

12/8  
8/11/84  
PPPL-2113  
UC20-F  
myk

I-16201

PPPL-2113  
DR#0257-7

**NOTICE**

**PORTIONS OF THIS REPORT ARE ILLEGIBLE. It has been reproduced from the best available copy to permit the broadest possible availability.**

PPPL--2113

DE64 015156

**FAST SCANNING HETERODYNE RECEIVER FOR THE MEASUREMENT OF THE TIME EVOLUTION OF THE ELECTRON TEMPERATURE PROFILE ON TFTR**

By

G. Taylor, P. Efthimion, M. McCarthy, V. Arunasalam, R. Bitzer, J. Bryer, R. Cutler, E. Fredd, M.A. Goldman, and D. Kaufman

JUNE 1984

**MASTER**

**PLASMA  
PHYSICS  
LABORATORY**



**PRINCETON UNIVERSITY  
PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY**

PREPARED FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY,

UNDER CONTRACT DE-AC02-76-CO-3073.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Department of Energy, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

Printed in the United States of America.

Available from:

National Technical Information Service  
U. S. Department of Commerce  
5285 Port Royal Road  
Springfield, Virginia 22151

Price: Printed Copy \$ \* ; Microfiche \$3.50

<u>*PAGES</u>	<u>NTIS Selling Price</u>
1-25	\$5.00
26-50	\$6.50
51-75	\$8.00
76-100	\$9.50
101-125	\$11.00
126-150	\$12.50
151-175	\$14.00
176-200	\$15.50
201-225	\$17.00
226-250	\$18.50
251-275	\$20.00
276-300	\$21.50
301-325	\$23.00
326-350	\$24.50
351-375	\$26.00
376-400	\$27.50
401-425	\$29.00
426-450	\$30.50
451-475	\$32.00
476-500	\$33.50
500-525	\$35.00
526-550	\$36.50
551-575	\$38.00
576-600	\$39.50

For documents over 600 pages, add \$1.50 for each additional 25 page increment.

FAST SCANNING HETERODYNE RECEIVER FOR THE MEASUREMENT  
OF THE TIME EVOLUTION OF THE ELECTRON TEMPERATURE  
PROFILE ON TFTR

G. Taylor, P. Efthimion, M. McCarthy, V. Arunasalam,  
R. Bitzer,<sup>+</sup> J. Bryer, R. Cutler, E. Fredd,  
M. A. Goldman, and D. Kaufman

Plasma Physics Laboratory  
Princeton University  
P.O. Box 451  
Princeton, NJ 08544 USA

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

ABSTRACT

Two fast scanning heterodyne receivers, swept between 75-110 GHz and 110-170 GHz in 2 msec every 4 msec, were developed to measure the electron cyclotron emission on the horizontal midplane of the Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor (TFTR) plasma. An absolute, in situ calibration technique enables the determination of the profile of the plasma electron temperature from the cyclotron emission intensity. The 4 msec repetition rate of the receiver allowed the resolution of "sawtooth" fluctuations of temperature, whose period was 10-100 msec, in profiles with central temperatures of 1 - 2.5 keV.

<sup>+</sup> RCA Corporation, Astro Electronics Division, Hightstown, NJ

## INTRODUCTION

In dense, high temperature plasma the intensity of electron cyclotron emission for the fundamental and second harmonic has been observed to be at the blackbody level, so that the emission intensity is proportional to the local electron temperature.<sup>1-6</sup> In tokamaks the toroidal magnetic field is inversely proportional to the major radius, and the cyclotron frequency, therefore, corresponds to a unique radial position. The incident microwave power,  $P$ , at a receiver antenna is proportional to the cyclotron emission intensity

$$P(R) = kT_e(R)\Delta F(1 - e^{-\tau})$$

where  $T_e$  is the electron temperature,  $k$  is Boltzmann's constant,  $\Delta F$  is the receiver bandwidth, and  $\tau$  is the optical depth of the emitting layer. The optical depth is dependent on the plasma geometry, electron temperature, and density ( $n_e$ ). For example, for the plasma measurements reported here the ordinary microwave emission at the fundamental cyclotron frequency was used, so that for a  $T_e \approx 1$  keV,  $n_e \approx 2 \times 10^{13} \text{cm}^{-3}$  plasma at a toroidal field of 30 kG,  $\tau \approx 8$ . When  $\tau \geq 2$ , the emission is essentially blackbody. Under these conditions the power received by the radiometer is proportional to the electron temperature. In addition, the reflectivity of the tokamak vacuum vessel can considerably enhance the emission when  $\tau \lesssim 2$  so the emission intensity can be at the blackbody level.

The study of the evolution of the electron temperature versus plasma major radius,  $T_e(R)$  is essential to the understanding of transport processes in tokamaks. Other electron temperature profile diagnostics, for example, TV laser Thomson scattering<sup>7</sup> provide only one or two temperature profiles during

a plasma discharge with a duration of several seconds. The scanning cyclotron radiometer provides many  $T_e(r)$  profiles during a discharge.

For the Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor (TFTR) the electron cyclotron radiometer is required to measure radial temperature profiles with edge temperatures as low as 50 eV and central temperatures up to 20 keV. In addition, the toroidal magnetic field, which ranges from 10 - 50 kG, and the large plasma diameter necessitate a wide radiometric frequency coverage. To achieve full radiometric capability in TFTR, a frequency coverage of 75-220 GHz is necessary. At present, receivers are operating in the bands 75 - 110 GHz and 110 - 170 GHz, while TFTR operates with a toroidal field of up to 30 kG.

#### RADIOMETER DESIGN

Figure 1 shows an overall schematic of the TFTR cyclotron radiometer. A periscope attached to the TFTR vacuum vessel was designed so that for impurities from the discharge there is no direct path to the vacuum windows. The periscope contains a rotatable, chromium plated, aluminum alloy toroidal mirror and a fixed, plane aluminum alloy mirror, which directs cyclotron radiation from the plasma through a 15-cm diameter, fused silica vacuum window. A toroidal focusing mirror was selected to optimize the imaging of the cyclotron emission while minimizing the cost of fabrication. Chromium plating was employed to suppress arc damage to the aluminum alloy mirror surface during glow discharge cleaning. Figure 2 shows the measured viewing pattern for the periscope optics for both ordinary and extraordinary mode radiation at 75.9 and 166 GHz. Diffraction is significant at the lower frequency, and in the presence of plasma an additional refractive effect spreads the beam. However under normal operating conditions, this effect is

minimal. The windows have a  $2^\circ$  wedge to prevent interference from multiple internal reflections. A Rexalyte lens and a conical horn direct the radiation to taper into a  $TE_{11}^\circ - TE_{10}$  transition in WR-10 guide. To prevent higher order modes, a section of reduced height guide is included in the antenna feed.

An 8-meter, over-moded, J-band waveguide(WR-137) carries the radiation to three receivers covering 75 - 220 GHz. A straight section of WR-10 fundamental guide behind the horn can be replaced with a  $90^\circ$  twisted guide to observe extraordinary mode emission. The insertion loss of the WR-10 to WR-137 taper is approximately 0.3 dB. The 8-meter, J-band waveguide run has a relatively low loss of 0.26 dB/meter.

Two receivers are operational at present, these cover the 75-110 GHz and 110-170 GHz bands. To distribute incident power to the receivers, a four-port power splitting network, which has a smooth frequency response without significant moding or resonances, has been designed.<sup>8</sup> This network has good isolation between receivers so that local oscillator leakage power and reflected incident power do not couple from one receiver to another. The splitting network introduces a loss of about 5 dB between the input port and the 75 - 110 GHz receiver and 4 dB between the input port and the 110 - 170 GHz receiver. Gaussian tapers with a 0.3 dB loss provide transition between the WR-137 guide for the splitter network ports and the WR-10 and WR-7 single mode guides for the 75 - 110 GHz and 110 - 170 GHz receivers, respectively.

A schematic of the 75-110 GHz receiver is shown in Fig. 3. The design is a development of the radiometer described by Efthimion *et al.*<sup>9</sup> The signal from the plasma is mixed with radiation from a Siemens RWD-110N backward wave oscillator (BWO) in a broadband, single ended mixer. The BWO is power leveled with a feedback loop and electronically swept in 4 msec (including a 2 msec

flyback) over the frequency range 75 -110 GHz by a 2 kV voltage ramp produced by a high voltage amplifier connected to an electronics control chassis. In addition to generating a ramp voltage, the electronics package can provide 256 programmable voltage levels. The electronics can be programmed to provide one of three modes; a ramp mode providing ramps every 4 msec, a dwell mode where the BWO is set to one frequency continuously, and a ramp-dwell mode where the BWO is alternately ramped and then made to dwell for up to 100 msec, at one frequency.

The microwave mixer output is passed through two stages of IF amplification each with 30 dB of gain and a pass band of 250 - 500 MHz to provide rejection of BWO noise below 250 MHz. A step attenuator provides gain adjustment in 10 dB steps, and a 250 - 500 MHz filter between the two amplifier stages gives additional BWO noise rejection. The output of the final IF amplifier is detected by a Schottky barrier video detector and passed through a 10 kHz bandwidth, output amplifier whose gain is 250.

The 110 - 170 GHz band receiver is similar to the 75 - 110 GHz band except that it uses a Siemens RW0-170N and the IF bandwidth is 1 - 2 GHz in order to reject more strongly the BWO induced noise in the mixer.

Ramp data are sampled at 24 preset voltages during the 2 msec sweep by voltage comparators which are preset to generate a trigger at a specified BWO cathode voltage. In this way samples are taken at fixed values of BWO frequency, guaranteeing frequency synchronous acquisition. Output from each receiver is stored in a 32 kiloword memory by a transient digitizer. A verification cycle occurs immediately before the plasma discharge which provides a video baseline, mixer bias current, and BWO cathode voltage in both ramp and dwell modes. The verification data are digitized and multiplexed before the plasma data are stored for each discharge. Dwell data are digitized at a 25 KHz rate.

### RADIOMETER SPATIAL RESOLUTION

As shown in Fig. 2, the resolution transverse to the major radial direction at the TFTR vacuum vessel center is better than 15 cm at 166 GHz and approximately 20 cm at 75.9 GHz. The resolution in the major radial direction is determined by the bandwidth of the receivers. For the 75 - 110 GHz band typical resolution is  $\approx$  2.5 cm and for the 110 - 170 GHz band  $\approx$  5 cm.

### RADIOMETER CALIBRATION

Absolute, in situ calibration of the radiometer employing Dicke<sup>10</sup> switching allowed determination of the plasma electron temperature independent of other plasma diagnostics.

Eccosorb CV immersed in liquid nitrogen was used as a blackbody calibration source. The calibration source was installed on TFTR only during calibration measurements, which were made once a month. A schematic of the calibration system is shown in Fig. 4. The toroidal focusing mirror in the periscope can be rotated away from the TFTR plasma to view the calibration source through a wedged fused silica vacuum window. An Eccosorb covered chopping drum rotates at approximately 3000 rpm so that the radiometer alternately views the cold load and a room temperature load about 100 times per second. A sliding Eccosorb shutter can be moved to block the view of the cold load.

The calibration source is viewed by the radiometer with the receiver set to dwell at one of the 24 frequencies sampled in the ramp mode. The sensitivity at each frequency is determined directly by synchronously detecting the receiver output with a lock-in amplifier referenced to the chopping frequency of the drum.



Prior to installation on TFTR the 75 - 110 GHz receiver sensitivity was measured in the laboratory with a lock-in amplifier integration time of one second using the Eccosorb cold load, and a sensitivity of 0.1 - 0.27  $\mu\text{V/K}$  was measured as shown in Fig. 5. The measured noise figure in the laboratory was typically 23 dB or a Noise Equivalent Power  $\approx 8 \times 10^{-19} \text{WHz}^{-1}$ . Comparing the sensitivity curve in Fig. 5 with the sensitivity obtained in situ on TFTR, where integration times were 8 - 25 seconds, we determined the waveguide loss to be 6 - 7 dB. The fused quartz windows contributed 0.7 dB to the loss in the 75 - 110 GHz band and the window through which the calibration source is viewed must be calibrated before installation on the periscope. The calibration technique described here provided calibration accuracies of about 5 - 10% for each sample. Calibrated sensitivities for each of the 24 sample frequencies taken during a BWO ramp plus the frequencies of each sample were stored in a calibration data file on the data acquisition computer.

#### DATA ANALYSIS AND OPERATION

A data analysis program was written to allow display of electron temperature versus major radius and time. The program utilized the sensitivity factors in the calibration data file created during the calibration and the toroidal field coil current measurements to calculate the major radial position of the data sampled by the radiometer. An example of electron temperature time history data generated by this program is shown in Fig. 6. The electron temperature at two major radial positions is shown during a 1.4 MA plasma current discharge with a minor radius of 0.83 meters and a nominal major radius (R) of 2.55 meters. The temperature at R = 2.56 meters, close to the plasma magnetic axis shows large, 80 msec period sawteeth. At R = 2.15 meters the time history shows inverted sawteeth. These

time histories were reconstructed from ramp data. The temperature sawteeth are believed to be important to the transport of energy in the hot plasma core. Electron temperature profile data from this instrument were used extensively in the study of energy confinement in TFTR.<sup>11-13</sup> Figure 7 shows four profiles during the evolution of the electron temperature when the plasma column was compressed by being moved inward in major radius. The curve is a fourth order polynomial fit to the data. The compression begins at two seconds and is complete after about 20 msec.

Figure 8 shows the application of the programmable dwell mode, where a plasma disruption causes a rapid collapse in central electron temperature from 1 keV in less than 4 msec compared to a plasma current decay time of 10 msec. Figure 9 shows an example of a three-dimensional major radius - time plot of the evolution of temperature sawteeth in a 1.4 MA discharge constructed from a series of ramps. The spatial and temporal resolution is sufficient to resolve temperature sawteeth.

Figure 10 indicates the degree of agreement between the electron temperature measured by the cyclotron radiometer and the electron temperature derived from X-ray bremsstrahlung by a pulse height analyser (PHA).<sup>13,14</sup> In general, agreement was good, within better than 20% between the two diagnostics over a wide range of plasma conditions.

In the future, a third 170 - 220 GHz receiver will allow the study of plasma discharges with toroidal fields  $\geq 40$  kG. A hot load and calibration in ramp mode are being considered which will permit temperature measurements to an accuracy better than 5%.

## CONCLUSIONS

Fast scanning, heterodyne, cyclotron radiometry has been successfully used to measure the evolution of the electron temperature profile with a spatial resolution  $\sim 2 - 5$  cm and a measurement accuracy of 5 - 10%. Plasmas with central toroidal fields up to 27 kG and currents up to 1.4 MA have been studied with receivers working between 75 - 170 GHz. An absolute, in situ, calibration technique has allowed the independent measurement of electron temperatures which agree well with X-ray bremsstrahlung measurements. The 4 msec sweep rate of the radiometer has allowed, for example, the temporal resolution of major radial compression experiments and the study of temperature sawteeth in the plasma core with periods of 10 - 100 msec. A programmable dwell capability with a time resolution  $\leq 100$   $\mu$ sec permitted the study of fast disruptions with decay times  $< 4$  msec.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The technical support of M. E. Oldaker and W. M. McCredie is gratefully acknowledged. We would also like to thank K. M. Young, L. C. Johnson, and the many other people on the TFTR staff who helped support and encourage this work. This work was supported under U.S. DOE Contract No. DE-AC02-76-CH0-3073.

## REFERENCES

- 1 V. Arunasalam, E. B. Meservey, M. M. Gurnee, and R. C. Davidson, *Phys. Fluids* 11, 1076 (1968).
- 2 A. E. Costley, R. J. Hastie, J. W. M. Paul, and J. Chamberlain, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 33, 758 (1974).
- 3 V. Arunasalam, R. Cano, and J. C. Hosea, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 39, 408 (1977).
- 4 D. A. Boyd, F. J. Stauffer, and A. W. Trivelpiece, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 37, 98 (1976).
- 5 P. C. Efthimion, V. Arunasalam, and J. C. Hosea, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 44, 396 (1980).
- 6 P. C. Efthimion, V. Arunasalam, R. Bitzer, and J. C. Hosea, Temperature (Reinhold, NY, 1982) Vol. 5.
- 7 N. Bretz, D. Dimock, V. Foote, D. Johnson, D. Long, and E. Tolnas, *Appl. Opt.* 17, 192 (1978).
- 8 M. A. Goldman and R. A. Bitzer, (Unpublished).
- 9 P. C. Efthimion, V. Arunasalam, R. Bitzer, L. Campbell, and J. C. Hosea, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 50, 949 (1979).

- 10 R. H. Dicke, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 17, 268 (1946).
- 11 K. M. Young, M. Bell, W. R. Blanchard, N. Bretz, J. Cecchi, J. Coonrod, S. Davis, H. F. Dylla, P. C. Efthimion, R. Fonck, R. Goldston, D. J. Grove, R. J. Hawryluk, H. Hendel, K. W. Hill, J. Isaacson, L. C. Johnson, R. Kaita, R. B. Krawchuk, R. Little, M. McCarthy, D. McCune, K. McGuire, D. Meade, S. S. Medley, D. Mikkelsen, D. Mueller, E. Nieschmidt, D. K. Owens, A. Ramsey, A. L. Roquemore, L. Samuelson, N. Sauthoff, J. Schivell, J. A. Schmidt, S. Sesnic, J. Sinnis, J. Strachan, G. D. Tait, G. Taylor, F. Tenney, and M. Ulrickson, *Plasma Phys.* 26, 11 (1984).
- 12 P. C. Efthimion, M. Bell, W. R. Blanchard, N. Bretz, J. L. Cecchi, S. Davis, H. F. Dylla, R. Fonck, H. P. Furth, R. J. Goldston, D. J. Grove, R. J. Hawryluk, H. Hendel, K. W. Hill, S. von Goeler, J. Isaacson, D. L. Jassby, L. C. Johnson, R. Kaita, S. Kaye, R. B. Krawchuk, R. Little, M. McCarthy, D. McCune, K. McGuire, D. Meade, S. S. Medley, D. Mikkelsen, D. Mueller, E. Nieschmidt, D. K. Owens, D. Post, A. Ramsey, A. L. Roquemore, P. Rutherford, L. Samuelson, N. Sauthoff, J. Schivell, J. A. Schmidt, S. Sesnic, C. Singer, J. Sinnis, J. Strachan, G. D. Tait, G. Taylor, F. Tenney, M. Ulrickson, S. Yoshikawa, and K. M. Young, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 52, 1492 (1984).

- 13 R. J. Hawryluk, M. G. Bell, M. Bitter, W. R. Blanchard, N. Bretz, C. Bush, J. L. Cecchi, S. Cohen, J. Coonrod, S. L. Davis, D. Dimock, H. F. Dylla, P. C. Efthimion, R. Fonck, R. J. Goldston, S. von Goeler, B. Grek, D. J. Grove, H. Hendel, K. W. Hill, R. Hulse, J. Isaacson, D. Johnson, L. C. Johnson, R. Kaita, S. M. Kaye, S. Kilpatrick, J. Kiraly, R. Knize, R. Little, M. McCarthy, D. Manos, D. C. McCune, K. McGuire, D. M. Meade, S. S. Medley, D. Mikkelsen, D. Mueller, M. Murakami, E. Nieschmidt, D. K. Owens, A. Ramsey, A. L. Roquemore, P. Stangeby, N. Sauthoff, J. Schivell, S. Scott, S. Sesnic, J. Sinnis, J. Strachan, G. D. Tait, G. Taylor, F. Tenney, C. E. Thomas, J. Timberlake, H. H. Towner, M. Ulrickson, and K. M. Young, Proceedings of the Toroidal Heating Workshop, Rome, Italy (1984).
- 14 K. Hill, private communication.

## FIGURE CAPTIONS

1. Schematic layout of TFTR Radiometer.
2. Field of View of Radiometer showing a schematic of the TFTR plasma for
  - a) O-mode and
  - b) X-mode cyclotron emission. The large circle indicates the edge of an 83 cm minor radius plasma.
3. Schematic of 75 - 110 GHz receiver.
4. Schematic diagram of in situ calibration system. For calibration measurements the toroidal focussing mirror is rotated to face the cold Eccosorb source.
5. 75 - 110 GHz Receiver Sensitivity vs Frequency measured in the laboratory.
6. Electron temperature time history for a 1.4 MA TFTR discharge at two major radii showing large "sawtooth" temperature fluctuations.
7. Profile of electron temperature on TFTR at four times during an adiabatic compression experiment, where the plasma is moved inward in major radius.
8. An example of dwell mode used to capture the electron temperature collapse during a plasma disruption, terminating the discharge.
9. Three-dimensional major radius-time plot showing electron temperature profile evolution in a 1.4 MA discharge on TFTR.
10. Comparison between electron cyclotron emission (ECE) and X-ray pulse height analyzer (PHA) electron temperature data.



#83X1364




Fig. 1

# 84X0046




Fig. 2a

# 84X0047




Fig. 2b

# BASIC RADIOMETER

# 81X0626




Fig. 3

#84X0044




Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 6




Fig. 7






Fig. 8




Fig. 9




Fig. 10

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION IN ADDITION TO TIC UC-20

Plasma Res Lab, Austr Nat'l Univ, AUSTRALIA  
 Dr. Frank J. Peoloni, Univ of Wollongong, AUSTRALIA  
 Prof. I.R. Jones, Flinders Univ., AUSTRALIA  
 Prof. M.M. Brennan, Univ Sydney, AUSTRALIA  
 Prof. F. Cap, Inst Theo Phys, AUSTRIA  
 Prof. Frank Verheest, Inst theoretische, BELGIUM  
 Dr. D. Palumbo, Dg XII Fusion Prog, BELGIUM  
 Ecole Royale Militaire, Lab de Phys Plasmas, BELGIUM  
 Dr. P.M. Sakanaka, Univ Estadual, BRAZIL  
 Dr. C.R. James, Univ of Alberta, CANADA  
 Prof. J. Teichmann, Univ of Montreal, CANADA  
 Dr. H.M. Skersgard, Univ of Saskatchewan, CANADA  
 Prof. S.R. Sreenivasan, University of Calgary, CANADA  
 Prof. Tudor K. Johnston, INRS-Energie, CANADA  
 Dr. Hannes Bernard, Univ British Columbia, CANADA  
 Dr. M.P. Bachynski, MPB Technologies, Inc., CANADA  
 Zhengou Li, SW Inst Physics, CHINA  
 Library, Tsing Hua University, CHINA  
 Librarian, Institute of Physics, CHINA  
 Inst Plasma Phys, Academia Sinica, CHINA  
 Dr. Peter Lukac, Komenského Univ, CZECHOSLOVAKIA  
 The Librarian, Culham Laboratory, ENGLAND  
 Prof. Schatzman, Observatoire de Nice, FRANCE  
 J. Redet, CEN-BPC, FR ICE  
 AM Dupas Library, AM Dupas Library, FRANCE  
 Dr. Tom Muai, Academy Bibliographic, HONG KONG  
 Preprint Library, Cent Res Inst Phys, HUNGARY  
 Dr. S.K. Trehan, Panjab University, INDIA  
 Dr. Indira, Mohan Lal Das, Banaras Hindu Univ, INDIA  
 Dr. L.K. Chavda, South Gujarat Univ, INDIA  
 Dr. R.K. Chhajlani, Var Ruchi Marg, INDIA  
 P. Kaw, Physical Research Lab, INDIA  
 Dr. Phillip Rosenau, Israel Inst Tech, ISRAEL  
 Prof. S. Cuperman, Tel Aviv University, ISRAEL  
 Prof. G. Rostagni, Univ DI Padova, ITALY  
 Librarian, Int'l Ctr Theo Phys, ITALY  
 Miss Ciella De Palo, Assoc EURATOM-CNEN, ITALY  
 Biblioteca, del CNR EURATOM, ITALY  
 Dr. M. Yamato, Toshiba Res & Dev, JAPAN  
 Prof. M. Yoshikawa, JAERI, Tokai Res Est, JAPAN  
 Prof. T. Uchida, University of Tokyo, JAPAN  
 Research Info Center, Nagoya University, JAPAN  
 Prof. Kyoji Nishikawa, Univ of Hiroshima, JAPAN  
 Prof. Sigeru Mori, JAERI, JAPAN  
 Library, Kyoto University, JAPAN  
 Prof. Ichiro Kawakami, Nihon Univ, JAPAN  
 Prof. Satoshi Itoh, Kyushu University, JAPAN  
 Tech Info Division, Korea Atomic Energy, KOREA  
 Dr. R. England, Ciudad Universitaria, MEXICO  
 Bibliotheek, Fon-Inst Voor Plasma, NETHERLANDS  
 Prof. B.S. Lilley, University of Waikato, NEW ZEALAND  
 Dr. Suresh C. Sharma, Univ of Calabar, NIGERIA  
 Prof. J.A.C. Cebra, Inst Superior Tech, PORTUGAL  
 Dr. Octavian Petrus, ALI CUZA University, ROMANIA  
 Prof. M.A. Heilberg, University of Natal, SO AFRICA  
 Dr. Johan de Villiers, Atomic Energy Bd, SO AFRICA  
 Fusion Div. Library, JEN, SPAIN  
 Prof. Hans Wilhelmson, Chalmers Univ Tech, SWEDEN  
 Dr. Lennart Stenflo, University of UMEA, SWEDEN  
 Library, Royal Inst Tech, SWEDEN  
 Dr. Erik T. Karlson, Uppsala Universitet, SWEDEN  
 Centre de Recherches, Ecole Polytech Fed, SWITZERLAND  
 Dr. W.L. Weise, Nat'l Bur Stand, USA  
 Dr. H.M. Stacey, Georg Inst Tech, USA  
 Dr. S.T. Wu, Univ Alabama, USA  
 Prof. Norman L. Gleson, Univ S Florida, USA  
 Dr. Benjamin Ma, Iowa State Univ, USA  
 Prof. Magne Kristiansen, Texas Tech Univ, USA  
 Dr. Raymond Askew, Auburn Univ, USA  
 Dr. V.T. Toich, Khar'kov Phys Tech Ins, USSR  
 Dr. D.D. Ryutov, Siberian Acad Sci, USSR  
 Dr. G.A. Eliseev, Kurchatov Institute, USSR  
 Dr. V.A. Glukhikh, Inst Electro-Physical, USSR  
 Institute Gen. Physics, USSR  
 Prof. T.J. Boyd, Univ College N Wales, WALES  
 Dr. K. Schindler, Ruhr Universität, W. GERMANY  
 Nuclear Res Estab, Julich Ltd, W. GERMANY  
 Librarian, Max-Planck Institut, W. GERMANY  
 Dr. H.J. Kaeppeler, University Stuttgart, W. GERMANY  
 Bibliothek, Inst Plasmaforschung, W. GERMANY