International Atomic Energy Agency

INDC(CCP)-211/L



INTERNATIONAL NUCLEAR DATA COMMITTEE

SIMULTANEOUS MULTI-LEVEL ANALYSIS OF THE TOTAL AND

FISSION CROSS-SECTIONS OF $^{239}\mathrm{Pu}$ UP to 160 eV

V.V. Kolesov and A.A. Luk'yanov

Translation from Nuclear Constants 2(46) 3 (1982)

Translated by the IAEA October 1983

Reproduced by the IAEA in Austria October 1983

SIMULTANEOUS MULTI-LEVEL ANALYSIS OF THE TOTAL AND FISSION CROSS-SECTIONS OF ²³⁹Pu UP TO 160 eV

V.V. Kolesov and A.A. Luk'yanov

Translation from Nuclear Constants 2(46) 3 (1982)

Translated by the IAEA October 1983

83-05939

UDC 539 170 013

SIMULTANEOUS MULTI-LEVEL ANALYSIS OF THE TOTAL AND FISSION CROSS-SECTIONS OF ²³⁹Pu UP TO 160 eV

V.V. Kolesov and A.A. Luk'yanov

ABSTRACT

In order to describe cross-sections a multi-level scheme based on S-matrix theory was used taking the Doppler effect and the resolution into account. A multi-level analysis of the total cross-section and fission cross-section of ²³⁹Pu was made by the least-squares method in the energy region up to 160 eV. The experimental cross-section data used have a good resolution. The multi-level parameters can be used to represent all the features of the detailed energy structure of experimental cross-sections where the regions of interference minima are of the greatest interest.

An exact knowledge of the detailed structure of the cross-section of fissionable nuclei in the resonance energy region is of great importance where practical applications are concerned. Although in recent years considerable progress has been made with measurements, the results of different experiments do not fully agree with each other. The problem of the evaluation of cross-sections and the comparison of different experimental results in a given range is therefore rather complex.

In many cases this problem is best solved by deriving an analytical representation of the cross-sections by introducing a given number of parameters obtained by analysing experiments. This parametrization makes it possible, for example, to solve the following problems:

- Intercomparison of the results of different experiments with different resolutions and sample temperatures;
- (2) Reconstitution of the true cross-sections at different temperatures;

- (3) Comparatively easy calculation of functionals from cross-sections;
- (4) Representation of a large number of experimental data with relatively small number of parameters.

At present three basic assumptions have become the most widely used for parametrization and representation of the energy structure of cross-sections: the Brite-Wigner approximation, a scheme based on the R-matrix theory and a scheme based on the S-matrix theory.

The first approximation is easiest to apply but owing to significant interference effects between resonances for many elements, and in particular for ²³⁹Pu, it should really not be used. The main advantage of the R-matrix scheme is simplicity of the interpretation of the parameters obtained. Among its disadvantages the main one to be pointed out is the difficulty arising when taking the Doppler effect and resolution into account. This also makes the search for parameters extremely laborious. For fissionable nuclei it is probably better to use the S-matrix scheme. When so doing it is easy to take into account the Doppler effect and the instrumental resolution and it is possible to represent cross-sections with any number of reaction channels and any degree of interference between resonance levels. The representation is in practice just as convenient for use in various calculations as is the Brite-Wigner approximation.

It should be pointed out that most of the literature, Ref. [1] for example, and also many evaluated data libraries give only resonance parameters obtained with the Brite-Wigner approximation. This makes it necessary to use relatively complex sets of programmes for reconstructing the detailed shape of the cross-section.

<u>Method of calculation</u>. For representing cross-sections in the present paper use was made of the multi-level scheme based on the S-matrix theory. Taking into account the Doppler effect, in accordance with the data of Ref. [2], general cross-section equations are written in the form

$$\begin{split} & \tilde{\sigma}_{T} = \tilde{\sigma}_{P} + 0.65 \cdot 10^{6} / \sqrt{E} \sum_{\lambda} 1 / \nu_{\lambda} \left\{ G_{\lambda}^{T} \Psi \left[(E - \mu_{\lambda}) / \nu_{\lambda}; \nu_{\lambda} / \Delta_{T} \right] + H_{\lambda}^{T} \chi \left[(E - \mu_{\lambda}) / \nu_{\lambda}; \nu_{\lambda} / \Delta_{T} \right] \right\}; \\ & \tilde{\sigma}_{F} = 0.65 \cdot 10^{6} / \sqrt{E} \sum_{\lambda} 1 / \nu_{\lambda} \left\{ G_{\lambda}^{F} \Psi \left[(E - \mu_{\lambda}) / \nu_{\lambda}; \nu_{\lambda} / \Delta_{T} \right] + H_{\lambda}^{F} \chi \left[(E - \mu_{\lambda}) / \nu_{\lambda}; \nu_{\lambda} / \Delta_{T} \right] \right\}, \end{split}$$

where $\sigma_{p}^{}$ is the potential scattering, $\boldsymbol{G}_{\lambda}^{T},~\boldsymbol{H}_{\lambda}^{T},~\boldsymbol{G}_{\lambda}^{F},~\boldsymbol{H}_{\lambda}^{F}$ are parameters, $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\lambda}^{}$ is the

position of the resonance, v_{λ} is its width, $\Delta_{T} = \sqrt{4} \text{ KTE}/(A + 1)$ is the Doppler width, and Ψ and χ are symmetrical and asymmetrical Doppler functions containing the main energy dependence of the cross-section and taking the resonance form. The resolution of the instruments is taken into account here by replacing Δ_{T} by $\Delta = \sqrt{\Delta_{T}^{2} + \Delta_{R}^{2}}$ where Δ_{R}^{2} is the resolution dispersion function.

<u>Analysis of experiments and results</u>. For this analysis use was made of the following sets of experimental data:

For $\sigma_{\rm T}$ in the region 0.014-4.5 eV, with a resolution of 2.7-0.52 µs/m [3] and also in the region above 4.45 eV, with a resolution of 0.018-0.001 µs/m [4];

For $\sigma_{\rm F}$ in the region 0.019-2 eV, with a resolution of 2.5-0.8 µs/m [5], in the region 2-4.5 eV, with a resolution of 0.2-0.03 µs/m [6], in the region 4.5-37.5 eV, with a resolution of 0.025 µs/m [7], and in the region above 37.5 eV with a resolution of 0.007-0.004 µs/m [8].

Virtually all measurements were performed with the best resolution available at present for the particular energy region. In order to match the positions of resonances in the total and fission cross-sections, it proved necessary to shift the energy scales in the measurements taken from Refs [7,8] so as to bring them into line with the scale in Ref. [4]. The shift was made to obey the law E' = E - α E + β where α = 0.0047729, β = 0.0152823 for Ref. [7], and α = 0 and β = 0.033 for Ref. [8]. Analysis of cross-sections was based on the least squares method using the program described in Ref. [9]. The potential scattering was taken to be equal to 10.3 b^{*/}. The resonance parameters obtained in this way are shown in the table, together with single-level parameters from Ref. [1]. In Figs 1-3 the reconstructed cross-sections and experimental data are compared.

The results of the multi-level parametrization illustrated the feasibility of simultaneously representing the total cross-section and the fission cross-section of ²³⁹Pu in the resonance region using a single consistent set of resonance parameters. The cross-sections plotted from the parameters found represented all the features of the detailed energy structure of the experimental cross-sections where the region of interference minima is of greatest interest.

 $[\]pm /$ 1 barn = 10⁻²⁸ m²

In the future it is planned to test the derived parameters, mainly H_{λ}^{T} and H_{λ}^{F} , against the measurements of neutron spectra and fission cross-sections in filtered beams for relatively thick samples [10].

REFERENCES

- [1] ANTSIPOV, G.V., BAKHANOVICH, L.A., ZHARKOV, V.F., et al., Preprint No. 12 Institute of Heat and Mass Exchange (ITMO), Acad. Sci. Byelorus. Sov. Soc. Rep., Minsk (1981).
- [2] Adler D.B., Adler F.T. Neutron cross-sections in fissile elements. In: Proceedings of the Conference on Breeding in Large Fast Reactors. Argonne. ANL-6792, 1963, p.695-708.
- [3] Bollinger L.M., Cote R.K., Thomas G.E. The slow neutron cross-sections of plutonium-239. -In: Proceedings of the Second United Nations International Conference on the Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy (Geneva, 1958). Geneva, United Nations, 1958, V.15, p.127-137.
- [4] DERRIEN, H., BLONS, J., EGGERMAN, C., et al., Total and fission cross-sections of ²³⁹Pu, Proc. Conf. Nuclear Data for Reactors (Paris, 1966), IAEA, 2 Vienna (1967) 195-210 [in French].
- [5] De Saussure G., Weston L.W., Gwin R. e.a. Measurement of the neutron capture and fission cross-sections and of their ratio alpha for ²³³U, ²³⁵U and ²³⁹Pu. Ibid., p.233-249.
- [6] Gwin R., Silver E.G., Ingle R.W. e.a. Measurement of the neutron capture and fission cross-sections of ²³⁹Pu and ²³⁵U, 0,02 eV to 200 keV, the neutron capture cross-sections of ¹⁹⁷Au, 10 to 50 keV, and neutron cross-sections of ²³³U, 5 to 200 keV. Nucl. Sci. and Engng, 1976, v. 59, p.79-106.
- [7] DE SAUSSURE, G., BLONS, J., IOUSSEAUME, C., et al., Measurement and analysis of the fission cross-section of plutonium-239 from 0 to 5 keV Proc. Symp. Physics and Chemistry of Fission (Salzburg, 1965), IAEA, 1 Vienna (1965) 205-216 [in French].
- [8] Blons J. High resolution measurements of neutron induced fission cross-sections for 233U, 235U, 239Pu and 241Pu below 30 keV. Mucl. Sci. and Engng, 1973, v.51, p.130-147.
- [9] KOLESOV, V.V., A program for multi-level analysis of resonance crosssections, Voprosy atomnoj nauki i tekhniki, Ser. Yad. konst. <u>3</u> (38) (1980) 17 [in Russian].
- [10] Bakalov T., Ilahev V., Ukraintsev V.K. e.a. Transmission and self-indication measurements of ²³⁵U and ²³⁹Pu in 2 eV - 20 keV energy region. - In: Proceedings of the International Conference on Muclear Cross-Sections for Technolegy. Knorville, 1979, p.642-698.







Fig. 3. Calculated and experimental cross-sections in the region 100-150 eV

μ, eV	ν, eV	G ^T ·10 ⁴ , eV ^{1/2}	$H^{T} \cdot I \cup^{4}, e^{V^{1/2}},$	$\begin{array}{c} G^{F} \cdot 10^{4}, \\ e^{V} I/2, \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} H^{F} \cdot 10^{4}, \\ e^{V} \end{array} $	щ, eV	ν, eV	$G^{T} \cdot 10^{4}, \\ e^{V^{1/2}}$	$\begin{array}{c} H^{T} \cdot 10^{4}, \\ e^{V} \cdot 1/2 \end{array}$	G ^F ·IO ⁴ , eV ^{I/2}	H ^F ·10 ⁴ , eV ^{1/2} ,
-0,26	0,100	0	0,4274	0	0,3100	15,42	0,405	2,8498	-0,1814	2,6114	-0,0598
(-1,81)	(1,650)	(3,1998)	— '	(2,8314)	-	(15,45)	(0,350)	(2,3758)	-	(2,1999)	-
(-0,08)	(0,035)	(0,0518)	- .	(0,0489)	-	17,63	0,038	6,4463	0,0190	2,9145	-0,1193
0.30	0.047	2,9322	0.0482	I.3247	0.0341	(17,05)	(0,037)	(5,8296)		(2,5307)	
(0,29)	(0,047)	(2,2315)	_	(1,3534)	-	22,24	0,052	8,2352	0,2296	4,7341	0,2243
			 			(22,28)	(0,054)	(7,8679)		(4,4822)	
3,15	2,250	0,4700	0,0830	0,4500	-0,0532	23,88	0,046	0,2852	-0,0430	0,1806	0,0485
(5,89)	(1,651)	(0,3870)	-	(0,3819)	、 -	(23,92)	(0,035)	(0,2610)		(0,1490)	-
7,8I	0,043	4,1733	-0,0922	2,3591	0,0412	26,23	0,043	4,533I	0,0262	2,2577	-0,065I
(7,81)	(0,044)	(4,1017)	-	(2,2526)	-	(26,22)	(0,042)	(3,5111)	_	(1,9244)	-
TO 92	0.089	8 3951	0.5174	6 4547	0.7015	27,24	0,025	0,3962	0,0217	0,0558	-0,0048
(T0,92)	(0,100)	(8.0416)	-	(6.2786)	-	(27,22)	(0,021)	(0,4114)		(0,0586)	-
		,				32,29	0,083	0,7340	0,0207	0,5092	0,0413
(11,49)	(0,026)	(0,2508)	-	(0,0505)	-	(32,29)	(0,076)	(0,6596)	-	(0,485I)	
II,88	0,033	4,2233	-0,3242	1,6253	-0,2832	(34,58)	(0,046)	(0,0311)	-	(0,0168)	-
(11,88)	(0,038)	(3,8830)	-	(1,4645)	-	35,43	0,019	0,5888	0,0006	0,0568	0,0014
J4.30	0,053	2,6068	-0,3247	1,5852	-0,3154	(35,48)	(0,024)	(0,6861)	-`	(0,0581)	_
(14,30)	(0,051)	(2,2851)	-	(1,5072)	-	41,38	0,023	8,9349	0,3422	0,7149	0,0664
TA 66	0.036	7 4337	0.5076	3,2002	0.3614	(41,40)	(0,026)	(9,8778)	-	(0,9455)	
(14.67)	(0.035)	(7.4113)	-	(3,0963)	-	41,63 '	0,051	3,0294	-0,1235	I,3963	-0,0887
]	1 ,		(41,64)	(0,052)	(3,4550)	-	(1,5393)	-

Resonance parameters for ²³⁹Pu (single-level parameters of Ref. [1] are shown in brackets)

ł 8

I.

, щ eV	ν, eV	$G^{T} \cdot IO^{4},$ eV ^{I/2}	H ^T ·10 ⁴ , eV ^{1/2}	$G^{F} \cdot I0^{4}$. eV ^{I/2}	$H^{F} \cdot 10^4$, eV ^{1/2}		, eV	ν, eV	$G^{T} \cdot 10^{4},$ eV	H ^T ·10 ⁴ , eV ^{1/2}	$\begin{array}{c} G^{F} \cdot I0^{4}, \\ e^{V} I/2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} H^{F} \cdot 10^{4} \\ eV \\ I/2 \end{array}$
44.44	0,026	13,5942	0,3824	I,2069	-0,0266		74,03	C ,039	5,7519	-0,7261	2,6380	-0,8253
(44,46)	(0,029)	(14,1207)	-	(1,3084)	-		(74,01)	(0,036)	(5,7009)		(2,5197)	-
47,56	0,141	3,7368	0,2674	3,2502_	0,1571]	74,90	0,093	34,4847	2, 34 14	22,3753	1,0277
(47,57)	(0,156)	(4,0877)	-	(3,2136)	-		(74,91)	(0,073)	(36,3043)		(21,1433)	-
49,65	0 ,3 67	2,4500	0,1974	2,3500	0,1177	1	78,94	0,063	0,1079	0,0231	0,0022	-0,0037
(49,68)	(0,401)	(2,8772	-	(2,6869)	-		(78,91)	(0,046)	(0,2303)	-	(0,1219)	-
50,04	0,027	6,3568	0,1099	1,3829	-0,0474		81,13	0 ,835	2,5826	4,0203	2,3074	3,7796
(50,05)	(0,029)	(6 ,844I)	-	(1,5547)	-	ĺ	(81,72)	(1,023)	(4,7818)	-	(4,6613)	-
52,54	0,029	20,2067	0,7271	2,7112	0,0467		82,66	0,024	0,5693	0,0167	0,0338	-0,0287
(52,57)	(0,034)	(19,8633)	-	(2,4681)	~		(82,64)	(0,035)	(0,8259)	-	(0,3456)	-
55,58	0,029	3,08 07	-0,004I	I,I6I 0	-0,1479		(83,48)	(0,875)	(1,3404)	-	(1,3057)	-
(55,60)	(0,029)	(3,6128)	-	(1,3211)	-		85,42	I,I65	28,6130	-3,4829	25,9597	-4,7143
57,42	0,466	15,0716	6,2855	13,7402	5,2303	1	(85,28)	(1,049)	(27,8232)	-	(26,5710)	-
(57 ,4I)	(0 ,2 55)	(10,6615)	-	(9,3002)	-		85,49	0,038	12,5233	0,4069	2,3643	-0,1621
(58,81)	(0,551)	(7,5472)	-	(7,4624)	-	1	(85,44)	(0,037)	(12,3335)	-	(2,8105)	-
59,16	0,069	9, (128	0,8103	6,5670	-0, 249	1	90,72	0,030	17,6433	0,8753	2,6941	-0,0635
(59 ,19)	(0,090)	(10,5124)	-	(7,05 1 4)	-	Ì	(90,70)	(0 ,0 30)	(17,8340)	-	(2,6829)	-
63,60	3,511	10,0000	-11,9197	9,8000	- 10,9 900		92,97	0,021	1,0150	-0,0112	0,0930	-0,0685
(60 ,9I)	(3 ,39 9)	(12,907])	, -	(12,7871)	-		(92,92)	(0,029)	(1,3749)	-	(0,2013)	-
63,03	0,049	I,I 497	0,0007	0,7143	-0.0:89	1	95,37	0,039	2,9964	0.2744	0,8838	-0,0540
(63,05)	(0,076)	(1,5228)	-	(1,0962)			(95,31)	(0,049)	(3,2589)	-	(0,9632)	-
65,45	0,196	7,22.3	2,1266	5,3894	3, . 32	1	96,65	0,732	5 ,33 88	-1.1699	4,9017	-1,0593
(65,33)	(0,046)	(0,63/3)		(0,3435)			(96,44)	(0,850)	(6,9614)	-	(6,7293)	-
65 ,~ 0	0,336	16,73%)	1,9750	5,9393	-0 254		98,8 7	4,652	14,5392	1,5010	14,0253	-0,8727
(65,68)	(0,068)	(20,5695)	-	(11,0313)	-		(100,20)	(3,001)	(6,0530)	-	(5,9975)	-

Окончание таблицы

μ, eV	ν, eV	$\begin{array}{c} G^{T} \cdot 10^{4}, \\ e^{V} 1/2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} H^{T} \cdot 10^{4} \\ e^{V} 172 \end{array}$	G ^F ·IO ⁴ , eVI/2	$ \begin{array}{c} H^{F} \cdot \mathrm{I} \mathrm{O}^{4} \\ e \mathrm{V} \end{array} \\ e \mathrm{V} $, eV	ν, eV	$G^{T} I 0^{4}, e^{I/2}, e^{I/2}$	$ \begin{array}{c} H^{T} \cdot 10^4 \\ eV^{1/2} \end{array}, \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{G}^{F} \cdot \mathbf{I} \mathbf{G}^4 \\ \mathbf{e} \mathbf{V}^{1/2} \end{array} \\ \\ \end{array} $	$\frac{\mathrm{H}^{F}\cdot\mathrm{I0}^{4}}{\mathrm{e}^{V}},$
103,01	0,025	2,3071	0,1037	0,4703	-0,0383	132,04	I,575	11,1373	-1,0295	10,6627	-2,3901
(102,94)	(0,023)	(2,5535)	-	(0,4908)	`	(131,69)	(1,900)	(16,5727)	-	16,2195)	•••
105,37	0,030	£,5878	0,2973	0,6896	-0,0168	133,61	0,022	6,4109	0,I86 9	0,8250	-0,0349
(105,25)	(0,024)	(6,2304)	-	(0,7794)	-	(133,72)	(0,028)	(6,4892)		(0,7599)	
106,69	0,036	12,0625	0,6342	4,6437	-0,3053	135,23	7,506	10,6572	-6,7919	6,8196	-1,6538
(106,62)	(0,038)	(13,9489)	-	(4,7907)	-	136,79	0,050	4,1424	0,1111	2,7971	-0,2782
110,42	0,030	0,6378	0,0206	0,2580	-0,0395	(136,68)	(0,063)	(4,2112)		(2,7774)	
(110,33)	(0,022)	(0,6807)	-	(0,2035)	-	139,21	0,00002	0,0444	0,0305	0	0,0061
113,96	0,919	0,2115	0,2115	0,2100	0,9800	(139,21)	(0,161)	(0,1363)	-	(0,1183)	-
(114,38)	(0,749)	· (0 , 7848)	-	(0,7613)	-	142,96	0,041	3,7593	-0,0849	2,4286	-0,3129
115,28	0,086	0,1852	-0,1980	0	-0,1901	(142,85)	(0,069)	(4,2409)		(2,4696)	-
(115,04)	(0,103)	(0,3210)	-	(0,2560)	-	I43,48	0,042	5,1322	0,5257	2,2537	-0,0155
116,06	0,122	4,8072	0,5479	4,2813	-0,0809	(143,40)	(0,042)	(5,1962)	-	(1,8748)	-
(115,97)	(0,134)	(5,4545)	-	(4,4244)	-	146,14	0,406	I,4373	0,5271	1,2514	-0,2300
II8,84	0,04I	22,3501	0,9402	9,0941	-0,4038	(147,37)	(0,501)	(1,0995)	~	(1,0494)	-
(118,77)	(0,051)	(25,7776)	-	(10,3383)	-	146,27	0,002	6,5670	0,7200	1,0224	0,0888
119,22	0,405	0,6196	-0,2233	0,1546	0,2436	(146,18)	(0,035)	(8,9219)	-	(1,5253)	
121,02	0,024	3,0593	-0,0709	I,4482	-0,2362	148,29	0,047	0,4334	-0,0964	0,3032	-0,3138
(120,93)	(0,039)	(3,7027)	-	(1,8168)	-	(148,14)	(0,075)	(0,5148)	-	(0,3583)	
123,48	0,040	0,6926	-0,0036	0,3583	-0,1555	148,93	2,402	2.9518	-1,0449	2,9360	3,0953
(123,38)	(0,032)	′ (0 ,631 8)	-	(0,3783)	-	I49,45	0,026	1,6839	0,1667	0,5990	-0,2506
126,23	0,020	2,1035	0,1266	0,4517	-0,0093	(149,35)	(0,059)	(1,9555)	-	(0,8740)	-
(126,14)	(0,046)	(2,7451)		(0,5728)	-	156,22	0,162	0,1900	-0,3589	0,1800	0,3213
127,56	0,019	0,6344	-0,0160	0,1599	-0,0804	157,08	0,342	I3,9589	1,2623	9,9080	-0,4117
(127,45)	(0,032)	(0,6654)	-	(0,2579)	-	(157,01)	(0,613)	(13,8339)	-	(6,1005)	-

- 10

1