

REFERENCE

IC/83/202 INTERNAL REPORT (Limited Distribution)

International Atomic Energy Agency

and

United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization

INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FDR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

NONOStULLATQRY BEHAVIOUR CAUSED BY RETARDED AND ADVANCED ARGUMENTS FOR EVEN-ORDER FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL INEQUALITIES AND EQUATIONS

Ruan Jlonfi International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy.

ABSTRACT

In this paper we study the nonoscillatory behaviour of even order functional differential inequalities and equations with continuous distributed retarded and advanced arguments. For arguments we give some conditions under which these inequalities only have nonoaciilatory solutions of degree 0 or n .

> MIRAMARE - TRIESTE December 1983

* Permanent address: Department of Mathematics, Fudan University,

Shanghai, China.

木 \mathcal{V}

Ă. Ĵ,

2: MIT* I l-11 ff i l-it i l-i

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we are concerned with nonoscillatory behaviour caused by retarded and advanced arguments for the following differential inequalitie and equations;

$$
\left\{ \mathcal{Y}^{(n)}(t) - p \mathcal{Y}[\mathcal{Y}(t)] \right\} sgn \mathcal{Y}[\mathcal{Y}(t)] \geq 0 \tag{1.1}
$$

$$
\left\{ \mathcal{J}^{(n)}_{\ell}(t) - \rho \mathcal{J}[\ell(\ell t)] \right\} \text{sgn } \mathcal{J}[\ell(\ell t)] \geq 0 \tag{1.2}
$$

$$
\left\{\mathcal{J}_{\alpha}^{(s)}(-p)_{\alpha}^{b}\cdots\int_{\alpha}^{b}\mathcal{Y}\left\{g\left[\cdots\left\{g\left(\theta,\overline{\epsilon},\overline{l}\right),\overline{\epsilon},\overline{j},\cdots\right\},\overline{\epsilon}_{n}\right\}d\sigma(\epsilon_{i})\cdots d\sigma(\epsilon_{n})\right\} \right\}.
$$

$$
\cdot Sp_{4}\left\{\int_{\alpha}^{b}\cdots\int_{\alpha}^{b}\mathcal{Y}\left\{g\left[\cdots\left\{g\left(\overline{\epsilon},\overline{\epsilon},\overline{l}\right),\overline{\epsilon}_{n}\right\},\cdots\right\},\overline{\epsilon}_{n}\right\}d\sigma(\epsilon_{i})\cdots d\sigma(\epsilon_{n})\right\} \geq 0, \qquad (1,3)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left\{\ddot{g}^{(n)}_{\alpha} - p \int_{\alpha}^{b} f(x) f(x) \cdot f(x) dx, \text{if } x, j, \cdots \right\} &= \int_{\alpha}^{b} g(x) f(x) \cdot f(x) dx, \\
&\quad \text{if } \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ then } \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}.\n\end{aligned}
$$

where n is even.

Below the following conditions are assumed to hold:

- (1) $p > 0$, $g(t) < t$, $h(t) \ge t$, $g(t)$ and $h(t)$ are continuous, $g(t, \xi) \le t$, h(t, ξ) ζ t, $\lim_{h \to 0} g(t) = \infty$, $\lim_{h \to 0} g(t, \xi) = c_0$ for $\xi \in [a, b]$;
- (2) $\sigma = \{a, b\}$ is a nondecreasing function;
- (3) integrals in (1.3) and (1.4) are Stieltjes integrals;
- (4) $g(t, \xi)$ and $h(t, \xi)$ are continuous nondecreasing functions with t or 5 respectively;
- (5) there exists $\varphi(t, \xi), \chi(t, \xi)$ such that $\varphi(\varphi(t, \xi), \xi) = g(t\xi),$ ψ (ψ (t, ξ), ξ) = h(t, ξ), lim φ (t, ξ) = lim (t, ξ) = ∞ , φ (t, ξ) t + w t + w t and ψ (t, C) are nondecreasing functions with t or C respectively.

Definition 1: If $y(t)$ is a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1) -- (1.4) , also there are an even integer $\ell \in \{0, 2, ..., n\}$ and a number $t_i > 0$ such that

$$
y(t)y^{(i)}(t) > 0, \text{ on } [t_1, \infty) \text{ for } 0 \le i \le \ell
$$

$$
(-i)^{i-\ell}y(t)y^{(i)}(t) > 0 \text{ on } [t_1, \infty) \text{ for } \ell+1 \le i \le n
$$
 (1.5)

then such a y(t) is said to be a nonoscillatory solution of degree ℓ , and the set of all solutions of someone of $(1,1)$ \longrightarrow $(1,4)$ is denoted by V .

Suppose the set of all nonoscillatory solutions of someone of (1.1) \longrightarrow (1.4) is denoted by \mathcal{N}^-

Lemma 1: We have

$$
\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_o \cup \mathcal{N}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{N}_n \tag{1.6}
$$

The proof of this Lemma is similar to the proof in [2].

In this paper we give some sufficient conditions under which $\mathcal{N}_{0} = \phi(i, e, \sqrt[4]{\pi} \mathcal{N}_{n}^{+}$ for $(1.1), (1.3)$ and $\mathcal{N}_{n} = \phi(i, e, \mathcal{N}_{-} \mathcal{N}_{0})$ for $(1.2), (1.4)$. For variatory classes of $g(t)$, $g(t, 5)$ or $h(t)$, $h(t, 5)$ we obtain variatory nonoscillatory criteria for (1.1) -- (1.4) . We generalized results in $[1]$. On the other hand, we also give some nonoscillatory criteria for general superlinear inequalities:

$$
\left\{\n\frac{u^{(n)}}{d\mathbf{x}} - f(\mathbf{y}[\mathbf{g(k)}])\right\} \, \text{sgn } \mathbf{y}[\mathbf{g(k)}] \geq 0 \tag{1.7}
$$

$$
\left\{ \psi^{(n)}(t) = f(\psi[\mathbf{k}(t)]) \right\} \text{sgn } \psi[\mathbf{k}(t)] \geqslant 0 \tag{1.8}
$$

$$
\left\{ 4^{(n)}t^{n} - \int_{a}^{b} \cdots \int_{a}^{b} f(3[3[3[...1][3[3...]],5.],...],7a) \right\} \cdots d\sigma(f_{n}) \right\}.
$$

.
$$
Sq_{n}\left\{ \int_{a}^{b} \cdots \int_{a}^{b} f(3[3[3[...1][3[3...]],5.],...],5.)] \right\} d\sigma(f_{n}) \cdots d\sigma(f_{n}) \right\} \ge 0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}\n&\left\{\#^{s}\hat{\mathbf{g}}_{t} - \int_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbf{b}} \cdots \int_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbf{b}} f\left(\#(\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{L}])\cdots[[\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{L},\mathbf{b},\mathbf{l}],\mathbf{b},\mathbf{l},\cdots],\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{a}}]\right)d\sigma(\mathbf{f}_{1})\cdots d\sigma(\mathbf{f}_{n})\right\} \\
&\cdot S_{\mathbf{g},\mathbf{a}}\left\{\int_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbf{b}} \cdots \int_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbf{b}} f\left(\#(\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{L}])\cdots[[\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{L},\mathbf{b},\mathbf{l}],\mathbf{b},\mathbf{l},\cdots],\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{a}}]\right)d\sigma(\mathbf{f}_{1})\cdots d\sigma(\mathbf{f}_{n})\right\}\geq 0 \\
&\cdot(1,0)^\mathbf{b}\n\end{aligned}
$$

 -2 $-$

 $-1 -$

Definition 2: Nonlinear function $f(x)$ is said to be superlinear if

$$
\lim_{\{|x|\to\infty} \frac{|\hat{f}(x)|}{|x|} = \infty
$$
\n(1.9)

We shall make use of the following results of one-order inequalities which were obtained by the author in $[3]$.

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left\{ 3(t) + \int_{a}^{b} p(t, 1) \zeta \{ g(t, 1) \} d\sigma(t) \right\} & \leq p_1 \int_{a}^{b} \zeta \{ g(t, 1) \} d\sigma(t) \leq 0. \tag{1.10} \\
\left\{ 3(t) - \int_{a}^{b} p(t, 1) \zeta \{ f(t, 1) \} d\sigma(t) \right\} & \leq p_1 \int_{a}^{b} \zeta \{ f(t, 1) \} d\sigma(t) \geq 0. \tag{1.11}\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{aligned}\nP(t) &= \int_{a}^{b} p(t, 1) d\sigma(t), & \leq P_1(t, 1) d\sigma(t), & \leq P_2(t, 1) d\sigma(t) \leq 0. \tag{1.12} \\
\frac{(\mathbf{H}_1)}{t} &= \int_{\mathbf{H}^{\text{min}}} \int_{\mathbf{H}^{\text{min}}} \zeta \{ f(t, 1) \} d\sigma(t) \geq 0, & \leq P_1(t, 1) d\sigma(t) \leq 0. \tag{1.13}\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{aligned}\n\frac{(\mathbf{H}_1)}{t} &= \int_{\mathbf{H}^{\text{min}}} \int_{\mathbf{H}^{\text{min}}} \zeta \{ f(t, 1) \} d\sigma(t) \leq 0, & \leq P_2(t, 1) d\sigma(t) \leq 0. \tag{1.14} \\
\frac{(\mathbf{H}_1^{\text{min}})}{t} &= \int_{\mathbf{H}^{\text{min}}} \int_{\mathbf{H}} \zeta \{ f(t, 1) \} d\sigma(t) \leq 0 \}.\n\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}^{(H_2')} \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}} \text{ if } \int_{\star}^{\mathcal{H},\mathcal{R},\mathbf{Q}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{CS}} \text{ is } \mathcal{D} \qquad (1.16)
$$

Lemma 2. If conditions (H_1) and (H_2) are satisfied, then (1.10) has no nonoacillatory solution.

Lemma 3. If conditions (H_1^{\prime}) and (H_2^{\prime}) are satisfied, then (1.11) has no nonoscillatory solution.

2. RETARDED INEQUALITIES **AND** EQUATIONS

Here we are interested in the situation in which there is no nonoscillatory solution of degree 0 of (1.1) or (1.3) $\mathbf{W}_{0}^{\bullet} = \phi$) or all nonoscillatory solutions of (1.1) or (1.3) are of degree n $(\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{N}_{n}^{-})$.

Theorem 1: If $g(t)$ \leq kt, for some k $f(0,1)$ and all $t>T$, then there is no nonoscillatory solution of degree 0 of $(1,1)(N_{\stackrel{\scriptstyle o}{\overline{D}}}= \phi)$.

Proof. Case 1: In the case $g(t) = kt$ for some $k\epsilon(0,1)$ and all $t \geq T$. Let $y(t)$ be a nonoscillatory positive solution of degree 0 of (1.1) . So $y(t) \geq 0$, $y'(t) \leq 0$, $y''(t) \geq 0$, ..., $y^{(n)}(t) \geq 0$, for $t \geq t_0$. Set

$$
K = K^{*^{R}}, \quad p = p^{*^{R}} K^{-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}},
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{F}(k) = \mathcal{F}^{(n-1)}(k) - p^{*} u_{n-1} \mathcal{F}^{(n-1)}(k^{*}k) + p^{*} u_{n-2} \mathcal{F}^{(n-3)}(k^{*}k) -
$$

\n
$$
\cdots + p^{*^{n-1}} u_{n} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}(k^{*^{n-2}}k) - p^{*^{n-1}} u_{n} \mathcal{F}(k^{*^{n-1}}k)
$$
 (2.1)

We have

$$
3'(k) = 4^{(n)}(k) - p^4 L_{n-k} k^* y^{(n-1)}(k^* k) + p^{*2} L_{n-2} k^* y^{(n-2)}(k^* k) -
$$

...+ $p^{*n-1} k^{*n-1} y''(k^{*n-2} k) - p^{*n-1} L_0 k^{*n-1} y'(k^{*n-1} k).$

We choose positive constants $\mathbb{U}_{\alpha}, \mathbb{U}_{\gamma}$, \ldots , $\mathbb{U}_{\gamma-2}$, such tha

$$
\begin{cases}\n1 - k^* u_{n-2} = 0 \\
k^* u_{n-2} = 0 \\
\vdots \\
k^{n-2} u_n = 0\n\end{cases}
$$
\nthat is, $U_{n-2} = 1/k^*$, $U_{n-3} = 1/k^*$ ³, ..., $U_0 = 1/k^*$ ²

$$
y''(x) - \rho \mathcal{Y}[K^{\pm}] \geqslant 0
$$

 $-4 -$

$$
f_{\rm{max}}
$$

(2.2)

 \mathbf{A} \mathbf{t}

 $-3 -$

$$
\begin{aligned} \n\hat{\mathbf{y}}(k) + \mathbf{p}^* \hat{\mathbf{y}}[k^*k] &= \mathbf{y}^{(k)}(k) - p^* \mathbf{x}^{-\frac{n(k-1)}{2}} \mathbf{y}[k^*k] \\ \n&= \mathbf{y}^{(k)}(k) - p \mathbf{y}[k] \ge 0 \tag{2.3} \n\end{aligned}
$$

Then we can obtain that $\zeta(t)$ is a negative solution of (2.3) . But

$$
\liminf_{t \to \infty} p(t-kt) = +\infty \times y_{\epsilon}
$$
\n
$$
\liminf_{t \to \infty} p(t-kt) = +\infty \times 0
$$

i.e. Conditions (H_2) and (H_2) are satisfied. So using Lemma 2 we can see that there is no -negative solution of (2.3) . This led to a contradiction. The proof for $y(t) < 0$ being similar.

Case 2. In the case $g(t)$ < kt for some $k \in (0,1)$ and all $t \ge 0$. If there is a positive solution of degree $0 \quad y(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } (1,1)$, then $y'(t) < 0$, i.e. $y[g(t)] > y[kt]$. So we have

$$
0 \leq \mathcal{Y}^{(n)}(t) - \rho \mathcal{Y}[\mathcal{Y}(t)] \leq \mathcal{Y}^{(n)}(t) - \rho \mathcal{Y}[\kappa t]
$$

From the proof in Case 1, we are also led to a contradiction. The proof for $y(t)$ <0 being similar. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

Theorem 2 If $g(t)$ $\zeta t - \hat{i}$ for some (>0 and all $t > t_0$, then the condition

$$
\sqrt[n]{p} \frac{\tau}{n} e > 1, \qquad (2.4)
$$

implies that (1.1) has no nonoscillatory solution of degree $0 \quad (\mathcal{N}_0' = \phi)$.

Proof. Case 1. If $g(t) = t - \tilde{\tau}$ for some $\tilde{\tau} \geq 0$ and all $t \geq t_0$, the Kusamo [1] has proved this result .

Case 2. If $g(t) \le t - \tau$ for some $\tau > 0$ and all $t > t_0$, then

we can suppose there is a nonoscillatory positive solution of degree 0, $y(t) \ge 0$ of (1.1) . So we have $y'(t) \le 0$, $y(g(t)) \ge y(t - \tau)$, and

$$
0\leqslant j^{\ell-1}_{\quad \ \ \, (d+1)-\ell}y(q_{\ell}t)j\leqslant j^{\ell-1}_{\quad \ \ \, (d+1)-\ell}y(\ell+1),
$$

From the proof of Case 1 we can deduce that the condition (2.4) implies the inequality

$$
\mathcal{C}^{(k)}(t) = p \mathcal{L}(t-\tau) \geqslant 0,
$$

has no nonoscillatory positive solution. This led to a contradiction. The proof for $y(t) \le 0$ being similar. We proved this Theorem.

Remark. Using Theorems $1, 2$, we have the following results. If $g(t)$ is taken forms as t^{0} (0<v<1), ℓ_{n} t, then for all p (1.1) has no nonoscillatory solution of degree 0. Also if $g(t)$ are taken as t - sint, $\frac{1}{2}$, then for some p, which satisfies \sqrt{p} \geq \leq 4 (1.1) has no nonoscillatory solution of degree 0. But if $g(t)$ is taken as $t - \rho^{-t}$, $t - \frac{1}{t}$ then we need the condition as follows.

$$
\limsup_{x\to\infty}\frac{p}{s'(s-\delta)}\int_{g(s)}^{+} |s-g(s)|^2 |g(s)-g(s)|^{n-2} ds > 1
$$

for some i $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ (0, 1, ..., n - 1 $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$), to assume that (1.1) has no nonoscillatory solution of degree 0,

Theorem 3. For (1.3) $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_0 \cup \mathcal{N}^2$. If in (1.3) one of following conditions is satisfied

- $g(t, t) \leq t \frac{3}{2}$ (b-axo) for all $t \geq t_0$, $t \in [a, b]$, and (1) (2.5) $\sqrt[n]{p}$ \neq e \approx 1,
- **(ii)** *\$¹ *>D&K*! -fey \$*•»*- K ,* **C< « f < I , (2 . ⁶) f€-r«-i].** *And aJU irztt,*

then for (1.3) $\mathcal{N}_0 = \phi$, $\mathcal{N}^* \mathcal{N}_0$. For (2.2) $\mathcal{N}_0 = \phi$; $\mathcal{N} \mathcal{N}_0$. Proof. (1) In the case (1.3). Otherwise, let $y(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.3) of degree ℓ , $0 \le \ell \le n$. We may assume that $y(t) > 0$ for $t \ge t_0$.

$$
-6 -
$$

i di sebagai kecamatan di sebagai kecamatan di sebagai kecamatan dan kecamatan di sebagai kecamatan di sebagai
Perang perang peran

And the contract of the

Take t_{2} such that

is bounded. In fact **we** may choose t₂ such that

man $g[g_1,...[g_k,x_k][f_1],...][f_n] \geq t_1$.
Ki $\in [0,1]$ $20.2.928$

For $t \nless t_2$ we have

 $y^{(k)}_{(t)-y}^{(k)}(t_1) = \sum_{j=k+1}^{n-1} \frac{y^{(j)}(t_1)}{(j-t_1)!} (t-t_1)^{j-l} + \int_{t_1}^{k} \frac{(t-s)^{n-l-1}}{(n-t_{-1})!} y^{(n)}(s)ds$

$$
=\sum_{j=k+1}^{k-1} \frac{y^{(j)}(x,y)}{(j-k)!} (x-x)^{j-k} + \int_{k}^{k} \frac{(x-s)^{n-k-j}}{(n-k-j)!} \int_{k}^{b} p \cdot y[gf - \iint g(x,y)] \cdot \int_{s}^{1} f(x) dx \cdot d\sigma(y) dx ds
$$

$$
\geq \sum_{j=p+1}^{n-1} \frac{q^{(j)}(t_1)}{(j-t)!} (t-t_1)^{j-t} + p \left[\int_{\alpha}^{t} \int_{\alpha}^{b} d(\alpha \xi_1) \cdots d(\alpha \xi_n) \right] g(t_1) \int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{n-t-1}}{(n-t-1)!} d\xi
$$

=
$$
\sum_{j=p+1}^{n-1} \frac{q^{(j)}(t_1)}{(j-t)!} (t-t_1)^{j-t} + p \left[\int_{\alpha}^{b} \int_{\alpha}^{b} d\alpha \xi_1 \right] \cdots d(\alpha \xi_n) \Big] g(t_1) \cdot \frac{(t-t_1)^{n-t}}{(n-t_1)!}
$$

It follows that $y'(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. But from $y''(t) \ge 0$, $y^{(N+1)}(t) \geq 0$, we have $y^{(N)}(t) \leq y^{(N)}(t_n) = \text{const.}$

This led to a contradiction. So we obtain $N' = N_0' \cup N_0'$

(2) .1. Suppose condition (2,5) is satisfied. Firstly, suppose $g(t,\xi)=t-\xi$ $for \t {if} \t{in} [a,b], \t{t \t{in}}_0, (b > a > 0)$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned} \zeta^{(k)} &= \tfrac{u^{(m-1)}}{(k)} - p^{\ast} \int_{a}^{b} \tfrac{u^{(n-1)}}{(k-1)(k-1)(k-1)} d\sigma(\xi_1) + p^{\ast} \int_{a}^{b} \int_{a}^{b} \tfrac{u^{(n-1)}}{(k-1)(k-1)(k-1)(k-1)} d\sigma(\xi_1) \\ &\quad - \cdots - p^{\ast} \int_{a}^{b} \cdots \int_{a}^{b} \tfrac{u^{(n-1)}}{n} \cdot f^{(n-1)} \cdot d\sigma(\xi_1) \cdots d\sigma(\xi_{n-1}) \end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\mathcal{J}^{(k)} + \mathcal{P}^{k} \int_{a}^{b} \mathcal{J}^{k-1} \mathcal{J}^{k} \mathcal{J}^{k} = \mathcal{J}^{(k)}(k) - \mathcal{P}^{k} \int_{a}^{b} \cdots \int_{a}^{b} \mathcal{J}^{k-1} \mathcal{J}^{k-1} \cdots \mathcal{J}^{k} \mathcal{J}^{k} \mathcal{J}^{k} \mathcal{J}^{k} \cdots \mathcal{J}^{k} \mathcal{J}^{k} \mathcal{J}^{k}
$$

As in Theorem 2, if $y(t)$ is a nonoscillatory positive solution of degree 0 of

- 7 -

$$
y^{(1)}_{-} + \cdots + y^{(k)}_{-} + \cdots + y^{(
$$

then we have $y(t) > 0$, $y'(t) < 0$, $y''(t) > 0$, ..., $y^{(n-1)}(t) < 0$, $y^{(n)}(t) > 0$, that is, $Z(t)$ is a nonoscillatory negative solution of

$$
2^{(n)} + p^* \int_{a}^{b} \frac{1}{6} (x - y) dy \neq 0
$$

Using the condition $n \sqrt{p} \frac{b}{p}$ e >1 from Lemma 2 this fact led to a contradiction. Secondly, suppose $g(t, \xi) \leq t - \xi$ for ξ [a,b] ; $t \geq t_0$, wa can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\mathcal{J}^{(n)}(x) &= p \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \dots \int_{a_n}^{b_n} \mathcal{J}[\mathcal{J}[\mathcal{J}(-\{[\varrho\{r,s_1\},\dots],\xi_n]\,d\sigma(\xi_1),\dots,d\sigma(\xi_n)] \\
\geq \mathcal{J}^{(n)}(x) &= p \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \dots \int_{a_n}^{b_n} \mathcal{J}(x-\xi_1-\xi_2,\dots-\xi_n)\,d\sigma(\xi_1) \dots d\sigma(\xi_n) &\geq 0\n\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.
$$
\mathcal{L}^{(k)} > 0
$$
, $\mathcal{L}^{(k)} > 0$,

where $y(t)$ is a nonoscillatory positive solution of degree 0 of (1.3) , i.e. $\frac{1}{2}(k) > 0$, $\frac{1}{2}(k) < 0$, $\frac{1}{2}[g(\ldots + k)h, \ldots]$, $\frac{1}{2}[\ldots]$, $\frac{1}{2}[\ldots]$, $\frac{1}{2}[\ldots]$, $\frac{1}{2}[\ldots]$, $\frac{1}{2}[\ldots]$, $\frac{1}{2}[\ldots]$ As in Theorem 2, we can easily obtain a contradiction.

2. Suppose condition (2.6) is satisfied. Firstly, suppose $g(t, \tau) = kt \tau$ for some k, which satisfied $0 \le k \le 1$, $\xi \in [a, b]$, $b > a > 0$, $t > t_0$. Set

$$
\mathcal{J}(t) = \mathcal{Y}^{(n-1)}(k) - p^{t}L_{k-2} \int_{-\infty}^{k} \mathcal{Y}^{(n-1)}(k^{n} \xi_{1}) d\sigma(t_{1}) +
$$

+ $p^{n}L_{n-3} \int_{-\infty}^{k} \int_{-\infty}^{k} \mathcal{Y}^{(n-3)}(k^{n} \xi_{1}^{2} \xi_{1} \xi_{2}) d\sigma(t_{1}^{2}) d\sigma(t_{2}^{2}) - \cdots$
- $p^{n} L_{0} \int_{-\infty}^{k} \int_{-\infty}^{k} \mathcal{Y}(k^{n} \xi_{1}^{2} \xi_{2}^{2} \cdots \xi_{n-1}) d\sigma(t_{1}^{2}) \cdots d\sigma(t_{n-1})$
where $p^{*} = \sqrt[n]{p}(k^{*}a) \frac{n-1}{2}$, $k^{*} = \sqrt[n]{k}$,
So we have

- 8 -

$$
3'(t) = 4^{i\alpha} (t) - p^{*} u_{n-1} k^{*} \int_{a}^{b} \xi_{1} y^{(n-1)} (k^{*} \xi_{1}) d\sigma(\xi_{1}) +
$$

+ $p^{*} u_{n-1} k^{*} \int_{a}^{b} \int_{a}^{b} y^{(n-1)} (k^{*} \xi_{1} \xi_{1}) \xi_{1} \xi_{2} d\sigma(\xi_{1}) d\sigma(\xi_{2}) - \cdots$
- $p^{*} u_{n-1} k^{*} \int_{a}^{b} \cdots \int_{a}^{b} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \cdots \xi_{n-1} y^{(k^{*} - 1)} \xi_{1} \xi_{1} \cdots \xi_{n-1} d\sigma(\xi_{n-1})$

$$
= p^{*} u_{n-1} k^{*} \int_{a}^{b} \cdots \int_{a}^{b} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \cdots \xi_{n-1} y^{(k^{*} - 1)} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \cdots \xi_{n-1} d\sigma(\xi_{n-1})
$$

$$
= 3^{i\alpha} (a) + p^{*} \int_{a}^{b} \xi_{1} k^{*} \xi_{1} d\sigma(\xi_{1}) =
$$

= $p^{*} (a) + p^{*} \int_{a}^{b} (1 - u_{n-1} k^{*} \xi_{1}) y^{(n-1)} (k^{*} \xi_{1} \xi_{1}) d\sigma(\xi_{1}) d\sigma(\xi_{2}) + \cdots$
+ $p^{*} \int_{a}^{b} \int_{a}^{b} (u_{n-1} k^{*} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} - u_{n-1}) y^{(n-1)} (k^{*} \xi_{1} \xi_{2}) d\sigma(\xi_{1}) d\sigma(\xi_{2}) + \cdots$
+ $p^{*} \int_{a}^{b} \left[\int_{a}^{b} \cdots \int_{a}^{b} (u_{1} - u_{n} k^{*} \xi_{1} \cdots \xi_{n-1}) y^{(n-1)} (k^{*} \xi_{1} \xi_{2} \cdots \xi_{n-1}) d\sigma(\xi_{1}) \cdots d\sigma(\xi_{n-1}) \right] -$
- $p^{*} u_{n} \int_{a}^{b} \cdots \$

If we choose $\bigcup_{n=2}^U, \bigcup_{n=3}^U, \ldots, \bigcup_{1}^U, \bigcup_{0}^U$ such that

$$
u_{n-1} = (k^{*}a)^{-1}, u_{n-3} = (k^{*}a)^{-3}, \dots, u_{0} = (k^{*}a)^{-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}},
$$

$$
1 - u_{n-1}k^{*}f_{1} \leq a, u_{n-2}k^{*}f_{1}f_{2} - u_{n-2} \geq a, \dots,
$$

 $u_t - u_0 k^{n-1}$, $u_0 = \frac{1}{2}$, $u_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ for $\frac{1}{2}$, $\in \{a, b\}$, $i=1, 2, ..., n$,

then we have

i.e.

$$
\frac{3^{7}(x)+p^{*}\int_{a}^{b}3^{7}(x^{*}x^{*})d\sigma(\xi)}{3^{7}y^{4}+1-\rho^{*}x^{6}(x^{*}a)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{b-1}{2})}\int_{a}^{b}... \int_{a}^{b}y(x^{*}x^{*}\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot dx)d\sigma(\xi)\cdots d\sigma(\xi_{n})}
$$
\n
$$
= y^{b}u_{1}-p\int_{a}^{b}... \int_{a}^{b}y(x^{*}x^{*}\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot dx)d\sigma(\xi_{1})...d\sigma(\xi_{n})
$$

Suppose y(t) is a positive solution of the following inequality:

$$
\mathcal{Y}^{\omega}|_{\mathcal{D}} - p \int_{\mathbf{A}}^{L} \cdots \int_{\mathbf{A}}^{L} \mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{t} \mathbf{L} \cdots \mathbf{L}) d\sigma(\mathbf{f}_{i}) \cdots d\sigma(\mathbf{f}_{n}) \geq o
$$

Then we can obtain that $\chi(t)$ is a positive solution of

$\frac{2}{3}(x) + p^* \int_a^b \frac{2}{3} (kx \xi) d\sigma(\xi) \ge 0$.

From Lemma 2, we can obtain a contradiction. Secondly, suppose $g(t, \xi)$ <kt ξ for some k, which satisfies $0 \le k \le 1$, $\{e[a,b]\}$, and all $t \ge t_{0}$, then we can easily obtain that

$$
o \leq y^{(n)}x - p \int_{a}^{b} \cdots \int_{a}^{b} y[g[g[\cdots[g[t, \xi, j], \cdots], \xi_n] d\sigma(\xi_i) \cdots d\sigma(\xi_n)
$$

$$
\leq y^{(n)}x - p \int_{a}^{b} \cdots \int_{a}^{b} y(t-\xi_i - \xi_i - \cdots - \xi_n) d\sigma(\xi_i) \cdots d\sigma(\xi_n)
$$

where $y(t)$ is a positive solution of degree 0 of $(1,3)$, i.e.

 $y_{t+1} > 0$, $y'(t) < 0$, $y([g[g[...]]g[t,x,1], g_1], ...], g_n] > y(t+1, -1, -1)$. As in Theorem 1, we can easily obtain a contradiction.

 (3) . In the case (2.2) . From Theorem 1, we obtain $\mathcal{N}_\rho = \phi$. So we have $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}$. The proof of Theorem 3 is complete,

Theorem 4. For the superlinear inequality (1.7) or $(1.7)!$ if (2.5) or (2.6) is satisfied, then $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{N}_n$. i.e. $\mathcal{N}_0=\Phi$.

Notice there exists a large enough $\lambda \geq 0$ such that $f(y(g(t))) \geq \lambda y(g(t))$, we easily prove Theorem 4 for (1.7) . Similarly for $(1.7)'$ we have

$$
f(y1g1g1\cdots [get, 811, 52], \cdots)
$$
, $3, 1)$
 $\geq \lambda \frac{1}{2}[g1g1\cdots [g45, 1], 52], \cdots]$, $5, 1$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a large enough number.

3. ADVANCED INEQUALITIES AND EQUATIONS

Now we turn to {1.2) and (1.4). Our object is to give some sufficient condition under which $\mathcal{N}_n^{\bullet} = \phi \quad$, $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_0$ for (1.2) or (1.4).

- 9 -

Theorem 5. If $h(t) \geq u$ t for some $u \geq 1$ and all t $\geq T$, then all nonoscillatory solutions of (1.2) are of degree 0. $(\mathcal{N} = v_0^+ : e \cdot v_n^+ = \phi).$ <u>Proof</u>. (1) In case $h(t) = w t$, for some $w > 1$ and all $t > T$. Let $y(t)$ be a nonoscillatory positive solution of degree $y > 0$ of (1.2). Proceeding exactly as in the proof of Theorem 3, we see that $x = n$, that is, $y(t) > 0$, $(n-1)$ $\frac{1}{2}$, ..., $\frac{1}{2}$ for all large transfer to $\frac{1}{2}$

$$
\mathcal{J}^{(n)}(t) - p \mathcal{Y}[\omega t] \geqslant 0
$$
\n^(3.1)

Define

$$
\zeta^{(k)} = \frac{\gamma^{(n-1)}k_1 + p^k u_{n-2} \gamma^{(n-1)}(\omega^k t) + p^{k^2} u_{n-2} \gamma^{(n-1)}(\omega^k t) + \cdots + p^{k^{k-1}} u_0 \gamma^{(k+1)}(x^k t) + p^{k^2} u_0 \gamma^{(k+1)}(x^k t) + p^k u_0 \gamma^{(k+1)}(x^k t) \ge 0
$$
\n
$$
p^* = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma} \gamma \omega^{-\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \omega^{\frac{n}{2}} = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma} \omega, \qquad u_k \in \mathbb{C} \text{ so } 1, \ldots, n-2
$$

where

are some constants.

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned} \n\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{(k)} &= \mathbf{y}^{(k)}(k) + p^{\#} \mathbf{u}_{k-1} \mathbf{y}^{(k-1)}(k^{\#} \mathbf{f}) + p^{\#} \mathbf{u}_{k-1} \mathbf{y}^{(k-1)}(k^{\#} \mathbf{f}) + \dots \\ \n&+ p^{\#} \mathbf{y}^{(k-1)}(k^{\#} \mathbf{y}^{(k-1)} \mathbf{f}) \n\end{aligned}
$$

If we choose positive constants $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, ..., $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$ which satisfy the following conditions

 $u_{m+1} = V_{i_1, j_1} + V_{i_2, j_2} = V_{i_1, j_1} + V_{i_2, j_2} + V_{i_3, j_3} + V_{i_4, j_4} + V_{i_5, j_5} + V_{i_6, j_7} + V_{i_7, j_8} + V_{i_8, j_9} + V_{i_9, j_9} + V_{i_$

$$
\omega^* u_{n-2} - 1 = 0 ,
$$

\n
$$
\omega^* u_{n-3} - u_{n-2} = 0 ,
$$

\n
$$
\omega^* u_0 - u_1 = 0 ,
$$

\n(3.2)

in the come

that is

So $z(t)$ is a positive solution of (3.2) . But

 ϵ

$$
\mathcal{L}_{imipf}^{1} \rho (\omega t - t) = +\infty > \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{imipf}^{1} \rho (\omega t - t) = +\infty > 0
$$

that is, conditions (H_1') and (H_2') are satisfied. So using Lemma 3 we can see that there is no a positive solution of (3.2), This led to a contradiction.

(2). In the case $h(t)$ is the for some $\omega > 1$ and all t $\supset T$. If there is a positive solution $y(t) > 0$ of degreee $x > 0$ for $(1,2)$, then $y'(t) > 0$ i.e. $y[h(t)] > y[\omega, t]$. So we have

$$
0\leq y^{\alpha}t^{p}-\rho y_{1}t^{\alpha})\leq y^{\alpha}t^{p}-\rho y_{1}t^{\alpha}t^{\alpha}.
$$

From the proof in the case $h(t) = \omega t$, we can obtain a contradiction. The proof of Theorem 5 is complete.

Theorem 6. If $h(t)$ \geq t + γ for some γ > 0 and all t \geq T then the condition

$$
\sqrt[n]{p} \frac{z}{\lambda} \quad e \quad > \quad l \tag{3.3}
$$

Ä. \cdot

Π.

implies that (1.2) has no nonoscillatory solution of degree n. $(\mathcal{N}_n = \phi)$. Proof. In the case $h(t) = t + \tau$ for some $\tau > 0$ and all $t > \tau$. Suppose (3.3) is satisfied. Otherwise, suppose there is a nonoscillatory positive solution $y(t) \ge 0$ of degree n of (1.2). So we have $y'(t) \ge 0$ i.e. $y[h(t)] > y(t - \tau)$ and

$$
0 \leq y^{(n)}(x) - p \cdot y[f(x)) \leq y^{(n)}(x) - p \cdot y^{(n+1)}(x)
$$

From the proof in case (1) of Theorem 5, we can deduce that condition $(3,3)$ implies the inequality

- 12 -

$$
4^{(n)}(x) - p \cdot 4(x + \tau) \ge 0
$$

has no nonoscillatory positive solution. This led to a contradiction. As in case 2. of Theorem 5, for h(t)>t+ twe are led to a contradiction. We proved Theorem 6.

Theorem 7: For (1.4), $N' = N_0' \cup N_0'$. If in (1.4) one of following conditions is satisfied

(i)
$$
h(t, \xi)g_{t+\xi}
$$
 for all $t_{\xi}T, \xi \in [a, b]$, $b \ge a$
and $n\sqrt{p} \frac{a}{n}e > 1$ (3.4)

(ii) $h(t, \xi) > kt \xi$ for some k, which satisfies $k\xi > 1$, $\xi \in [a,b]$, and all $t > T$;

then for (1.4) $\mathcal{N}_n = \oint \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_0$. For (3.1), $\mathcal{N}_n = \phi$, $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_0$. Proof. (l)In the case{1.4) notice that in the proof of Theorem 3 we only

need that $\lim_{t \to +\infty} g(t, \xi) = +\infty$, $\xi \in [a, b]$ If $g(t, \xi)$ is changed by $h(t, \xi)$, then results can also be proved.

 (2) 1. In the case (1.4) . Suppose condition (3.4) is satisfied.Firstly suppose h(t, ξ)= t + ξ for $\xi \in [a,b]$ t ξ T, b $\ge a \ge 0$. Set

$$
2(t) = y^{(n-1)}(t) + p^{\#} \int_{a}^{b} y^{(n-2)}(t+\xi_1) d\sigma(\xi_1) + p^{\#} \int_{a}^{b} \int_{a}^{b} y^{(n-2)}(t+\xi_1+\xi_2) d\sigma(\xi_1) d\alpha(\xi_2)
$$

+ ... + p^{\#^{n-1}} \int_{a}^{b} ... \int_{a}^{b} y(t+\xi_1+\xi_2+\dots+\xi_{n-1}) d\sigma(\xi_1) ... d\sigma(\xi_{n-1})

where $p^* = \sqrt[p]{p} > 0$.

So we have

$$
3'(4) = y^{in}[x) + p^{*} \int_{A}^{b} y^{in} \{x + \xi, y \text{dist}(y) + p^{*} \} \int_{A}^{b} \int_{A}^{b} y^{(in)} \{x + \xi, x \} \int_{A}^{b} \text{dist}(y) \, dy \}
$$

+ ... + p^{*} \int_{A}^{b} ... \int_{A}^{b} y'(x + \xi, x + ... + \xi_{A-1}) \, dy \, dy, ... \, dy \, dy_{A-1}) ,

$$
3'(4) - p^{*} \int_{A}^{b} \xi(1 + \xi) \, dy \, dx = y'' \, dy \, p^{*} \int_{A}^{b} ... \int_{A}^{b} y(x + \xi, x - \xi, y) \, dy \, dy \} ... \, dy \, dy,
$$

As in Theorem 6, if $y(t)$ is a nonoscillatory positive solution of degree n of

$$
\mathscr{C}^{\prime\prime}(t) = \rho \int_{a}^{b} \cdots \int_{a}^{b} \mathscr{Y}(t + \xi, + \cdots + \xi_{n}) \, d\sigma(\xi_{i}) \cdots \, d\sigma(\xi_{n}) \geq 0
$$

then we have $y(t) > 0$, $y'(t) > 0$, $y''(t) > 0$, ... $y^{(n-1)}(t) > 0$, $y^{(n)}(t) > 0$. that is, $\psi(t)$ is a nonoscillatory positive solution of

$$
\xi'(t) - p^* \int_{a}^{b} \xi(t+t) d\zeta \ge 0
$$

Using the condition $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a}{n}$ e >1, from Lemma 3 this led to a contradiction. Secondly, suppose $h(t, \xi) > t + \xi$ for $\xi \in [a, b]$, $b > a > 0$, $t \geq T$. Then we can easily obtain

$$
0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{b} \cdots \int_{a}^{b} \frac{1}{2} [K[k] \cdots [k] [k] [k] [l] \cdots], 5] \cdot [d \circ l], \cdots d \circ l.
$$

$$
\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{b} \frac{1}{2} [k] \cdots \int_{a}^{b} \frac{1}{2} [k + 5] + 5 + \cdots + 5] \cdot [d \circ l], \cdots d \circ l \circ l.
$$

where $y(t)$ is a nonoscillatory positive solution of degree n of (1.4) **i.e.** $y(t) > 0$, $y'(t) > 0$, ... $y^{(n)}(t) > 0$.

$$
\textcolor{blue}{\textcolor{blue}{\# \{ \textcolor{blue}{\mathcal{L}} \textcolor{blue}{I \mathcal{L}} \textcolor{blue}{I \mathrel{\mathcal{L}} \textcolor{blue}{I \mathcal{L}} \textcolor{blue}{I \mathrel{\mathcal{L}} \textcolor{blue}{I \mathrel{\mathcal{L}}}} \textcolor{blue}{I \mathrel{\mathcal{L}} \textcolor{blue}{I}} \textcolor{blue}{I \mathrel{\mathcal{L}} \textcolor{blue}{I \mathrel{\mathcal{L}} \textcolor{blue}{I}} \textcolor{blue}{I \mathrel{\mathcal{L}} \textcolor{blue}{I}} \textcolor{blue}{I \mathrel{\mathcal{L}} \textcolor{blue}{I \mathrel{\mathcal{L}} \textcolor{blue}{I}} \textcolor{blue}{I \mathrel{\mathcal{L}} \textcolor{blue}{I \mathrel{\mathcal{L}} \textcolor{blue}{I}} \textcolor{blue}{I \mathrel{\mathcal{L}} \textcolor{blue}{I \mathrel{\mathcal{L}} \textcolor{blue}{I}} \textcolor{blue}{I \mathrel{\mathcal{L}} \textcolor{blue}{I \mathrel{\mathcal{L}} \textcolor{blue}{I}} \textcolor{blue}{I \mathrel{\mathcal{L}} \textcolor{blue}{I}} \textcolor{blue}{I \mathrel{\mathcal{L}} \textcolor{blue}{I}} \
$$

As in Theorem 6, we can easily obtain a contradiction.

2. Suppose (3.5) is satisfied . Firstly, suppose $h(t, \, \xi)$ = $\omega t \, \xi$ for some w, which satisfies $\omega\xi \geq 1$, $\xi \in [a,b]$, $t \geq T$. Set,

$$
349 = 3^{(n-1)}x + p^*u_{n-2} \int_{a}^{b} 3^{(n+1)}(a^*z\zeta_1) d\sigma(\zeta_1) + p^*u_{n-1} \int_{a}^{b} \int_{c}^{b} u^{n-1} \zeta_2 \zeta_3 \zeta_4 \zeta_5 \zeta_6 \zeta_7 \zeta_7
$$

+...+ pⁿ⁺¹u_{n+1} \int_{a}^{b} ... \int_{a}^{b} 3^{(n-1)}z\zeta_1 ... \zeta_{n-1} d\sigma(\zeta_1) ... d\sigma(\zeta_{n-1})
+ pⁿ⁺¹u_0 \int_{a}^{b} ... \int_{a}^{b} 3^{(n-1)}z\zeta_1 ... \zeta_{n-1} d\sigma(\zeta_1) - d\sigma(\zeta_{n-1})

where $p^* = \frac{n(n-1)}{\sqrt{p}}$ ($\omega^* a$) , $\omega^* = \sqrt[n]{\omega}$, $U_{n-2}, U_{n-3}, \dots, U_1, U_0$ are positive constants, $y(t)$ is a nonoscillatory positive solution of degree n of (1.4) of $h(t, \xi) = \omega t \xi$, i.e. $y(t) \ge 0$, $y'(t) \ge 0$, ... $y^{(n)}(t) \ge 0$. degree n of (1.4) of the control of

designed to the control of

and the contract of the contra

 $\Delta \omega_{\rm{eff}}=2.5\pm 0.1$

$$
3'k \cdot - p^* \int_a^b \frac{1}{2} (t^* + \frac{1}{2}) d\sigma(\frac{1}{2})
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b (u_{m+1}t^* + \frac{1}{2}) d\sigma(\frac{1}{2})
$$

\n
$$
+ p^* \int_a^b (u_{m+1}t^* + \frac{1}{2}) d\sigma(\frac{1}{2}) d\sigma(\frac{1}{2})
$$

\n
$$
+ p^* \int_a^b \int_a^b (u_{m+1}t^* + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{1}{2} (u_{m+1}t^* + \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b (u_{m+1}t^* + \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b u_{m+1}t^* + \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b u_{m
$$

If we choose \mathbf{U}_{n-2} , \mathbf{U}_{n-3} ,..., \mathbf{U}_1 , \mathbf{U}_0 , which satisfies

$$
u_{n-2} = 1 / \omega \mu_{\alpha}, \quad u_{n-3} = 1 / (\omega \mu_{\alpha})^3, \quad \cdots,
$$

$$
u_0 = (\omega \mu_{\alpha})^{-\frac{h(n-1)}{2}}
$$

i.e. $u_{n-1} \omega^2 \zeta_1 - 1 \gg 0, \quad u_{n-1} \omega^2 \zeta_1^2 \zeta_2 - u_{n-2} \gg 0, \quad \cdots, \quad u_0 \omega^2 \zeta_1^2 \cdots \zeta_{n-1} - u_1 \gg 0$
then we have

$$
\mathcal{E}^{(k)}\rightarrow^{k}(\mathcal{E}^{k}\mathcal{E}(\omega^{*}k^{*})d\sigma(\mathcal{E}^{k})\geq \mathcal{E}^{\mu}(\omega-\rho^{*}(\omega^{*}\alpha)^{-\frac{\mu_{k}\sigma_{\omega}}{2}})_{\alpha}\cdots\alpha^{k}\mu_{\omega}(\mathcal{E}^{k}_{\mathcal{E}},\cdots,\mathcal{E}_{n})d\sigma(\mathcal{E}_{n})\cdots d\sigma(\mathcal{E}_{n})
$$
\n
$$
=\mathcal{E}^{\mu}(\omega-\rho^{k}\omega^{*}\mathcal{E}^{k}\mathcal{E}(\omega^{*}\mathcal{E}^{k},\cdots,\mathcal{E}_{n})d\sigma(\mathcal{E}_{n})\geq 0
$$

So we deduce that $z(t)$ is a nonoscillatory positive solution of the following inequality.

$$
\xi'(t) - p^* \int_{a}^{b} \xi(a^{*}t \xi) d\sigma(t) \geq 0.
$$

Using Lemma 3, we can obtain a contradiction.

Secondly, suppose $h(t, \xi) \rightarrow u t \xi$, for some w , which satisfies $w\xi > 1$. for $E\in[a,b]$, and all t Σ T, then we can easily obtain that

 $0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{b} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{b} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{b} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{b} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{b} \left[\int_{0}^{b} \left[\int_{0}^{b} \left[\int_{0}^{b} \left[\int_{0}^{b} \left[\int_{0}^{b} \left[\int_{0}^{b} \right]_{0}^{b} \right]_{0}^{b} \right]_{0}^{b} \right]_{0}^{b} d\sigma \right] dy d\sigma d\$ $\leq \frac{u^{n}}{n+1}$ = $\int_{a}^{b} f(\omega t) f(t) dt + \int_{a}^{b} \rho(\omega t) f(t) dt$

where $y(t)$ is a positive solution of degree n of (1.4) , i.e.

 $y \leftrightarrow z \circ$, $y' \leftrightarrow z \circ$,

$$
\mathscr{Y}[k_1k_2...k_kk_3k_3k_4]...k_m] > \mathscr{Y}(k_1k_3...k_m),
$$

As in theorem 5, we can easily obtain a contradiction. (3) In the case (1.2) , The proof of the Theorem is obvious. Proof of Theorem 7 is complete.

Theorem 8. For the general superlinear inequality (1.8) ', if (3.4) or (3.5) is satisfied, then we have $A^{\prime} = \phi$, $N = A^{\prime}$. n v Remark. From Theorem 4 and Theorem 8 we can see that if deviating arguments are taken forms as $t^{-\alpha}$ (a>0,a \neq 1), ant; t - (sin t], $t^{2}/(t+1)$, e, $t^{2}/(t-1)$, then for superlinear inequality (2.4), $\mathcal{N}_0^* = \phi$, $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_n^c$ and for (3.4)

 $N_n^2 = \oint A \cdot N = N_0$. Notice that for the superlinear case we do not need conditions/as (2.4), (3,3) to have $N_n = \oint A \cdot N = N_0$ n 0

4. EQUATIONS WITH ADVANCED AND RETARDED ARGUMENTS.

In this section we are concerned with the differential equations with both retarded and advanced arguments,

$$
\mathcal{Y}^{\alpha}dx = P\int_{a}^{b} \cdots \int_{a}^{b} \mathcal{Y}[g[f_1 \cdots [g_r]k j_d]_{s_1}] \cdots j_{s_n}] d\sigma f_1, \ldots d\sigma f_n
$$

+ $\beta \int_{a}^{b} \cdots \int_{a}^{b} \mathcal{Y}[k[k] \cdots [f_k]k j_d]_{s_1}] \cdots j_{s_n}] d\sigma f_2$, ... $d\sigma f_3$

where $p \ge 0$, $q \ge 0$, $\sigma_1(\xi)$ and $\sigma_2(\xi)$ are continuous nondecreasing functions.

<u>Theorem 9</u>. For the equation (4.1) $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N} \underset{\mathbf{D}}{\bigcup} \mathcal{N} \underset{\mathbf{D}}{\bigcap}$. If one of following conditions is satisfied:

(i)
$$
g(t, t) < t - \xi
$$
, for $\xi \in [a, b], b > a > 0$, all $t \geq 7$ and $\sqrt[3]{p} \neq e > 1$.

 $- 15 -$

 $gx, y \leq k \leq y$ for some k , which satisfied $k \geq e(0, 1)$, (1) $z \in [a, b]$, and all $z \geq T$.

then for (4.1) $N' = N'_n$.

Also if one of the following conditions is safisfied:

(iii) $k(t, \xi) > t + \xi$, for $\xi \in \{a, b\}$, $b > a > 0$, and all $tz \top$, and $\mathcal{I}(\overline{a}, \overline{a} \in \overline{a})$. (iv) $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) > \omega \mathcal{X} \mathcal{Y}$ for some ω , which satisfies $\omega \mathcal{Y} > \mathcal{Y}$. $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{Y}$ by and all $t \rightarrow T$, then for $(4.1) N = N_0$.

If conditions (i), (ii), conditions (iii)and (iv)are satisfied, then (4.1) is oscillatory.

$$
\underline{\text{Proof}}.\qquad \text{Notice}
$$

5. SOME EXAMPLES.

 $E = E = 1 - 2$

 $\omega_{\rm{eff}}=0.1$

-8Xc*,r,%fa -J,

Using Theorem 3 and Theorem 7 we ran eseily obtain results of Theorem 9 . The proof of Theorem 9 is complete. From Theorem 9 we can easily obtain results for

$$
y^{\omega}dt = \rho y [y dt] + \beta y [f dt'] \qquad (4.2)
$$

where $p \ge 0$, $q \ge 0$. Here these results are omitted.

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^b u^{2} \, dx - \int_0^b u^{2} \, dx - \int_0^b u^{2} \, dx - \int_0^b u^{2} \, dx + \int_0
$$

- 17 -

. In the following examples suppose n is even

We consider the following inequality:

$$
\{f(d)-\rho\int_{a}^{b}f(\pi-1)d\zeta\}sgn\{\int_{a}^{b}f(\pi-1)d\zeta\}g_0\qquad(5.1)
$$

If
$$
n = 2
$$
, then we have
\n
$$
p^* \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{6}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{6}} f(x - 1, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) d\frac{7}{2} d\frac{7}{2} \leq p^* \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{6}} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{6}} f(x - \frac{1}{2}) d\frac{7}{2} d\frac{7}{2} = p^* (1 - \alpha) \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{6}} f(x - \frac{7}{2}) d\frac{7}{2} dx
$$
\nwhere $a^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, $b^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, b , For any n we have

$$
\beta^{k} \Big\{ \begin{array}{l}\beta^{k} \\ \beta^{k} \end{array} \Big\}^{\sigma^{k}}_{d^{k}} \quad \text{where} \quad \beta^{k} = \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{
$$

where $a^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} a$, $b^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} b$, $\rho^* = p (b-a)^{-(n+1)}$.

Notice that

$$
y^{n}dx - p^{*} \int_{a^{+}}^{b^{+}} \cdots \int_{a^{+}}^{b^{+}} \frac{y}{y} dx - \frac{y}{y} - \cdots - \frac{y}{y} dx + \cdots dx_{n}
$$

$$
\frac{y^{n}dx}{y^{n}} = p \int_{a}^{b} \frac{y}{y} dx - \frac{z}{y} dx
$$

So we can obtain that if $p > 0$, $b > a > 0$, $\sqrt[n]{p^*} \cdot \frac{m}{n} e > 1$, then for (5.1))

Example 2

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left\{\n\begin{array}{l}\ny^{\alpha} & l_{\alpha-1} \int_{\alpha}^{k} y(\kappa t; \xi, -\xi_{\alpha}) d\sigma(\xi_{\alpha}) \cdots d\sigma(\xi_{\alpha})\right\} \\
&\quad \cdot S y n \end{array}\n\right\} \\
&\quad \cdot S y n \left\{\n\begin{array}{l}\n\int_{\alpha}^{k} \cdots \int_{\alpha}^{k} y(\kappa t; \xi, \cdots \xi_{\alpha}) d\sigma(\xi_{\alpha}) \cdots d\sigma(\xi_{\alpha})\n\end{array}\n\right\} \n\geq 0\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n(5.2)

where $p > 0$, $b > a > 0$. If k is such that $k_b < 1$, then for (5.2) M=M . If k is such that ka>1, then for (5.2) $\mathcal{N}_{\cap} \mathcal{N}_{\cap}$.

Example 3

$$
\{ \psi^{a}\vert\!\!\!\downarrow_{1} - \rho \int_{a}^{b} ... \int_{a}^{b} \psi(t^{2} + \xi_{1} + ... + \xi_{n}) d\xi_{1} ... d\xi_{n} \}
$$
\n
$$
\times \int_{a}^{b} \int_{a}^{b} ... \int_{a}^{b} \psi(t^{2} + \xi_{1} + ... + \xi_{n}) d\xi_{1} ... d\xi_{n} \} \geq 0,
$$
\n(5.3)

If $\sqrt[m]{p} = e^{-\lambda}1$, then for (5.3) $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_0$ (p ≥ 0 , b $\geq a \geq 0$)

 $- 18 -$

Example

$$
\mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{(0)} = \rho \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \mathcal{J} \left[K_1 \pm \frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2} \right] d\mathcal{J}_3 \cdots d\mathcal{J}_k + \mathcal{J}_{k} \int_{a_1}^{b_2} \cdots \int_{a_k}^{b_k} \mathcal{J} \left[K_1 \pm \frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2} \right] d\mathcal{J}_1 \cdots d\mathcal{J}_n \tag{5.4}
$$

where $p > 0$, $q > 0$, $b_i > a_i > 0$ (i=1,2). $0 < bp < 1$, $k_a a_j > 1$. Then (5.4) oscillates .

Example 5.

$$
\begin{aligned} \n\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{D}}(k) &= p \int_{A_1}^{b_1} \dots \int_{A_n}^{b_1} f_j(\mathcal{Y}[k+1, -1, -\frac{2}{3}, 1] d\mathcal{X}_1 \dots d\mathcal{X}_n \\ \n&\quad + \frac{2}{9} \int_{A_1}^{b_1} \dots \int_{A_n}^{b_n} f_k(\mathcal{Y}[k+1, +\dots + \frac{2}{3}, 1] d\mathcal{X}_1 \dots d\mathcal{X}_n \n\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}
$$

where f_1 and f_2 are superlinear. Then (5.5) oscillates.

ACKNOWLEDGKENTS

The author would like to thank Professor Abdus Salam, the International Atomic Energy.Agency and. UNESCO for hospitality at the International Centre for Theoretical Physics. He is also grateful to Professor G. Vidossich for his encouragement.

REFERENCES

- [l] Takasi Kusano, ^M0n Even-Order Functional Differential Equations with Advanced and Retarded Arguments", J. of Dif. Eqs. $45,75-84$ (1982).
- [z] I.T, Kiguradee and T.A. Canturija, " On Oscillatory Properties of Differential Equations with Deviating Arguments", Tbilisi Univ.Press. Tbilisi 1977 (in Russian).
- [3j Ruan Jiong " Oscillation: and Asymptotic Behaviour of First Order Functional Differential Equations", Chin.Ann. of Math. S-B(4) (1984), to appear.

 $-19 -$