

ANL-HEP-CP--86-82

ANL-HEP-CP-86-82

DE87 001571

The following manuscript has been authored by a contractor of the U. S. Government under Contract No. W-31-109-ENG-38. Accordingly, the U. S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or allow others to do so, for U. S. Government purposes.

## EFFECTS ON SPIN ASYMMETRIES OF SPECIAL EFFECTS AT 90°\*

OCT 29 1986

Harry J. Lipkin  
High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory  
Argonne, Illinois 60439

Hadron and quark exchange contributions introduce additional spin asymmetries in hadron elastic scattering which are a maximum at 90° but go away at small angles, where such exchanges always involve one backward scattering with a much higher momentum transfer than the direct forward scattering with only gluon exchanges. Double-flip and nonflip contributions to  $\sigma_{\uparrow\uparrow}$  are incoherent, while direct and exchange contributions to each are coherent. For  $\sigma_{\uparrow\downarrow}$  the direct nonflip and exchange double-flip amplitudes are coherent and vice versa. At 90° even if the direct amplitude is spin independent and dominantly nonflip, the nonflip coherence in  $\sigma_{\uparrow\uparrow}$  can introduce a factor of 2 in  $\sigma_{\uparrow\uparrow}/\sigma_{\uparrow\downarrow}$ , while small double-flip amplitudes which are coherent with nonflip in  $\sigma_{\uparrow\downarrow}$  but incoherent in  $\sigma_{\uparrow\uparrow}$  can introduce further large factors.

Recent measurements of spin effects in elastic scattering of polarized protons<sup>†</sup> show a very small value for the asymmetry parameter  $A_{nn} = -2 \pm 16\%$  at  $P_t^2 = 4.7$  (GeV/c)<sup>2</sup>. This is in sharp contrast with the previous value near 60% obtained at the same value of  $P_t$  but at the lower energy of 12 GeV/c. However, the 12 GeV/c measurement was taken at a scattering angle of 90°, while the 18.5 GeV/c measurement was taken at a scattering angle of 49° in the center of mass system.

The difference between 90° and 49° is crucial because of both symmetry and dynamical effects. For the scattering of identical particles, any measurement sums the scattering at an angle  $\theta$  and an angle  $\pi-\theta$ , and the sum may be coherent or incoherent, depending upon the particular spin states involved. At high momentum transfer, where the scattering amplitude is expected to fall off rapidly with increasing scattering angle, this effect should be negligible at 49°, since the scattering amplitude at 131° is expected to be very much smaller. At 90°, however, the effects of particle interchange are a maximum and can easily produce large spin asymmetries even if the

\* Work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Division of High Energy Physics, Contract W-31-109-ENG-38.

\*\* 1985-86 Argonne Fellow on Leave from Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel.

MASTER

mg

asymmetries at angles like  $49^\circ$  are very small. Such effects have been shown to produce a value of  $A_{nn} = 1/3$  at  $90^\circ$  for completely spin-independent scattering which gives  $A_{nn} = 0$  at small angles.<sup>2</sup> We shall see here that small additional spin-dependent amplitudes that have a negligible effect at small angles can give  $A_{nn} = 0.6$  at  $90^\circ$ .

There are also important differences in QCD dynamics between  $90^\circ$  and small angle scattering. The dominant mechanisms for hadron scattering in QCD are gluon exchange and quark exchange. The question of which is more important in different kinematic regions remains open. Single-gluon exchange between color singlet hadrons is forbidden in QCD; any scattering without quark exchange must involve at least two exchanged gluons. The question at high momentum transfers where all interactions are suppressed is whether the price paid for an additional gluon is greater than the price paid for a quark exchange.

At small angles, one might expect gluon exchange without quark exchange to dominate, because any quark exchange involves a "backward scattering" at the quark level with a much larger momentum transfer than forward scattering. At  $90^\circ$ , however, there is no difference between forward and backward; quark exchange involves the same momentum transfer at the quark level as pure gluon exchange without quark exchange. Thus there may be a transition between quark-exchange dominance and gluon-exchange dominance at some intermediate scattering angle.

In order to unscramble these effects and obtain a true picture of the dynamics of spin dependence, it is necessary to separate out the  $90^\circ$  coherence effects from energy dependence, and look for possible transitions between quark exchange dominance and gluon exchange dominance. This can be done by measurements taken at different energies either all at  $90^\circ$  or all at small angles where coherence effects are negligible. Measurements of angular distributions at a given energy would also reveal the existence of peculiar behavior at  $90^\circ$  and possible transitions. Measurements of final state polarization may also be relevant as discussed below.

The peculiar conditions at  $90^\circ$  can be seen explicitly as follows. Consider the scattering of protons transversely polarized normal to the scattering plane; i.e. transversity eigenstates. The physics is particularly simple in the transversity basis, where the number of contributing amplitudes is small. Since angular momentum and parity conservation require transversity conservation modulo 2, there are only nonflip and double-flip transitions; single transversity flip is forbidden.

If the incident protons have parallel transverse spins, the scattered protons also have parallel transverse spins, either nonflipped or double-flipped. In either case interchanging the two protons gives the same final state and it is impossible to

distinguish between scattering by  $\theta$  and by  $\theta-\pi$ . The two amplitudes are coherent and must be added before they are squared. If the incident protons have antiparallel transverse spins, the scattered protons also have antiparallel transverse spins, but interchanging the two scattered protons gives a different final state with both transversities flipped. Thus for antiparallel transversities, the nonflip amplitude at angle  $\theta$  leads to the same final state and is coherent with the double-flip amplitude at angle  $\theta-\pi$  and vice versa. Thus:

$$\sigma_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\theta) = |N_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\theta) + N_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\theta-\pi)|^2 + |D_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\theta) + D_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\theta-\pi)|^2 \quad (1a)$$

$$\sigma_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\theta) = |N_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\theta) + D_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\theta-\pi)|^2 + |D_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\theta) + N_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\theta-\pi)|^2, \quad (1b)$$

where  $\sigma_{\uparrow\uparrow}$  and  $\sigma_{\uparrow\downarrow}$  denote the cross sections and  $N_{\uparrow\uparrow}$ ,  $D_{\uparrow\uparrow}$ ,  $N_{\uparrow\downarrow}$  and  $D_{\uparrow\downarrow}$  denote the nonflip and double-flip amplitudes respectively for the states of parallel and antiparallel transverse spins.

The angular distribution of the scattering is expected to be forward peaked. Thus at small angles, where all backward scattering by an angle  $\theta-\pi$  can be neglected, the relations (1) simplify to give

$$\sigma_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\theta) = |N_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\theta)|^2 + |D_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\theta)|^2 \quad (2a)$$

$$\sigma_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\theta) = |N_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\theta)|^2 + |D_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\theta)|^2 \quad (2b)$$

$$\frac{\sigma_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\theta)}{\sigma_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\theta)} = \frac{|N_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\theta)|^2 + |D_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\theta)|^2}{|N_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\theta)|^2 + |D_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\theta)|^2} \equiv S(\theta). \quad (2c)$$

The quantity  $S(\theta)$  defined by Eq. (2c) gives a direct measure of the spin dependence of the average of the nonflip and double-flip cross sections.

At 90 degrees, where  $\theta = \pi/2$ , and we assume equal amplitudes with a positive relative phase<sup>2</sup> at  $\theta$  and  $-\theta$ , the relations (1) simplify to give

$$\sigma_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\theta) = 4|N_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\theta)|^2 + 4|D_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\theta)|^2 \quad (3a)$$

$$\sigma_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\theta) = 2|N_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\theta) + D_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\theta)|^2 \quad (3b)$$

$$\frac{\sigma_{\uparrow\uparrow}(90^\circ)}{\sigma_{\uparrow\downarrow}(90^\circ)} = 2 \frac{|N_{\uparrow\uparrow}(90^\circ)|^2 + |D_{\uparrow\uparrow}(90^\circ)|^2}{|N_{\uparrow\downarrow}(90^\circ) + D_{\uparrow\downarrow}(90^\circ)|^2} = 2 \frac{(1 + \epsilon^2)}{(1 + \epsilon)^2} S(90^\circ) \quad (4a)$$

where

$$\varepsilon = \frac{D_{\uparrow\downarrow}(90^\circ)}{N_{\uparrow\downarrow}(90^\circ)} . \quad (4b)$$

This result (4) shows that the ratio  $\sigma_{\uparrow\uparrow}/\sigma_{\uparrow\downarrow}$  at  $90^\circ$  contains an additional factor  $2(1 + \varepsilon^2)/(1 + \varepsilon)^2$  which is completely unrelated to the spin dependence of the scattering amplitude and depends only on the coherence effects between the nonflip and double-flip antiparallel amplitudes.

The fact that effects completely unrelated to spin dependence arise at  $90^\circ$  is clearly seen in the simplest case of spin independent scattering, where

$$N_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\theta) = N_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\theta) \quad (5a)$$

$$D_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\theta) = D_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\theta) . \quad (5b)$$

In this case, for small angles

$$\frac{\sigma_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\theta)}{\sigma_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\theta)} = S(\theta) = 1 \quad (6a)$$

and the asymmetry parameter  $A_{nn}$  is then

$$A_{nn} = 0 \quad (6b)$$

as expected for spin-independent scattering.

However, for angles near  $90^\circ$ ,

$$\frac{\sigma_{\uparrow\uparrow}(90^\circ)}{\sigma_{\uparrow\downarrow}(90^\circ)} = \frac{2(1 + \varepsilon^2)}{|1 + \varepsilon|^2} . \quad (7a)$$

This can vary rather wildly, depending upon  $\varepsilon$ , even though  $S(\theta) = 1$ . For  $\varepsilon \sim 0$ , the asymmetry parameter  $A_{nn}$  is

$$A_{nn} = +1/3 . \quad (7b)$$

From these specific cases, we see that it is risky to compare the values of  $A_{nn}$  at small angles with those at  $90^\circ$ . A value near zero at small angles can increase to a value of  $1/3$  at  $90^\circ$  for reasons of permutation symmetry which have no implications for the dynamics.

Note that the value of  $A_{nn}$  at  $90^\circ$  is very sensitive to small double-flip amplitudes which can interfere destructively in the antiparallel cross section (2b). Consider, for example, the case where  $\varepsilon = -0.1$  so that the double-flip cross section is only 1% of

the nonflip cross section. Then

$$\frac{\sigma_{\uparrow\uparrow}(90^\circ)}{\sigma_{\uparrow\downarrow}(90^\circ)} = 2.5 S(90^\circ) , \quad (8a)$$

If  $S(\theta) = 1$ , as would be the case if the nonflip and double-flip amplitudes are both spin-independent, i.e. they satisfy Eqs. (5), the asymmetry parameter  $A_{nn}$  is

$$A_{nn} = +0.43 . \quad (8b)$$

This is very different from the value (7b) obtained without the small double-flip amplitude.

The observed value at  $90^\circ$  of  $A_{nn} = 0.6$  is obtained, with  $A_{nn} = 0$  at small angles, if  $S(\theta) = 1$  and  $\epsilon = -0.27$ ; i.e. the double-flip cross section is 7% of the nonflip cross section for antiparallel spins and the amplitudes have a negative relative phase. Thus it is possible to have  $A_{nn} = 0$  at small angles and 60% at  $90^\circ$  with only a small double-transversity-flip amplitude in addition to an amplitude which gives  $A_{nn} = +1/3$  at  $90^\circ$ .

Since most models based upon QCD use helicity amplitudes, and helicity is conserved in high-momentum scattering processes with emission and absorption of vector gluons, it is interesting to see the role of helicity conservation in these considerations. We first note that helicity conservation is confused by exchange effects of two kinds, hadron exchange and constituent exchange. In proton-proton scattering where the initial protons have opposite helicities, a given detector will observe protons of both helicities, even if helicity is conserved, because of the exchange of the two protons. This effect can be very large at  $90^\circ$ , but is expected to be small at small angles like  $49^\circ$ .

If the dominant mechanism for the scattering is constituent interchange, then even if helicity is conserved at the quark level, the exchange of quarks with opposite helicity between the two protons can give an effective helicity flip at the proton level. This effect is not expected to be strongly dependent on angle or particularly strong at  $90^\circ$ , since it does not depend upon the particles being identical. Quark exchange can give an effective helicity flip even in meson-baryon scattering, where there is no question of identical particles.<sup>3</sup> Note, however that all these exchange effects can produce only a double helicity flip and never a single helicity flip, as the overall helicity of the two-particle system must be conserved. Note also that the effective double-helicity-flip due to exchange can only occur for initial states of opposite helicity. If both particles have the same helicity, there is no way to obtain a double flip by any exchange.

It is convenient to use the amplitudes which have simple symmetry properties for the scattering of identical particles

developed using the H-spin formalism<sup>2,4</sup> because the scattering matrix is nearly diagonal in this basis, and independently discovered in another context<sup>5</sup> because they behave simply under reflection about 90°.

$$N = \phi_S = (\phi_1 - \phi_2)/2 , \quad (9a)$$

$$S = \phi_t = (\phi_1 + \phi_2)/2 , \quad (9b)$$

$$L = \phi_T = (\phi_3 - \phi_4)/2 , \quad (9c)$$

$$V = \phi_{\tau} = (\phi_3 + \phi_4)/2 , \quad (9d)$$

$$F = \phi_5 , \quad (9e)$$

where N,S,L,V and F are the notation of ref. 2,  $\phi_S$ ,  $\phi_t$ ,  $\phi_T$  and  $\phi_{\tau}$  are the notation of ref. 5, and

$$\phi_1 = \langle ++ | ++ \rangle \quad (10a)$$

$$\phi_2 = \langle ++ | -- \rangle \quad (10b)$$

$$\phi_3 = \langle +- | +- \rangle \quad (10c)$$

$$\phi_4 = \langle +- | -+ \rangle \quad (10d)$$

$$\phi_5 = \langle ++ | +- \rangle \quad (10e)$$

are conventional helicity amplitudes<sup>5</sup>.

In terms of these amplitudes, the asymmetry parameter  $A_{nn}$  is given by

$$A_{nn} = \frac{(|S|^2 + |L|^2 + 2|F|^2 - |N|^2 - |V|^2)}{(|S|^2 + |L|^2 + 2|F|^2 + |N|^2 + |V|^2)}. \quad (11)$$

For the case where helicity is conserved except for exchange effects,

$$\phi_2 = 0 \quad (12a)$$

$$\phi_5 = 0 , \quad (12b)$$

but  $\phi_4$ , which is an apparent double-flip amplitude, can occur as a result of exchange effects. In the notation (9) these conditions become

$$N = S \quad (13a)$$

$$F = 0 \quad (13b)$$

and

$$A_{nn} = (|L|^2 - |V|^2)/(2|N|^2 + |L|^2 + |V|^2) . \quad (14)$$

The five amplitudes (9) were chosen to have simple properties under reflection about  $90^\circ$ . The amplitudes  $N$ ,  $S$ , and  $L$  are even and need not vanish at  $90^\circ$ ; the amplitudes  $V$  and  $F$  are odd and vanish at  $90^\circ$ . Thus

$$A_{nn}(90^\circ) = (|L|^2)/(2|N|^2 + |L|^2) . \quad (15)$$

When H-spin<sup>2,4</sup> is conserved, as in the constituent interchange model,

$$N = S = L , \quad (16a)$$

and

$$A_{nn} = (1/3) - (4/3)(|V|^2)/(3|L|^2 + |V|^2) \leq 1/3 \quad (16b)$$

$$A_{nn}(90^\circ) = 1/3 . \quad (16c)$$

The value (16c) is in disagreement with the large value of  $A_{nn}$  observed at  $90^\circ$ . Indicating that H-spin is not conserved and that the constituent interchange model does not give the complete amplitude for the process. If we still assume that helicity is conserved in the process, we can obtain the value  $A_{nn}(90^\circ) = 0.6$  by setting

$$|L|^2 = 3|N|^2 . \quad (17a)$$

At angles far from  $90^\circ$ , we can obtain  $A_{nn} = 0$  by setting

$$V = L . \quad (17b)$$

Note that the condition (17b) implies the vanishing of the double-helicity-flip amplitude  $\phi_4$ , which is nonvanishing in the constituent interchange model, where it results from interchange of quarks with opposite helicity between the two protons. If the standard SU(6) wave function is used for the proton, the constituent interchange model gives for small angles

$$V = (3/31)L . \quad (17c)$$

This is very different from the value (17b) and predicts that  $A_{nn}$  is within 2% of  $1/3$ .

We thus see that there are two different ways to get agreement with the present experimental results. A small transversity-flip amplitude added to a spin-independent transversity conserving amplitude can give agreement with experiment, as shown by eqs. (8). However these amplitudes violate helicity conservation even at the constituent level. Alternatively, helicity conservation at the hadron level (which means no interchange of quarks with opposite helicity between hadrons) can give agreement with the recent low asymmetry at  $49^\circ$  while the coherent effect at  $90^\circ$  can give the high value of  $A_{nn}$  observed if the helicity amplitude for opposite opposite helicity scattering at  $90^\circ$  is greater than the amplitude for the same helicity scattering by a factor of  $\sqrt{3}$ .

In order to determine what is really happening, experimental data are needed both at  $90^\circ$  and at smaller angles for the same values of momentum transfer, or there should be measurements of the transversity flip. Only in this way will it be possible to disentangle large effects depending upon transverse momentum from comparatively small effects enhanced at  $90^\circ$  by these peculiar coherence effects.

#### REFERENCES

1. G. R. Court et al., Michigan preprint UM HE 86-03, submitted to Phys. Rev. Letters
2. Harry J. Lipkin, in School on Intermediate and High Energy Physics, Edited by W. R. Falk, TRIUMF report, p. 1
3. H.J. Lipkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53, (1984) 2075.
4. S. Brodsky, C. Carlson and H. J. Lipkin, Phys. Rev. D20, (1979) 2278.
5. H. Spinka, Phys. Rev. D30, (1984) 1461.



## **DISCLAIMER**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.