in the target or in the target or in the residual $s_{\rm in}$

nuclei. T_{min} T_{min} , T tion on the series of even Nickel and Zinc targets ; however, for the $G.S. \rightarrow G.S.$ transitions, the N value related to the more neutron rich target transition ⁷⁶Ge \rightarrow ⁷⁴Zn is larger by a factor of two than for ⁷⁴Ge \rightarrow ⁷²Zn, inversely to the Ge —»• ⁴ Zn is larger by a factor of two than for Ge —«• Zn, inversely to the of target and residual nuclei would be better for 76 Ge $-{}^{74}$ Zn G.S. configurations of targe': and residual nuclei would be better for ⁷ Ge — Zn G.S. configurations or that couple-channel effects would be larger, as already mentionned on the basis of the shape of angular distributions.

3.3. The (' \mathcal{C} ,''O) reaction and the excited states of ' \mathcal{C} n

Before discussing the 75 Zn levels, we wish to point out general properties of the reaction (14 C, 17 O) reported here for the first time.

First it is interesting to observe that the cross section for the $(^{14}C, ^{17}O)$ reaction is not so much smaller than for $(^{14}C, ^{16}O)$ in the studied angular range. This indicates that the former reaction is proceeding by a simple quasi- 3 He pick-up.

Secondly, the first excited state of 17 O at 0.87 MeV is not significantly populated. This feature is in contrast with other pick-up reactions as e.g. $(1^8$ 0, ²⁰_{Ne}) where the population of levels in ²⁰Ne prevents the observation of excited levels in the residual nuclei /10/.

Both properties make the reaction suited for further spectroscopic

Few peaks are observed on the 73 Zn spectra (Fig. 2) but the present energy resolution is not good enough to identify each of the levels. For the two angular ranges, two levels or group of levels can be identified at excitation energies of 0.28 \pm 0.04 MeV and 0.50 \pm 0.04 MeV. At 1.14 \pm 0.03 MeV excitation energy an other level is clearly predominant.

Those informations can be related with the energy and relative intensity of the 73 _{2n Y}-rays following the 8-decay of 73 Cu /1/. The first group of levels of the ^J Zn y-rays following the 3-decay of ^J Cu /1/. The first group of levels by the γ -ray transitions of 306, 449 and 502 keV.

For the state shown here at 1.14 MeV energy, the decay mode could combine the 674 keV γ -line with either the 449 or the 502 keV, no peak can be connected with the 199 keV γ -line. Further studies are required for the construction of the 73 _{Zn} level scheme.

In the angular range measured here, the cross sections are not showing significant structures which could be applied for the spin determination of the corresponding levels. Their average values are given in Table 2.

Related with the odd In spectroscopy, Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov (H.F.B.) calculations of 71 Zn and 73 Zn were performed with the D1 interaction /16/ in the following way ; using the blocking procedure /17/ for each quasi particle state successively, the potential energy surface has been calculated as a function of the deformation parameter 8.

The curves representing this energy versus the deformation parameter are reported on figure 5b. In principle, thedeepost minimum obtained would be associated to the quasi-particle state responsible for the G.S.. The other minimacorresponding to different quasi particle states would describe the low-lying excited states. However this picture is somewhat modified as for a

 $- 6 -$

deformed nucleus the true G.S. is represented by a weighted superposition of various blocked states projected subsequently. Thus this calculation can only give the odd nucleon configurations which are expected within the first 500 keV excitation energy.

For $\frac{71}{2}$, the level scheme of which is known, a probable deformation of $\beta \approx -0.1$ would suggest for the smin of the deeper quasi particle subshells : $9/2^+$, $1/2^-$, $7/2^+$ and $5/2^+$ to compare with the following sequence $1/2^-$, $9/2^+$ and either $3/2^+$ or $5/2^+$ reported /18/, thus confirming the validity of this approach.

For 73 _{2n, the addition of a neutron pair induces a change in the more} probable deformation. The changing of shape between those two nuclei was already suggested /19/ on the basis of the study of 73 Ge and 75 Ge - isotones nuclei of $\frac{71}{2}$ n and $\frac{73}{2}$ n respectively and relying upon the $\frac{73}{2}$ n B-decay scheme known at this time /6/.

The expected spin of the first few levels would then be $1/2$, $5/2$ ⁺, $5/2$ ⁺ and $3/2^+$. Therefore, within the first few hundred keV, the occurence of a $9/2^+$ level as suggested by the odd Zn systematic, or of a $7/2^+$ as suggested by the N = 43 isotones systematic, would be excluded.

But,which of this four expected spins would correspond to the G.S. remains an open question.

First a spin value of $1/2$ was attributed to the ⁷⁵ Ge G.S. isotone of 73 Zn, and as already mentionned /19/ the two nuclei show obvious similarities.

However, the logft measurements for the 8 decay of 'Zn G.S. indicated a strong feeding of the 73 Ga 5/2⁻ state (logft < 6.6). But in the recent study /l/ a logft of 7.6 was measured for this transition and hence the G.S. spin of $1/2$ for 73 _{Zn} becomes compatible with the 73 _{Zn-6} decay scheme.

Thus the H.F.B. calculations, the N = 43 systematics, and the 73 Zn, β -decay are all converging on a 73 Zn G.S. spin value of $1/2$.

4. CONCLUSION

We have measured for the first time the masses of the two neutron rich Zn nuclei ''Zn and ''Zn with a significant accuracy by using the quasi-elastic reactions $({}^{14}C, {}^{16}O)$ and $({}^{14}C, {}^{17}O)$. Two excited states were observed on 74 z n. Concerning \lq \lq \lq , and relying on H.F.B. calculations, some features of the level scheme are proposed, as for example the ground state spin value of $1/2$ ^{\degree}.

_`÷ L

The relatively large $(1^4c, 1^70)$ cross-sections and the absence of 1^70 excited states in the exit channel, make this reaction useful for a spectrocopic investigation.

 $\frac{4}{\pi^2}$

 $\overline{}$

/

REFERENCES

Contract of the Contract of t

- /1/ E. RUNTE, W.D. SCHMIDT-OTT, P. TIDEMAND-PETERSSON, R. KIRCHNER, 0. KLEPPER, W. KURŒWICZ, E. ROECKL, N. KAFFRELL, P. PEUSER, K. RYKACZEWSKI, M. FERNAS, Ph. DESSAGNE and M. LANGEVIN, Nucl. Phys. A599 (1983) 163
- / 2/ P. ROUSSEL, M. BERNAS, F. DLAF, F. NAULIN, F. POUGHEON, G. ROTBARD and M. ROY-STEPHAN, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. JS3 (1978) 111
- /3/ F. NAULIN, M. ROY-STEPHAN and E. KASHY, Nucl. Instr. 180 (1981) 647
- / 4/ Ph. DESSAGNE, Thèse de 3ëme cycle, Université d'Orsay-Paris XI (1982)
- /5/ A.H. WAPSTRA and K. BOS, At. Nucl. Data Tables 19 (1977) 175
- /6/ B.R. ERDAL, L. WESTGAARD, J. ZYLICZ and E. ROECKL, Nucl. Phys. A194 (1972) 449
- *PI* The 1975 Mass Predictions, éd. S. MARIPUU, At. Nucl. Data Tables JJ7 (1976) 476
- /8/ P. MOLLER and R. NIX, At. Nucl. Pata Tables 16 (1981) 165
- /9/ M. UNO and M. YAMADA, Report INS-NUMA-40 (University of Tokyo), 1982
- /10/ H.P. ROTHER, W. HENNING.'H.J. KORNER, R. MULLER, K.E. REHM, M. RICHTER and H. SPIELER, Nucl. Phys. A269 (1976) 511
- /11/ M. BERNAS, Ph. DESSAQŒ, M. LANGEVIN, J. PAYET, F. POUGHEON and P. ROUSSEL, Phys. Lett. 113B (1982) 279
- /12/ T. TAMJRA and K.S. J W, Comput. Phys. Commun. 8 (1974) 349
- /13/ J.C. PENG, N. STEIN, J.W. SUNIER, D.M. DRAKE, J.D. NDSES, J.A. CIZEWSKI and J.R. TESMER, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43 (1979) 675

 \mathbb{Z}^{n} . \mathbb{Z}^{n}

/14/ J.C. PENG, M. BERNAS and N. STEIN, to be published.

- /15/ M. BERNAS, J.C. PENG, H. DOUBRE, M. LANGEVIN, M.J. LEVINE, F. POUGHEON and P. ROUSSEL, Phys. Rev. 24 (1981) 756
- /16/ J. DECHARGE and D. GOGNY, Phys. Rev. C21 (1980) 1568
- /17/ M. GIROD and P.G. REINHARD, Phys. Lett. 117B (1982) 1
- /18/ D. VON EHRENSTEIN and J.P. SCHIFFER, Phys. Rev. 164 (1967) 1374
- /19/ M.N. VERGNES, G. RDTBARD, E.R. FLYNN, D.L. HANSON, S.P. ORBESEM, F. GUILBAULT, D. ARDOUIN and C. LEBRUN, Phys. Rev. C19 (1979) 1276
- /20/ Nucl. Data Sheets B32 (1981) 211

 $\alpha_{\rm min}$, $\beta_{\rm max}$

 $\overline{}$

 $\frac{1}{2}$

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Ŷ.

- 1.- Experimental set-up ; double particle identification is achieved in the ionization chamber. The two Position-Sensitive Proportional Counters OP.S.P.C.) provide the emission angle and magnetic rigidity of the particles.
- Fig. 2a and 2b.- Spectra of 160 and 17 for two different angular ranges but at the same magnetic field in the spectrometer
- 3.- Angular distributions for the 'YGe(''C,'YO)''Zn. The EFR DWBA caliula tions are reported as well as the corresponding normalisation factor N.
- 4.- Angular distributions for the ''Ge(''C,''O)''Zn. The EFR DWBA calcula tions are reported as well as the corresponding normalisation factor N.
- 5.- Results from the complete H.F.B. calculations.
	- on part a) is represented the H.F.B. single nucléon energy versus the deformation,
	- on part b) the potential energy o^c each nucleus has been followed for each quasi-particle state, versus the defirmation parameter 8.

 $-11 -$

 ϵ

 \cdot

$$
^{8}
$$
 Ref. 77/
$$
TABLE 1: Mass excess of \frac{73}{2}n and \frac{74}{2}n in MeV.
$$

 $^{\rm b)}$ Ref. /9/

k,

 $\text{c)}_{\text{Ref.}}$ /8/

 α

Ex (MeV)	$d\sigma/d\Omega$ (mb/sr) for 11° < θ _{cm} < 17°
0.	0.020 ± 0.004
0.28 ± 0.04	0.028 ± 0.003
0.50 ± 0.04	0.026 ± 0.003
1.14 ± 0.04	0.030 ± 0.006

TABLE 2 : Avenage cross-sections for the ${}^{76}Ge({}^{14}c,{}^{17}o){}^{73}$ In reaction.

 \sim \sim

 $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$

 \bar{a}

 $\ddot{}$

Fig. 2a

 λ

ł

N

Fig. 2b

ÎΧ,

Fig. $\dot{3}$

 \mathbf{v} \sim

 $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$

Fig. 4

ł,

 $\hat{\tau}_{\rm max}$

 \sim

À

 $\frac{1}{2}$

Fig. 5a

 $\bar{\mathbf{r}}$

 $\frac{3}{2}$

Fig. 5b

 $\overline{353}$ **GALL**