$SLAC-PIB--4367$

 \mathbf{I}

DE88 000705

THE SLAC LINEAR COLLIDER - A STATUS REPORT^{*}

Hurton RICHTER and Rae STIENING

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we report on the status of the SLAC Linear Collider (SLC), the first of a new kind of colliding-beam device. We expect this new technique to allow the extension of electron-positron colliding-beam studies beyond the energies that can be achieved in a cost-effective manner by the use of colliding-beam storage rings. It has been recognised for some time that the extension of the storage ring technique to energies higher than a few hundred GeV is very difficult because of the rapid increase of energy loss from synchrotron radiation in the bending magnets of such machines. The synchrotron radiation losses result In a scaling law where the cost and size of electron storage rings increases as the square of the center-of-mass energy.

In addition to its role as a test vehicle for the linear collider principle, the SLC is designed to do important experiments in high energy physics through operation at an energy equivalent to the 3° mass, and thus to be a copious source of these particles. The small size of the SLC collision region and the potential for colliding polarized beams give experimental opportunities that will probably not be available elsewhere.

The project received its first funding in October of 1983, and construction was completed in March of 1987 at a cost of about \$115 million. Since the completion of construction we have been engaged in commissioning the machine to bring it to a performance level sufficient to start the high energy physics research program that we wish to carry out in parallel with the accelerator studies required to fully understand the problems associated with this new kind of facility. We have somewhat arbitrarily set the performance level at which we will begin the physics program at a luminosity that yields about 15 Z^e s per day $(10^{-9}$ of full design luminosity).

Table 1 shows a brief summary of progress to date giving some of the critical design parameters, the values of these parameters required to reach the goal for initial physics

[.] Work supported by the Department of Energy, contract DE-AC03-76SF00515.

Invited talk presented by B. Richter at the 1987 International Symponium on Lepton and Photon Interactions at High Energies, Hamburg, Germany, July 27-31, 1987

experiments, and present status. In the rest of this paper we will discuss the major subsystems and their performance and problems. We also discuss the initial physics program and some enhancements to the facility which are underway to improve the machine as a high energy physics research tool.

The accomplishments reported on in this paper are the results of the efforts of a large number of individuals. No attempt has been made herein to refer to all of them or cite all of the published documents. Details on most of these systems are reported on fully in the proceedings of the U.S. Accelerator Conference held in Washington, D.C. in April of 1987 (to be published).

		Design Goal Initial Goal	Achieved	Units
Beam Energy at IP	Ю	46.	46.	GeV
Beam Energy at End of Linac	51	47	53.	GeV
Electrons at Entrance of Arcs	7×10^{10}	10^{10}	7.5×10^{10}	
Positrons at Entrance of Arcs	7×10^{10}	10^{10}	0.3×10^{10}	
Repetition Rato	180	60	6	Hк
Bunch Length σ_s in Linac	1.5	1.5	1.5°	mm
Normalized Trans- verse Emittance at End of Linac (Electrons)	3×10^{-5}	10×10^{-1}	3.20×10^{-5}	mrad
Spot Radius at IP	1.6	2.6		Microns
Luminosity	0×10^{30}	6×10^{37}		cm ⁻² sec ⁻¹

Tabia 1. Basic Parameter Specifications

トイ \mathbf{I} τV τÎ, σ دب

***Bunch length increases with current. At** 1.2×10^{10} **/bunch the bunch** length is 1.5 mm in the linac.

2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

 \sim 1

An overall layout of the SLC is shown in Fig. 1. Two 20 cm long bunches of electrons are emitted from a gated thermionic gun and accelerated to 160 keV. The bunches pass through two 178 MHz RF cavities and drift spaces and are compressed in length. Final compression is accomplished in a section of 2.8 GHz cavities. After passing through these cavities the

 \overline{z}

BISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

 100000

Figure 1

bunches have been compressed to an rms length of about 2 mm each. The short bunches are accelerated in a linear accelerator to 200 MeV. At this point the two electron bunches are joined by a 200 MeV positron bunch and all three bunches are accelerated to 1.2 GeV. A splitter magnet deflects the electron bunches into a storage ring where their transverse emittance is damped by synchrotron radiation. The positron bunch is deflected into a different storage ring where its transverse emittance is also damped. After a time interval

of 6.5 ma or longer* depending on the accelerator repetition rate, the positron bunch ia extracted from the poeltran atoraga img. Approximately sixty nanoseconds later the Erst of the two electron bundle* in the electron storage rtng la extracted. Sixty nanoseconds later the aecond election bunch is also extracted.

The beam transport lines between the storage ringa and the accelerator are provided will aectlona of 3.8 GHi accelerator which are used to introduce a correlation between the energy of the particles in the bunches and the positions of the particles along the bunrh. This correlation, in combination with the non-isochronous beam transport, causes **the length of the bunches to be compreaeed from the equilibrium value In the storage rings (D.8 cm) to the length needed for acceleration in the linear accelerator (I.G mm).**

The positron bunch and the first electron bunch which follows it in the linac are accelerated to 51 GeV (for 100 GeV at the collision point). The two bunches are separated at the high anergy end 'if the accelerator and travel through beam transports which bring them teto collision at the Interaction point. After interacting, the bunches are deflected into beam dumps

The second electron bunch accelerated In the linac la extracted at the 3/3 point of the liiuc, at an energy of 33 GeV, In order to produce positrons. The poiltronn are accelerated to 300 MeV and are returned to the Injector end or the accelerator.

3. STATUS OF COMMISSIONING OF THE MAJOR SLC SYSTEMS

Electron source ana* *1.2* **GeV** *linear* **accelerator booster:**

The design goal for the electron injector is to provide two bunches of electrons with **a population of** 7×10^{10} **exch and a momentum spread of less than** $\pm 1\%$ **for injection at 1.3 GeV Into the electron storage ring. The design goal for the transverse omittance of** the bunches from the gun depends on the repetition rate of the linac. A lower repetition **rate permits a longer time for synchrotron radiation damping in the storage ring. The** $\bf s$ pecliication for 180 H $\bf s$ operation is a maximum transverse emittance of 30 \times 10⁻⁸ mrad **while the specification for 120 Hs operation Is 180** *x* **I0~^B mrad.**

In tha recent commissioning period bunch populations of 6 x 10¹ ⁰ have been present at 40 MeV and B x 10¹ ⁰ at 1.3 GeV at the injection septum of the electron storage ring. The energy spread and transverse emittance specifications have been met without difficulty under this operating condition. Up to now the commissioning has been conducted with tha acceleration of a single bunch of electrons. When a faster rise time extraction kicker

The many states of Minimum of

Is totalled in the electron etorage ring It will be possible to work with two bunches of electroni. •

Storage Rings:

Two identical mull storage rings are wed in the SLC design to damp the transverse emittance of positrons or electrons to meet the SLC invariant emittance specification of **3J> x I0~* mrad. The commissioning of rlngt hss bean completed. Figure 3 •bowi a measurement of the tiantvene emittance of the extracted electron beam ae a (unction of storage time. At the present time the 8LC cannot operate at a repetition rate greater than 130 Hs (8.S me etorage time) because of power limitations on the llnac modulators.** The storage rings easily meet the SLC specification for emittance at 120 Hs. To meet the **8LC specification at 160 Hi It will be necessary to couple vertical and horlsontal betatron motion to decrease the hortsonta] emlttaoce.**

Fig. 3. Invariant beam emlttanca vs. time after Injection Into the SLC damping rings. The design value b 3 X 10~* mrad.

The specification on the equilibrium rms bunch length in the storage rings is 6 mm. **The bunch compression system between the rings and the llnac wae designed to allow the** compression of a 6 mm bunch to as little as 0.5 mm. Figure 3 shows a measurement **of the bunch length of the beam extracted from the electron ring w. the charge per bunch. The increase with current of the bunch length was not anticipated in the design of the compression system. Because the storage ring bending magnets operate at a field of 3 Tesla an objective of the initial design was to make the site of the vacuum chamber as smalt as possible. Recent calculations have indicated that the longitudinal impedance oT the vacuum chamber is too large. There are many transitions where the shspe of the vacuum chamber changes. Although an attempt was made in the original design to smooth these transitions, recent calculations show that the impedance reduction that was achieved was Insufficient. Until the rings and compression system are modified it will not be possible to reach the 8LC design luminosity. Modifications to the compression system will be made** in the fall of 1987 which should allow $\geq 3 \times 10^{10}$ particles per bunch to be compressed. **Full current opt' stlon may require further modifications.**

Fig. 3. Bunch length vc. charge per bunch in the damping ring.

The SLC specification calls for the simultaneous injection and extraction of two elec**tron bunches separated by about half a ring circumference. The difliculty of constructing sufficiently fast injection and extraction kickers was not appreciated at the time the rings ware designed. The space allowed for the kicker magnets turr^d out to be too small for magnets that could be constructed easily and the first kick.** Lesign did not meet the **required specifications. A new kicker system has been designed and the first example constructed has been installed as the injection ki< ker. Two bunches with a population greater**

fl

than 1 x 10¹⁰ electrone each have been injected into the ring. A licker suitable for extraction is being constructed mow. Untilithie kicker is installed and successfully commissioned, the SLC will have to operate in a mode in which only one bunch of electrons is present in the storage ring at any time. In this mode, collisions at the interaction point will occur on every other linae pulse.

50 GeV Linear Accelerator:

In order to achieve the energy gradient required for SLC operation it was necessary to design and product a new higher power kirstron. This kivstron is known as the 5045 and it replaces the previously installed XK5 klystrons. This new klystron operates with a peak power output of about 67 MW and a pulse length of 3.5 μ . The original specification of the new klystron was 50 MW and 5.0 us. Midway along in the installation of new kiyatrons it was found that the new kiyatron had a better energy efficiency when operated at a higher peak power and a shorter pulse length. All of the klystrons that were not yet installed were provided with pulse transformers with a higher step-up ratio in order to accommodate the new operating condition.

The principal difficulties which were encountered in the production of the new klystron were window breakage, cathode gas emission, and microwave instability. Despite a considerable effort, no single window solution was found. The output power from the klystron is now split in half and directed through two windows and then is recombined. Window breakage is now a very infrequent event. The emission of gas from the cathodas has been eliminated by altering the cathode manufacturing procedures. Finally, a beam breakup instability has been improved by small changes in the kiystron cavities. The present yield for producing a satisfactory kiyatron from parts in the SLAC factory is greater than 75%.

The linac is now equipped with 200 of the new klystrons and 44 of the old klystrons. The average energy gain provided by 40 feet of accelerating structure powered by one of the new klystrons is 240 MeV. Over one million operating hours have been accumulated, mostly at a repetition rate of 10 Hs. The cathode lifetime is now projected to be greater than 40,000 hours and the mean time between fallures is now about 18,000 hours and is rising.

Space charge forces within the accelerating atructure are very large. In order to keep transverse wake fields from displacing the phase space of the trailing part of the bunch from the phase space of the head of the bunch, strong focusing and accurate beam centering within the linac irises is necessary. The SLC design calls for an rms beam centering error

of 100 microns or less at full current. Typical recent operation is with an rms error of 200 **mtcrom.**

Figure 4 Is the profile of the electron beam at 47 GeV, The profile has a small tail caused by wake fields and a residval shape from mismatch from compression in the transfer line between the storage rⁱng and the linac. Figure 5 shows a profith when the beam in the linac is not carefully centered in the irises. The tails contain a larger proportion of the **charge than when the beam la centered In the ir1r».**

Fig. *4.* Beam profile at the end or the llnac for a well steered bunch. The horizontal beam size is about 2SO microns (FWHM).

Fig. 5. Beam profile for a poorly steered hunched. The long tails are produced by the transverse wake field.

Table 3 shows a summary of apparent invariant omittance measurements made at **Ysrkrns distances along** the linac. The vertical emlttsnce meets the SLC design specification

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents
that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any spe-
cific commercial product, process, or service by trad turer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recom-
mendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof.
The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not n **reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.**

0/3x10"' mrad at bunch populations up to 1 x 101 0 . The horisontalemltUnca fa somewhat larger. It is believed that this difference results from residual dispersion present at the injection point in the linac where the horisontally-bending beam transport between the **itotage ring and the llnae are Joined.**

Table 3. Summary of Transverse Emlttance Measurements

At bunch populations below 1 x 10¹ ⁰ It is routinely possible to maintain a total energy spread In the beam of less than 0.4%. When larger populations are accelerated, the energy spread increases because of the bunch lengthening in the storage ring. It is intended to **maintain the energy and energy spread of the llnac beams constant by tha use of feedback** systems. The rms energy litter is typically less than 0.13% with the feedback system **operating.**

Positron Source:

A schematic diagram of the SLC positron production system fa shown in Fig. A, Aboat 3.4 positrons axe present In the momentum-analysed 300 MeV return line beam for every electron Incident on the position production target- About one positron per electron la present at the damping ring Injection septum which should give about 0 J reinjected Into the llnac.

The presently Installed positron source hardware Is not operating at the design specification. In particular, the solenoidal focusing throughout the system is operating at from **0.6 to 0.75 of the design values. Furthermore, the accelerating gradient In the section** where the positrons are captured into RF buckets is operating at 0.5 of the design value. Finally, the channel in the septum where the electrons are extracted from the linac con**tains a partially collapsed vacuum chamber. All of the components that are not operating**

o

 \sim 100 μ

Fig. 6. The positron production system of the SLC (not to scale).

according to specification will be replaced in the fall. When these changes are made, it is expected that the positron yield will double.

4. BEAM TRANSPORT BETWEEN THE LINAC AND THE FINAL FOCUS

A very high gradient beam transport system $(n = 32824)$ is used between the linac and the final focus. Strong gradients are used to suppress the emittance growth caused by quantum fluctuations in the emission of synchrotron radiation. Figure 7 shows a schematic cross section of the beam transport magnets. Because the gradients are high, it was necessary to survey the magnets into place with 100 micron accuracy. The survey task was complicated by the fact that the high gradient transport is not all in one plane. It is steeply contoured to follow the slope of the site on which the SLC is constructed.

Fig. 7. Cross section of the high gradient bending magnets of the SLC arc showing physical size and magnetic flux distribution.

X)

 \mathbf{I} \mathbf{II}

Ķ

ïЩ

過少期

Beam trajectory correction b accomplished with « system of beam position monltora and mechanical magnet movers. An electron team bu been transported from the- end of the llnac to the final fotua, It b relatively simple to correct the trajectory In the arc to en rms error of about 300 microns.

Teats have shown a high sensitivity to systematic phase shift errors in the arc. The problem occurs because of the "terrain following" nature of the transport line which is **broken into** *14* **achromatic aectlona, each compoeed of 10 F-D celb and designed to hare a total phase ahlft of 3 x 3* per achramat. The beam b made to follow the terrain by rolling an achromat with raapect to the preceding one. To llluatrata the problem, consider the** example of a simple change in level of the beam. To accomplish this an achromat is rolled **by an angle * with respect to the preceding (level) one, and the next b rolled by ea angle** $-\theta$. The first roll introduces a horizontal - vertical coupling and the second roll cancels this coupling if the phase shift between rolls is an intiger times 2s. If the phase shift is not correct a coupling remains and a mismatch in the β function occurs. The cumulative **phue error In the BLC arc waa, Initially, beyond tolerance. It haa bean corrected by a** combination of magnet poaltion ahifts and correction colls.

5. FINAt FOCUS

The final foe lit optical system b dealgned to produce a chromatically corrected 1.7 mrad beam apot at the Interaction point. When the momentum of tha Incident baam b within $\pm0.5\%$ of the design value, the optical system produces a beta value at the interaction point **of 0,76 cm. The final focus ayatem basically consists of four main aubsyilema — flrat, a matching section to adjust the optica] properties at the ends of the arcs to those required** for the final sections; sacond, a demagnifying section; third, a chromatic correction section; **and fourth, a Bnel demagnlDer.**

A 7 micron diameter movable wire has been Installed at the Interaction point to measria the beam profile. When beam profiles that are smaller than the wire diameter have bean achieved tha 7 micron wire will be replaced with a *4* **micron wire. After the optical ekmenta have been adjusted to produce a small beam spot, tha beams will be brought into collision** through the use of a precision beam position monitor at the interaction point. This monitor **has sufficient accuracy to bring the beams to within 10 microns of each other. When the beams are separated by less than 40 microns the steering effect of ona beam on the other can be detected with other beam position monitors. The steering affect, which vanishes when the beams collide head on can be vised to center the beams with an accuracy of better than one quarter of their transverse dimension. Finally, detectors have been constructed**

to observe synchrotron radiation emitted during the collisions. The synchrotron radiation strength will be used to reduce the spot size below that which can be resolved with the 4 **micron wire.**

Electron and positron beams were simultaneously sent to the colliiion point for the first time near the end of March 1987, and the first beam-beam collisions occurred on **March 27th. Since that time we have returned to working with a single beam to properly** tune up the system. The minimum beam size measured at the collision point is 4.6×7.5 **micron*. Flgurs 8 ihowi a horlsontal scan of the beam with the 7 micron wire. Unfolding** the measure site of the scan and the wire size yields a 4.5 micron rms horizontal size. A **reduction in size to the design value requires the completion of the arc correction program** and the proper tune up of the final focus system.

Fig. 8. A scan of the beam at the SLC colli**sion point with the 7 micron diameter wire. An** unfold of the wire size contribution give an rms **beam site of 4.6 microns.**

«. EXPERIMENTAL PHYSICS PROGRAM

The Mark II detector stands completed and is running on cosmic rays outside of the **SLC collution region.** The detector was upgraded from the configuration in which it was **used at PEP by the replacement of the old main drift chamber with a new drift chamber** with many more layers of wires to improve tracking and with de/dx capability to allow *wjc* **separation; the replacement of the old tlme-of-flight scintillators with new thicker scintillators; the addition of new end cap electron calorimeters with a measured resolution** $(\sigma_B/\sqrt{E}) = 17\%$; the replacement of the damaged aluminum coil with a new room tem**perature coll bringing the field back to its design value of 5 kilogauji; the addition of** *a.* **new high resolution vertex chamber designed to take advantage of the small SLC beam**

pipe; and the addition of new small angle tagging and monitoring systems. All of these new components, with the exception of the vertex chamber and small angle devices, have been fully tested during a run on the PEP storage ring prior to the shift of the detector to the **8LC** collision region (the vertex detector and small angle components could not be fit around the PEP storage ring beam pipe). This tast allowed the new components to be checked and allowed the new tracking and analysis programs to be tuned up. The naw components all met or exceeded their design specifications. Because of the test run, . **we expect ttw tbne between the installation of the Mark II detector at the BLC and the production of good quality data, for analysis to ba considerably reduced onr that which would be required for a completely uw and nntnrtad facility.**

A new and potentially much mora capable detector, the 8LD, la under construction by a broad collaboration constating of many U.S. groups and groups from Canada, Graat Britain, and Italy. The new detector includes full solid angle electromagnetic and hadron **calorlmetry, u well aa partkle Identification through the uae of Cherenkov Ring Imaging.** A very high resolution vertex detector fabricated from an array of CCD chips is also under **construction. The pace of thla detector la being determined by the rate of funding made available to the laboratory by the DOE. tt could In principle all ba ready to oaa In 1949,** but it is doubtful that the funds available in the next two U.S. fiscal years will be sufficient to complete the detector on that echedule.

Two improvements to the physics capabilities of the SLG machine are now under construction. The first of these is the so-called energy spectrometer. This is a pair of **devtcee whkh are act In the transport systems whkh carry the SLG beams after collision** to the beam dumps and measure their energy to very high precision through the use of **the most classic technique — a precision meaauremanlof the bending angle tn a precisely determined magnetic field. We expect thaw devices to be Installed sometime b PYgt, and they should allow the determination of the energy of each baam to about SO leeV on each** pulse of the 8LC. With this system we can determine the mass of the \mathbb{Z}^0 to about 40 MaV, allowing a high-precision determination of sin² θ_W .

The second improvement project is the development of a longitudinally polarined elec**tica beam for the 8LC We know from previous experiments at 6LAC that pokeicaed** electrons are not depolarized by transit through the linear accelerator. Thus, if we can get the polarization right on injection into the linar we can preserve ^{it} during the acceleration process. The production of polarised beams is complicated by the necessity to take the electrons through the SLC damping ring complex. We have already produced longitudi**nally polarised electron beame at the gun, and to pisserve their potariaatloai throagh the**

is

damping ring it is necessary to rotate the spin of the electrons from longitudinal to transverse and parallel to the damping ring magnetic field before injection into the damping ring. This is done by taking advantage of the $g - 2$ precession that occurs in the first part **of the linac-to-damping ring transport system which rotates the spin from longitudinal to** transverse and in the plane of the damping ring, and following this by a superconducting solenoid which turns the spin parallel to the field in the damping ring. After extraction, a combination of two solanoids plus the $g - 2$ bending in the transport line back to the linac allows the spin vector to be pointed in an arbitrary direction. This is necessary because **the f —** *2* **precession In the horisootal and vertical planes in the transport system from the** end of the linac to the collision point requires an initial spin direction which depends on energy if the spin is to end up longitudinal at the collision region. We aim to have the **polarised beam capability arallabb late in IMS.**

7. CONCLUSION

The construction of the SLC in a period of three and one-half years has been a great challenge to the laboratory. We have learned a lot and it will be much easier to build the next linear collider than to build this one. I summarise the status of the machine as **follows:**

- **The Unac has been somewhat easier to brin| to SLC ipeclflcatlons than we expected, There** are no problems making the necessary energy or with the stability of the **machine. We do need to make some improvements In the positron source and in the damping ring lo handle the bunch lengthening problem. We expect to tackle both** σ these in the fall, and hope to have a positron per electron ratio of > 1 and to be **able to handle the damping ring bunch lengthening at least up to charges per bunch** σ **f** 3 to 4 \times 10¹⁰.
- **The arcs have been somewhat harder than we expected. The coupling problem that** comes from the terrain-following nature of the SLC is understood, and the first rean **at come ting it has been made. We may have to go through the system again to tigfctan ibe tolerances still further. Beam transmission through the exes is now 100%** and it is simple to get rms orbit errors of 200 to 300 microns.
- **Tune-op of the machine b continuing, and we hope to begin the more of the Mark II detector oa to the beam line sometime in the fall of this year. Given good luck we may start taking data at the** *Z°* **around the first of the year and hope to have savsral thousands JT°s for analysis by Ins physicists by the time the snmmer of** *1088* **corns* aroand.**

14

 \blacksquare