....

. . . .

Workshop on fusion blanket technology Erice (Italy) 6-10 Jun 1983 CEA-CONF--6849

## ANALYSIS OF THE TRITIUM REQUIREMENTS

#### FOR A POWER REACTOR

## F. CARRE, E. PROUST and A. ROCABOY, Commissariat & l'Energie Atomique (CEA) Centre d'Etudes Nucléaires de Saclay 91191 GIF-sur-YVETTE CEDEX (16-6) 908-54-04

#### ABSTRACT

The tritium cycle of a fusion reactor is hereafter investigated by a synthetic model of the tritium circulation between the blanket, the tritium recovery units from the breeder, the coolant, the plasma exhaust and the storage unit. Analytical expressions of the minimum required breeding capability and of the initial tritium supply are derived to analyse the sensitivity of these crucial parameters to the fractional burn up, to the tritium losses (radioactive and others) and to the processing time associated with the various units. As confirmed by the parametric study of a few typical situations, the necessary breeding capability and the initial tritium supply are essentially functions of the total equilibrium inventory. In addition, the distribution of this total inventory among the various units and the possible disproportion of the time scales required by different recovery processes, strongly influence the initial tritium requirement and the doubling time associated with given breeding performances.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Effective tritium regeneration and minimization of the blanket inventory are often mentioned among the requirements, that the fusion reactor blanket studies must meet. However, the effective regeneration within the blanket, is not a strong enough requirement to ensure the continuous refueling of the reactor since the tritium loss and the time scale associated with the tritium processing operations may imply a minimum breeding capability and an initial tritium supply to start up the reactor, that may be out of reach. Likewise, the minimization of the tritium inventory within the blanket is not an objective in itself since it makes the recoverat a lower concentration more difficult and consequently leads to an increased inventory in the processing unit. An estimation of the minimum tritium requirements and of the necessary tradeoffs between the inventories of the different units must be derived from the total fuel cycle optimization. The purpose of the present work is

to identify the leading parameters and to derive some guidelines for such an optimization, from the synthetic analysis of the tritium cycle.

11. SYNTHETIC MODEL OF TRITIUM CIRCULATION

As shown on figure 1, the here adopted representation of the tritium cycle, consists of 6 units: the blanket, the plasma chamber and the storage unit are coupled together with 3 tritium processing units, respectively devoted to the recovery from the breeder, from the coolant and from the plasma exhaust. Each unit is characterized by a tritium mean residence time (T) and eventually by a tritium loss (c) accounting for the complexity of the recovery operations and for the radioactive decay ( $\lambda$ ). In addition, the fusion reactor is assumed to operate with a daily tritium consumption (oP) and a fractional burn up B. Typical values for a 1200 Mwe fusion reactor (3000 Mwth fusion power) could be  $\alpha P=450$  g/d and  $\beta$ = 5%. A fraction f of the bred tritium is assumed to permeate through the cooling tubes and to be recovered from the coolant ; the remaining (1-f) fraction is then recovered from the breeder.

Using the notation  $\Lambda$  for the blanket global breeding ratio, and those of figure 1 for the various other parameters, yields the following set of equations to describe the evolution of the tritium inventory in each peculiar unit :

Blanket 
$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \Lambda \alpha P - \frac{1}{T_i} - \lambda I$$
 (1)

and a sub-second second second second by

Blanket processing unit	$\frac{dJ}{dt} \frac{(1-f)I}{T_{j}} - (1+\epsilon_{j}) \frac{J}{T_{j}} - \lambda J$	(2)
Coolant processing unit	$\frac{dC_{fl}}{dt} \frac{fl}{T_{i}} - (1 + \epsilon_{c}) \frac{C}{T_{c}} - \lambda C$	(3)
Plasma exhaust processing unit	$\frac{dR}{dt} = \alpha P(1/\beta - 1) - (1 + \varepsilon_r) \frac{R}{T_r}$	λR : //
Tritium storage unit	$\frac{dK}{dt} \frac{J}{J} + \frac{C}{C} \frac{\alpha P}{B} + \frac{R}{T} - \lambda K$	(4) (5)



Notations :

. . ....

. . ...

(I,J,K,C,R) : Inventories of the various considered units

: Tritium mean residence time in unit U  $\varepsilon_{U}$ : Non radicactive tritium loss in unit U  $\lambda$ : Tritium decay constant

: Tritium decay constant

 $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  : Recovered proportion of the tritium stream fed into the breeder reprocessing unit

- f : Fraction of the bred tritium recovered from the coolant
- aP : Daily burnt tritium mass
- : Fractional burn up ß
- A : Effective tritium breeding ratio

## Fig. 1. Synthetic model

for the fusion reactor fuel cycle analysis

The proposed model assumes a steady inventory in the plasma chamber and in the coolant; this corresponds to equilibrium inventories obtained in very short times. This assumption is obvious for the plasma chamber. It is also obvious for the coolant, once the permeation flux has gone through the cooling structure, since the total inventory does not exceed 80g with a 500 m<sup>3</sup> primary coolant circuit and a tolerable concentration of 1.5 Ci/l. However, the time for the permeation flux to reach the coolant may require days or months depending on wall thickness and, temperature if trapping in irradiation defects are assumed.

The tritium mean residence time  $T_j$  within the liquid blankets can be controlled over the blanket life time by an appropriate regulation of the breeder circulation. For the ceramic blanket however,  $T_{\rm f}$  depends on the nature and texture of the breeder, on the temperature and on the size of the elementary grain ; it is fixed once only and cannot be adjusted over the life time.

-- . .

·· .

The complete analytical expressions for the various inventories are indicated below :

Blanket :  

$$l(t) = I_{\omega} \left[ 1 - \exp\left(-\left(\frac{1}{\Gamma_{i}} + \lambda\right) t\right) \right] \text{ with } I_{\omega} = \frac{\Lambda \alpha P T_{i}}{(1 + \lambda \Gamma_{i})} \qquad (6)$$

Blanket and coolant processing units :

$$J(t) = J_{\infty} \left[ 1 - \exp\left(-\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon_{j}}{T_{j}}+\lambda\right)t\right)$$

$$-\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon_{j}+\lambda}{1+\varepsilon_{j}}-\frac{T_{j}}{T_{j}}\right) \exp\left(-\lambda t\right) \left(\exp\left(\frac{-t}{T_{j}}\right) - \exp\left(-\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon_{j}}{T_{j}}\right)t\right)\right) \right]$$

$$C(t) = C_{\infty} \left[ 1 - \exp\left(-\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon_{c}}{T_{c}}+\lambda\right)t\right)$$

$$-\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon_{c}+\lambda}{1+\varepsilon_{c}}-\frac{T_{c}}{T_{c}}\right) \exp\left(-\lambda t\right) \left(\exp\left(\frac{-t}{T_{j}}\right) - \exp\left(-\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon_{c}}{T_{c}}\right)t\right)\right) \right]$$
with
$$J_{\infty} = \frac{\Lambda \alpha P (1-f) T_{j}}{(1+\varepsilon_{j}+\lambda T_{j}) (1+\varepsilon_{c}+\lambda T_{c})} \quad \text{and} \quad C_{\infty} = \frac{\Lambda \alpha P f T_{c}}{(1+\lambda T_{j}) (1+\varepsilon_{c}+\lambda T_{c})}$$

Plasma exhaust processing unit :  

$$R(t) = R_{\infty} \left[ 1 - \exp\left(-\left(\frac{1+\epsilon_{r}}{T_{r}} + \lambda\right)t\right) \right] \text{ with } R_{\infty} = \frac{\alpha P(1/\beta-1)T_{r}}{(1+\epsilon_{r}+\lambda-T_{r})}$$
Tritium storage unit :  

$$R(t) = K_{0} \exp\left(-\lambda t\right) + \left(\frac{J_{0}}{T_{j}} + \frac{C_{\infty}}{T_{c}} + \frac{\alpha}{T_{j}} + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right) \left(\frac{1-\exp\left(-\lambda t\right)}{\lambda}\right)$$

$$= \frac{J_{\infty} \exp\left(-\lambda t\right)}{(1+\epsilon_{j}-T_{j}/T_{j})} \left[ (1+\epsilon_{j}+\lambda-T_{j})\frac{T_{j}}{T_{j}} \left(1-\exp\left(-\frac{t}{T_{j}}\right)\right) - \left(\frac{T_{j}/T_{j}+\lambda-T_{j}}{(1+\epsilon_{j})}\right) \left(1-\exp\left(-\left(\frac{1+\epsilon_{j}}{T_{j}}\right)t\right)\right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{C_{\infty} \exp\left(-\lambda t\right)}{(1+\epsilon_{c}-T_{c}/T_{j})} \left[ (1+\epsilon_{c}+\lambda-T_{c})\frac{T_{j}}{T_{c}} \left(1-\exp\left(-\frac{t}{T_{j}}\right)\right) - \left(\frac{T_{c}/T_{j}+\lambda-T_{c}}{1+\epsilon_{c}}\right) \left(1-\exp\left(-\left(\frac{1+\epsilon_{c}}{T_{c}}\right)t\right)\right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{R_{\infty} \exp\left(-\lambda t\right)}{(1+\epsilon_{r})} \left(1-\exp\left(-\left(\frac{1+\epsilon_{r}}{T_{r}}\right)t\right) \right)$$

where Kn is the initial tritium supply.

The blanket and the plasma exhaust processing units undergo a progressive saturation to the equilibrium level; their derivative at t=0 take the respective values of  $A\alpha P$  and  $\alpha P(1/\beta-1)$  according to equations (1) and (4). The blanket and coolant processing units also converge to their

equilibrium inventory ; however, their derivatives vanish at t=0, since no tritium has been bred so far in the blanket. The storage unit first undergoes a steep decrease, corresponding to a consumption rate of  $\frac{1}{24}$  to feed the plasma chamber without any compensation from the recovery units. For sufficient values of A, the tritium provision takes a minimum value at a time t<sub>0</sub> and then builds up progressively, while the recovery units are becoming saturated. The initial tritium supply K<sub>0</sub> takes the minimum value when K(t<sub>0</sub>) vanishes.

The doubling time  $t_D$  is the time by which, the start up provision  $K_O$  is built up in the storage unit. Simple first order expressions will be derived for these parameters, in order to facilitate the identification of the leading terms and the sensitivity analysis.

### III. FIRST ORDER EXPRESSIONS OF THE MINIMUM BREEDING REQUIREMENTS

The equilibrium level of the storage inventory is easily derived from (5)

$$K_{ac} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ \frac{J_{ac}}{T_{j}} + \frac{C_{ac}}{T_{c}} - \frac{\alpha P}{\beta} \right]$$
(11)

The minimum requirement on  $\Lambda$  is given by K\_m20. It expresses the minimum condition to keep the plant running:  $\Lambda$  must at least compensate for the radioactive decay of the total equilibrium inventory. A first order expression for  $\Lambda$  min is the following

$$A \min \# 1 + \varepsilon + \lambda T \qquad (12)$$

with  $\varepsilon = (1-f) \varepsilon_j + f \varepsilon_c + (1/\beta-1) \varepsilon_r$ 

and  $T = T_{i} + (1-f) T_{j} + f T_{c} + (1/\beta-1) T_{r}$ 

c and T respectively express the global tritium loss and residence time integrated over the total fuel cycle.

The minimum requirement on A, for a single reactor to be able to regenerate its initial tritium provision is given by  $K_{\alpha} > K_{\alpha}$  about equal to the total equilibrium inventory (aP.T) for such low breeding performances.

$$\Lambda_{\underline{a}} # 1 + \varepsilon + \lambda T + \lambda \frac{\kappa_0}{\alpha P} # 1 + \varepsilon + 2 \lambda T$$
(13)

 $\Lambda_{\omega}$  compensates for the radioactive decay of twice the total equilibrium inventory ; this corresponds to an infinite doubling time  $t_D$ .

The first order expression of  $\Lambda$  to achieve a doubling time  $t_D$  is the following :

$$\Lambda(t_{D}) # 1 + \varepsilon + T\left(\frac{1}{t_{D}} + \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) + \frac{\lambda K_{O}}{\alpha P}$$
(14)

This equation obviously expresses the necessity to compensate for the tritium loss, for the accumulation over the t<sub>D</sub> period of the equilibrium inventory plus the associated radioactive decay and for the decay of the initial provision. Expression (14) is derived for small values of  $\lambda t_D$  (<1) and cannot be extrapolated to infinite t<sub>D</sub>; a  $\Delta_L^T$  discrepancy is indeed observed with the exact expression of  $\Lambda_{\infty}$  given by (13).

#### IV. TYPICAL ORDERS OF MAGNITUDE FOR THE INPUT PARAMETERS

The study of the INTOR (Li<sub>2</sub> SiO<sub>3</sub> blanket) tritium system<sup>2</sup> gave a recent estimation of the various inventories associated with consumption and regeneration rates of 77 g/d and 50 g/d and with a fractional burn-up of 53.

Tab. 1.	Inventory (ç)	Mean residence time (d)	
Plasma exhaust and neutral injectors	370	T <sub>r</sub> =0.25	
Blanket	500-1000	Ti=10-20	
Recovery from the blanket	737	$1T_{j}=14.7$	
Recovery from the coolant with a 0.1 g/d permeation	65	Tc=650	

The main conclusions from the above table are :

•that  $T_r \ll T_i \# T_i \ll T_c$ 

- \*that the T<sub>i</sub> value of 10 days for a solid blanket can probably be decreased to the level of about 1 day by adjusting the circulation rate of a liquid blanket
- \*that the very large value of T<sub>c</sub> is mainly due to the (H<sub>2</sub>+T<sub>2</sub>) cryogenic distillation step, devoted to the 50% enrichment in tritium of the stream to be fed into the plasma exhaust reprocessing system
- \*that the disproportion between the times required for the various recovery processes will make the tritium requirements very sensitive to the fraction f of the tritium recovered from the coolant.

It is interesting to note, that the about equal distribution of the tritium inventory over both units of the blanket processing line  $(T_i \approx T_j)$  also approximately corresponds to the minimum of  $(I_m + J_m)$ . In the case of a liquid blanket  $T_i$ 

and T<sub>j</sub> may be expressed as functions of the extracted fraction  $\xi$  of the tritium stream from the breeder :

 $T_i = \tau(\frac{1}{\xi} - \frac{1}{2})$  and  $T_j = \tau_p \log(\frac{1}{1-\xi})$ 

with  $\tau$  and  $\tau_p$  respectively equal to the time for a complete blanket circulation and to the characteristic time for the exchange-like recovery process.

It is indeed remarkable, that whatever input values are assumed for  $\tau$  and  $\tau p$  the  $\xi_{min}$  value that minimizes  $(T_j + T_j)$  yields about equal importance for both terms.

Tab. 2.

ĺ	τ <sub>ρ</sub> (d)	1			7		
I	т (d)	172	1	2	172	1 1	2
Ι	Enin	0.50	0.62	0.73	0.231	0.31	0.41
I	Τ <sub>i</sub>	0.75	1.12	1.73	1.69	2.631	3.85
I	Ti	0.69	0.95	1.32	1.87	2.64	3.71

V. PARAMETRIC STUDY OF A FEW TYPICAL SITUATIONS

The following table illustrates the sensitivity of the tritium requirements  $(\Lambda_{min}, \Lambda_{o}, K_0)$  and of the variations of the tritium provision  $(K(t), t_0, t_0)$  in a few typical situations with no other tritium loss than radioactive ( $\epsilon$ =0).

 $T_r=0.25 d$   $T_c=650 d$   $\varepsilon=0$   $0.\leq f\leq 0.1$  and  $T_i=T_i=1$  or 14 d.

Tab. 3.

		4-1	.01	•••	63	A+1	.05	A+1	10	}
1.0.	1.0.1	1-2.	1-2.1	1.3	1-0.1	1.0.	1.0.1	1+0.	1-0.1	]
1,-1,-	1.4	6.752		6.619	33 343	6.556	19.52)	6,433	7.117	r /e*
1-6.7; d	1+71.65 4	4.4		5.4	920	4.8	\$20	•	70	۰.
A1.002CE	1 52210	132.6		239.4	3513	19.3	1532	70.1	413	۰,
71.17.1	14 4	37.374		31.365	82.587	30.247	47.04.2	25.450	33.293	1./32
1-12.15 4	1+45.25 0	104,1		18.5	1376	65	600	57	310	۰.
ata*1.00501 A_*1.01037	1 02974			1489.5	7281	799.3	મઝ	362.2	980	r,

The following conclusions may be derived from the above studied examples :

V.A. No tritium permeation to the coolant (f=0.)

 $K_0$  is about equal to the total equilibrium inventory (equal to T, when expressed in days of production aP) and very little sensitive to A. This

.. . . . .

corresponds to a rapid obtention of the time  $t_0$  by which K(t) is minimum and to the impossibility to recover enough tritium from the blanket to compensate for the decrease of the provision to feed the reactor by then.

The time t<sub>o</sub> is given in first approximation by  

$$\begin{pmatrix} t \\ t \\ 1 + \frac{t_{o}}{T_{i}} \end{pmatrix} \exp\left(\frac{-t_{o}}{T_{i}}\right) = \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda_{min}}{\Lambda}\right)$$
(15)

This shows obviously that  $t_0$  is proportional to  $T_i = T_j$  and weakly dependent on A only.

Indeed, the explored situation correspond to  $t_0$  values of about ST, when A ranges from  $\Lambda_{min}$  to 1.10.

The first order expression of  ${\rm K}_{\rm O}$  as a function of  ${\rm t}_{\rm O}$  is given by

$$K_{0} = I_{\infty} + R_{\omega} + J_{\infty} \left[ 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{\Lambda_{\min}}{\Lambda} \right) \frac{t_{0}}{T_{1}} \right]$$
(16)

It expresses the fact that  $K_0$  is about equal to the total inventory (equal to T, when expressed in days of production), minus the bred tritium in excess of  $A_{\min}$ , by the time  $t_0$ ; this potential reduction of  $K_0$  is proportional to  $J_{\infty} \approx t_{\infty}$  and does not exceed 40%  $J_{\infty}$  for A<1.1.

Expression (14) with  $K_0 {\equiv} T.$   $\alpha P$  yields correct estimations of the doubling time as a function of T and A :

$$\frac{1}{t_D} # \frac{(\Lambda - \Lambda_{\min})}{T} - \frac{\lambda}{2}$$
(17)

# V.B. Significant tritium leak towards the coolant (f=0.1)

The precedent situation is strongly affected by the delayed recovery of a significant proportion of the bred tritium and by the consequent increase of the total equilibrium inventory T by 65 days of production ; the direct consequence is a strengthening of the required theshold for A.

As the coolant processing unit becomes very slowly saturated compared to the other units, and as the equilibrium inventory  $C_{\infty}$  is more than 60% of the total inventory in the above examples, the time  $t_0$ , when K(t) undergoes a minimum, is significantly increased compared with the f=0. situation. As a consequence  $K_0$  becomes very sensitive to A and the appreciable amount of tritium recovered from the breeder by  $t_0$  represents a substential saving on the initial supply. The incentive for high breeding performances is obvious, while considering, that  $K_0$  can be reduced to a small fraction of the equilibrium inventory : 10% to 35% with A=1.10 depending on  $T_j=1$  or 14 days. It is worth to notice, that, in the extreme situation with  $T_j=1$  day, the doubling time  $t_D$  is so short (410 d) that the equilibrium inventory is not yet obtained in the coolant processing unit.

First order approximations for  $t_0$  and  $K_0(\Lambda)$  may be derived :

$$\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{o}} \ \ \ \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}} \ \ \ \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{c}} \ \ \ \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{c}} \ \ \ \mathbf{C} \ \ \ \mathbf{C} \ \ \ \mathbf{C} \ \ \ \mathbf{C} \ \ \mathbf{C}$$

$$K_{0}(\Lambda) # I_{\omega} + R_{\omega} + J_{\omega} \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda_{\min}}{\Lambda}\right) \frac{t_{0}}{T_{j}}\right] + C_{\omega} \left[\left(1 + \frac{T_{i}}{T_{c}}\right)\left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{t_{0}}{T_{c}}\right)\right) - \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda_{\min}}{\Lambda}\right) \frac{t_{0}}{T_{c}}\right]$$
(19)

Equation (18) expresses a quasi proportionality of t<sub>0</sub> to  $T_c$  and its dependence on the leading parameters f and A. Equation (19) indicates the potential savings on K<sub>0</sub> associated with high breeding performances; the great sensitivity to A is explained by the amplication of the t<sub>0</sub> dependence on A by the factor  $T_c$  in equation (18) rather than by  $T_i$  in equation (15). The maximum saving on K<sub>0</sub> is the equilibrium inventory of the coolant processing unit; in return, values of A about Amin require to provide the total equilibrium inventory to start up the reactor.

A satisfactory approximation of  $t_{D}$  is given by  $(A-A) \rightarrow K (A)$ 

$$\frac{1}{t_{D}} # \frac{\frac{(\pi^{-n}\min)^{1-n}o(\pi^{-}/\alpha \rho)}{T} + \frac{\lambda}{2}}{T} \text{ with}$$

$$T = \left[T_{i} + (1-f)T_{j} + f T_{c}\left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{t_{D}}{T_{c}}\right)\right) + (1/\beta - 1)T_{r}\right]$$

and  $K_{n}(\Lambda)$  expressed by (19).

The modified expression of the global residence time T accounts for the possibility of having the coolant processing unit not fully saturated at  $t_{\rm fl}$ .

V.C. Non radioactive tritium loss

Above examples assume only radioactive tritium loss (c=0.). Accounting for other loss (trapping, leak) in the above considerations is immediate, while integrating the c term in the  $A_{min}$  expression (12). The amplification factor (1/S-1) (equal to 19 for B=5%) makes  $\varepsilon_T$  the leading term. If all processing losses are about equal, the 10% margin corresponding to a A value of 1.10 would require these non radioactive losses not to exceed  $S_{\infty}^{*}$ . More realistic considerations about the tolerable contribution of  $\varepsilon$  to  $A_{\min}$  would require to reduce  $\varepsilon_{r}$  to about  $1_{\infty}^{*}$ . Figure 2 indicates the requirement on  $(A - \varepsilon_{r} / B)$  as a function of the total residence time T to achieve a given doubling time t<sub>D</sub>; the possibility of obtaining a total inventory T with different contributions of the various units (variable values of f in the 0, 10% range) corresponds to an about 1% dispersion on the required values of A.



Fig. 2. Breeding requirements  $(\Lambda - \frac{\epsilon_r}{\beta})$  for  $t_n=3, 5; 10, \Rightarrow$  years versus total residence time T

### VI. CONCLUSION

The global tritium residence time and tritium loss associated with all the reprocessing operations are the leading parameters of the breeding and initial supply requirements. However, those requirements also depend on the distribution of the total inventory among the various units and on the possible disproportion of the time scales required by different recovery processes. As an example, the table 4 indicates these requirements for a fusion reactor characterized by the presently anticipated operating conditions for INTOR (Table 1), with 0 and 10% of the tritium permeating to the coolant.

For a  $\beta$  value as low as 5%, each increase by 1%, of the non radioactive tritium loss  $\varepsilon_r$  implies an increase by 2% of the required breeding performances.

Tab. 4.		t <sub>D</sub> (years)	•	10	5	3	
1	T (days)	Amin - B	$\Lambda_{\omega} = \frac{c_r}{\beta}$	$\Lambda_{10} = \frac{\epsilon_r}{B}$	$h_5 = \frac{\epsilon_r}{B}$	$\Lambda_3 = \frac{\epsilon_r}{B}$	
0.	32.75	1.005	1.010	1.015	1.025	1.035	
K <sub>o</sub> /aP	(days)	32.8	32.4	32.1	31.5	30.9	
0.1	96.75	1.015	1.030	1.040	1.065	1.090	
r. /aP	(days)	8.39	62.6	54.6	43.0	36.0	

Even if achieving effective breeding performances significantly in excess of one still remains questionable, the requirements of table 4 derived with rather pessimistic assumptions do not seem absolutely out of reach if the envisaged recovery processes can restrict the non radioactive loss to about 1%. However, the deleterious effects of a delayed recovery of an appreciable fraction of the bred tritium appears to be a serious concern and the optimization of the tritium cycle clearly requires the minimization of the total plant inventory. This is the main incentive to investigate either reduced inventory coolant processing units or efficient permeation barriers; the selection of the best option may arise from the comparison of the equilibrium inventory of the plant to process the permeation flux, with the increased trapped inventory associated with the permeation barrier.

As a conclusion, the preposed model of tritium circulation is a first approach to evaluate and to compare the capacity of any blanket solution to effectively sustain the fuel cycle of a fusion reactor. Combining the blanket performances with realistic input parameters to characterize the tritium processing units, also permits a preliminary optimization of the total plant inventory by adjusting the leading parameters emphasized by the analytical expressions and thus providing some guidelines for the necessary trade-offs among the various tritium processing units.

## REFERENCES

. . . . .

**-** ---- - - - -

----

1. M. J. BASKES, K. L. WILSON, W. BAUER, "Calculational Models and Analysis of Tritium Permeation," Chapter VIII "Tritium and Safety" of the USA Contributions to the INTOR PHASE IIA Report.

......

2. D. LEGER AND M. H. PLOUZENNEC, "INTOR Tritium System," Chapter VIII "Tritium, Blanket and Safety" of the European Contributions to the INTOR PHASE IIA Report.

- -

ويعاد والمستعد المالية فالم

----