LMR THERMAL HYDRAULICS CALCULATIONS IN THE U.S.*

by

F. E. Dunn, D. J. Malloy, and D. Mohr
Argonne National Laboratory
9700 South Cass Avenue
Argonne, IL 60439

CONF-870424--4

DE87 004952

DISCLAIMER

MASTER

LMR Thermal Hydraulics Calculations in the U.S.

by

F. E. Dunn, D. J. Malloy, and D. Mohr

A wide range of thermal hydraulics computer codes have been developed by various organizations in the U.S. These codes cover an extensive range of purposes from within-assembly-wise pin temperature calculations to plant wide transient analysis. The codes are used for static analysis, for analysis of protected anticipated transients, and for analysis of a wide range of unprotected transients for the more recent inherently safe LMR designs. Some of these codes are plant-specific codes with properties of a specific plant built into them. Other codes are more general and can be applied to a number of plants or designs. These codes, and the purposes for which they have been used, will be described below.

SASSYS-1

The SASSYS-1 LMR systems analysis code was developed at Argonne National Laboratory primarily to analyze the consequences of failures in the shut-down heat removal system. However, the code is capable of handling a wide range of transients, from minor operational transients to severe accidents leading to sodium boiling and disruption of the fuel pins. The code has mainly been used for analysis of operational transients and analysis of inherent response to unprotected transients in IFR,[2] SAFR,[3] and PRISM.[4,5] The code uses a detailed multi-channel core thermal hydraulics treatment coupled with a point kinetics neutronics treatment, a general thermal hydraulics treatment for the primary and intermediate heat transport loops and shut-down heat removal systems, a steam generator treatment, and a general control system treatment. It can handle any LMR reactor configuration, pool or loop. The code has been validated by comparison with EBR-II natural circulation tests.[6]

SASSYS-1 uses a detailed multi-channel core treatment from the SAS4A code.[7] A channel usually represents a subassembly or a group of similar subassemblies. Each channel contains a fuel pin, its associated coolant, and a structure representing wrapper wires and/or a share of the duct wall. Temperatures are calculated for fuel, cladding, coolant, and structure at a number of axial nodes. A channel can represent an average pin within a subassembly or it can represent a hot pin. For the analysis of severe accidents, SASSYS-1 couples directly with the SAS4A modules

for phenomena associated with core disruption, including fuel and cladding relocation after melting or pin disruption. The coolant flow rate calculation for each channel is driven by pressure boundary conditions at the subassembly inlet and outlet, so the re-distribution of flow between subassemblies at low flow rates is automatically accounted for.

The neutronics calculations in SASSYS-1 include the feedback effects necessary to analyze inherent safety. The reactivity feedbacks calculated include Doppler, sodium density or voiding, fuel thermal expansion, fuel and cladding relocation, control rod drive expansion, vessel wall expansion, radial expansion, and bowing. Decay heat is also accounted for using a treatment with up to six decay heat precursor groups to calculate transient decay heat power levels.

SASSYS-1 uses a general thermal hydraulics treatment for the primary and intermediate heat transport loops. Liquid segments, gas segments, and compressible volumes are used to model an arbitrary arrangement of components. Liquid segments contain liquid flow elements and are characterized by incompressible flow. Elements represent pipes, pump impellers, IHX shell and tube sides, and the sodium side of a steam generator. Compressible volumes contain liquid and/or gas. They are characterized by pressure and mass. Compressible volumes represent inlet and outlet plenums, a pool, a pump bowl, or a pipe junction. Gas segments represent pipes between cover gas volumes. Gas segments are treated by isothermal gas flow.

SASSYS-1 models shut-down heat removal systems. These systems include an RVACS (Reactor Vessel Auxiliary Cooling System[4]) or RACS (Reactor Air Cooling System[3]) and a DRACS (Direct Reactor Auxiliary Cooling System[3]).

The SASSYS-1 control system uses control blocks connected together in an arbitrary manner to control pump motor torque, control rod reactivity, steam generator feedwater flow rate, and feedwater enthalpy. Almost any variable calculated by SASSYS-1 can be used as input to the control system.

SASSYS-1 can handle tasks that sometimes otherwise require coupling between two or three separate codes. For example, one code can be used for loop thermal hydraulics, a second code for core flow and coolant temperature calculations, and third code for fuel pin temperatures. SASSYS-1 directly couples all of these calculations together in a single code.

As mentioned above, even through SASSYS-1 was developed to analyze shut-down heat removal, with emphasis on natural circulation and decay heat removal, the code has actually been used for a wide range of cases. The main applications have been to operational transients and unprotected loss-of-flow, transient overpower, and loss of heat sink cases for inherently safe designs. For the unprotected accidents,

the purpose of the calculations was to determine whether inherent feedbacks are sufficient to prevent unacceptable coolant and structural temperatures without control rod action.

SASSYS-1 runs relatively fast, usually faster than real time. This speed is necessary since some of the transients resulting from unprotected accidents in inherently safe reactors can run for hours or days of accident time before temperatures peak. For long, slow transients, time step sizes in the range from one to twenty seconds can be used. Speeds of 10-30 times as fast as real time are obtained on an IBM 3033 computer. On a CRAY XMP computer, speeds greater than 300 times as fast as real time can be achieved.

NATDEMO

Although it was developed by Argonne National Laboratory in a general form to analyze either a pool or loop reactor system, the NATDEMO code[8] has thus far been used exclusively on the Experimental Breeder Reactor-II (EBR-II). This code describes the thermal, hydraulic and neutronic behavior of any LMR plant that has a basic layout similar to that of EBR-II. The primary, secondary and steam systems are described, although the condenser and feedwater train have not yet been included in the simulation model. The primary system model was developed independently of the balance of plant (BOP) model. The BOP simulation was taken from the DEMO-IV code, by adapting the secondary and steam system models to interface at the IHX.

Some improvements were made to the BOP model from DEMO-IV, notably the heat capacity/transport description of the secondary system piping, before incorporating it into NATDEMO. The code also includes a large number of plant control systems, but does not include phase changes of coolant or fuel, or component ruptures leading to loss of coolant accidents. The code can easily initialize all variables to any desired state that can be defined in terms of plant variables including reactor power, primary flow rate, reactor inlet temperature, turbine inlet pressure, turbine/bypass mode, and feedwater temperature.

Important features of the primary system model include detailed descriptions of power generation, parallel-channel thermal-hydraulics with buoyancy, detailed reactivity feedback, reactor inlet and outlet piping, the IHX, the main primary pumps, the auxiliary pump, and the primary sodium pool. The superheaters, evaporators, the secondary EM pump, and the hundreds of meters of secondary sodium piping are included in the secondary system model. The steam system portion of NATDEMO includes a thermal-equilibrium drum model, the hydraulics for the natural

convection recirculation flow through the evaporators, the steam pressure control system, the steamline and turbine, and the turbine bypass line to the condenser.

Because DEMO-IV was originally written for the CDC-7600 computer, the DEMO module was converted to the IBM-370 in double precision to approximate its computational accuracy with the CDC machine. In the overall NATDEMO code, the primary system module contains the main and controlling portion of the program, while the BOP module is treated as a dynamic subprogram. A special subroutine was developed to insure proper time sequencing of the two schemes and to allow both modules to vary their computational step sizes independently during the solution. A typical problem on the IBM-3033 runs at 1.5-3.0 x real time, depending on the choice of options and convergence requirements.

Experimental data obtained since the original NATDEMO model was produced have validated the basic code and several improvements made later for a large variety of LOF/Scram, LOFWS, and LOHSWS transients. Of particular importance in recent EBR-II tests and validation studies[9] has been the reactivity feedback model which describes nine effects, including subassembly bowing, grid expansion and control rod bank expansion.

DEMO-IV

The DEMO-IV code[10] is the latest published version of the DEMO series to perform transient analyses of the Clinch River Breeder Reactor Plant (CRBRP). Although it was written specifically for CRBRP, an improved version has also been used--after some modification-to verify other code predictions of the natural circulation performance (following a scram) of the Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF). The DEMO-IV code contains sufficient detail to handle typical CRBRP operational transients and failure events; it is written in FORTRAN IV for the CDC-7600.

The DEMO program normally represents two plant loops. One program loop ("S-loop") represents a single plant loop used to model single-loop perturbations. The remaining two plant loops (or one for two loop operation) are represented by the remaining program loop ("L-loop") in a lumped fashion. For special cases, hydraulics (only) for the third loop can be represented.

The reactor model provides average channel representations in the core and radial blanket. Separate axial blanket sections are modeled. In the published DEMO-IV version, core radial blanket, and bypass flow split assume a fixed ratio. The reactor core model in DEMO provides only for single-phase liquid sodium flow. The program calculates the sodium saturation temperature at the active core exit to allow the user to determine when two-phase conditions are reached. An upper plenum

stratification model is provided for those cases in which a rapid decrease in flow occurs along with a decrease in core exit temperature. This model assumes that the upper plenum fills from the bottom with the colder sodium after the core exit jet height decays.

The DEMO steam generator model provides heat transfer based on subcooled, boiling or superheat conditions. Perfect separation is assumed for fluid leaving the drum. Feedwater mixing takes place in a separate node just below the drum. This node thus represents the mixing zone as if it is a small mixed volume at the drum bottom. The steam generator model represents mass flow as the same through all nodes of a module model. Node-to-node fluid expansion; however, can be modeled in a special, more elaborate subroutine (S-loop only) to provide more accuracy for cases such as steam pipe ruptures. The recirculation loop contains a pump model but it can also handle purely natural convection flow to the steam drum.

Several improvements were made to the code after the DEMO-IV version was published. As mentioned above, one modification/option was a DHX (substituted for the steam system) to allow the FFTF BOP to be simulated. Other improvements include:

- Heat capacity and heat transfer in secondary piping
- Flow redistribution in the reactor due to channel buoyancy and pressure dop characteristics
- Detailed homologous pump models
- Initializer to calculate any desired steady state condition
- Feedwater train in the BOP
- Cover gas systems in the primary system, and
- Non-equilibrium drum model option for transients with rapid pressure changes

A description of these modifications can be found elsewhere.[11]

DSNP

The Dynamic Simulation for Nuclear Power Plants (DSNP) is a system[12] of programs and data sets by which a nuclear power plant or part thereof can be simulated at different levels of sophistication. The acronym DSNP is used interchangeable for the DSNP language, for the DSNP precompiler, for the DSNP libraries, and for the DSNP document generator. The DSNP language is a set of simple block oriented statements, which together with the appropriate data, comprise a simulation of a nuclear power plant. The majority of the DSNP statements will

result in the inclusion of a simulated physical module into the program. The DSNP precompliler is a FORTRAN program that will interpret the DSNP statements, rearrange the appropriate data sets, search the libraries for the requested modules, and produce an executable FORTRAN program--the plant simulation. The DSNP Libraries include models of most of the components found in nuclear power plants. There are three libraries of modules each having a different level of sophistication. A level-four library is also available to include user supplied modules.

A powerful feature of DSNP is a flexibility available to the user in arranging the configuration of components describing a reactor system. Each module or block used represents a component of the system. Combination of blocks allows the components to be assembled to form a connected system. Variables and definitions used in modeling each block are combined by the DSNP precomplier program. The modeler may rapidly build a system from the models available in DSNP by defining a small number of variables required for the input in each block and by equivalencing the variables used in connected blocks. Therefore, DSNP is a flexible user-oriented simulation language used to model neutronics and thermal-hydraulic effects in reactor system.

The DSNP package can be used on several computer systems, including the IBM-370, APOLLO and DEC/VAX (both the VMX and UNIX operating systems). Efforts are also underway to convert it to the CDC machines.

Although the code has been used worldwide to simulate LWR and LMR reactor systems (as well as non-nuclear systems), most of its use in the USA has been at Argonne National Laboratory. Here the code has principally been used to analyze EBR-II and the Argonne Large Pool Conceptual LMR Design. These analyses have included primary flow and inlet temperature transients, pump coastdown transients, and rod drop transients--all at power conditions. Because DSNP has successfully predicted the response to the above reactor tests, a large number of the available libraries have been validated with EBR-II measured data.

SSC-L

The SSC-L code[13] was developed by Brookhaven National Laboratory for analyzing LMR operational transients. The code has the flexibility to analyze any LMR loop-type plant; and a pool version, SSL-P, has been under development. SSC-L uses a detailed multi-channel core treatment coupled with a thermal hydraulics treatment for the primary and intermediate heat transport loops and the steam generators.

MINET

The MINET (Momentum Integral Network) code[14] has been developed by Brookhaven National Laboratory for transient analysis of flow and heat transfer networks. It is mainly used for analyzing the balance of plant in a power generating facility. MINET can be coupled to SSC to extend the SSC analysis to the balance of plant beyond the steam generator. MINET has been validated with data from the EBR-II intermediate loop and steam generator system.

IANUS, ARIES, and TAP

Three other one-dimensional systems codes that have been used extensively are the IANUS code,[15] a plant specific code used by the FFTF project, the ARIES-P code[16] used by General Electric, and TAP[17], utilized by Rockwell International.

COMMIX-1A

The COMMIX-1A code[18], developed at Argonne National Laboratory, is a general purpose porous-medium three-dimensional, transient thermal hydraulics code. It's original objective was to analyze component mixing problems, such as pipe or plenum flow, with some applications to rodded bundle analysis. More recently, the code has been expanded to include models which allow it to calculate the entire within-vessel flow and temperature fields of a pool type LMR. While such calculations are expensive, they are the only way to address the inherently three-dimensional effects such as are found in pool LMR calculations. Therefore, the COMMIX analysis complements that of the cheaper one-dimensional codes, e.g. SASSYS. The COMMIX-1A version has been the major calculational tool to date and is single phase only: there also exists a two-phase version (COMMIX-2). The 1A version has recently been enhanced to include radiative heat transfer. This code is designed to accommodate forced and natural circulation calculations and uses an effective viscosity turbulence model which provides a simplified but reasonably adequate approximation of turbulent transport phenomena. The standard governing equations of conservation of mass, energy and momentum are solved as an initial value problem in time and as a boundary value problem in space.

The solution of the system of equations is based upon the replacement of an explicit flow path representation by continuum or quasi-continuum geometries. The continuum methodology is designed for application with components such as piping or inlet plenums; the quasi-continuum description is required for such components as rod bundles or heat exchangers and utilizes a "porous-body" model. In the quasi-continuum formulation, the presence of solid objects within the flow field has two

effects. The first is to reduce the flow area, thus affecting flow velocities and related quantities. The second effect is that the solid objects alter the energy and momentum transfer. The former effect is modeled by inclusion of the proper volume porosity and surface permeabilities. The latter is taken into account by allowing the region to contain a distributed heat source/sink for heat transfer and/or a distributed resistance for momentum transfer. The distributed resistance is an effective means by which to achieve the desired pressure drop across the region of interest, e.g., the core assemblies.

The quasi-continuum calculation, therefore, is predicated upon the assumption that a real system containing solid objects can be replaced by a "porous-body" calculational model having uniformly distributed solid objects such that the system and the model both have: (1) the same volumetric porosities, (2) the same surface permeabilities, and (3) the same interactions between fluid and solid surfaces – i.e., the same rates of heat and momentum transfer. The calculational model and the physical system are therefore rendered thermal-hydraulically equivalent. The application of the quasi-continuum methodology to the vessel internals of a pool-type LMFBR thus transforms an almost insurmountable calculational problem into a manageable state. The vast amount of detailed modeling, which normally would be required in order to sufficiently describe the flow paths, is replaced with a less cumbersome yet adequate model.

This code has been used to model the steady-state and transient thermal-hydraulic response of EBR-II, FFTF, and PFR, including natural circulation decay heat removal, with good agreement with measured data (see, e.g., Refs. 19 through 23). In addition, numerous validation studies have been conducted involving special purpose laboratory experiments modeling IHXs, piping systems, fluid plena, and related geometries with generally good results.

TEMPEST

A code which addresses similar problems as COMMIX-1A, is TEMPEST[24] which also has three-dimensional transient capability. TEMPEST was developed at Pacific Northwest Laboratory. TEMPEST is a transient, three-dimensional, hydrothermal computer program which solves the full three-dimensional, time-dependent equations of motion, continuity, and heat transport for either laminar or turbulent fluid flow, including heat diffusion and generation in both solid and liquid materials. The equations governing mass, momentum, and energy conservation for incompressible flows with small density variations (i.e. Boussinesq approximation) are solved using finite-different techniques. Turbulence is treated using a two-equation k-model.

The finite-difference approach for the fluid-flow solution is based on a semi-implicit procedure whereby the momentum equations are solved explicitly, and the continuity/pressure solution is obtained implicitly.

COBRA

The family of COBRA codes has been developed to rigorously analyze thermal-hydraulic conditions within a fuel rod bundle. The space between adjacent fuel rods (3 rods in triangular and 4 rods in square pitch lattices) is identified as a coolant subchannel; adjacent subchannels exchange mass and energy along their axial length. The solution of the energy equation in the fuel rod, which includes a spatially dependent heat source and conduction, is coupled to the solution for the fluid flow and temperature fields in the surrounding coolant subchannels. The effects of a spiraling wire wrap fuel rod spacer may be explicitly modeled. The COBRA-WC[25,26] code version was developed from COBRA-IV-I[27]; it allows a coupled analysis of multiple assemblies to be performed for steady-state and transient situations which cover forced and natural circulation conditions in single-phase flow.

SUPERENERGY-2

The ENERGY[28] series of codes, culminating in SUPERENERGY-2[29], also provides steady state subchannel flow and temperature estimates within the assemblies. In contrast with a more rigorous COBRA subchannel type solution, the ENERGY formulation is very cheap to run and thus provides an ideal design code: for this reason it has become the most widely used with-in assembly design tool over the past several years. SUPERENERGY-2 provides this excellent design capability due to the fact that the intra-assembly thermal hydraulic behavior is approximated expediently via two approximations: first, the rod bundle is divided into two distinct flow regimes - central and wall regions. Second, each region treats its rod bundle as a porous body continuum. An enhanced eddy diffusivity accounts for the thermal mixing between subchannels due to the wire wrap. A second parameter, the swirl flow parameter in the bundle periphery, is the ratio of the transverse swirl velocity to the axial velocity in the region. Both of these parameters are empirically determined as functions of the bundle geometry and Reynolds number. This model allows whole core calculations on the subchannel level to be accomplished in minutes, rather than hours of CPU time. These codes were developed at MIT by N. E. Todreas and others, and have been verified versus FFTF transients and laboratory experiments.

FORE-2M/COBRA-WC/DEMO

Westinghouse design methodology employs a sequence of three codes. The one-dimensional transient plant wide analysis is done via DEMO[10], as described earlier. Whole core analysis is accomplished with COBRA-WC[25] (also described above), which models the subassemblies of interest at a level which achieves the detail which is desired at the time. For example, the assembly calculation can model each pin explicitly or, more typically, utilize a lumped sub-region model. However, it should be noted that calculations are extremely expensive in the more detailed mode. Given the COBRA-WC assembly flowrates and inter/intra assembly heat transfer effects, FORE-2M code, which is a variant of FORE-II[30], then provides the detailed pin and subchannel temperature calculation, as well as the hot channel pin analysis.

CONCLUSIONS

Various organizations in the U.S. have developed a wide range of computer codes. Within-assembly-wise coolant and pin temperature calculations are done by COBRA, FORE-II, SUPERENERGY-2, and to some extent by SASSYS-1 and COMMIX-1A. Plant-wide transient analysis is done by SASSYS-1, NATDEMO, DEMO, IANUS, SSC-L, DSNP, AIRES-P, TAP, and to some extent by COMMIX-1A. Multi-dimensional analysis of individual components is done by COMMIX-1A and TEMPEST. Some codes are plant specific: NATDEMO for EBR-II, IANUS for FFTF, DEMO for CRBR, TAP for SAFR, and AIRES-P for PRISM. Other codes have been applied more generally: SASSYS-1 for any LMR, SSC-L for any loop-type plant, COMMIX-1A, TEMPEST, COBRA, SUPERENERGY-2 and DSNP.

REFERENCES

1. F. E. Dunn, F. G. Prohammer, and D. P. Weber, "The SASSYS-1 LMFBR Systems Analysis Code," Proc. Intl. Topical Meeting on Fast Reactor Safety, CONF-850410, Knoxville, TN, pp. 999-1006, April 1985.

2. J. E. Cahalan, R. H. Sevy, and S. F. Su, "Accommodation of Unprotected Accidents by Inherent Safety Design Features in Metallic and Oxide-Fuelled LMFBRs," Proc. Intl. Topical Meeting on Fast Reactor Safety, CONF-850410, Knoxville, TN, pp. 29-33, April 1985.

3. R. T. Lancet and J. F. Marchaterre, "Inherent Safety of the SAFR Plant", Proc. Intl. Topical Meeting on Fast Reactor Safety, CONF-850410, Knoxville, TN, pp. 43-49, April 1985.

4. E? L. Gluckler et al., "Safety Characteristics of a Small Modular Reactor," Proc. Intl. Topical Meeting on Fast Reactor Safety, CONF-850410, Knoxville, TN, pp. 69-73, April 1985.

5. E. E. Morris, S. K. Rhow, and D. M. Switick "Scoping Systems Analysis of a 350 MWt Modular Liquid Metal Cooled Reactor," Proc. Intl. Topical Meeting on Fast Reactor Safety, CONF-850410, Knoxville, TN, pp. 75-81, April 1985.

6. D. K. Warinner and F. E. Dunn, "SASSYS-1 Computer Code Verification with EBR-II Test Data," Proc. Intl. Topical Meeting on Fast Reactor Safety, CONF-850410, Knoxville, TN, pp. 1007-1014, April 1985.

7. A. M. Tentner, et al., "The SAS4A LMFBR Whole Core Accident Analysis Code," Proc. Intl. Topical Meeting on Fast Reactor Safety, CONF-8G0410, Knoxville, TN, pp. 898-997, April 1985.

8. D. Mohr and E. E. Feldman, "A dynamic Simulation of the EBR-II Plant with the NATDEMO Code," Decay Heat Removal and Natural Circulation in Fast Breeder Reactors, eds. A. Agrawal and J. Guppy, Hemisphere Publishing Co., Washington, D.C., 207-224, 1981.

9. H. P. Planchon et al., "The EBR-II Inherent Shutdown and Heat Removal Tests-- Results and Analysis," Nuclear Engineering and Design, 91, 287-296, 1986.

10. LMFBR Demonstration Plant Simulation Model "DEMO", Westinghouse Advanced Reactor Division Report WARD-D-0005, Revision 5, 1977.

11. A. Cheung et al., "Prediction of Natural Circulation Tests in FFTF," ASME Paper No. 82-NE-25, 1982.

12. D. Saphier, "The Simulation Language of DSNP," ANL-CT-77-20, Rev. 02, Argonne National Laboratory, 1978.

13. A. K. Agrawal, "An Advanced Thermohydraulic Simulation Code for Transients in LMFBRs (SSC-L Code)," BNL-NUREG-30773, February 1978.

14. G. J. Van tuyle, "MINET Validation Study Using EBR-II Test Data," Brookhaven National Laboratory Report, BNL-NUREG-51733, November 1983.

15. S. L. Addition, et al., "Simulation of the Overall FFTF Plant Performance," HEDL-TL-556, 1976.

16. Frank Halfen, General Electric Corporation, Personal Communication, September 2, 1986.

17. A. V. von Arx, "Thermal Analyzer Program (TAP) Description and User's Manual," no36cpm 62 0006, Rev. A, Rockwell International, Canoga Park, California, May 14, 1981.

18. H. M. Domanus, R. E. Schmitt, W. T. Sha, and V. L. Shah, "COMMIX-1A: A Three-Dimensional Transient Single-Phase Computer Program for Thermal Hydraulic Analysis of Single and Multi-component Systems" NUREG/CR-2896, 1983.

19. S. P. Vanka, H. M. Domanus, and W. T. Sha, COMMIX-1A: Three-Dimensional In-Vessel Simulation of the FFTF Thermal Hydraulics, ANL-CT-82-1, NUREG/CR-2535, January 1982

20. S. P. Vanka, H. M. Domanus, and W. T. Sha, COMMIX-1A Three-Dimensional In-Vessel Simulation of the FFTF Transient Thermal Hydraulics, ANL-CT-82-14, NUREG/CR-2773, May 1982.

21. S. P. Vanka, H. M. Domanus, and W. T. Sha, Steady State Simulation of the FFTF In-Vessel Thermal Hydraulics, Trans. Am. Nucl. Soc., 41, pp. 703-706, June 1982.

22. W. L. Baumann, H. M. Domanus, D. Mohr, W. T. Sha, R. C. Schmitt, and J. E. Sullivan, EBR-II In-Vessel Natural Circulation Analysis, ANL-82-66, NUREG/CR-2821, September 1982.

23. W. L. Baumann, J. M. Domanus, and W. T. Sha, EBR-II In-Vessel Thermal-Hydraulic Transient Simulation using COMMIX-1A Computer Code, Trans. Am. Nucl. Soc., Vol. 43, pp. 499-501, November 1982.

24. L. L. Eyler and D. S. Trent, "Pressurized Thermal Shock: TEMPEST Computer Code Simulation of Thermal Mixing in the Cold Leg and Downcomer of a Pressurized Water Reactor, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, PNL-4909 or NUREG/CR-3564, April 1984.

25. T. L. George, et al., "A Version of COBRA for Single-Phase Multi-Assembly Thermal Hydraulic Transient Analysis," Pacific Northwest Laboratory, PNL-3259, July 1980.

26. T. L. George, R. E. Masterson, and K. L. Basehore, "A Modified Version of COBRA for Whole-Core LMFBR Transient Analysis," Transactions of the American Nuclear Society, 32, pp. 531-532, Altanta, Georgia, June 1979.

27. C. L. Wheeler and C. W. Stewart, et al., "COBRA-IV-I: An Interim Version of COBRA for Thermal-Hydraulic Analysis of Rod Bundle Nuclear Fuel Elements and Cores," BNWL-1962, Battelle-Pacific Northwest Labs., March 1976.

28. E. U. Khan, W. M. Rohsenow, A. A. Sonin, and N. E. Todreas, "Manual for ENERGY I, II, III Computer Programs," Massachusetts Institute of Technology, COO-2245-18TR, May 1975.

29. K. L. Basehore and N. E. Todreas, "SUPERENERGY-2: A Multiassembly Steady-State Computer Code for LMFBR Core Thermal Hydraulics Analysis," Pacific Northwest Laboratory, PNL-3379, (or MIT, COO-2245-57TR), August 1980.

30. J. N. Fox, B. E. Lawler, and H. R. Butz, "FORE-II, A Computational Program for the Analysis of Steady State and Transient Reactor Performance," GEAP-5273, September 1966.