36184007188

ITEP - 78



INSTITUTE OF THEORETICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL PHYSICS

A.D.Dolgov.and A.D.Linde

BARYON ASYMMETRY IN INFLATIONARY UNIVERSE

Abstract

It is shown that the baryon asymmetry in inflationary universe under certain constraints on masses of superheavy bosons can be larger than that in the standard baryosinthesis scenario. An important property of the model considered is that the final baryon asymmetry does not depend on initial conditions in the early universe in contrast to what occurs in the standard scenario based on (B-L) conserving GUTs.

⁽С) Институт теоретической и экспериментальной физики, 1982

A new approach to the long-standing cosmological problems such as the horison and flatness problems and the problem of spatial homogeneity and isotropy has been proposed recently 1-5. This is done in the framework of the so-called inflationary universe scenario in which the universe is supposed to expand exponentially, like de Sitter space for a sufficiently long period. During this period the stress tensor is dominated by the vacuum (cosmological) term.

Inflationary universe scenario is based on the theory of the phase transitions ⁶ in grand unified theories (GUTs).

If the transition from symmetric to asymmetric state is the first order phase transition with a large supercooling, then the stress tensor in the supercooled phase is indeed dominated by the cosmological term

The property of the phase is indeed dominated by the cosmological term

The phase transition with a large supercooling, then the stress tensor in the supercooled phase is indeed dominated by the cosmological term

The phase transitions of the phase is indeed dominated by the cosmological term

The phase transitions of the supercooled phase is indeed dominated by the cosmological term

The phase transitions of the supercooled phase is indeed dominated by the cosmological term

The phase transition with a large supercooling.

ij.

The original scenario of this type proposed by Guth ¹ results however in a very inhomogeneous and anisotropic universe ⁷. The new inflationary universe scenario suggested in ref. ² (see also refs. ³⁻⁵), seems to be free from these shortcomings. According to this scenario the inflation proceeds during the early stage of the phase transition in the asymmetric phase when the vacuum condensate of the Higgs field ⁹ is not yet completely developed. If the classical field ⁹ grows to its equilibrium value ⁹ slowly enough, then at the end of the de Sitter stage the universe becomes almost exactly flat, homogeneous, and isotropic independently of the initial conditions at the beginning of the phase transition ².

This scenario provides also a possible solution of the primordial monopole problem 8 and may explain the origin of

inhomogeneitties which are necessary for the galaxy formation 9.

It seems to us that the oddds for this scenario to be true might be rather high, However many problems are to be solved before the final version of this scenario is formulated. One of the most interesting and important problems here is the problem of the baryosynthesis 10 in the new inflationary universe scenario. In the present paper we shall show that the mechanism of the baryon production in this scenario differs considerably from the usual one.

The final baryon asymmetry depends on the relations between masses of superheavy bosons (vector as well as scalar ones) and can be about two orders of magnitude larger than in the standard scenario.

The particle production in the discussed model looks as follows. For some period of time the de Sitter law of expansion is valid. During this period $\mathcal{Y} \lesssim \mathcal{H} \ll \mathcal{Y}_0$ and the stress tensor is dominated by the cosmological term

since the usual matter disappears because of long and fast expansion. The scale factor Q(t) behaves as

$$a \sim \exp \left(Ht\right)$$
, $H = \left(\frac{8\pi \rho^{\text{vac}}}{3M_g^2}\right)^{1/2}$ (2)

where $M_{\mathcal{G}} = 1.2 \cdot 10^{19}$ GeV is the Planch mass.

After the exponential expansion period (which, hopefully, lasts for $\Delta t \ge 60 \text{ H}^{-1}$) the classical field $\mathcal{G}(t)$ rapidly grows and then convergently ascillates near some constant

equilibrium value yo approximately as

$$g(t) = g_0 \left(1 - e^{-\beta t} \cos m_y t \right) \tag{3}$$

where m_{φ} is the mass of the Higgs boson φ when the classical field ψ becomes equal to ψ_o .

The oscillation damping factor y for the noninteracting field y would be given by 3/2H due to the "friction" term 3H in the equation for the spatially homogeneous field y in the expanding universe:

$$\ddot{\varphi} + 3H\dot{\varphi} + m^2(\varphi)\varphi = 0 \tag{4}$$

However in our case at the stage of rapid oscillations (3) (though not at the exponential expansion stage) the main contribution to γ arises because of the particle production by the oscillating field $\varphi(t)$ (3).

To get insight into this process one should consider a concrete theory in which the new inflationary scenario can be realized. We will discuss here the SU(5) Coleman-Weinberg theory with the symmetry breaking SU(5) — SU(3) x SU(2) x U(4) due to appearance of the classical field $\Leftrightarrow = \sqrt{2/15}$. $\int_0^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2} \right) = \text{Bunerical values of the essential parameters in this theory are the following: vector meson masses, <math>M_{\chi} = \frac{4}{2} M_{\chi}$; equilibrium value of the Higgs field, $V_0 \approx 3 M_{\chi}$; vacuum energy. $V^{Q_0} = \frac{3}{4} M_{\chi} = \frac{4}{2} \frac{3}{4} \frac{3}{4} \frac{3}{4} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{3}{4} \frac{3}{4} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{3}{4} \frac{3}{4} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{3}{4} \frac{3}{4} \frac{3}{4} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{3}{4} \frac{3}{4} \frac{3}{4} = \frac{3}{4} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{3}{4} = \frac{3}{4}$

= 10¹⁰ GeV.

Ų,

The theory of particle production by the large oscillating field is rather complicated and will not be considered here. Fortunately, however, one may obtain reasonable estimates of the particle production rate by means of perturbation theory. To that end one should calculate the lowest-order contribution of particles of any given type to the imaginary part of the effective action V(Y) in external field (3). This gives in particular the lowest-order estimate of the probability of the Y particle production per unite time per unit volume

$$\frac{dW_{\varphi}}{dVdt} = a \frac{m_{\varphi}^4}{512\pi}$$
 (5)

where Ω is some numerical factor, $\Omega=O(1)$. An important feature of this result is the \mathcal{M}^4- dependence of dW/dVdt on the mass of the particles produced. Therefore if the \mathcal{G} -bosons are the heaviest Higgs bosons in the theory (as we shall admit for a while), then only these Higgs bosons will be produced by the field $\mathcal{G}(t)$. As for the vector bosons X, Y, they are not produced in the lowest order in the gauge coupling \mathcal{G}^2 since \mathcal{M}_X is (approximately) four times larger than the frequency $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{G}}$ of the oscillations (3). However these particles are produced in higher orders in \mathcal{G}^2 , and in fact this leads to the contribution to dW/dVdt of the same order in \mathcal{G}^2 since the external field is large, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{G}} \simeq \mathcal{M}_X/\mathcal{G}$. Nevertheless some suppression of X, Y - production appears due to

combinatorial factors. On general grounds one would expect $dW_{X,Y}/dVdt \sim M_X^4 - \ell M_X/m_y$ where $\ell = O(1)$, and indeed the simples diagrams for V(y) which give a nonvanishing contribution to $dW_{X,Y}/dVdt$ are $C(g^{10})y^{10}M_X^{-16}$ and the corresponding contribution to $dW_{X,Y}/dVdt$ is

$$\frac{dW_{x,y}}{dVdt} = C \cdot 1.5 \cdot 10^{-4} 36 \frac{M_x^4}{512\pi} \approx C \cdot 6 \cdot 10^{-3} \frac{M_x^4}{512\pi}$$
 (6)

where C = O(1), 15.10-4 is the above-mentioned combinatorial factor and 36 is the number of heavy vector meson species (including helicity states) in the SU(5) theory.

Taken by their face values expressions (5) and (6) predict a somewhat larger production of heavy vector mesons with $M_X = 4$ my as compared to the production of scalar particles with $M \leq M_Y$. There can be however some enhancement of scalar particle production if $M = M_Y$ (i.e. quanta of Y --field itself) because of resonant effects. At the moment we cannot definitely say what kind of particles, the ones with $M = M_Y$ or with $M > M_Y$, are dominately produced by the oscillating field Y(t). This problem deserves a further investigation and in what follows we consider both possibilities:

1) the field Y(t) transforms predominantely into X, Y-bosons ("vector dominance"); 2) the classical field Y(t) transforms predominantely into Y bosons ("scalar dominance").

1) Let us consider first the "vector dominance" scenario and estimate the time during which the vacuum energy $\int_{-\infty}^{\sqrt{2}} dt$ transforms into the energy of non-relativistic X, Y bosons:

$$\Delta t \approx \frac{p^{\text{vec}}}{M_{\text{x}}} \approx 5.10^3 \frac{M_{\text{x}}}{M_{\text{g}}} \cdot H_{\text{p}}^{-1} \tag{7}$$

Note, that for $M_X \leq 5 \cdot 10^{14}$ GeV the rate of the heavy meson production is higher than the rate of the universe expansion, $\Delta t \leq H_0^{-1}$. In the theories with large M_X creation of particles by the oscillating field $\mathcal{G}(t)$ proceeds during the time $\Delta t \gg H_0^{-1}$, which would modify to some extent the baryosynthesis scenario to be discussed. However in the present paper we restrict ourselves for simplicity to the discussion of the theories with sufficiently small M_X , so that $\Delta t \leq H_0^{-1}$ (7).

The produced X and Y -bosons decay into quarks and leptons with the lifetime which is also smaller than the characteristic expansion time H_0^{-1} . The baryon asymmetry generated by these decays is

$$\beta_0 = \frac{N_q - N_{\overline{q}}}{N_q + N_{\overline{q}} + N_e + N_{\overline{e}}} \approx \frac{3}{4} \frac{\Gamma(X \to qq) - \Gamma(\overline{X} \to \overline{q}\,\overline{q})}{\Gamma(X \to a\ell\ell)}$$
(8)

This value is about two orders of magnitude larger than that obtained in the standard scenario (if C and CP violating effects in vector and scalar boson decays are of the same order of magnitude, and in contrast to the standard scenario is born by the nonequilibrium decays of vector but not scalar (Higgs) bosons.

Baryon asymmetry β_0 (8) can be somewhat washed out in the course of the further evolution of the universe. The dangerous processes are the baryonic charge nonconserving inverse decays of the vector X. Y -bosons and the scalar

fractionally charged Higgs particles $H^{\pm \sqrt{3}}$. To evaluate their destructive role we take into account that the number density of X and Y bosons just after their production is

$$N_{X} = \frac{\rho^{\text{vac}}}{M_{X}} = \frac{gM_{X}^{3}}{32T^{2}}$$
 (9)

and the number density of fermions born by their decays is $N_{\rm E}=2N_{\rm X}$. The important point is that this number density is the equilibrium number density corresponding to ρ Vac if the number of particle species is about 10^2 (as is indeed the case). Consequently the thermodynamic equilibrium is established by the processes 2 4>2 which do not change the total particle number and are fast as compared to H_c^{-1} . The resulting plasma temperature is $T_0 \approx m_e/5$, and thus the inverse vector mesons decays cannot considerably diminish baryon excess (8). The processes with more important. Their contribution to washing out the initial baryon asymmetry can be evaluated as exp {-(I, /Ho) (To/MH). const j, where const = 0(1). $\Gamma_H = d_H m_H / 2$ is the decay width of $H^{\pm \frac{4}{3}}$ and $d_H = 10^{-\frac{1}{3}}$. This does not considerably change our result (8) if H & B are heavy enough ($m_H \gtrsim 5.10^{13}$ GeV). Otherwise the initial is completely washed out and we come to the standard scenario of baryon asymmetry generation through the near-equilibrium decays of H * 5 -mesons.

2) If y(t) produces mainly y-bosom the baryon generation becomes more complicated. The number density of y bosons just after the phase transition is

$$N_{go} = \frac{m_g \, y_o^2}{16} \tag{10}$$

Then the universe becomes Friedman one with the energy momentum tensor dominated by nonrelativistic Q bosons:

$$\rho(t) = \frac{M_3^2}{6\pi (t+t_0)^2}$$
 (11)

where to is defined by $g(0) = M_g^2 / 6\pi t_o^2 = m_g y_o^2 / 16$.

Note that the number density of \mathcal{G} bosons is much larger than the equilibrium number density which corresponds to the energy density $\rho(0)$.

The further development of the initial \$\mathcal{G}\$ -boson state depends upon the relation between their decay rate into a pair of lighter Riggs bosons \$\mathcal{X}\$

$$\Gamma_{y} = \Gamma(y \to y \bar{y}) = \frac{m_{\chi}^{4}}{4\pi m_{y} \varphi_{o}^{2}} \left(1 - \frac{4m_{\chi}^{2}}{m_{y}^{2}}\right)^{1/2}$$
 (12)

and the rate of their number density decrease through the processes 39 + 29, 49 + 29 and so on

$$\Gamma(3y - 2y) = \frac{N_0^2}{(3m_y)^3} |A(3y - 2y)|^2 \approx \frac{N_0^2}{(3m_y)^3} |\frac{\lambda^2 q_0}{m_y^4}|^2 \approx 0.2 \frac{m_y^3}{q_0^2}$$
(13)

The rates of the processes $4y \rightarrow 2y$ etc are much smaller.

Comparing expressions (12) and (13) one can conclude that at the first stage of the process the quasitermalization of the \(\mathscr{Y} \) -boson gas takes place which leads to their

equilibrium number density and the equilibrium distribution in energy, particles of other types being not produced and only later the \mathcal{G} -decays enter the game. This picture is valid if the rate of the universe expansion (see eqs. (2), (4), and (11)) is smaller than $\Gamma(3g \rightarrow 2g)$. Their ratio is given by.

$$\frac{H_0}{\Gamma(3g \rightarrow 2g)} \approx \frac{3g_0^3}{m_g^2 M_S} \tag{14}$$

As it was already noted, $\mathcal{G} \approx 3M_X \approx 12\,m_{\mathcal{G}}$, so the expansion is slow if $M_{\mathcal{G}} \leq 5 \cdot 10^{-3}\,\mathrm{M}_{\mathcal{G}}$, which is true in the standard SU(5)-model. Thus the energy density of \mathcal{G} remains practivally unchanged, $\mathcal{G} = m_{\mathcal{G}}^2 \mathcal{G}^2/16$, while their number density decreases and becomes equal to

$$N_{g1} = 0.12 \left(\frac{30}{16 \, \text{F}^2}\right)^{3/4} (m_g y_o)^{3/2} \approx 0.2 \, \text{Nyo}$$
 (15)

The corresponding temperature is $T \simeq 2m_{\varphi}$.

Note that despite the cannibalism of \mathcal{G} -bosons their number density remains greater than the equilibrium one because particles of other types have not enough time to be produced at this stage of the process. This results in a larger baryon asymmetry because the number density of quarks which are produced after the successive decays $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}^{+1/3} + \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{\pm 1/3} \to \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{G}}$, is also larger than the equilibrium one.

If the fractionally charged H bosons are the nearest in mass to \mathcal{G} then the decay $\mathcal{G} \to H^{\prime\prime\beta} + H^{-\prime\beta}$ is

the dominant one. In other case, when there exist scalar mesons χ with a mass such that $m_{\varphi} > 2m_{\chi} > 2m_{H}$, the process of quark production becomes more complicated: $g = \chi \chi > 2(HH) > 4(q\bar{\gamma})$.

Let us first consider the more simple case when $M_H > M_X$. If other processes can be neglected, then after the chain of decays $\mathcal{G} \to H + H$, $H \to qq$, $\overline{q}\overline{\ell}$, $\overline{H} \to \overline{q}\overline{q}$, $q\ell$ the quark-lepton plasma is produced with the relative baryon excess:

$$\beta_0 = \frac{N_q - N_{\overline{q}}}{N_q + N_{\overline{q}} + N_{\kappa} + N_{\overline{e}}} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{\Gamma(H \rightarrow qq) - \Gamma(\overline{H} \rightarrow \overline{q}\overline{q})}{\Gamma(H \rightarrow all)}$$
(16)

This number is larger than that obtained in the standard scenario 11

$$\beta = \frac{1}{K_{\text{tot}}} \frac{m_{\text{H}}^2 K_{\text{tot}}^{1/2}}{\Gamma_{\text{H}} m_{\text{H}}} \beta_o \approx \left(10^{-2} \div 10^{-3}\right) \beta_o \tag{17}$$

where $K_{\rm tst} = 10^{-2}$ is the total number of particle species (including the number of spin states) in the equilibrium plasma.

However the comparison of the decay rate $\sqrt{g} = \Gamma(g \rightarrow HH)/U$ with the expansion rate $H_0 = \sqrt{\pi/6} M_g g_0 M_g^{-1}$, that is

$$\frac{\Gamma_{3}}{H_{o}} \approx 3.1 \frac{M_{H} M_{S} \sqrt{1 - 4 m_{H}^{2} I m_{S}^{2}}}{m_{S}^{2} y_{o}^{3}} \approx 10^{-4} \frac{M_{H} M_{S}}{m_{S}^{5}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4 m_{H}^{2}}{m_{S}^{2}}}$$
(18)

shows that \mathcal{G} $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{H}_o$ if $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}}$ is sufficiently small. In this case the decay of nonrelativistic \mathcal{G} -bosons leads

to the entropy increase which is proportional to $(H_0/I_g)^{1/2}$ and to the corresponding decrease of β . Pinally the following expression holds for β after the total thermalisation:

$$\beta \approx \beta_o \left(\frac{r_{so}}{H_o}\right)^{1/2} \frac{-iH}{10K_{tot}} \left(\frac{N_{sol}}{m_{so}^3}\right)^{1/4} \tag{19}$$

where β_o is given by eq. (16) and $N_{\rm K}$ is given by eq. (15). The factor $10\,{\rm K_{tot}}^{-1/4}\,(N_{\rm Pl}/m_{\rm V}^3)^{1/4}\,$ which leads to some increase of β appears due to the fact that the initial number density of quarks is greater than the equilibrium one. To make an estimate of the final baryon asymmetry in this scenario let us take $M_{\rm H}=0.2\,M_{\rm Pl}\simeq 2\cdot 10^{13}\,{\rm GeV}$. In this case eq. (19) yields $\beta\simeq 0.5\,\beta_o\gg\beta$, i.e. the resulting baryon asymmetry is much greater than that in the standard scenario.

In deviation of eq. (19) we have implicitely assumed that H bosons disappear only because of the decays of the type $H \rightarrow g + g$, but not because of the annihilation $H + H \rightarrow gV$, where V are light vector mesons. Let us analyse, whether this assumption is true. The decay rate is equal to

$$\Gamma_{H} = \Gamma(H \rightarrow 2q) = \frac{\alpha_{H} m_{H}}{2}$$
 (20)

where $\mathcal{L}_{H} \approx 10^{-4}$ is the coupling constant of coloured Higgs mesons with fermions. With a reasonable choice of the parameters ($\mathcal{M}_{H} \simeq 0.2 \, \text{mg}$) it appears that $\mathcal{L}_{H} > \mathcal{L}_{g}$ In this case H-mesons produced in the decays of \mathcal{L}_{g} are

relativistic at the moment of the decay H \longrightarrow 29, and consequently the H-decay rate is $(\mathcal{M}_H/\mathcal{M}_V)^T_H$.

Taking into account only the processes $g \to H + H$ and $H \to 2q$, $q \bar{\ell}$ it is easy to calculate the concentration of these particles as functions of time, the condition $m_H \Gamma_H / m_{\phi} > \Gamma_{\phi}$ being assumed.

$$T_y = \exp(-\Gamma_g t), \quad T_H = (m_H \Gamma_H) / (m_g \Gamma_g) \cdot \exp(-\Gamma_g t)$$

$$T_q = \frac{3}{2} \left[1 - \exp(-\Gamma_g t) \right]$$
(21)

where $T_j = N_j a^3(t)$ and a(t) is the scale factor with the normalization condition $a^3(0) N_{q_1} = 1$.

Now we can evaluate the concentration of vector bosons produced in the process $H + \overline{H} \longrightarrow 2V$ as

$$7_{V} = 56 (HH \rightarrow 2V) \cdot 2 \ln 2 N_{g1} t_{0}^{2} \Gamma_{y}^{2} \Gamma_{H}^{-1} =$$

$$= 10^{-4} \frac{d^{2}}{dg} \frac{M_{g}^{2} m_{y}^{4}}{m_{g}^{2} g_{0}^{4}} \left(1 - \frac{4m_{H}^{2}}{m_{g}^{2}}\right)$$
(22)

which is valid for $\Gamma_H t_o = \sqrt{6/\pi} d_H m_H m_g m_g y_o^{-1}$ (here $\angle = 2 \cdot 10^{-2}$). One can see that the vector meson production is small for sufficiently small M_H ($M_H \simeq 0$, M_g)

Analogously the contribution of other processes of particle production or the inverse $H^{\frac{t}{2}}$ -decays does not considerably change our result (19) because at the essential stage the expansion rate is large in comparison with $\Gamma \varphi$.

In a more complicated case when $m_y > 2m_x > 2m_H$

a somewhat smaller value of β can be obtained. The concrete number depends on the ratio of expansion rate and different decay rates and can be evaluated along the same lines as above. The baryon asymmetry could be very much suppressed in a rahter improbable case when $M_H \gg m_U$.

We see therefore that the baryon asymmetry generation in the inflationary universe crucially depends on the parameters of the theory, and for certain relations between masses of superheavy bosons the resulting baryon asymmetry proves to be one or two orders of magnitude greater than that in the standard baryosynthesis scenario.

There is also a rather important point concerning the dependence of the baryon asymmetry on initial conditions. It is believed usually 10 that in the standard scenario any initial baryon asymmetry is washed out by the 🧣 -non-onserving processes during thermodinamically equilibrium stage. However this might be true only in the theories in which strong B-L violation is possible, and it is definitely not the case for the simple models considered in the literature, in which B-L is conserved. Only in the inflationary universe scenario, when practically no matter is left after the exponential expansion, all the initial conditions in the early universe become unimportant for its evolution after the phase transition, and the final baryon asymmetry becomes a calculable number. This independence of the present baryon asymmetry on the initial conditions in the universe is a very attractive feature of the inflationary universe scenario.

We would like to thank A.A.Grib, D.A.Kirzhnits, S.G.
Mamayev, V.M.Mostepanenko, A.A.Starobinsky and Ya.B.Zeldovich
for useful discussions.

JUTEPATYPA

- 1. A.H.Guth, Phys.Rev. D23 (1981) 347.
- 2. A.D.Linde, Phys.Lett. 108B (1982) 389.
- 3. S.W. Hawking and I.G. Woss, Phys. Lett. 110B (1982) 35.
- 4. A.Albrecht and P.J.Steinhardt, Pennsylvania university preprint UPR-0185 T (1982).
- 5. A.D.Linde, Lebedev Phys.Inst. preprints No 88 and 121 (1982).
- D.A.Kirzhnits, JETP Lett. <u>15</u> (1972) 529;
 D.A.Kirzhnits and A.D.Linde, Phys.Lett. <u>42B</u> (1972) 471;
 S.Weinberg, Phys.Rev. <u>D9</u> (1974) 3357.
 - L.Dolan and R.Jackiw, Phys.Rev. <u>D9</u> (1974) 3320;
 - D.A.Kirzhnits and A.D.Linde, ZhETF 67 (1974) 1263;
 - D.A.Kirzhnits and A.D.Linde, Ann.Phys. (N.Y.) 101 (1976) 195; A.D.1 de, Rep.Progr.Phys. 42 (1979) 389.
- 7. S.W.Hawking, I.G.Moss and J.M.Stewart, DAMTP preprint (1981);
 A.H.Guth and E.Weinberg, MIT preprint (1982).
- 8. Ya.B.Zeldovich and M.Yu.Khlopov, Phys.Lett. <u>79B</u> (1978) 239; J.P.Preskill, Phys.Rev.Lett. <u>43</u> (1979) 1365.
- 9. G.V.Chibisov and V.F.Mukhanov, Pisma ZhETF 33 (1981) 549; Lebedev Phys. Inst. preprint No 198 (1981).
- 10. A.D.Sakharov, JETP Lett. <u>5</u> (1967) 27;
 V.A.Kuzmin, JETP Lett. <u>12</u> (1970) 335.
 M.Yoshimura, Phys.Rev.Lett. <u>41</u> (1978) 281; <u>42</u> (1978) 746 (Erratum).
 - A.Yu.Ignatiev, N.V.Krasnikov, V.A.Kuzmin, A.N.Tavkhelidze, Phys.Lett. 76B (1978) 436.
 - S.Dimopoulos and L.Susskind, Phys.Rev. D18 (1978) 4500;
 - J.Ellis, M.K.Gaillard and D.V.Nanopoulos, Phys.Lett. 808 (1979) 360;

D. Toussaint, S.B. Treiman, F. Wilczek and A. Zee, Phys. Rev. <u>D19</u> (1979) 1036;

S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42 (1979) 850.

11. A.D.Dolgov, Yadernaya Fizika 32 (1980) 1606.

А.Д.Долгов, А.Д.Линде Еарионная асимметрия в раздувающейся Вселенной Работа поступила в ОНТИ 19.05.82.

Подписано к печати 27.05.82 Т12025 Формат 60x90 I/I6 бретн.печ. Усл.-печ.л.I,0 Уч.-изд.л. 0,7 Тираж 290 экз. Заказ 78 Индекс 3624 Цена IO коп.

Отлечатано в ИТЭФ, 117259, Москва, Б. Черемушкинская, 25