

ITEP--44(1987)

Z.G.Berezhiani, MucMysotsky

NEUTRINO DECAY IN MATTER

Preprint Ned4

УЛК 539.12

NEUTRINO DECAY IN WATTER: Proprint ITEP 87-44/ Z.G.Berezhiani^{#)}, M.I.Vysotsky - M.: ATOMIZDAT, 1987 - 12p.

We demonstrate that the matter can induce a decay of neutrino into antineutrino and light scalar particle (majoron): $V \rightarrow \tilde{V} + d$, or vice versa, $\tilde{V} \rightarrow \tilde{V} + d$. The diagonal as well as non-diagonal transitions on neutrino types are possible. The decay probabilities depend on neutrino energies in an unusual way. Applications for 1) accelerator neutrinos passing through the earth; 2) solar neutrinos; 3) neutrino emission accompanied the gravitational collapse of stellar core are discussed.

Pig. - , ref. - 8

") Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences 4858, 380077, Tbilisi

(C) Центральный научно-исследовательский институт информании и техникоэкономических исследований по атомной науке и технике (ЦНИИ атоминформ),1987 It is well known that the oscillations of neutrinos in matter could be distinct compared with vacuum oscillations /1,2/. We shall demonstrate that the coherent interaction of neutrinos with matter could be important for their decay also. In particular, a transition of the left-handed neutrino into right-handed one (right neutrino in the case of Dirac neutrino or antineutrino in the case of Majorana neutrino) with the emission of massless (or sufficiently light) scalar particle can become energetically allowed even in the case of strictly massless neutrinos.

Coherent scattering could be taken into account directly into "current x current" Lagrangian which describe elastic neutrino scattering in terms of neutral currents*). In the rest frame of matter only the time components of electron. pro-ton and neutron vector currents remain nonzero after averaging over the matter, e.g. $\langle \overline{e} \rangle_{\mu} (H \delta_{5}) e >= \delta_{0\mu} h_{e}$. Consequently neutrino propagation in the medium is described by the Dirac equation analogous to that describing electron motion in an external field $eA_{\mathcal{M}} = (g, 0)$. Therefore, for left--handed neutrino and CP conjugated right-handed antineutrino $\widetilde{V}_{\mathcal{K}} = C V_{\mathcal{K}}$ energy levels we have correspondingly : $\mathcal{E} =$ $=\sqrt{p^2+m^2}\pm q$. Here \overline{P} and m are V momentum and mass *) The charged (${}^{\bullet}e e$) current interactions also must be Fierz-transformed into the form $\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{5}} = \delta_{\mu}(1+\frac{1}{5}) k_{e} \in \delta_{\mu}(1+\frac{1}{5}) e$.

and $g_{=}g_{e} - \frac{i}{4}g_{n}$ for v_{e} and $g_{=} - \frac{i}{4}g_{n}$ for v_{n} and v_{τ} , where $g_{e,n} = \sqrt{2}G_{e}n_{e,n}$ and n_{e} and n_{n} are electron and neutron densities correspondingly. So if there exist interaction which change neutrino chirality - for example radiation of the massless or very light scalar particle Ψ - the decay v_{e}^{-1} $\rightarrow v_{e}^{-1} + \varphi$ is induced in the matter (or vice versa, depending on the neutrino type and the relative neutron density of matter). We will also demonstrate that even if this scalar particle interacts diagonally with neutrino in vacuum the flavour--nondiagonal transitions would be induced in matter.

Let us illustrate the above statements within the triplet Majoron model $^{/3/}$. We briefly remind this model. A triplet of scalar fields $\vec{\Psi} = (\varphi^{++}, \varphi^{+}, \varphi^{\circ})$ is introduced in the standard SU(2) \mathcal{O} U(1) model of electroweak interactions without righthanded neutrino field components. The field $\vec{\Psi}$ has Yukawa couplings with the doublets $\ell_2: \begin{pmatrix} \gamma e \\ e \end{pmatrix}_{\ell_1}, \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \mu \\ \gamma^+ \end{pmatrix}_{\ell_2}$ (for the sake of simplicity we shall discuss the case of two generations). If the Lagrangian doesn't contain couplings of the type $H\vec{t}\mathcal{E}H\vec{\varphi}$ (where H is Higgs doublet) then global U(1) symmetry could be spontaneously broken by non-zero vacuum average \mathcal{U} of the neutral component φ° of triplet field. In this way neutrino acquires Majorana masses. Simultaneously massless Goldstone boson (Majoron) $\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{P}^{\circ} - \mathcal{U}$ with a mass $\sqrt{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{U}$ appears also (λ is an interaction constant of the field \mathcal{P})*).

^{*)} The energy loss of "red giants" due to Majoron emission put the constraint : $\mathcal{U} < 100 \text{ KeV}^{/4/}$. The fields \mathcal{P}^{**} and \mathcal{P}^{*} must be heavy, $\mathcal{M} \sim \mathcal{M}_{W}$.

The Lagrangian of φ° interaction has the following form :

$$\mathcal{Z} = \overline{\left(\overline{v_e} \, v_{\mu}\right)_L} \, \hat{h} \left(u + i d + \psi \right) \left(\frac{\overline{v_e}}{\overline{v_{\mu}}} \right)_R + \text{h.c.} \tag{1}$$

where $\widehat{\Psi}_{R} \equiv C \Psi_{L}$ is antineutrino field and $\widehat{h} = \begin{pmatrix} he & hen \\ hen & hn \end{pmatrix}$ is the matrix of Yukawa coupling constants.

If one transform neutrino mass matrix into diagonal form and come to the states with definite masses m_1 and m_2 $(\sqrt{2}=C_{e}+S_{\mu})$, $\sqrt{2}=-S_{e}+C_{\mu}$, where $c = \cos\Theta$, $s = \sin\Theta$. $S_{e}+C_{e}+C_{\mu}$, where $c = \cos\Theta$, $s = \sin\Theta$. $S_{e}+C_{e}+C_{\mu}$, $(\sqrt{2}+C_{e}+C_{\mu})$, where $c = \cos\Theta$, $s = \sin\Theta$. and Ω .

$$h_{1,2} = \frac{m_{1,2}}{u} = \frac{1}{2} \left[h_e + h_\mu \mp \sqrt{4h_{e_\mu}^2 + (h_\mu - h_e)^2} \right], \ \hat{h} = \begin{pmatrix} h_e & 0 \\ 0 & h_2 \end{pmatrix} (2)$$

We demonstrate that λ' and φ' couple diagonally with ν_{1} and ν_{2} . So the heaviest neutrino can not decay in vacuum.

The next step is to take into account effects of matter. For ultrarelativistic neutrino ($\rho \equiv |\overline{\rho}| >> m$) the evolution of states in matter after substraction of an unessentional common phase is described by the following Schrödinger equation^{/1/}:

$$i \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} = H \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(3)

with the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m_1^2}{2\rho} + c^2 \rho - \frac{1}{2} \beta_n & c S \beta_e \\ c S \beta_e & \frac{m_1^2}{2\rho} + S^2 \beta_e - \frac{1}{2} \beta_n \end{pmatrix}$$
(4)

Eigenstates of this Hamiltonian are $y_1^m = C_m y_1 + S_m v_2$, $v_2^m = -S_m v_1 + C_m v_2$ ($C_m = C_{02} \Theta_m$, $S_m = Sim \Theta_m$) where

$$\sin^{2} \theta_{m} = \frac{\sin^{2} \theta}{\sin^{2} \theta + (\cos 2\theta - \xi)^{2}}, \qquad (5)$$

and $\xi = \frac{m_{2}^{2} - m_{f}^{2}}{2 \rho S_{e}}$. Eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian (4) look like : $\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{m_{f}^{2} + m_{2}^{2}}{2 \rho} + S_{e} - S_{n} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{m_{e}^{2} - m_{1}^{2}}{2 \rho} + C_{ol} 2\theta S_{e}\right)^{2} + \sin^{2} 2\theta S_{e}^{2}} \right]$ (6)

Antineutrino evolution in the same matter is described by enalogous Hamiltonian with the change $f_{\mathcal{C},n} \rightarrow -f_{\mathcal{C},n}$ (when we interchange γ and $\overline{\gamma}$ the zero angle scattering amplitude f(0) change the sign). Eigenstates and eigenvalues for $\overline{\gamma}_{\mathcal{R}}$ are given by formulae (5,6) with the change $f_{\mathcal{C},n} \rightarrow -f_{\mathcal{C},n}$ $(\widetilde{c}_m = c_0 \widetilde{\Theta}_m, \widetilde{s}_m = f_{in} \widetilde{\Theta}_m$.

For eigenstates in a matter we obtain from (1):

$$\mathcal{Z} = \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{b_{q}^{m} b_{2}^{m}}_{2} \right)_{2}^{n}}_{2} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{c_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{s} + S_{m} \widetilde{s}_{m} h_{2}}_{2} \right)_{2}^{n}}_{n} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{c_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{s} + S_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{2}}_{2} \right)_{2}^{n}}_{n} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{c_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{2} - S_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3}}_{n} \right)_{2}^{n}}_{n} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{c_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{2} - S_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3}}_{n} \right)_{2}^{n}}_{n} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{c_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{2} - S_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3}}_{n} \right)_{n}}_{n} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{c_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{2} - S_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3}}_{n} \right)_{n}}_{n} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{c_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{2} - S_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3}}_{n} \right)_{n}}_{n} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{c_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{2} - S_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3}}_{n} \right)_{n}}_{n} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{c_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3} - S_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3}}_{n} \right)_{n}}_{n} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{c_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3} - S_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3}}_{n} \right)_{n}}_{n} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{c_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3} - S_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3}}_{n} \right)_{n}}_{n} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{c_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3} - S_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3}}_{n} \right)_{n}}_{n} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{c_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3} - S_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3}}_{n} \right)_{n}}_{n} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{c_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3} - S_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3} \right)_{n}}_{n}}_{n} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{c_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3} - S_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3} \right)_{n}}_{n}}_{n} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{c_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3} - S_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3} \right)_{n}}_{n}}_{n} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{c_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3} - S_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3} \right)_{n}}_{n}}_{n} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{c_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3} - S_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3} \right)_{n}}_{n} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{c_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3} - S_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3} \right)_{n}}_{n}}_{n} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{c_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3} - S_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3} \right)_{n}}_{n} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{c_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3} \right)_{n} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{c_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3} \right)_{n}}_{n} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{c_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_{3} \right)_{n} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{c_{m} \widetilde{c}_{m} h_$$

Therefore, the nondiagonal $\widetilde{\lambda}_{1} \rightarrow \widetilde{\lambda}_{1}$ as well as diagonal $\widetilde{\lambda}_{1,2} \rightarrow \widetilde{\lambda}_{1,1}$ transitions are possible.

For the forthcoming statements we need an expression for the neutrino decay probabilities. We shall give a general expres-ion from which all needed decay probabilities could easily be obtained. We shall consider a decay of Majorana neutrino \hat{V}_1 with a momentum \tilde{P} and mass m_1 and an interaction potential with a matter \hat{S}_1 into a massless scalar \prec and Majorana neutrino \hat{V}_2 with a mass m_1 and a potential \hat{S}_2 , which is described by an amplitude $h \tilde{V}_L \tilde{V}_{LR} \perp$. For the width in a reference system where matter is at rest we obtain :

$$\int = \frac{h^2}{16\pi} \frac{\left[\frac{m_1^2 + (f_1 - f_2)\sqrt{p^2 + m_1^2}}{\sqrt{p^2 + m_1^2}}\right]}{\sqrt{p^2 + m_1^2}} \left\{1 - \frac{m_2^4}{\left[\frac{m_1^4 + (f_1 - f_2)^2 + 2(f_1 - f_2)\sqrt{p^2 + m_1^2}}{m_1^2}\right]^2}\right\}(8)$$

۰.

We start our analysis from the case of a dense matter (or light neutrinos) $g \gg \frac{m_1^2, m_2^2}{2E}$ ($\frac{1}{2} < 1$). In this case the eigenstates of Hamiltonian (4) are directly the current ones : $v_1^m = v_e$, $v_2^m = v_{f1}$. For the neutrino decay probabilities we obtain :

$$\Gamma_{\bar{H}\mu} = \frac{h_{\mu}^{2}}{16\pi} g_{n}, \ \Gamma_{e\bar{e}} = \frac{h_{e}^{2}}{16\pi} (2g_{e} - g_{n}), \ \Gamma_{\mu\bar{e}} = \Gamma_{e\bar{\mu}} = \frac{h_{e\mu}^{2}}{16\pi} (g_{e} - g_{\mu})(g)$$

where $\int_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_n} \equiv \Gamma(\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_n \to \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_n)$ etc. Negative probability means that $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ must be interchanged (if $\mathcal{G}_n > 2\mathcal{G}_e$, then $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ decays into $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$).

Now, let us turn to the case of heavier neutrino (or low densities of matter) $\xi >> 1$. For the diagonal transitions $v_{\ell_{L}}^{m} \rightarrow \widetilde{v_{\ell_{R}}}, v_{\ell_{L}}^{m} \rightarrow \widetilde{v_{\ell_{R}}}$ we obtain :

$$\Gamma_{1\overline{1}} = \frac{h_1^2}{2\pi} \left(g_e \cos^2\theta - \frac{1}{2} g_n \right), \quad \Gamma_{1\overline{2}} = \frac{h_1^2}{2\pi} \left(g_e \sin^2\theta - \frac{1}{2} g_n \right) (10a)$$

Nondiagonal transitions have the following width: $\Gamma_{4\overline{2}} = \Gamma_{\overline{12}} = \frac{h_{1\overline{2}}^{2}}{16\pi |\overline{R}|} \frac{m_{1}^{4} - m_{2}^{4}}{m_{4}^{2}}, \quad h_{1\overline{2}} = \frac{fin \, 2\theta m}{2} \left(h_{1} - h_{2}\right) = \frac{fin \, 2\theta}{2\xi} \left(h_{4} - h_{1}\right) = \frac{hen}{\xi}$ (10b)

which are suppressed compared with diagonal ones (10a).

The probabilities of matter-induced transitions depend on the initial neutrino energy in an unusual way. They does not depend on energy when $\xi \ll 1$ (see (9)). When $\xi \gg 1$ diagonal transitions are also energy independent. As for nondiagonal probabilities (10b), they are proportional to E/m. For ordinary vacuum decays $\Gamma = \Gamma_o \ m/E$ where Γ_o is the decay probability in a rest frame of decaying particle.

Before we discuss some applications let us give the

experimental limits on the Majoron coupling constants: $h_e < 2 \cdot 10^{-3} (2\beta_o \text{ decay with Majoron emission})^{/5/}$ (11)

$$h_e^2 + h_{e_H}^2 < 4.5 \cdot 10^{-5}$$
 /6/(12)
 $h_{\mu}^2 + h_{e_H}^2 < 2.4 \cdot 10^{-4}$ (lepton decays of T- and K-mesons) (13)

1. Pass of the accelerator neutrinos through the Earth

 \tilde{J}_{μ} are heavier in the matter than \tilde{J}_{μ} . So, when a bunch of accelerator produced \tilde{J}_{μ} pass through the Earth some of them can decay into \tilde{J}_{μ} . Charge current give a distinct signature of the effect : together with f^{μ} from the readtion $\tilde{J}_{\mu} \rho \rightarrow h f^{\mu} f^{\mu}$ from the reaction $\tilde{J}_{\mu}^{h} \rightarrow f^{\mu} \rho$ appears. Let's estimate the value of the effect. For the Earth density we take 10 g/cm³, the relative number of neutrons is given by electronic number $Y_{e} \equiv \frac{ne}{n_{p}+n_{n}} \approx 0.5$. The region $\frac{1}{5} > 1$ is more profitable. With maximal value of h_{μ} from (10a) and (13) we obtain :

$$\mathcal{T}_{\widetilde{V}_{\mathcal{H}}} = \frac{4\pi}{h_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{H}}}^2} \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}} \frac{3 \cdot 10^{12} \text{ cm}}{3 \cdot 10^{12} \text{ cm}/\text{sec}} \approx 100 \text{ sec} \qquad (14)$$

As the length of the Earth diameter is of the order of 10^9 cm not more than 0.03 % $\widetilde{V_{r}}$ could transform into V_{r} .

2. Solar neutrinos

Electron neutrinos produced in a core of the Sun fall on the Earth and, in particular, are detected in Davis experiment. The deficit of ν_e in Davis experiment in comparison with standard solar model prediction can be understood if one suppose that ν_e decays inside the Sun : $\nu_e \rightarrow (\overline{\nu_e}, \overline{\nu_e}) \prec$. Let us make an estimate for the matter induced decay. As the maximal allowed value for h_e^2 is an order of magnitude lower than then in the domain $\xi \ll 1$ neglecting g_n in comparison with g_e we obtain :

$$V_{e} \rightarrow \overline{J}_{\mu} = \frac{h_{e_{\mu}}^{2}}{I_{b\pi}} f_{e}$$
(15e)

When $\frac{1}{2} > 1$ maximum neutrino mixing is profitable, $\theta \approx 45^{\circ}$. Then from (10a) we obtain :

$$\int_{e} \overline{v}_{e}, \overline{v}_{\mu} = \frac{h e_{\mu}}{\pi \pi} f e$$
(15b)

Taking maximally allowed value for $h_{e,\pi}^2$ from (12) and using the Sun density 100 g/cm³ at the distance 2.10¹⁰ cm we obtain in the case (15b) :

$$N_T/N_0 \approx 5\%$$
 (16)

which is too little to explain three times deficit of ν_e in Davis data but probably rather large to be detected in near future with new solar neutrino detectors.

3. Neutrinos from gravitational collapse of stellar core

In supernovae densities and distances are of a such value that inspite of the limits (10+13) neutrino decays could take place. The neutrinos emitted during a collapse have a typical energy of about 10 MeV. Taking a typical density 10^{12} g/cm^3 we obtain $f_e \approx 10^{-1}$ eV and $\xi \ll 1$. A typical distances in supernovae are $\mathcal{R} \sim 10^7$ cm and decays take place if $\lambda^2 > 10^{-9}$. Relative number of electrons in supernovae V_e varies between 0.3 at $\mathcal{R} \sim 10^6$ cm and 0.5 at $\mathcal{R} \sim 10^7$ cm $^{/7/}$. Without taking decays into account approximately equal numbers of \mathcal{V} 's and $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$'s of different types are expected; decays change this prediction drastically. In particular at Y = 0.3 $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{R}}$ decay into $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{R}}$ respectively and no antineutrinos fall on the Earth (let us remind that the detectors which are under operation now are sensitive only to $\overline{J_e}$ /8/; however, the detectors under construction will be sensitive to V_e as well).

It is noteworthy that the effects of neutrino decay in matter can be relevant also to the case of Dirac neutrinos. Indeed, in the standard SU(2) @ U(1) model with right-handed neutrino fields V_R one can introduce together with standard H an additional Higgs doublet $H' = \begin{pmatrix} H^{\circ} \\ H^{-} \end{pmatrix}$ with Yukawa couplings of the type $h \overline{e}_{L} \partial_{\mu} H' + h.c.$ and impose the global U(1) symmetry $H' \rightarrow e' A'$. The breaking of this symmetry by the vacuum average of the scalar H' ($\langle H'' \rangle \langle \langle \langle H'' \rangle = 250 \text{ GeV}$) leads to appearance of neutrino Dirac masses and the corresponding Goldstone boson β (Diron - by analogy with Majoron) simultaneously appears in the particle spectrum. Then the transitions $V_L \rightarrow V_R + \beta$ are possible in the matter (or vice versa, $V_{\rho} \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow \nu_{L} + \beta$, depending on the neutrino type and neutron concentration). It is obvious that due to sterility of by the experimental consequences of the Diron scheme substantially differ from that for the case "Majorana neutrinos + Majoron".

Let us discuss now the case of neutrino decays in vacuum. They are possible if in the Majoron scheme we incorporate also the small Dirac mass terms. Another way is the introducing of two (or more) Majoron-type global U(1) symmetries acting distinctly on the different neutrino flavours. In the vacuum only the neutrino-type nondiagonal transitions due to couplings of the type $h \overline{M}_1 V_2 \swarrow + h.c. (M_4 = C V_2 + S V_1, ...)$ are possible. e.g. $M_4 \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow \overline{V}_2 + \measuredangle$, $\overline{V}_4 \rightarrow V_2 + \checkmark$ if $M_4 > M_2$. The corresponding width in the rest frame of the neutrino source is $f = f_0 - \frac{M_1}{E}$.

where $f_0 = \frac{\lambda^2}{16\pi} \frac{m_4^2 - m_2^2}{m_4^3}$.

The vacuum decays can explain the deficite of solar V_e flux in Davis experiment in two different ways.

1. The neutrino mixing is negligible and $m_{P_e} > m_{P_e}$. Supposing that $\mathcal{T}_{P_e} = \mathcal{T}_{P_e}^o \frac{E_{P_e}}{m_{P_e}} \approx 500$ sec for $E_{P_e} \approx 5$ MeV we conclude that one third of emitting in the core of sun boron neutrinos decay in flight from Sun to Earth. In this scenario the flux of pp neutrinos (with energies lower than 420 KeV) is diminished in e^{10} times. This is strong (but negative) prediction for Ga-Ge detector experiments in preparation.

2. The neutrino mixing is substantial, $\psi = c \partial_1 + S \partial_2$, and $m_1 > m_2$. If the heavier neutrino ∂_1 decay into $\overline{\partial_2}$ with life-time $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}_1} < c$ 500 sec, only the component $\partial_2 = -S \partial_2 + C \partial_1$ reaches the Earth. The magnitude of ∂_2 flux directly measures the neutrino mixing angle : $N_{\text{Davis}}/N_0 = \text{Lin}^4 \Theta$.

In conclusion we would like to emphasize that the matter induced neutrino decays are drastically distinct from vacuum decays and \checkmark oscillations. They can take place even for strictly massless neutrinos. Experimental signatures of decays are also very distinct : neutrino changes chirality, which can not take place in a result of \checkmark oscillations.

Numerical estimates show that in an experiment with accelerator $\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{H}}$ beam little part could transform into $\partial_{\mathcal{H}}$; a flux of $V_{\mathcal{E}}$ from sun could contain some percent of $\overline{V_{\mathcal{E}}}$ and $\overline{D_{\mathcal{H}}}$, and a content of neutrinos and antineutrinos of different flavours coming into the Earth from stellar collapse could differ widely in number because of V decays in dense regions of star. Finally, note that the existence of Majoron lead to the absence

stages of evolution of the Universe if Yukawa constant h is greater than 10^{-5} .

We are grateful to S.I.Blinnikov, A.A.Gerasimov, A.Yu.Smirnov, M.Yu.Khlopov and V.A.Tsarev for useful discussions.

References

- Wolfenstein L. Phys.Rev., 1978, <u>D17</u>, 2369; 1979, <u>D20</u>, 2634.
 Mikheev S.P., Smirnov A.Yu. Yad.Fiz., 1985, <u>42</u>, 1441.
- 3. Gelmini G.B., Roncadelli M. Phys.Lett., 1981, <u>99B</u>, 411.
- 4. Georgi H., Glashow S.L., Nussinov S. NuclPhys., 1980, <u>B193</u>, 297.
- 5. Caldwell D.O. et al. Phys.Rev.Lett., 1985, 54, 281.
- 6. Barger V., Keung W., Pakvasa S. Phys.Rev., 1982, <u>D25</u>, 907.
- 7. Nadyozhin D.K. Astrophys.Space Science, 1977, <u>49</u>, 399; <u>51</u>, 283; 1978, <u>53</u>, 131; Bowers R.L., Wilson J.R. - Ap.J., 1982, <u>263</u>, 366;

Bruenn S.W. - Ap.J.Suppl., 1985, <u>58</u>, 771.

8. Alekseeva L.N. - in "Chastizi i Kosmologiya", I Ya I AN SSSR, p. 58, Moskva 1984.

З.Г.Бережиани, М.И.Высоцкий Распад нейтрино в веществе. Работа поступила в ОНТИ 20.01.87 Подписано к печати I2.02.87 ТО5250 Формат 60х90 I/I6 Офсетн.печ. Усл.-печ.л.0,75. Уч.-изд.л.0,5. Тираж 280 экз. Заказ 44 Индекс 3624 Цена 7 коп.

Отнечатено в ИТЭФ, II7259, Москва, Б.Черемушкинская, 25

ИНДЕКС 3624

[°] М.,ПРЕПРИНТ ИТЭФ, 1987, № 44, с.1-12

2

.

•

· •

.

.

ŗ.