

 \cdot

88-004 NEANDC(J) 126/U INDC(JPN) 112/L INDC (JPN) 112/L

EVALUATION OF NEUTRON NUCLEAR DATA EVALUATION OF NEUTRON NUCLEAR DATA

FOR ²⁵² C F AND ²⁵⁰ B K FOR 252CF AND 250BK

February 1988 February 1988

Tsuneo NAKAGAWA Tsuneo NAKAGAWA

Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute 日本原子力研究所

JAERI-Mレポートは,日本原子力研究所が不定期に公刊している研究報告書です。

入手の問合わせは、日本原子力研究所技術情報部情報資料課(**干**319−11茨城県那珂郡東 (〒319-11 茨城県 那珂郡東海村日本原子力研究所内)で複写による実費頒布をおこなって 海村)あて、お申しこしください。なお、このほかに財団法人原子力弘済会資料センター おります。

JAERl-M reports are issued irregularly. JAERI-M reports are issued irregularly_

Inquiries about availability of the reports should be addressed to Information Division Inquiries about avaiJability of the reports should be addressed to Information Division Department of Technical Information, Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, Tokai**mura, Naka-gun, Ibaraki-ken 319-11, Japan.** mu四, Naka-gun, Ibaraki-ken 319,・ 11 Japan.

> © Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, 1988 *mmmstn B~* n J- t> m •% ft* 編集兼発行 日本原子力研究所 en 刷 いばらき印刷(惏)

JAERI-M 88-004

A,

Evaluation of Neutron Nuclear Data for $252CF$ and $259Bk$

Tsuneo NAKAGAWA Tsuneo NAKAGAWA

Department of Physics Department of Physics Tokai Research Establishment Tokai Research Estab1ist,皿ent Japan Atomic Energy Research institute Japan Atomic Energy Research nstitute Tokai-mura, Naka-gun, Ibaraki-ken Tokai-mura, Naka-gun, Ibaraki-ken

(Received January 6, 1988) (Received January 6, 1988)

Neutron nuclear data of 252 Cf and 250 Bk have been evaluated in the neutron energy range from 10^{-5} eV to 20 MeV. The cross sections evaluated are the total, elastic and inelastic scattering, $(n, 2n)$, $(n, 3n)$, (n,4n) reaction, fission and capture cross sections. For the both (n4n) reaction, fission and capture cross sections. For the both nuclides, cross sections below 30 keV were represented with resolved nuc1ides, cross sectionn be10w 30 keV were represented with reso1ved and unresolved resonance parameters. For ²⁵²Cf, the resolved resonance parameters were evaluated in the energy range from 10^{-5} eV to 1 keV and the unresolved resonance parameters above 1 keV. For 250 Bk, no resonance parameters have been reported. Therefore, hypothetical resolved resonance parameters were given below 100 eV. In addition, angular and energy parameters were given be10w 100 eV. 1n addicion. angu1ar and energy distributions of emitted neutrons and average number of emitted neutrons distributions of emitted neutrons and average number of emitted neutrons per fission were also evaluated. Existing experimental data are only per fission were a1so eva1uated. Existing experimenta1 data are only those for the fission cross section of $252CF$, thermal cross sections and resonance integrals of 252 Cf and the fission cross section of 250 Bk at the thermal neutron energy. The present evaluation, therefore, was at the therma1 neutron energy. The present eva1nation, therefore, was mainly based on the systematics of the data from neighboring nuclides main1y based on the systematics of the data from neighboring nuc1ides and optical- and statistical-model calculations. and optica1-and statistica1-model ca1cu1ations.

Keywords: Californium-252, Berkelium-250, Evaluation, Cross Section, Angular Distribution, Energy Distribution, Optical Model, Statistical Model Statistica1 Hode1

This work was performed under contracts between Power Reactor and Nuclear Fuel Development Corporation and Japan Atomic Energy Research Nuc1ear Fue1 Deve10pment Corporation and Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute. nstitute.

I

$IAERI - M 88 - 004$

²⁵²Cf と²⁵⁰Bkの中性子核データの評価

日本原子力研究所東海研究所物理部 中川 庸雄

(1988年1月6日受理)

²⁵²Cf と²⁵⁰Bkの核データ評価を10⁻⁵eVから20 MeV迄の中性子エネルギー範囲で行った。評 価した断面積は, 全断面積, 弾性および非弾性散乱断面積, (n, 2 n), (n, 3 n), (n, 4 n) 反応 断面積, 核分裂断面積, 中性子捕獲断面積である。また, 両方の核種とも30 keV以下は共鳴領 域とし、²⁵²Cfでは10⁻⁵eVから1keVの間を分離共鳴領域、それ以上を非分離共鳴領域とした。 ²⁵⁰ Bkについては、現在までに共鳴パラメータの測定値は、報告されていないが、100 eV以下を分 離共鳴領域として仮想レベルを与え、それ以上を非分離共鳴領域とした。さらに、核反応後の放 出中性子の角度分布データおよびエネルギー分布データや、核分裂当りの平均放出中性子数につ いても評価を行った。主な測定値は²⁵²Cf の核分裂断面積、熱中性子エネルギー断面積および共 鳴積分値,および²⁵⁰Bkの熱中性子エネルギー核分裂断面積のみである。今回の評価は,前回ま での評価と同様に、近傍核の核データの系統性、光学模型、統計模型などによる計算を利用して 行い、これらの測定値と矛盾のない評価値を得た。

Contents Contents

 $\ddot{}$

 $\pmb{\mathsf{H}}$

 $\bar{\lambda}$

次

1. Introduction 1. Introduction

For analysis of the higher actinide productions in the nuclear fuel For analysis of the higher actin1de product10ns 1n the nuclear fuel cycle, reliable nuclear data of heavy nuclides are needed. The evaluation work on the neutron nuclear data of transplutonium isotopes has been performed under contracts between Power Reactor and Nuclear Fuel been performed under contracts between Power Reactor and Nuclear Fuel Development Corporation and Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute. Development Corporation and Japan Atomic Energy Research 1nst1tute. So far, the data of sixteen nuclides from $^{2+1}$ Am to 251 Cf were evaluated and compiled in the ENDF format¹⁻¹⁴). On this connection, the neutron nuclear data of 250 Bk and 252 Cf were evaluated in the present work.

The nuclide of 250 Bk has the half-life of 3.22 h for the β -decay. On the other hand, 252 Cf decays through 96.91 % of α -decay and 3.09 % of spontaneous fission with the half-life of 2.64 years. Only a few of spontaneous f1ssion w1th the half-life of 2.64 years. Only a few experiments on their cross sections have been made. Evaluated data are exper1ments on the1r cross sections have been made. Evaluated data are existing in ENDF/B-V¹⁵) and ENDL-84¹⁶⁾ for ²⁵²Cf and no evaluated data
for ²⁵⁰Bk. for ²⁵⁰Bk.

The present evaluation work on 252 Cf is described in Section 2, and that on ²⁵⁰Bk in Section 3. The evaluated quantities are summarized in Table 1. in Table 1.

2. Evaluation for ²⁵² C f 2. Evaluation for 252Cf

2.1 Thermal Cross Sections and Resonance Integrals 2.1 Thermal Cross Sections and Resonance Integrals

Experiments of the thermal cross sections of 252 Cf were summarized in Table 2. Halperin et al.¹⁷⁾ measured the capture cross section by using Oak Ridge Research Reactor. Their result of 20.4 ± 2 barns is us1ng Oak Ridge Research Reactor. Their result of 20.4 t 2 barns 1s consistent with the old measurement by Magnusson et al. $^{18)}$ Harperin et al. $^{19)}$ also carried out the experiment for the fission cross section with the method of solid-state track recording by using also the Oak w1th the method of so11d-state track recording by using also the Oak Ridge Research Reactor. These *cross* sections of two reactions measured R1dge Research Reactor. These cross sections of two react10ns measured at ORNL are quite different from those by Folger et al.²⁰⁾ and by Ruche $^{21)}$, but in agreement with the absorption cross section measured by Anufriev et al.²²⁾ In the present work, therefore, measurements at ORNL were adopted for the both reactions.

Table 3 shows the resonance integrals measured by four experiments Table 3 shows the resonance 1ntegrals measured by four exper1ments performed so far. The value of Folger et al. might be too small. performed so far. The value of Folger et al. m1ght be too small.

 $-1 -$

Therefore, adopted are the capture resonance integral of 43.5 barns and Therefore, adopted are the capture resonance integral of 43.5 barns and the fission resonance integral of 110 barns both of which were measured at ORNL. at ORNL.

The thermal cross sections and resonance integrals thus adopted The thermal cross sections and resonance integrals thus adopted were used to determine the resonance parameters of low-lying levels. The finally recommended values in the present evaluation are the cal-The finally recommended values in the present evaluation are the calculated values in Tables 2 and 3. culated values in Tables 2 and 3.

2.2 Resonance Parameters 2.2 Resonance Parameters

 $\frac{1}{2}$

2.2.1 Resolved Resonance Parameters 2.2.1 Resolved Resonance Parameters

Moore et al. $^{23)}$ measured the fission cross section of $^{252}\mathrm{C}$ f in the energy range from 20 eV to 5 MeV, using neutrons from the Physics-8 energy range from 20 eV to 5 MeV, using neutrons from the Physics-8 underground nuclear explosion, and obtained the sub-threshold fission underground nuclear explosion, and obtained the sub-threshold fission areas for 35 resonances below 1 keV.

In the present evaluation, resonance parameters were determined for In the present evaluation, resonance parameters were determined for the 35 resonances from the fission-area data measured by Moore et al. the 35 resonances from the fission-area data measured by Moore et al. by assuming an average fission width of 0.035 eV and an average radiative by assuming an average fission width of 0.035 eV and an average radiative capture width of 0.035 eV. For some resonances, the minimum values of capture width of 0.035 eV. For some resonances, the minimum values of fission width were estimated by Moore et al. In such cases, those values fission width were estimated by Moore et al. In such cases, those values were adopted as their fission width. From these 35 resonances, very were adopted as their fission width. From these 35 resonances, very small values of 0.634 barns for the capture cross section and 1.405 barns for the fission cross section are calculated at 0.0253 eV, respectively. for the fission cross section are calculated at 0.0253 eV, respectively. Therefore, hypothetical levels were needed below 20 eV to reproduce the Therefore, hypothetical levels were needed below 20 eV to reproduce the above-mentioned thermal cross sections. above-mentioned thermal cross sections.

Figure 1 shows staircase plot of total number of the measured Figure 1 shows staircase plot of total number of the measured resonances. From this figure it is seen that no significant level missing exists in the energy range from 20 eV to 1 keV, and average missing exists in the energy range from 20 eV to 1 keV, and average level spacing is 27.0 eV. Moore et al. obtained the s-wave strength lcvel spacing is 27.0 eV. Moore et al. obtained the s-wave strength function of 0.6×10^{-4} from the resonances below 500 eV. The parameters of hypothetical resonances were estimated on the basis of these average of hypothetical resonances were estimated on the basis of these average characteristics of resonance parameters. Then they were modified to characteristics of resonance parameters. Then they were ~odified to reproduce above-mentioned values of thermal cross sections and resonance reproduce above-mentioned values of thermal cross sections and resonance integrals. In the present evaluation, two resonances, one of which is integrals. In the present evaluation, two resonances, one of which 1s located at negative energy and another above thermal neutron energy, located at negative energy and another above thermal neutron energy, were assumed. The resonance parameters including those of two hypothet-were assumed. The resonance parameters including those of two hypothetical levels are listed in Table 4. ical levels are listed in Table 4.

The effective scattering radius was determined from the shape The effective scattering radius was determined from the shape elastic scattering cross section of 10.7 barns calculated with optical model parameters described below. The multilevel Breit-Wigner formula mode1 parameters described be1ow. The mu1ti1eve1 Breit-Wigner formu1a was applied to avoid negative elastic scattering cross sections. The was app1ied to avoid negative e1astic scattering cross sections. resolved resonance region was connected to the unresolved resonance region at 1 keV. region at 1 keV. The

Comparison of calculated fission cross section with Moore et al.'s Comparison of ca1cu1ated fission cross section with Moore et a1.'s experimental data is given in Fig. 2. Thermal cross sections and resonance integrals are compared in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. The resonance integra1s are compared in Tab1es 2 and 3, respective1y. The present values calculated from the resonance parameters are in good present va1ues ca1cu1ated from the resonance parameters are in good agreement with the experimental data, except the capture resonance agreement with the experimenta1 data, except the capture resonance integral which is somewhat larger than the data of Halperin et al. integra1 which is somewhat 1arger than the data of Ha1perin et a1.

2.2.2 Unresolved Resonance Parameters Unresolved Resonance Parameters 2.2.2

In the energy range from 1 to 30 keV, unresolved resonance parameters were determined with ASREP²⁵⁾ so that calculated cross sections might be in good agreement with average fission cross section of Moore might be in good agreement with average fission cross section of Moore et al.'s data and capture cross section calculated with optical and statistical models. Adjusted parameters were the s-wave strength statistical mode1s. Adjusted parameters were the s-wave strength function, fission width and effective scattering radius. The p-wave function, fission width and effective scattering radius. The p-wave strength function, average radiative width and D_{obs} were fixed to 3.37×10^{-4} , 35 meV and 27 eV, respectively. However, complete fit could not be obtained by this parameter search. Finally, small background cross sections were given in the energy range from 2.5 keV to 30 keV. cross sections were given in the energy range from 2.5 keV to 30 keV. Thus obtained unresolved resonance parameters are listed in Table 5. Thus obtained unreso1ved resonance parameters are 1isted in Tab1e 5. Figures 3 and 4 are comparison of fission and capture cross sections in Figures 3 and 4 are comparison of fission and capture cross sections in the unresolved resonance region. Circles with error bar and dushed curve are cross sections to be reproduced. A solid curve shows the curve are cross sections to be reproduced. A solid curve shows the values calculated from the unresolved resonance parameters. Histogram va1ues ca1cu1ated from the unresolved resonance parameters. Histogram below 1 keV is average values calculated from the resolved resonance parameters. parameters.

 $-3 -$

2.3 Cross Sections above Resonance Region 2.3 Cross Sections above Resonance Region

2.3.1 Fission Cross Section Fission Cross Section 2.3.1

dumu.
Kabupatèn Sepanjang Kabupatèn Sepanjang Kabupatèn Sepanjang Kabupatèn Sepanjang Kabupatèn Sepanjang Kabupatèn S

The fission cross section was evaluated on the basis of the exper-The fission cross section was evaluated on the basis of the experimental data of Moore et al. $^{23)}$ Below 10 keV, some fine structures exist in the cross-section shape. However, they were averaged over in suitable in the cross-section shape. However, they were averaged over in suitable energy intervals and connected smoothly. In the energy range from 10 keV to 5 MeV, the experimental data were smoothed with spline functions. keV to 5 MeV, the experimental data were smoothed with spline functions. Above 5 MeV, cross section was estimated rather arbitrarily. Adopted Above 5 MeV, cross section was estimated rather arbitrarily. Adopted fission cross section is shown in Fig. 5 together with Moore et al.'s fission cross section is shown in Fig. 5 together with Moore et al.'s experimental data and evaluated data in the $\texttt{ENDF/B-V}^{1.5}$.

Fomushkin et al. $^{26)}$ measured the fission cross section of 252 Cf with a fast reactor neutron spectrum, and obtained the effective cross with a fast reactor neutron spectrum, and obtained the effective cross section of 1.58 ± 0.14 barns. They concluded that their result was in agreement with Moore et al.'s data within the experimental error. agreement with Moore et al.'s data within the experimental error.

2.3.2 Level Density Parameters Level Density Parameters 2.3.2

Level density parameters used are shown in Table 6. They were Level density parameters used are shown in Table 6. They were determined on the basis of Gilbert and Cameron's composite formula²⁷⁾ by using the program LEVDENS $^{28)}$, and adopting level schemes in ENSDF $^{29)}$.

Pairing energies and shell corrections were taken from the values Pairing energies and shell corrections were taken from the values given, by Gilbert and Cameron. Spin-cutoff factor was assumed to be given. by Gilbert and Cameron. Spin-cutoff factor was assumed to be

 $\sigma_{\rm M}^2 = 0.146 \sqrt{\rm au} A^{2/3} = \alpha_{\rm M} \sqrt{\rm u}.$

2.3.3 (n,2n), (n,3n) and (n,4n) Reaction Cross Sections

The Q-values of the $(n, 2n)$, $(n, 3n)$ and $(n, 4n)$ reactions given in Table 7 were obtained from the compilation by Wapstra and Bcs 30). Cross sections of these reactions were calculated with the evaporation model. sections of these reactions were calculated with the evaporation model. The neutron emission cross section was assumed to be nearly equal to The neutron emission cross section was assumed to be nearly equal to the difference between the compound nucleus formation cross section calculated with the optical model and the fission cross section mentioned calculated with the optical model and the fission cross section mentioned above. In this calculation small value of 10 mb was assumed to be above. 1n this calculation small value of 10 mb was assumed to be shared by the inelastic scattering cross section at high energies. shared by the inelastic scattering cross section at high energies.

 $-4 -$

2.3.4 Other Cross Sections Other Cross Sections

ruv?LwZA

The total, elastic, inelastic scattering and radiative capture The total. elastic. inelastic scattering and radiative capture cross sections were calculated with the program CASTHY³¹⁾ based on the spherical optical model and statistical model. The optical potential spherical optical model and statistical model. The optical potential parameters shown in Table 8 were obtained by Igarasi and Nakagawa7) so parameters shown in Table 8 were obtained by Igarasi and Nakagawa7) so as to reproduce the total cross section of $^{2+1}$ Am measured by Phillips and Howe 32). This set of optical potential parameters has been frequently used in our evaluation work for higher actinide data. quently used in our evaluation work for higher actinide data. 2.3.4 Other Cross Sections
The total, elastic, inelastic scattering and radiative capture
cross sections were celculated with the program CASTHY³¹) based on the
spherical optical model and statistical model. The optical

In the calculation, competition with the (n,2n), (n,3n), (n,4n) In the calculation. competition with the (n.2n). (n.3n). (n.4n) and fission reactions were taken into account. For the capture cross and fission reactions were taken into account. For the capture cross section, the average radiative width of 0.035 eV and s-wave level section. the average radiative width of 0.035 eV and s-wave level spacing of 27 eV were assumed. spacing of 27 eV were assumed.

Discrete level scheme of ²⁵²Cf was taken from Nuclear Data Sheets³³⁾, The levels adopted for calculation of the inelastic scattering cross The levels adopted for calculation of the inelastic scattering cross sections are given in Table 9. The levels above 1.03 MeV were con-sections are given in Table 9. The levels above 1.03 MeV were considered to be overlapping. sidered to be overlapping.

Thus obtained cross sections in the energy range from l keV r o 20 MeV are shown in Fig. 6. The total, fission and radiative capture cross MeV are shown in Fig. 6. The total. fission and radiative capture cross sections in whole energy range are shown in Fig. 7.

2.4 Other Quantities 2.4 Other Quantities

2.4.1. Angular Distributions of Emitted Neutrons Angular Distributions of Emitted Neutrons 2.4.1

The angular distributions of elastically and inelastically scat-The angular distributions of elastically and inelastically scattered neutrons were calculated with the optical and statistical models. tered neutrons were calculated with the optical and statistical models. The isotropic distributions in the laboratory system were assumed for the inelastic scattering to the overlapping levels, and for the emitted the inelastic scattering to the overlapping levels. and for the emitted neutrons from the $(n, 2n)$, $(n, 3n)$, $(n, 4n)$ and fission reactions.

2.4.2 Energy Distributions of Emitted Neutrons Energy Distributions of Emitted Neutrons 2.4.2

The evaporation spectrum was assumed for the inelastically scat-The evaporation spectrum was assumed for the inelastically scattered neutrons (MT=91). The nuclear temperature θ was determined as

$$
\theta = T, \qquad \qquad \text{for} \quad E_n \leq E_x, \qquad (1)
$$

$$
\theta = \{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4a(E_n - \Delta)}\}/2a, \quad \text{for} \quad E_n > E_x, \quad (2)
$$

where E_n is the incident neutron energy, and a and Δ are the level density parameter and the pairing energy of the residual nucleus. The density parameter and the pairing energy of the residual nucleus. The parameter T is the nuclear temperature defined in th' constant tempera-parameter T is the nuclear temperatcre defined in th" constant tempera ture model, and E_x is the energy where the constant temperature model is connected to the Fermi gas model. The neutron spectra from the $(n, 2n)$, $(n, 3n)$ and $(n, 4n)$ reactions were also represented with the evaporation model. evaporation model.

The Maxwellian spectrum was adopted for the fission neutrons. The temperature for the fission spectrum was determined from the systematics obtained by Smith et al. $^{34)}$

2.4.3 Average Numbers of Neutrons Emitted per Fission 2.4.3 Average Numbers of Neutrons Emitted per Fission

(a) Prompt neutrons (a) Prompt neutrons

Howerton's semi-empirical formula $^{35}, ^{36})$ was used for estimation of the average number of neutrons emitted per neutron-induced fission. the average number of neutrons emitted per neutron-induced fission. His formula is in the following form for the target nucleus with the His formula is in the following form for the target nucleus with the mass number of A and the atomic number of Z.

$$
\nu(Z, A, E_n) = 2.33 + 0.06[2 - (-1)^{A+1-2} - (-1)^2] + 0.15(2-92)
$$

+ 0.02(A-235) + [0.130+0.006(A-235)]×[E_n-E_{th}(Z,A)] (3)

where \mathtt{E}_n is the incident neutron energy and the fission threshold energy $\texttt{E}_{\texttt{th}}$ is written as follows.

$$
E_{\rm th}(Z,A) = 18.6 - 0.362^2/(A+1) + 0.2[2-(-1)^{A+1-2}-(-1)^2] - B_n.
$$
 (4)

where B_n stands for the neutron separation energy from compound nuclide. This formula predicts ${\mathsf v}_{\mathbf{p}}$ for 252 Cf as;

$$
\nu_p = 3.884 + 0.230 E_p. \tag{5}
$$

(b) Delayed neutrons (b} Delayed neutrons

The following systematics on the number of delayed neutrons was The following systematics on the number of delayed neutrons was obtained by Tuttle $^{37)}$:

$$
v_d = \exp[13.81+0.1754(A_c-3Z)(A_c/Z)]
$$
 (6)

where A_c is the mass number of the compound nucleus. We assumed that

 $-6 -$

since the $(n,n'f)$ channel opens around 7 MeV, the $(n,n'f)$ process is always dominant above 7 MeV. The values of $v_{\mathbf{d}}$ at lower energies and at higher energies were linearly connected between 6 and 8 MeV. higher energies were linear1y connected between 6 and 8 MeV.

$$
v_d = 0.00830
$$
 (E_n<6 MeV) and 0.00590 (E_n>8 MeV) (7)

2.5 Comparison with Other Evaluation 2.5 Comparison with Other Evaluation

Evaluated data of ²⁵²Cf are existing in ENDF/B-V¹⁵) and ENDL-84¹⁶). The present results are compared with them in Figs. 8 to 12. The present resu1ts are compared with them in Figs. B to 12.

The evaluations for ENDF/B-V and ENDL-84 give resonance structure in the low energy region. In order to simplify the figures, cross sections in the resolved resonance region are averaged in 1/4-lethergy sections in the res01ved resonance region are averaged in 1/4-1ethergy intervals. interva1s.

In the energy range above $10\,$ keV, <code>Howerton's</code> evaluation $^{16)}$ was adopted in the both of ENDF/B-V and ENDL-84. In che lower energy range, the both evaluations adopted hypothetically generated resonance param-the both eva1uations adopted hypothetica11y generated resonance parameters. On the other hand, the present evaluation adopted mainly Moore eters. On the other hand. the present eva1uation adopted main1y Moore et al.'s experimental data. Therefore, large discrepancies are found et a1. 's experimenta1 data. Therefore, 1arge discrepancies are found in this energy range. At the thermal energies, adopted cross sections in this energy range. At the therma1 energies. adopted cross sections in ENDF/B-V and the present evaluation are almost the same. in ENDF/B-V and the present eva1uation are a1most the same.

3. Evaluation for ²⁵⁰ B k 3. Evaluatior. for 250Bk

3.1 Resonance Parameters 3.1 Resonance Parameters

3.1.1 Resolved Resonance Parameters 3.1.1 Resolved Resonance Parameters

Thermal fission cross section of 960 ± 150 barns was measured by Diamond et al. $^{38)}$ And the capture cross section was assumed about 350 barns by Bol'shov et al.³⁹⁾ These values are recommended by Mughabghab.²⁴⁾ The present evaluation also adopted them. The present eva1uation a1so adopted them.

No measurement has been made for the resonance parameters of ²⁵⁰Bk
so far. Therefore, the hypothetical resonance parameters were generated so far. Therefore, the hypothetical resonance parameters were generated so as to reproduce the thermal cross sections. The s-wave strength function was assumed to be 0.83×10^{-4} which was calculated with the optical model. Average level spacing of 2.09 eV was derived from the optica1 model. A"eγage 1eve1 spacing of 2.09 eV was derived from the

 $-7 -$

level density parameter a of 30.0 MeV $^{-1}$. The effective scattering radius of 9.26 fm was obtained from the shape elastic scattering cross section calculated with the optical model. The average radiative width was assumed to be 0.035 eV. The fission width was estimated as 0.095 eV by assuming that the ratio of radiative and fission widths was equal to by assuming that the ratio of radiative and fission widths was equal to that of thermal cross sections. that of thermal cross sections.

Prince⁴⁰⁾ derived the systematics of thermal cross sections and capture resonance integrals vs $(B_n - E_f)$, where B_n is a binding energy and $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{f}}$ is a fission threshold energy calculated as follows,

$$
E_f = -106.247 + 12.99026(Z^2/A) - 0.438185(Z^2/A)^2
$$

+ 0.0045383(Z²/A)³ + ϵ (8)

where
$$
\varepsilon = 0.0
$$
 for even-even nuclides,
 $\varepsilon = 0.47$ for odd mass nuclides,
 $\varepsilon = 0.72$ for odd-odd nuclides.

In the case of 250 Bk, the value of $(B_n - E_f)$ is 1.053, and from his systematics the following values are obtained. systematics the following values are obtained.

$$
In(Ir/2) = 5.3
$$

$$
\therefore Ir = 400 barns.
$$
 (9)

and and

$$
\ln(\sigma_f/\sigma_\gamma) = 0.5
$$

\n
$$
\therefore \frac{\sigma_f}{\sigma_\gamma} = \frac{I_f}{I_\gamma} = 6
$$

\n
$$
\therefore I_f = 660 \text{ barns.}
$$
 (10)

The hypothetical resonances were generated by using above informa-The hypothetical resonances were generated by using above information. The energy of the first resonance was adjusted to roughly tion. The energy of the first resonance was adjusted to roughly reproduce the thermal cross sections and resonance integrals. Then, only the energy of a negative resonance was re-adjusted on the basis of the thermal cross sections. the thermal cross sections.

Thus obtained hypothetical resonance parameters reproduce well the thermal cross sections; the capture cross section of 353 barns and the thermal cross sections; the capture cross section of 353 barns and the fission cross section of 959 barns. The calculated resonance integrals fission cross section of 959 barns. The calculated resonance integrals are 199 barns and 517 barns for the radiative capture and fission cross are 199 barns and 517 barns for the radiative capture and fission cross sections, respectively. These values are smaller than the values sect10ns. respectively. These values are smaller than the values

 $-8 -$

estimated from Prince's systematics. No more adjustment, however, was estimated from Prince's systematics. No more adjustment. however. was tried because the estimated cross sections were not so accurate. tried because the estimated cross sections were not so accurate.

3.1.2 Unresolved Resonance Parameters Unresolved Resonance Parameters 3.1.2

In the energy range from 100 eV to 30 keV, unresolved resonance 1n the energy range from 100 eV to 30 keV. unresolved resonance parameters were determined with $ASREF^{25}$ in the same way as 252_{Cf} . The obtained unresolved resonance parameters are listed in Table 10.

3.2 Cross Sections above Resonance Region 3.2 Cross Sections above Resonance Region

3.2.1 Fission Cross Section Fission Cross Section 3.2.1

The shape of the fission cross section was assumed to be the same The shape of the fisεion cross section was assumed to be the same as that of 251 Cf¹⁴), because the 251 Cf is also the nuclide with odd number of neutrons and the nearest one. As to the magnitude of the number of neutrons and the nearest one. As to the magnitude of the cross section, the following systematics obtained by Lasijo⁴¹⁾ was used to determine the value at 3 MeV. to determine the value at 3 MeV.

$$
\sigma_f = F(Z) + C \times [N - G(Z)]^2, \qquad (11)
$$

where Z is the atomic number and N the neutron number, and F(Z) and G(Z) are given as G(Z) are given as

$$
G(Z) = a_0 + a_1 Z + a_2 Z^2,
$$
\n
$$
a_0 = -2690.37063,
$$
\n
$$
a_1 = 59.7373309,
$$
\n
$$
a_2 = -0.3138082,
$$
\n
$$
F(Z) = b_0 + b_1 Z + b_2 Z^2 + b_3 Z^3,
$$
\n
$$
b_0 = 2815.607814,
$$
\n
$$
b_1 = -89.65620409,
$$
\n
$$
b_2 = 0.94850607,
$$
\n
$$
b_3 = -0.00333247,
$$
\n(13)

and the constant C is 0.01702407. Based on this systematics, the cross-section shape was normalized to 2.03 barns at 3 MeV. cross-section shape was normalized to 2.03 barns at 3 MeV.

$$
-9 -
$$

3.2.2 Level Density Parameters 3.2.2 Level Density Parameters

Level density parameters of berkelium isotopes were determined with Level density parameters of berkelium isotopes were determined with LEVDENS $^{28)}$ on the basis of staircase plot of low-lying excited levels taken from ENSDF²⁹⁾, and are shown in Table 11.

3.2.3 (n,2n), (n,3n) and (n,4n) Reaction Cross Sections 3.2.3 (n.2n), (n3n) and (n.4n) Reaction Cross Sections

The Q-values of the $(n, 2n)$, $(n, 3n)$ and $(n, 4n)$ reactions are given in Table 12. 1n Table 12.

These cross sections were calculated with the same method as 252 Cf.

3.2.4 Other Cross Sections 3.2.4 Other Cross Sections

The total, elastic, inelastic scattering and radiative capture cross sections were calculated with CASTHY based on the spherical cross sections were calculated with CASTHY based on the spherical optical model and statistical model by using the optical potential optical model and statistical model by using the optical potential parameters shown in Table 8. parameters shown in Table 8.

For the capture cross section, the average radiative width of 0.035 For the capture cross section, the average radiative width of 0.035 eV and s-wave level spacing of 2.09 eV were adopted. eV and s-wave level spacing of 2.09 eV were adopted.

Discrete level scheme was taken from Nuclear Data Sheets 33 . The levels adopted for calculation of the inelastic scattering *cross sections* levels adopted for calculation of the inelastic scattering cross sections are given in Table 13. The levels above 296 keV were considered to be are given in Table 13. The levels above 296 keV were considered to be overlapping. overlapping.

Thus calculated cross sections in the energy range from 100 eV to Thus calculated cross sections in the energy range from 100 eV to 20 MeV are illustrated in Fig. 13. The total, fission and radiative 20 MeV are illustrated in Fig. 13. The total, fission and radiative capture cross sections in whole energy range are shown in Fig. 14.

3.3 Other Quantities 3.3 Other Quantit1es

3.3.1 Angular Distributions of Emitted Neutrons 3.3.1 Angular Distributions of Emitted Neutrons

The angular distributions of elastically and inelastically scattered The angular distributions of elastically and inelastically scattered neutrons were calculated with the optical and statistical models. The neutrons were calculated with the optical and statistical models. The isotropic distributions in the laboratory system were assumed for the isotropic distributions in the laboratory system were assumed for the inelastic scattering to the overlapping levels, and for the emitted neutrons from the (n,2n), (n,3n), (n,4n) and fission reactions. neutrons from the (n.2n). (n3n). (n.4n) and fission reactions.

 $-10 -$

3.3.2 Energy Distributions of Emitted Neutrons Energy Distributions of Emitted Neutrons 3.3.2 $JAERI - M 88 - 004$
3.3.2 Energy Distributions of Emitted Neutr

> Evaporation spectra were given for inelastically scattered neutrone Evaporation spectra were given for inelastically scattered neutronc $(MT=91)$ and those from $(n,2n)$, $(n,3n)$ and $(n,4n)$ reactions.

> The Maxwellian spectrum was adopted for the fission neutrons. The The Maxwellian spectrum was adopted for the fission neutrons. temperature for the fission spectrum was determined from the systematics temperature for the fission spectrum was determined from the systematics obtained by Smith et al. 3^4) The

3.3.3 Average Numbers of Neutrons Emitted per Fission

(a) Prompt neutrons (a) Prompt neutrons

Howerton's semi-empirical formula gives the following values. Howerton's semi-empirical formula gives the following values.

$$
\nu_p = 3.559 + 0.220E_n. \tag{14}
$$

(b) Delayed neutrons (b) Delayed neutrons

The values obtained from Tuttle's systematics are The values obtained from Tuttle's systematics are

$$
v_d = 0.0130
$$
 (E_n<06 MeV) and 0.0089 (E_n<0>8 MeV) (15)

4. Concluding Remarks Concluding Remarks 4.

In the present work, the neutron nuclear data of 250 Bk and 252 Cf were evaluated, and compiled in the ENDF-5 format. were evaluated, and compiled in the ENDF-5 forat.

In the past several years, we evaluated neutron nuclear data for 1n the past several years, we evaluated neutron nuclear data for isotopes of Am, Cm, Bk and Cf. Table 14 lists the thermal cross sections isotopes of Am. Cm. Bk and Cf. Table 14 lists the theralcross sections and resonance integrals of these isotopes. The number of experimental and resonance integrals of these isotopes. The number of experimental data is very limited for those isotopes. The large part of data was, data is very limited for those isotopes. The large part of data was, therefore, estimated with spherical optical model and statistical model, therefore, estimated with spherical optical model and statistical model, and also with systematics of the data.

The optical potential parameters were determined to reproduce the The optical potential parameters were determined to reproduce the total cross section of ²⁴¹Am. This set of parameters was used for many isotopes even in the case of nuclides far from 2^{l+1} Am. The reliability of the optical potential parameters should be checked. However, other experiments on total or elastic scattering cross section which can be experiments on total or elastic scattering cross section which can be used to check the parameters are not available for transplutonium used to check the parameters are not available for transplutonium isotopes so far. isotopes so far.

Direct reactions and/or pre-equilibrlum theory should be taken into Direct reactions and/or pre-equilibrium theory should be taken into account to calculate more accurately the inelastic scattering and (n,Xn) account to calculate more accurately the inelastic scattering and (n.Xn) reaction cross sections at high energies. In our evaluation, these reaction cross sections at high energies. In our evaluation. these contributions have been ignored because the data in high energy region contributions have been ignored because the data in high energy region are not so important for nuclear fuel cycle. However, in the future, are not so important for nuclear fuel cycle. However. in the future. this defect must be improved. this defect must be improved. $J A E R I - M 88 - 004$
Direct reactions and/or pre-equilibrium
 D

> In order to determine the reliable thermal cross sections and In order to determine the reliable thermal cross sections and resonance integrals, the resonance parameters of low-lying levels are very important. For some isotopes, hypothetical levels were generated. very important. For some isotopes. hypothetical levels were generated. These assumed resonances should be replaced with experimentally obtained These assumed resonances should be replaced with exper:lmentally obtained data in the future. data in the future.

> Even if these problems are existing, a series of our evaluation Even if these problems are existing, a series of our evaluation work has prepared a reliable data base for the nuclear fuel cycle study. work nas prepared a reliable data base for the nuclear fuel cycle study.

Acknowledgment Acknowledgment

The author thanks members of the Nuclear Data Center of JAERI for their help to the present work. their help to the present work.

References References

- 1) Igarasi S. : "Evaluation of ²⁴¹Am Nuclear Data", JAERI-M 6221 (1975) [in Japanese], (1975) [in Japanese].
- 2) Igarasi S. : J. Nucl. Sci. Technol., 14, 1 (1977).
- 3) Nakagawa T., Fuketa T. and Igarasi S. : "Evaluation of the Neutron 3) Nakagawa T., Fuketa T. and Igarasi S. "Evaluation of the Neutron Data of 241 Am", JAERI-M 6636 (1976) [in Japanese].
- 4) Igarasi 5. and Nakagawa T. : "Evaluation of Neutron Nuclear Data 4) Igarasi S. and Nakagawa T. "Evaluation of Neutron Nuclear Data for ²⁴³Am", JAERI-M 7174 (1977) [in Japanese].
- 5) Igarasi S. and Nakagawa T. : "Evaluation of Neutron Nuclear Data 5) Igarasi S. and Nakagawa T. "Eva1uation of Neutron Nuc1ear Data for ²⁴⁴Cm", JAERL-M 7175 (1977) [in Japanese].
- 6) Igarasi S. and Nakagawa T. : "Evaluation of Neutron Nuclear Data 6) Igarasi S. and Nakagawa T. .: "Eva1uation of Neutron Nuc1ear Data for ²⁴⁵Cm", JAERI-M 7733 (1978) [in Japanese].
- 7) Igarasi S. and Nakagawa T. : "Evaluation of Neutron Nuclear Data 7) Igarasi S. and Nakagawa T. "Eva1uation of Neutron Nuc1ear Data for ²⁴²Cm", JAERI-M 8342 (1979) [in Japanese].
- 8) Nakagawa T. and Igarasi S. : "Evaluation of Neutron Nuclear Data for ^{242m}Am and ²⁴²8Am", JAERI-M 8903 (1980). for ^{242m}Am and ^{242g}Am", JAERI-M 8903 (1980).
9) Nakagawa T. and Igarasi S. : "Evaluation of Neutron Nuclear Data
- for 243 Cm", JAERI-M 9601 (1981).
- 10) Kikuchi Y. : "Evaluation of Neutron Nuclear Data for ²⁴¹Am and 243Am ", JAERI-M 82-096 (1982). 243 Am", JAERI-M 82-096 (1982).
- 11) Kikuchi Y. : "Evaluation of Neutron Nuclear Data for ²⁴⁶Cm and 247 Cm", JAERI-M 83-236 (1983).
- 12) Kikuchi Y. and Nakagawa T. : "Evaluation of Neutron Nuclear Data 12) Kikuchi Y. and Nakagawa T. "Eva1uation of Neutron Nuc1ear Data for 2^{48} Cm and 2^{49} Cm", JAERI-M 84-116 (1984).
- 13) Kikuchi Y. and Nakagawa T. : "Evaluation of Neutron Nuclear Data for ²⁴⁹Bk and ²⁴⁹Cf", JAERI-M 85-138 (1985).
- 14) Nakagawa T. : "Evaluation of Neutron Nuclear Data for ²⁵⁰Cf and 251Cf ", JAERI-M 86-086 (1986).
- 15) ENDF/B-V MAT*8852, summary is given as England T.R., Wilson W.B., Schenter R.E. and Mann F.M. : "Summary Eng1and T.R., Wi1son W.B., Schenter R.E. and Mann F.M. "Summary of ENDF/B-V Data for Fission Products and Actinides", EPRI NP-3787 of ENDF/B-V Data for Fission Products and Actinides", EPRI NP-3787 (also ENDF-322) (1984). (a1so ENDF-322) (1984).
- 16) Howerton R.J. and MacGregor M.H. : "The LLL Evaluated Nuclear Data 16) Howerton R.J. and MacGregor M.H. "The LLL Eva1uated Nuc1ear Data Library (ENDL): Descriptions of Individual Evaluations for Z=0-98", UCRL-50400, Vol.15, Part D., Rev.l (1978). UCRL-50400, Vo1.15, Part D., Rev.l (1978).
- 17) Halperin J., Bemis C.E., Druschel R.E. and Stokely J.R. : Nucl. Sci. Eng., 37, 223 (1969). Eng., 37, 223 (1969).
- 18) Magnusson L.B., Studier M.H., Fields P.R., Stevens C.M., Mech J.F., Friedman A.M., Diamond H. and Huizenga J.R. : Phys. Rev., 96, 1576 Friedman A.M., Diamond H. and Huizenga J.R. Phys. Rev., 96, 1576 (1954). (1954). !dill JAERI -M 88 -004
	- 19) Halperin J., Oliver J.H. and Stroughton R.W. : "The Thermal Cross 19) Halperin J., Oliver J.H. and Stroughton R.W. "The Thermal Cross Section and Resonance Integral for Neutron Fission of ²⁵² Cf" , ORNL Section and Resonance Integral for Neutron Fission of 252Cf", ORNL 4706, 51 (1971). 4706, 51 (1971).
	- 20) Folger R.L., Smith J.A., Brown L.C., Overman R.F. and Holcomb H.P. : 20) Folger R.L., Smith J.A., srown L.C., Overman R.F. and Holcomb H.P. Proc. of Conf. on Nucl. Cross Sections and Technol., Washington D.C., Proc. of Conf. on Nucl. Cross Sections and Technol., Washington D.C., 4-7 March, 1968, NBS special publication 299, 1279 (1968). 4-7 March, 1968, NsS special publication 299, 1279 (1968).
	- 21) Ruche B.C. : Trans. Amer. Nucl. Soc., 14, 344 (1971). 21) Ruche B.C. Trans. Amer. Nucl. Soc., 14, 344 (1971).
	- 22) Anufriev V.A., Gavrilov V.D., Zamyatnin Yu.S. and Ivanenko V.V. : 22) Anufriev V.A., Gavrilov V.D., Zamyatnin Yu.S. and Ivanenko V.V. Sov. At. Energy, 36, 359 (1973). Sov. At. Energy, 36, 359 (1973).
	- 23) Moore M.S., McNally J.H. and Baybarz R.D. : Phys. Rev., C 4, 273 23) Moore M.S., McNally J.H. and Baybarz R.D. Phys. Rev., C 4, 273 (1971). (1971).
	- 24) Mughabghab S.F. : "Neutron Cross Sections, Vol.1, Neutron Resonance 24) Mughabghab S.F. "Neutron Cross Sections, Vo1.1, Neutron Resonance Parameters and Thermal Cross Sections, Part B: Z=61-100", Academic Press (1984). Press (1984).
	- 25) Kikuchi Y. : private communication. 25) Kikuchi Y. private comunication.
	- 26) Fomushkin E.F., Gutnikova E.K., Novoselov G.F. and Panin V.I. : 26) Fomushkin E.F., Gutnikova E.K., Novoselov G.F. and Panin V.I. Sov. At. Energy, 40, 202 (1976). Sov. At. Energy, 40, 202 (1976).
	- 27) Gilbert A. and Cameron A.G.W. : Can. J. Phys., 43, 1446 (1965).
	- 28) Iijima S. : to be published as JAERI-M report. 28) Iijima S. to be published as JAERI-M report.
	- 29) ENSDF : Evaluated Nuclear Structure Data File.
	- 30) Wapstra A.H. and Bos K. : Atomic Data and Nucl. Data Tables, 19, No.3 (1977). No.3 (1977).
	- 31) Igarasi S. : J. Nucl. Sci. Technol., 12, 67 (1975). 31) Igarasi S. J. Nucl. Sci. Technol., 12, 67 (1975).
	- 32) Phillips T.W. and Howe R.E. : Nucl. Sci. Eng., 69, 375 (1979). 32) Phillips T.W. and Howe R.E. Nucl. Sci. Eng., 69, 375 (1979).
	- 33) Schmorak M.R. : Nucl. Data Sheets, 32, 87 (1981).
	- 34) Smith A., Guenther P., Winkler G. and McKnight R. : "Prompt-Fission-34) Smith A., Guenther P., Winkler G. and McKnight R. "Prompt-Fission-Neutron Spectra of ²³³U, ²³⁵U, ²³⁹Pu and ²⁴⁰Pu Relative to the That of ²⁵²Cf", ANL/NDM-50 (1979).
	- 35) Howerton R.J. : Nucl. Sci. Eng., 46, 42 (1971). 35) Howerton R.J. Nucl. Sci. Eng., 46, 42 (1971).
	- 36) Howerton R.J. : Nucl. Sci. Eng., 62, 438 (1977). 36) Howerton R.J. Nucl. Sci. Eng., 62, 438 (1977).
	- 37) Tuttle, R.J. : Proc. Consultants' Meeting on Delayed Neutron Pro-37) Tuttle, R.J. Proc. Consultants' Meeting on Delayed Neutron Properties, Vienna, 26-30 March 1979, INDC(NDS)-107/G+Special, 29 perties, Vienna, 26-30 March 1979, INDC(NDS) 107/G+Special 29 (1979). (1979).
	- 38) Diamond H., Hines J.J. Sjoblom R.K., Barnes R.F., Metta D.N., 38) Diamond H., Hines J.J. Sjoblom R.K., Barnes R.F., Metta D.N., Lerner J.L. and Fields P.R. : J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 30, 2553 (1968). Lerner J.L. and Fields P.R. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 30, 2553 (1968).
- 39) Bol'shov V.I., Dubinin A.A., Dmitriv V.M., Kapchigashev S.P., 39) Bol'shov V.I., Dubinin A.A., Dmitriv V.M., Kapchigashev S.P., Kon'shin V.A., Matusevicli E.5., Polivanskii V.P.. Pupko V.Ya., Kon'shin V.A., Matusevicli E.S., Polivanskii V.P., Pupko V.Ya., Regushevskii V.I., Stavisskii Yu.Ya. and Yur'ev Yu.S. : Sov. At. Regushevskii V.I., Stavisskii Yu.Ya. and Yur'ev Yu.S. Sov. At. Energy, 26, 497 (1970). Energy, 26, 497 (1970).
- 40) Prince A. : Proc. of Conf. on Nucl. Cross Sections and Technol., Washington D.C., 4-7 March, 1968, NBS special publication 299, 2, Washington D.C., 4-7 March. 1968, NBS special publication 299, 2, 951 (1968). 951 (1968).
- 41) Lasijo R.S. : private communication (1986). 41) Lasijo R.S. private communication (1986).

Table 1 Quantities evaluated in the present work Tab1e 1 Quantities eva1uated in the present work

3) Angular distributions of emitted neutrons 3) Angu1ar distributions of emitted neutrons

Angular distributions of elastically and inelastically scattered Angu1ar distributions of e1astica11y and ine1astica11y scattered neutrons, and those from $(n,2n)$, $(n,3n)$, $(n,4n)$ and fission reactions were given in the same energy range as their cross-section tions were given in the same energy range as their cross-section data. data.

4) Energy distributions of emitted neutrons 4) Energy distributions of emitted neutrons

5)

Table 2 Thermal cross sections of ²⁵²Cf

Table 3 Resonance integrals of 252 Cf

 $\bar{\gamma}$

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 \sim \sim

t

 $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{array}$ Ť

 $\frac{1}{2}$

÷

(barns) (barns)

energy	s_0	s ₁	D -obs	Γ_f	r_{γ}
(key)	(10^{-4})	(10^{-4})	(eV)	(eV)	(eV)
1.0	1.22	3.37	26.9	0.0565	0.035
1.25	1.22	3.37	26.9	0.0599	0.035
1.5	1.22	3.37	26.9	0.0625	0.035
1.75	1.22	3.37	26.9	0.0654	0.035
2.0	1.22	3.37	26.9	0.0666	0.035
2.5	1.22	3.37	26.8	0.0683	0.035
3.0	1.22	3.37	26.8	0.0700	0.035
4.0	1.22	3.37	26.7	0.0754	0.035
5.0	1.22	3.37	26.7	0.0760	0.035
6.0	1.22	3.37	26.6	0.0765	0.035
7.0	1.22	3.37	26.6	0.0773	0.035
8.0	1.22	3.37	26.5	0.0767	0.035
9.0	1.22	3.37	26.4	0.0778	0.035
10.0	1.22	3.37	26.4	0.0780	0.035
12.5	1.22	3.37	26.2	0.0799	0.035
15.0	1.22	3.37	26.1	0.0801	0.035
17.5	1.22	3.37	25.9	0.0818	0.035
20.0	1.22	3.37	25.8	0.0827	0.035
25.0	1.22	3,37	25.5	0.0893	0.035
30.0	1.22	3.37	25.2	0.0965	0.035

Table 5 Unresolved Resonance parameters of 252Cf

Table 6 Level density parameters of Californium isotopes

	$Cf-249$	$Cf-250$	$Cf-251$	$Cf - 252$	$Cf-253$
$a(Mev^{-1})$	29.9	31.2	32.2	31.6	32.2
α_M (MeV ^{-1/2})	31.60	32.36	32.97	32.74	33.14
\triangle (MeV)	0.77	1.673	0.77	1.635	0.77
T(MeV)	0.3693	0.4025	0.3809	0.3927	0.3322
C(MeV)	5.416	2.093	14.84	1.895	3.59
E_v (MeV)	3.636	5.418	4.204	5.233	3.226

reaction	0-value (MeV)	E_{th} (MeV)
(n, 2n)	-6.1707	6.1954
(n, 3n)	-11.2822	11.3273
(n, 4n)	-17.9057	1.9773

Table 7 Q-values and threshold energies of
 252Cf (n, Xn) reactions

Table 8 Optical potential parameters

(MeV and fm)

 $V = 43.4 - 0.107E_n$ $W_{\rm s}$ = 6.95 - 0.339E_n + 0.0531E_n² $V_{SO} = 7.0$ $r_0 = r_{so} = 1.282$ $r_s = 1.29$ $a = a_{SO} = 0.60$ $= 0.5$ b

Table 9 Level scheme of ²⁵²Cf

No.	Energy (keV)	spin-parity
ground	0.0	$0 +$
ı	45.72	$2 +$
$\overline{2}$	151.73	$4 +$
3	804.82 ٠	$2 +$
4	830.81	$2 -$
5	845.72	$3 +$
6	867.51	$3 -$
7	900.3	$4 +$
8	917.03	4 –
9	969.83	3 $+$

Levels above 1.03 MeV were assumed to be overlapping.

energy (keV)	S ₁ (10^{-4})	s ₁ (10^{-4})	D-obs (eV)	r_f (eV)	\mathbf{r}_{γ} (eV)
0.1	0.822	3.92	2.09	0.104	0.035
0.5	0.822	3.92	2.09	0.104	0.035
0.2	0.822	3.92	2.09	0.104	0.035
0.3	0.822	3.92	2.09	0.105	0.035
0.4	0.822	3.92	2.09	0.105	0.035
0.5	0.822	3.92	2.09	0.104	0.035
0.6	0.822	3.92	2.09	0.102	0.035
0.8	0.822	3.92	2.09	0.106	0.035
1.0	0.822	3.92	2.09	0.101	0.035
1.5	0.822	3.92	2.08	0.0998	0.035
2.0	0.822	3.92	2.08	0.104	0.035
3.0	0.822	3.92	2.08	0.108	0.035
4.0	.0.822	3.92	2,07	0.118	0.035
5.0	0.822	3.92	2.07	0.120	0.035
6.0	0.822	3.92	2.06	0.123	0.035
7.0	0.822	3.92	2.06	0.127	0.035
8.0	0.822	3.92	2.06	0.134	0.035
10.0	0.822	3.92	2.05	0.139	0.035
12.5	0.822	3.92	2.04	0.146	0.035
15.0	0.822	3.92	2.03	0.155	0.035
20.0	0.822	3.92	2.01	0.168	0.035
25.0	0.822	3.92	1.98	0.190	0.035
30.0	0.822	3.92	1.96	0.208	0.035

Table 10 Unresolved Resonance parameters of 250Bk

Table 11 Level density parameters of Berkelium isotopes

1

reaction	Q-value (MeV)	E_{th} (MeV)
(n, 2n)	-4.9697	4.9897
(n, 3n)	-11.1834	11.2285
(n, 4n)	-16.7491	16.8166

Table 12 Q-values and threshold energies of ²⁵⁰Bk (n,Xn) reactions Table 12 Q-values and threshold energies of ⁵⁰Bk (n,Xn) reactions

No.	Energy (keV)	spin-parity
ground	0.0	$2 -$
$\mathbf{1}$	34.5	$3 -$
2	35.6	$4 +$
3	78.1	$5 +$
4	86.4	$7 +$
5	97	$5 -$
6	104.1	$l -$
7	125.4	$2 -$
8	129	$6+$
9	131.9	$3 +$
10	157	$8+$
11	167	$6 -$
12	175.4	$1 +$
13	191	$7 +$
14	211.8	$2 +$
15	237	$3 +$
16	242	$9+$
17	248	$\overline{7}$
18	270	$4 +$

Levels above 296 keV were assumed to be overlapping. Levels above 296 keV were assumed to be overlapping.

JAERI-M 88-004 JAERI-M 88-004

Table 14 Thermal cross sections and resonance integrals of 18 nuclides Table 14 Thermal cross sections and resonance integrals of 18 nuclides

÷,

Fig. 1 Staircase plot of total number of ²⁵²Cf resonances

Fig. 2 Fission cross section in the energy range from 10 to 1000 eV. Solid curve is calculated values based on the present evaluation. Circles are the experimental data measured by of Moore et al. 23

Fig. 3 Adjustment of unresolved resonance parameters to fission cross section. Solid curve is cross-section values calculated from the final parameters. Differences between the solid curve and circles which are cross sections to be reproduced were adopted as the background cross section

Fig. 4 Adjustment of unresolved resonance parameters to capture cross section

Fig. 7 Cross sections in the energy range from 0.01 eV to 20 MeV

 $\frac{1}{2}$

Fig. 11 Comparison of capture cross sections

 10^{3}

Neutron Energy (eV)

 $10⁴$

 10^{2}

 10^{-4}

 10^{-5}

<u>10.</u>

 10^{-1}

 $\frac{1}{10}$ ¹

 $ENDL-84$

 $\overline{10^5}$

 $\overline{10^6}$

 $10⁷$

Comparison of inelastic scattering, (n, 2n) and (n, 3n) cross Fig. 12 sections

Fig. 13 All cross sections of ²⁵⁰Bk

-1 $rac{31}{1}$ JAERI-M 88-004