IC/89/134

INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

THE SPACE OF HARMONIC MAPS OF S^2 INTO S^4

Bonaventure Loo

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY

UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION

IC/89/134

International Atomic Energy Agency

and

United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization

INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

The Space of Harmonic Maps of S^2 into S^{4*}

BONAVENTURE LOO

International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy.

ABSTRACT

Every branched superminimal surface of area $4\pi d$ in S^4 is shown to arise from a pair of meromorphic functions (f_1, f_2) of bidegree (d, d) such that f_1 and f_2 have the same ramification divisor. Conditions under which branched superminimal surfaces can be generated from such pairs of functions are derived. For each $d \geq 1$ the space of harmonic maps (i.e branched superminimal immersions) of S^2 into S^4 of harmonic degree d is shown to be a connected space of complex dimension $2d + 4$.

MIRAMARE – TRIESTE

May 1989

* Submitted for publication.

Introduction. In a study of minimal surfaces in euclidean spheres, Calabi showed that every minimal immersion of S^2 in S^n arises from an isotropic map to projective space ([4], [5]). This work was used by Bryant who showed that every compact Riemann surface can be superminimally immersed in S^4. There exist Calabi-type theorems representing harmonic maps of S^2 into other locally symmetric spaces in essentially algebro-geometric terms. These are of interest to people studying σ-models in physics. In this paper, we study the space of branched superminimal immersions of compact Riemann surfaces into S^4.

In §I, we characterize branched superminimal surfaces in S^4 by pairs of meromorphic functions with the same ramification divisor. This is done by constructing a contact map between $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^3$ and $\mathbf{P}T(\mathbf{CP}^1 \times \mathbf{CP}^1)$ where $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^3$ is the blow-up of $\mathbf{CP}^3$ along 2 skew lines. The bidegree of such a pair is related to the degree of the canonical lift of the surface in $\mathbf{CP}^3$. We then show that if in addition the surface is linearly full (i.e. not contained in any strict subspace of $\mathbf{R}^5$) then the pair of meromorphic functions has bidegree (d, d) where $d \geq 3$ and where the 2 functions do not differ by a Möbius transformation.

In §II, we analyze the space of harmonic maps of S^2 into S^4. By examining the projective geometry of certain Grassmann varieties, we show that the space $\mathfrak{H}_d$ of harmonic maps of S^2 into S^4 of degree d is a *connected* space of complex dimension $2d + 4$. We also construct examples of *unbranched* superminimal surfaces of genus 0 in S^4 of area $4\pi d$ for $d \geq 3$.

In §III, we consider branched superminimal surfaces of genus g. We discuss conditions under which a pair of meromorphic functions on a Riemann surface Σ can give rise to a branched superminimal immersion of Σ into S^4.

Preliminaries. Let Σ be a compact Riemann surface and $\psi : \Sigma \looparrowright S^4$ an immersion into the unit 4-sphere. Let B denote the second fundamental form of ψ. Then ψ is a *minimal immersion* if the mean curvature $H := trace\, B$ vanishes identically. More generally, ψ is a *branched minimal immersion* if it is minimal away from the set of isolated singular points. These are precisely the nonconstant conformal harmonic maps. Observe that any harmonic map $\psi : S^2 \to S^4$ is automatically conformal. Thus, branched minimal immersions of S^2 in S^4 are just the nonconstant harmonic maps from S^2 to S^4 (Eells-Lemaire [7]).

Let $\psi : \Sigma \looparrowright S^4$ be a (branched) minimal immersion of a compact Riemann surface in S^4. Let x and y denote the local isothermal coordinates on Σ. Consider the holomorphic quartic form $\Phi \in H^0(\Sigma; (\Omega^1)^4)$ defined by $\Phi := \varphi \cdot \varphi\, dz^4$ where $\varphi = \frac{1}{2}\left\{ B\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) - iB\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)\right\}$, and where "$\cdot$" is the complex bilinear extension of the dot product to $\mathbf{C}^5$. We say that ψ is a *(branched) superminimal immersion* if Φ vanishes identically. This means that ψ has a holomorphic horizontal lift, $\tilde{\psi}$, to $\mathbf{CP}^3$ (Bryant [3], Chern-Wolfson [6], Lawson [10]). Observe that since S^2 has no nontrivial holomorphic quartic differentials, every branched minimal immersion (i.e. harmonic map) of S^2 into S^4 is automatically branched superminimal.

Consider the Calabi-Penrose fibration $\pi : \mathbf{CP}^3 \to S^4 = \mathbf{HP}^1$. This fibration can be obtained via a quotient of 2 Hopf maps. Choose homogeneous coordinates (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) for $\mathbf{CP}^3$. Consider $\mathbf{C}^4 \cong \mathbf{H}^2$ as a quaternion vector space with left scalar multiplication, where the identification is given by $(z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) \mapsto (z_0 + z_1 j, z_2 + z_3 j)$. The Kähler form of the Fubini-Study metric is given by $\omega = \partial\bar\partial \log \|z\|^2$. The Calabi-Penrose fibration is then given by the quotient

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{C}^4 - \{0\} & = & \mathbf{H}^2 - \{0\} \\ \mathrm{Hopf}_{\mathbf{C}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{Hopf}_{\mathbf{H}} \\ \mathbf{CP}^3 & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbf{HP}^1 \end{array}$$

with fiber $\mathbf{CP}^1$. The horizontal 2-plane field $\mathcal{H}$ for π is given by a 1-form whose lifting to $\mathbf{C}^4 - \{0\}$ is

$$\Omega := \frac{1}{\|z\|^2}(z_0\, dz_1 - z_1\, dz_0 + z_2\, dz_3 - z_3\, dz_2).$$

Superminimal surfaces in S^4 are just the projections to S^4 of nonsingular holomorphic curves in $\mathbf{CP}^3$ which are integral curves of $\mathcal{H}$. Unfortunately, it is difficult to find integral curves of $\mathcal{H}$ directly. Our search for superminimal surfaces would be vastly simplified if we can find a contact manifold $(M, \mathcal{F})$ birationally equivalent to $\mathbf{CP}^3$, where it is easy to find integral curves of the contact plane field $\mathcal{F}$. Robert Bryant has found a birational correspondence between $\mathbf{CP}^3$ and the projectivized tangent bundle of $\mathbf{CP}^2$ carrying $\mathcal{H}$ to the contact plane field of $\mathbf{P}T(\mathbf{CP}^2)$. Using that, he was able to prove the following result:

THEOREM (BRYANT [3]). *Every compact Riemann surface admits a superminimal immersion into S^4.*

In this paper, I will be using another contact manifold—$\mathbf{P}T(\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1)$. From now on, I will let $\mathbf{P}^n$ denote $\mathbf{CP}^n$.

I. SOME PROJECTIVE GEOMETRY

1. Holomorphic contact structures. Let V be a complex $(2n+1)$-manifold. A *holomorphic contact structure* on V is a nondegenerate holomorphic distribution $\mathcal{F}$ of hyperplanes on V (i.e. the orthogonal spaces of some twisted holomorphic 1-form). (cf. Arnold [1], LeBrun [12]).

Let M be a complex n-manifold. Then the projectivized cotangent bundle of M has a canonical holomorphic contact structure. Now let $\pi : \mathbf{P}T^*M \to M$ denote the projection map onto the base space. A point $\varphi \in \mathbf{P}T^*M$ defines a hyperplane P_φ in $T_{\pi(\varphi)}M$. The contact hyperplane at φ is given by $(\pi_*^{-1})_\varphi(P_\varphi)$. Thus the canonical contact 2-plane field $\mathcal{K}$ at a point $y \in \mathbf{P}T(\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1) \cong \mathbf{P}T^*(\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1)$ is given by $(\pi_*^{-1})_y(L_y)$ where L_y denotes the tangent line at $\pi(y)$ corresponding to y.

The Calabi-Penrose fibration $p : \mathbf{P}^3 \to S^4$ has a contact 2-plane field $\mathcal{H}$ orthogonal to the fibers of p with respect to the Fubini-Study metric. The 2-plane field $\mathcal{H}$ for p is given by a 1-form whose lifting to $\mathbf{C}^4 - \{0\}$ is $\Omega = \|z\|^{-2}(z_0\, dz_1 - z_1\, dz_0 + z_2\, dz_3 - z_3\, dz_2)$. Let $\omega := dz_0 \wedge dz_1 + dz_2 \wedge dz_3$ denote the standard holomorphic symplectic form on $\mathbf{C}^4$. Let $\xi := z_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0} + z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + z_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}$. Then $\Omega = \|z\|^{-2}\, \xi \lrcorner \omega$.

2. Projection to $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$. Consider the 2 distinguished skew lines in $\mathbf{P}^3$ defined by $L_1 := p^{-1}(N) = \{[0, 0, z_2, z_3] \mid [z_2, z_3] \in \mathbf{P}^1\}$ and $L_2 := p^{-1}(S) = \{[z_0, z_1, 0, 0] \mid [z_0, z_1] \in \mathbf{P}^1\}$, where N and S denote the north and south poles of S^4 respectively.

LEMMA 1.1. *There is a well defined projection map* $pr : \mathbf{P}^3 - (L_1 \cup L_2) \to \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ *with* $\mathbf{P}^1$ *as fiber.*

PROOF: It suffices to show that there is a unique line L through each point $x \in \mathbf{P}^3 - (L_1 \cup L_2)$ which intersects L_1 and L_2. The intersection of L with L_1 and L_2 (identifying $L_1 \times L_2$ with $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$) gives us the desired projection map. For each $x \in \mathbf{P}^3 - (L_1 \cup L_2)$ consider the planes P_1 and P_2 in $\mathbf{P}^3$ defined by $P_1 = span(x, L_1)$ and $P_2 = span(x, L_2)$. Since L_1 and L_2 are skew, P_1 and P_2 intersect in a line L which contains the point x and which intersects both L_1 and L_2. ∎

PROPOSITION 1.2. *The fibers of* $pr : \mathbf{P}^3 - (L_1 \cup L_2) \to \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ *are horizontal with respect to* p *(i.e. the fibers of* pr *are integral curves of* $\mathcal{H}$.*)*

PROOF: Let $(x, y) \in L_1 \times L_2$. Let L denote the line through x and y, i.e. $L = pr^{-1}(x, y)$. Denote the inverse images of L, L_1, L_2, x and y to $\mathbf{C}^4 - \{0\}$ by P, P_1, P_2, ℓ_x and ℓ_y respectively.
NOTE: P_1 and P_2 are orthogonal with respect to ω. Let $A \in P_1$ and $B \in P_2$. Then $A = (0, 0, a, b)$ and $B = (c, d, 0, 0)$ for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{C}$. It is clear from the definition of ω that $\omega(A, B) = 0$. Since ω is skew, we also have $\omega(A, A) = \omega(B, B) = 0$.

Now pick nonzero vectors $X \in \ell_x \subset P_1$ and $Y \in \ell_y \subset P_2$. Observe that P is spanned by X and Y. Now let $V_1 = \alpha X + \beta Y$ and $V_2 = \gamma X + \delta Y$ be 2 vectors in P. Then by the note, $\omega(V_1, V_2) = 0$. Thus ω vanishes on P. Let $\rho : \mathbf{C}^4 - \{0\} \to \mathbf{P}^3$. Since ξ is tangent to the fibers of ρ and $\Omega\big|_L = \|z\|^{-2}(\xi \lrcorner \omega)\big|_P$, we see that Ω vanishes on L. Thus L is horizontal with respect to p. ∎

3. The contact map. Let X denote the blow up of $\mathbf{P}^3$ along L_1 and L_2, i.e. $X := \{([z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3], [y_0, y_1], [y_2, y_3]) \mid z_0 y_1 = z_1 y_0,\ z_2 y_3 = z_3 y_2\}$. Note that X is a $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundle over $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$: $\tilde{\pi} : X \to \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ where

$$\tilde{\pi}([z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3], [y_0, y_1], [y_2, y_3]) = ([y_0, y_1], [y_2, y_3]).$$

For ease of notation, let Y denote $\mathbf{P}T^*(\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1) \cong \mathbf{P}T(\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1)$. Let $\psi : X \to Y$ be defined by

$$\psi([z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3], [y_0, y_1], [y_2, y_3]) = ([y_0, y_1], [y_2, y_3], [z_0\, dy_1 - z_1\, dy_0, z_2\, dy_3 - z_3\, dy_2])$$

We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{P}^3 & \xleftarrow{\beta} & X & \xrightarrow{\psi} & Y \\ p\downarrow & & \tilde{\pi}\downarrow & & \downarrow\pi \\ S^4 & & \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1 & = & \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1 \end{array}$$

Observe that $\mathcal{H}$ extends to all of X, and for $x \in X$, $\tilde{\pi}_*(\mathcal{H}_x)$ is a tangent line in $T_{\tilde{\pi}(x)}(\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1)$, i.e. $\tilde{\pi}_*(\mathcal{H}_x) \in \mathbf{P}T_{\tilde{\pi}(x)}(\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1)$. Furthermore, $\tilde{\pi} = \pi \circ \psi$ where π is the projection to $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$. Now let $\ell := \tilde{\pi}_*(\mathcal{H}_x)$. Then $\pi_*^{-1}(\ell)$ is the contact plane at $\ell \in Y$. Now $\ell = \tilde{\pi}_*(\mathcal{H}_x) = (\pi \circ \psi)_*(\mathcal{H}_x) = \pi_* \circ \psi_*(\mathcal{H}_x)$. Thus, $\pi_*^{-1}(\ell) = \psi_*(\mathcal{H}_x)$. We thus have:

LEMMA 1.3. ψ *is a contact map, i.e.* ψ_* *sends the horizontal plane field* $\mathcal{H}$ *in* X *to the contact plane field* K *in* Y.

The blow ups, σ_1 and σ_2, of the 2 distinguished skew lines $L_1, L_2 \in \mathbf{P}^3$ are given by

$$\sigma_1 := \{([0, 0, z_2, z_3], [y_0, y_1], [z_2, z_3]) \mid [y_0, y_1] \in \mathbf{P}^1 \text{ and } [z_2, z_3] \in \mathbf{P}^1\}$$

and

$$\sigma_2 := \{([z_0, z_1, 0, 0], [z_0, z_1], [y_2, y_3],) \mid [z_0, z_1] \in \mathbf{P}^1 \text{ and } [y_2, y_3] \in \mathbf{P}^1\}$$

We observe that

$$\psi(\sigma_1) = \{([y_0, y_1], [z_2, z_3], [1, 0]) \mid [y_0, y_1] \in \mathbf{P}^1 \text{ and } [z_2, z_3] \in \mathbf{P}^1\}$$

and

$$\psi(\sigma_2) = \{([z_0, z_1], [y_2, y_3], [0, 1]) \mid [z_0, z_1] \in \mathbf{P}^1 \text{ and } [y_2, y_3] \in \mathbf{P}^1\}$$

PROPOSITION 1.4. ψ *is a branched 2-fold covering map. It is branched precisely along* σ_1 *and* σ_2

This proposition will be proved in the next subsection.

4. The involutions on X and S^4. We first define an involution $\alpha : X \to X$ by

$$\alpha([z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3], [y_0, y_1], [y_2, y_3]) = ([z_0, z_1, -z_2, -z_3], [y_0, y_1], [y_2, y_3])$$

(Actually, α is an involution on $\mathbf{P}^3$ which is extended to X in a trivial manner.)
NOTE:

(1) $\alpha\big|_{\sigma_1} = Id$, $\alpha\big|_{\sigma_2} = Id$ and $\alpha^*\Omega = \Omega$.
(2) By Note 1, α_* maps the horizontal plane $\mathcal{H}_x$ at $x \in X$ to the horizontal plane $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha(x)}$ at $\alpha(x)$.
(3) Let $u \in L_1$ and $v \in L_2$. Denote by ℓ_{uv} the line in $\mathbf{P}^3$ uniquely defined by u and v. Since $\alpha(u) = u$ and $\alpha(v) = v$, we have

$\alpha(\ell_{uv}) = \ell_{uv}$. Consequently, $\tilde{\pi} \circ \alpha = \tilde{\pi}$. (This actually follows immediately from the definition of α and $\tilde{\pi}$.)

(4) Since $\tilde{\pi}_*(\mathcal{H}_x) = \pi_* \circ \psi_*(\mathcal{H}_x) = \psi(x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\alpha(x)) = \tilde{\pi}_*(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha(x)}) &= \tilde{\pi}_*(\alpha_*\mathcal{H}_x) \qquad \text{by Note 2}\\ &= (\tilde{\pi}\circ\alpha)_*(\mathcal{H}_x)\\ &= \tilde{\pi}_*(\mathcal{H}_x) \qquad \text{by Note 3}\\ &= \psi(x)\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\psi \circ \alpha = \psi$, i.e. ψ is α-invariant.

Notes 1–4 imply that ψ is at least 2 to 1 except along σ_1 and σ_2. From the definition of ψ, it is clear that ψ is 1 to 1 on σ_1 and σ_2. Let us now examine the map ψ explicitly in local coordinates. Assume that $x \notin \sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2$. We can then set $z_i = y_i$ for $i = 0,1,2,3$. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $z_0 = y_0 = 1$ and $z_2 \neq 0$. Set $s = y_1$ and $t = y_3/y_2$. Then $ds = dy_1$ and $dt = z^{-2}(z_2\,dy_3 - z_3\,dy_2)$. Thus, $z_2^2\,dt = z_2\,dy_3 - z_3\,dy_2$. Hence, $\psi([1,z_1,z_2,z_3],s,t) = (s,t,[ds,z_2^2\,dt])$. We also have $\psi([1,z_1,-z_2,-z_3],s,t) = (s,t,[ds,z_2^2\,dt])$.

From the above local coordinate expression for ψ, it is clear that ψ is 2 to 1 away from σ_1 and σ_2. Now, ψ is a holomorphic map with finite fibers between compact complex 3-folds. Thus, it is a branched covering map of degree 2. This proves Proposition 1.4.

Let us now examine the inverse image of ψ locally. Choose a point $y \in Y - (S_1 \cup S_2)$ where S_1 and S_2 are the images under ψ of σ_1 and σ_2 respectively. Locally, y has coordinates (s,t,a). Recall that $\psi([1,z_1,z_2,z_3],s,t) = (s,t,[ds,z_2^2\,dt])$ where $s = z_1$ and $t = z_3/z_2$. Then

$$\psi^{-1}(y) = \psi^{-1}(s,t,a) = ([1,s,\sqrt{a},\sqrt{a}t],s,t).$$

The involution α on X corresponds to a permutation of the roots. Thus,

Proposition 1.5. *The map $\psi : X \to Y$ is equivalent to the projection map $p : X \to X/\mathbf{Z}_2$ where the $\mathbf{Z}_2$-action on X is given by the involution α.*

The involution on $\mathbf{P}^3$ descends to an involution on S^4. Identifying S^4 with $\mathbf{HP}^1$, the stereographic projections to $\mathbf{R}^4 = \mathbf{H}^1$ from the south and north poles are respectively given by $\varphi_1([q_1,q_2]) = q_1^{-1}q_2$ and $\varphi_2([q_1,q_2]) = q_2^{-1}q_1$, with transition functions $q \mapsto q^{-1}\|q\|^{-2}\bar{q}$. Now $p([z_0,z_1,z_2,z_3]) = [z_0+z_1j, z_2+z_3j] \in \mathbf{HP}^1$, where $[z_0,z_1,z_2,z_3] \in \mathbf{CP}^3$. Thus, $p(\alpha[z_0,z_1,z_2,z_3]) = p([z_0,z_1,-z_2,-z_3]) = [z_0+z_1j, -(z_2+z_3j)]$.

The involution α thus descends to an involution on $S^4 = \mathbf{HP}^1$ as follows: $\alpha([q_1,q_2]) = [q_1,-q_2]$ for all $[q_1,q_2] \in \mathbf{HP}^1$. (We will let α denote the involution on both X as well as S^4.)

Now, $\varphi_1 \circ \alpha([q_1,q_2]) = \varphi_1([q_1,-q_2]) = -q_1^{-1}q_2$ and $\varphi_2 \circ \alpha([q_1,q_2]) = \varphi_2([q_1,-q_2]) = -q_2^{-1}q_1$. Hence the action of α on a point $x \in S^4$ is just the antipodal map on the $S^3 \subset S^4$ obtained by the intersection of the horizontal 4-plane through x with S^4. (This S^3 is the "latitudinal S^3".) Thus, the geodesic 3-sphere in S^4 passing through the north and south poles is invariant under α.

5. Some degree computations. We now compute the degree of the total preimage in $\mathbf{P}^3$ of a holomorphic curve in Y. Recall the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}\mathbf{P}^3 & \xleftarrow{\ \beta\ } & X & \xrightarrow{\ \psi\ } & Y\\ {\scriptstyle p}\big\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle\tilde{\pi}}\big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle\pi}\\ S^4 & & \mathbf{P}^1\times\mathbf{P}^1 & = & \mathbf{P}^1\times\mathbf{P}^1\end{array}$$

Let ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 (resp. ℓ_1' and ℓ_2') denote the preimages in X (resp. Y) of the first and second factors of $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ respectively under the map $\tilde{\pi} : X \to \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ (resp. $\pi : Y \to \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$). Let S_1 and S_2 denote the 2 distinguished sections of Y corresponding to lines tangent to the second and first factors of $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ respectively. Recall that $\psi_*(\sigma_1) = S_1$ and $\psi_*(\sigma_2) = S_2$. Note that $\psi_*(\ell_i) = 2\ell_i'$, $\quad i = 1,2$. Let H be a hyperplane in $\mathbf{P}^3$. Then $\beta^*H = \sigma_1 + \ell_1 = \sigma_2 + \ell_2$. Thus $\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 = \ell_2 - \ell_1$. Also, $S_1 - S_2 = \psi_*(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2) = \psi_*(\ell_2 - \ell_1) = 2(\ell_2' - \ell_1')$. Hence, the Picard group of X and Y are given by

$$Pic(X) = \mathbf{Z}\{\ell_1,\ell_2,\sigma_1,\sigma_2\} \,/\, \langle \sigma_1 - \sigma_2 = \ell_2 - \ell_1\rangle$$

and

$$Pic(Y) = \mathbf{Z}\{\ell_1',\ell_2',S_1,S_2\} \,/\, \langle S_1 - S_2 = 2(\ell_2' - \ell_1')\rangle.$$

Let Σ be a compact Riemann surface of genus g. Let $\phi : \Sigma \to \mathbf{P}^1$ be a holomorphic map of degree d. A point $x \in \Sigma$ is a *ramification point* of ϕ if $d\phi(x) = 0$, and its image $\phi(x) \in \mathbf{P}^1$ is called a *branch point* of ϕ. By the Riemann-Hurwitz Theorem the number of branch points of ϕ (counting multiplicities) is $2g + 2d - 2$. The *ramification divisor* of ϕ is the formal sum $\sum a_i p_i$ where p_i is a ramification point of ϕ with multiplicity a_i, and where the sum is taken over all ramification points of ϕ. We will let $Ram(\phi)$ denote the ramification divisor of ϕ.

Let $F = (f_1,f_2) : \Sigma \to \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ be a holomorphic map of bidegree (n,m). Then the curve $C = F(\Sigma)$ is of class (m,n). Let $\tilde{F}$ denote the canonical lift (i.e. Gauss lift) of F to Y and let $C' := \tilde{F}(\Sigma)$. (The lift of a point $x \in C$ is the tangent line to C at x.) If we assume that C is *nonsingular*, then

$$\deg \tilde{F}^*(\ell_1') = m, \qquad \deg \tilde{F}^*(\ell_2') = n,$$
$$\deg \tilde{F}^*(S_1) = \#\text{ branch points of } f_1 = 2g-2+2n \quad \text{and}$$
$$\deg \tilde{F}^*(S_2) = \#\text{ branch points of } f_2 = 2g-2+2m$$

where 'deg' refers to the intersection number of $\tilde{F}(\Sigma)$ with the relevant generators. Let $\tilde{C} := \psi^{-1}(C') \subset X$ and $\gamma := \beta_*(\tilde{C}) \subset \mathbf{P}^3$. Then for a generic hyperplane H in $\mathbf{P}^3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\deg\gamma &= H \cdot \beta_*(\tilde{C}) = \beta^* H \cdot \tilde{C} = (\sigma_1 + \ell_1)\cdot(\psi^{-1}C')\\ &= \psi_*(\sigma_1+\ell_1)\cdot C' = (S_1 + 2\ell_1')\cdot \tilde{F}_*(\Sigma)\\ &= \deg \tilde{F}^*(S_1+2\ell_1') = 2g-2+2n+2m.\end{aligned}$$

Supose $\deg f_1 = \deg f_2 = d$ and $Ram(f_1) = Ram(f_2)$. Then the curve $C = F(\Sigma)$ has singular points with the property that $\deg \tilde{F}^*(S_1) = \deg \tilde{F}^*(S_2) = 0$. Consequently, $\deg\gamma = 2d$.

6. Conjugate branched superminimal surfaces. Let us suppose that $f : \Sigma \to S^4$ is a branched superminimal immersion of a compact Riemann surface in S^4. Generically, $f(\Sigma)$ misses a pair of antipodal points in S^4 (say the north and south poles). Also, generically, $\alpha(f(\Sigma)) \neq f(\Sigma)$, i.e. $f(\Sigma)$ is not α-invariant. Let $\tilde{f} : \Sigma \to \mathbf{P}^3$ be the holomorphic horizontal lift of f to $\mathbf{P}^3$.

PROPOSITION 1.6. *A generic branched superminimal surface $f(\Sigma)$ in S^4 has the property that its lift $\tilde{f}(\Sigma)$ in $\mathbf{P}^3$ is not α-invariant.*

PROOF: The proposition follows immediately from the definition of the involution α and the fact that α-invariance in $\mathbf{P}^3$ descends to α-invariance in S^4. ∎

NOTE: The converse is not necessarily true. For example, the totally geodesic S^2 of area 4π contained in the equator of S^4 is obviously α-invariant. However, its lift in $\mathbf{P}^3$ is a curve γ of degree 1 (and hence $\gamma \cong \mathbf{P}^1$) which avoids L_1 and L_2, and thus is not α-invariant. Observe that $\alpha(\gamma)$ projects down to the same geodesic S^2 (but with the opposite orientation).

COROLLARY 1.7. *Given a generic branched superminimal surface $f(\Sigma)$ in S^4, we obtain a conjugate branched superminimal surface, $\alpha \circ f(\Sigma)$, in S^4.*

PROOF: Since $f(\Sigma)$ is generic, it avoids the poles and hence its lift $\tilde{f}(\Sigma)$ avoids L_1 and L_2. Thus, $\tilde{f}(\Sigma)$ is diffeomorphic to its image $\tilde{f}'(\Sigma)$ in X under the blow up of $\mathbf{P}^3$ along L_1 and L_2. Now by notes 1–4 in §1.4, we have $\tilde{\pi} \circ \tilde{f}'(\Sigma) = \tilde{\pi} \circ (\alpha \circ \tilde{f}'(\Sigma))$ and that $\alpha \circ \tilde{f}(\Sigma)$ is holomorphic and horizontal in $\mathbf{P}^3$ and thus projects to a branched superminimal surface in S^4, i.e. we obtain conjugate branched superminimal surfaces for free! ∎

7. Bidegrees and ramification divisors. Let $f(\Sigma)$ be a generic branched superminimal surface in S^4. Its lift $\tilde{f}(\Sigma)$ is a holomorphic horizontal curve γ in $\mathbf{P}^3$. The homology degree of $\gamma \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ is the fundamental class $[\gamma] \in H_2(\mathbf{P}^3; \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}$. This degree is also the intersection number of γ with a generic $\mathbf{P}^2$ in $\mathbf{P}^3$ (i.e. homology degree = algebraic degree). Let $\tilde{\pi} = (\tilde{\pi}_1, \tilde{\pi}_2)$ denote the projection map of $\mathbf{P}^3 - (L_1 \cup L_2)$ to $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$. Define $f_1, f_2 : \Sigma \to \mathbf{P}^1$ by $f_1 := \tilde{\pi}_1 \circ \tilde{f}$ and $f_2 := \tilde{\pi}_2 \circ \tilde{f}$.

PROPOSITION 1.8. *Suppose that $\deg(\gamma) = d$. Then the holomorphic curve $C = \tilde{\pi}\circ\tilde{f}(\Sigma)$ in $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ has bidegree (d,d), i.e. $\deg f_1 = \deg f_2 = d$. Furthermore, $Ram(f_1) = Ram(f_2)$.*

PROOF: Let $x_1 \in L_1$. The fiber $\tilde{\pi}_1^{-1}(x_1) \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ is the plane $P_1 = span(x_1, L_2)$. Since $\deg\gamma = d$, P_1 has d intersection points with γ. Similarly, for $x_2 \in L_2$, the plane $P_2 = \tilde{\pi}_2^{-1}(x_2)$ has d intersection points with γ. Thus $C = \tilde{\pi}(\gamma)$ has bidegree (d,d).

Let z_0 be a ramification point of f_1. Let $p \in \gamma$ denote the point $\tilde{f}(z_0)$. Then the point $x := \tilde{\pi}_1(p)$ is a branch point of f_1. Let $y := \tilde{\pi}_2(p)$ and let L_{xy} denote the line in $\mathbf{P}^3$ through x and y. Finally, let H_x denote the plane $\{v \in T_p\mathbf{P}^3 \mid \tilde{\pi}_{1*}(v) = 0\}$. Now x is a branch point of f_1 and γ is an integral curve of $\mathcal{H}_p$, so the tangent line to the curve γ at p must be L_{xy}— the intersection of $\mathcal{H}_p$ and H_x. We thus have $\tilde{\pi}_{1*}(L_{xy}) = \tilde{\pi}_{2*}(L_{xy}) = 0$. Hence, y is a branch point of f_2 and so z_0 is in the ramification locus of both f_1 and f_2. By genericity, $Ram(f_1) = Ram(f_2)$. ∎

LEMMA 1.9. *A holomorphic map $F = (f_1, f_2) : \Sigma \to \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ has a canonical Gauss lift $\tilde{F}$ to $Y = \mathbf{P}T(\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1)$.*

PROOF: First suppose $(df_1(z), df_2(z)) \neq (0,0)$. Then the lift is given by $\tilde{F}(z) = (f_1(z), f_2(z), [f_1'(z), f_2'(z)])$. We are thus left with a finite set of singular points. Without loss of generality, suppose 0 is a singular point. Then $f_1'(z) = z^p g_1(z)$ and $f_2'(z) = z^q g_2(z)$ for some p, q and where $g_1(0) \neq 0$ and $g_2(0) \neq 0$. We may assume that $1 \leq p \leq q$. So $\tilde{F}(z) = (f_1(z), f_2(z), [g_1(z), z^{q-p}g_2(z)])$ for z in a neighborhood of 0. ∎

PROPOSITION 1.10. *Suppose $f : \Sigma \to S^4$ is a generic superminimal immersion. Let $\tilde{f} : \Sigma \to \mathbf{P}^3$ be the holomorphic horizontal lift of f, and* *let* $f_1 := \tilde{\pi}_1 \circ \tilde{f}$ *and* $f_2 := \tilde{\pi}_2 \circ \tilde{f}$. *Suppose that* $\deg f_1 = \deg f_2 = d \geq 2$. *Then* $f_2 \neq A \circ f_1$ *for any* $A \in PSL(2, \mathbf{C})$.

PROOF: Suppose $f_2 = A \circ f_1$ for some $A \in PSL(2,\mathbf{C})$. Then $F = (f_1, f_2) = (f_1, A \circ f_1) : \Sigma \to \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ factors through $\mathbf{P}^1$ as follows:

$$\Sigma \xrightarrow{f_1} \mathbf{P}^1 \xrightarrow{G=(Id,A)} \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1.$$

Since G has bidegree $(1,1)$, it is nonsingular and its canonical lift $\tilde{G}$ to Y avoids the 2 sections S_1 and S_2. The map f_1 is necessarily branched since $\deg f_1 \geq 2$. Hence, the canonical lift $\tilde{F}$ of F is a branched covering map of Σ into $\tilde{G}(\mathbf{P}^1) \cong \mathbf{P}^1$, i.e. $\tilde{F}(\Sigma)$ is branched. Consequently, its lift to $\mathbf{P}^3$, $\tilde{\tilde{F}}(\Sigma)$, is branched and hence projects to a branched superminimal surface in S^4. This contradicts the assumption that $f(\Sigma) \subset S^4$ is unbranched. ∎

Note that for $d = 1$, Σ must have genus zero and so $f(\Sigma)$ is totally geodesic in S^4.

We thus have

THEOREM A. *Every superminimal immersion* $f : \Sigma \looparrowright S^4$ *arises from a pair of meromorphic functions* f_1, f_2 *on* Σ *such that*

(1) $\deg f_1 = \deg f_2 = d$ *for some integer* $d \geq 1$.
(2) $Ram(f_1) = Ram(f_2)$
(3) *For* $d \geq 2$, $f_1 \neq A \circ f_2$ *for any* $A \in PSL(2, \mathbf{C})$.

We would like to generate superminimal surfaces in S^4 by considering pairs of meromorphic functions on Σ which satisfy the 3 conditions in Theorem A. Suppose $F = (f_1, f_2)$ is such a pair. Let $\tilde{C} = \tilde{F}(\Sigma) \subset Y$. Our degree computations in §I.5 show that the total preimage curve $\gamma = \beta \circ \psi^{-1}(\tilde{C})$ in $\mathbf{P}^3$ has degree $2d$. Suppose γ consists of 2 connected (or irreducible) components γ_1 and γ_2. Then $\alpha(\gamma_1) = \gamma_2$ and consequently $\deg \gamma_1 = \deg \gamma_2 = d$. Under suitable conditions (to be discussed later), γ_1 and γ_2 will project to a conjugate pair of superminimal surfaces in S^4.

II. GENUS ZERO

1. Meromorphic functions, Grassmannians and resultants. Let $f : \mathbf{P}^1 \to \mathbf{P}^1$ be a holomorphic map of degree d (i.e. f is a meromorphic function of degree d). Then f can be expressed as a rational function of the form $\dfrac{P(z)}{Q(z)}$ where $P(z) = a_d z^d + a_{d-1} z^{d-1} + \cdots + a_1 z + a_0$ and $Q(z) = b_d z^d + b_{d-1} z^{d-1} + \cdots + b_1 z + b_0$, $a_i, b_i \in \mathbf{C}$. Note that the

map f is of degree d if $\min\{\deg P(z), \deg Q(z)\} = d$ and if the resultant of the 2 polynomials does not vanish. Let $P = (a_d, a_{d-1}, \ldots, a_1, a_0)$ and $Q = (b_d, b_{d-1}, \ldots, b_1, b_0)$ denote the coefficient vectors of $P(z)$ and $Q(z)$ respectively. Then the resultant $\mathcal{R}(P,Q)$ of $P(z)$ and $Q(z)$ is the determinant of the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ B_1 & B_2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{where}$$

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_d & a_{d-1} & \ldots & a_1 \\ 0 & a_d & \ldots & a_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & a_d \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ a_1 & a_0 & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{d-1} & a_{d-2} & \ldots & a_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} b_d & b_{d-1} & \ldots & b_1 \\ 0 & b_d & \ldots & b_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & b_d \end{pmatrix}, \qquad B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ b_1 & b_0 & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{d-1} & b_{d-2} & \ldots & b_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The resultant is a homogeneous polynomial of bidegree (d,d) in the a_i and the b_j. Furthermore, $\mathcal{R}(P,Q)$ is irreducible over any arbitrary field (cf. [**18**]). We thus require that $(P,Q) \in \mathbf{C}^{d+1} \times \mathbf{C}^{d+1} - \mathcal{R}$, where $\mathcal{R}$ is the irreducible resultant divisor. Observe that $(\lambda P, \lambda Q)$ describes the same function as (P,Q) for any $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}^*$. Thus the space of meromorphic functions of degree d is

$$M_d := \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}^{d+1} \times \mathbf{C}^{d+1} - \mathcal{R}) \subset \mathbf{P}^{2d+1}.$$

We next define an action of $GL(2,\mathbf{C})$ on $\mathbf{C}^{d+1} \times \mathbf{C}^{d+1}$ as follows: $g \cdot (P,Q) := (\alpha P + \beta Q, \gamma P + \delta Q)$ for $g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2,\mathbf{C})$. Let $N_d := \mathbf{C}^{d+1} \times \mathbf{C}^{d+1} - \Delta$ where $\Delta = \{(P,Q) \mid P \wedge Q = 0\}$. Observe that for $(P,Q) \in N_d$, $g \cdot (P,Q) = (\alpha P + \beta Q, \gamma P + \delta Q) = (P_1, Q_1)$, and $P_1 \wedge Q_1 = (\alpha P + \beta Q) \wedge (\gamma P + \delta Q) = (\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma) P \wedge Q \neq 0$. Thus, $GL(2,\mathbf{C})$ acts on N_d. In fact, we have a free action on N_d: $g \cdot (P,Q) = (\alpha P + \beta Q, \gamma P + \delta Q) = (P,Q)$ implies that $g = I$ since $P \wedge Q \neq 0$. Note that we can identify N_d with the Stiefel manifold of 2-frames in $\mathbf{C}^{d+1}$. For $(P,Q) \in N_d$, let $[P \wedge Q]$ denote the 2-plane in $\mathbf{C}^{d+1}$ spanned by P and Q. Let $P_1, Q_1 \in [P \wedge Q]$. Then $P_1 = \alpha P + \beta Q$ and $Q_1 = \gamma P + \delta Q$ for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbf{C}$. If $P_1 \wedge Q_1 \neq 0$, then $0 \neq P_1 \wedge Q_1 = (\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma) P \wedge Q$, i.e. $(\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma) \neq 0$. Thus, $GL(2,\mathbf{C})$ acts transitively on pairs of noncollinear vectors in $[P \wedge Q]$. It follows that $N_d / GL(2,\mathbf{C}) = G(2, d+1)$ and $\pi : N_d \to G(2, d+1)$ is a principal $GL(2,\mathbf{C})$-bundle (where $\pi(P,Q) = [P \wedge Q]$).

LEMMA 2.1. $\mathcal{R}(g \cdot (P,Q)) = (\det g)^d \mathcal{R}(P,Q)$.

PROOF: Let $(\tilde{P},\tilde{Q})$ denote $g \cdot (P,Q)$. The resultant of $(\tilde{P},\tilde{Q})$ is given by the determinant of the matrix $\tilde{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A}_1 & \tilde{A}_2 \\ \tilde{B}_1 & \tilde{B}_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $(\tilde{P},\tilde{Q}) = (\alpha P + \beta Q, \gamma P + \delta Q)$, we observe that

$$\tilde{A}_1 = \alpha A_1 + \beta B_1 \qquad \tilde{A}_2 = \alpha A_2 + \beta B_2$$
$$\tilde{B}_1 = \gamma A_1 + \delta B_1 \qquad \tilde{B}_2 = \gamma A_2 + \delta B_2$$

i.e.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A}_1 & \tilde{A}_2 \\ \tilde{B}_1 & \tilde{B}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha I & \beta I \\ \gamma I & \delta I \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ B_1 & B_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $I \in GL(d,\mathbf{C})$ is the identity matrix. It is straightforward to verify that $\det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha I & \beta I \\ \gamma I & \delta I \end{pmatrix} = (\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma)^d = (\det g)^d$. Thus, $\det \tilde{M} = (\det g)^d \cdot \det M$, i.e. $\mathcal{R}(g \cdot (P,Q)) = (\det g)^d \cdot \mathcal{R}(P,Q)$. ∎

It follows that $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathbf{C}^{d+1} \times \mathbf{C}^{d+1}$ is fixed under the action of $GL(2,\mathbf{C})$. Let $Reg(\mathcal{R})$ denote the regular part of $\mathcal{R}$. Since $\mathcal{R}$ is irreducible, $Reg(\mathcal{R})$ is connected. Note that $\Delta = \{(P,Q) \mid P \wedge Q = 0\} \subset \mathcal{R}$ and that Δ has codimension d in $\mathbf{C}^{d+1} \times \mathbf{C}^{d+1}$. So Δ cannot disconnect $Reg(\mathcal{R})$ (which has dimension $2d+1$). Consequently, $(Reg(\mathcal{R})) \cap N_d$ is connected, i.e. $\mathcal{R} \cap N_d$ is irreducible. For ease of notation, we shall let $\mathcal{R}$ to denote $\mathcal{R} \cap N_d$ also. By Lemma 2.1, $\dim(\mathcal{R}/GL(2,\mathbf{C})) = \dim(\pi(\mathcal{R})) = 2d-3$. Furthermore, since $Reg(\mathcal{R})$ is connected and $\pi : N_d \to G(2,d+1)$ is a principal $GL(2,\mathbf{C})$-bundle, $\pi(Reg(\mathcal{R})) = Reg(\pi(\mathcal{R}))$ is connected. Thus, $\pi(\mathcal{R})$ is an irreducible divisor in $G(2,d+1)$.

Observe that the space of meromorphic functions of degree d is $M_d = \mathbf{P}(N_d - \mathcal{R})$. We thus have a free action of $PSL(2,\mathbf{C})$ on M_d. Furthermore, $M_d/PSL(2,\mathbf{C}) \subset G(2,d+1)$, the Grassmannian of 2-planes in $\mathbf{C}^{d+1}$.

2. The ramification divisor. Let $f : \mathbf{P}^1 \to \mathbf{P}^1$ be a holomorphic map of degree d. Recall that $z_0 \in \mathbf{P}^1$ is a ramification point of f if $f_*(v) = 0$ for all $v \in T_{z_0}\mathbf{P}^1$. Expressing f as a rational function $\frac{P(z)}{Q(z)}$, we have: $f'(z) = \big(Q(z)P'(z) - P(z)Q'(z)\big)/\big(Q(z)\big)^2$. Then the ramification points of f are given by the zero locus of $Q(z)P'(z) - P(z)Q'(z)$, a polynomial of degree $2d-2$. Observe that if $\deg\big(Q(z)P'(z) - P(z)Q'(z)\big) = k < 2d-2$, then ∞ is a ramification point of order $2d-2-k$.

Define a map $\Psi^d : M_d = \mathbf{P}(N_d - \mathcal{R}) \to \mathbf{P}^{2d-2}$ by

$$[(P,Q)] \mapsto [\mathrm{coeff}\{Q(z)P'(z) - P(z)Q'(z)\}],$$

where $\mathrm{coeff}\{R(z)\}$ denotes the coefficient vector of the polynomial $R(z)$. The ramification map Ψ^d is well defined since

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda P, \lambda Q) &\mapsto [\lambda^2 \cdot \mathrm{coeff}\{Q(z)P'(z) - P(z)Q'(z)\}] \\ &= [\mathrm{coeff}\{Q(z)P'(z) - P(z)Q'(z)\}],\end{aligned}$$

and if $Q(z)P'(z) - P(z)Q'(z) \equiv 0$, we have

$$\frac{P'(z)}{P(z)} = \frac{Q'(z)}{Q(z)}, \qquad \text{i.e. } \log P(z) = \log Q(z) + C = \log(\tilde{C}Q(z)).$$

Thus $P(z) = \tilde{C}Q(z)$ and so $[(P,Q)] \notin M_d$.

LEMMA 2.2. *$PSL(2,\mathbf{C})$ preserves the fibers of Ψ^d*

PROOF: Let $g \in PSL(2,\mathbf{C})$. Let $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$ be a representative of g. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^d(g \cdot [(P,Q)]) &= \Psi^d([\alpha P(z) + \beta Q(z), \gamma P(z) + \delta Q(z)]) \\ &= \Big[\mathrm{coeff}\big\{\big(\gamma P(z) + \delta Q(z)\big)\big(\alpha P'(z) + \beta Q'(z)\big) \\ &\qquad - \big(\alpha P(z) + \beta Q(z)\big)\big(\gamma P'(z) + \delta Q'(z)\big)\big\}\Big] \\ &= [\mathrm{coeff}\{(\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma)(Q(z)P'(z) - P(z)Q'(z)\}] \\ &= [\mathrm{coeff}\{Q(z)P'(z) - P(z)Q'(z)\}] \\ &= \Psi^d([(P,Q)]).\end{aligned}$$

∎

COROLLARY 2.3. *$PSL(2,\mathbf{C})$ acts freely on the fibers of Ψ^d.*

PROOF: $PSL(2,\mathbf{C})$ acts freely on $M_d = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}^{d+1} \times \mathbf{C}^{d+1} - \mathcal{R})$, and by Lemma 2.2, it preserves fibers. ∎

We thus have an induced map $\Psi_d : G(2,d+1) \to \mathbf{P}^{2d-2}$ where

$$[P \wedge Q] \mapsto [\mathrm{coeff}\{Q(z)P'(z) - P(z)Q'(z)\}].$$

This map is well defined.

Note that for $d = 2$, $G(2,3) \cong G(1,3) = \mathbf{P}^2$.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *$\Psi_2 : G(2,3) \cong \mathbf{P}^2 \to \mathbf{P}^2$ is a biholomorphism.*

PROOF: Let $[P \wedge Q] \in G(2,3)$. Then $[P \wedge Q]$ can be represented by one of the following matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 1 & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad or \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where P and Q correspond to the rows of the matrices. For the first matrix, $P(z) = z^2 + a$, and $Q(z) = z + b$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_2([P \wedge Q]) &= [\mathrm{coeff}\{Q(z)P'(z) - P(z)Q'(z)\}] \\ &= [\mathrm{coeff}\{(z+b)(2z) - (z^2 + a)\}] = [1, 2b, -a] \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 1 & b \end{pmatrix} \mapsto [1, 2b, -a]$. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto [0, 2, a] \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto [0, 0, 1].$$

Note that in the second case, ∞ is a ramification point and that the third case is a degenerate case since $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{R}$. From the explicit computations, it is clear that Ψ_2 is one-to-one, nonsingular and is hence a biholomorphism. ∎

A consequence of the proposition is that $\Psi^2 : M_2 \to \mathbf{P}^2$ has connected fibers. Thus,

COROLLARY 2.5. *Let f be a meromorphic function of degree 2. Let g be any other meromorphic function of degree 2 with the property that $Ram(f) = Ram(g)$. Then $g = A \circ f$ for some $A \in PSL(2, \mathbf{C})$.*

COROLLARY 2.6. *There is no superminimal surface in S^4 whose lifting to $\mathbf{P}^3$ is a curve of degree 2.*

PROOF: The genus 0 case follows immediately from Proposition 1.10 and Corollary 2.5. The following argument proves the general case. Let γ be a holomorphic horizontal curve in $\mathbf{P}^3$ of degree 2. Suppose γ is not a projective line. Pick any 3 noncollinear points A, B, C on γ. Let L_{AB} and L_{AC} denote the lines through A&B and A&C respectively. Let P denote the plane spanned by these 2 lines. Since $\deg(\gamma) = 2$ and P contains the points A, B and C, necessarily, $\gamma \subset P$, i.e. γ is planar. Since there are no horizontal planes in $\mathbf{P}^3$ (otherwise, that horizontal $\mathbf{P}^2$ would be diffeomorphic to S^4!), P (and hence γ) is in fact a projective line. Since $\deg(\gamma) = 2$, γ is necessarily branched. (Nevertheless, γ projects to a totally geodesic surface in S^4.) ∎

3. The orbits in the fibers of Ψ^d. Let $N = \frac{1}{2}(d+2)(d-1) = \binom{d+1}{2} - 1 = \dim(\mathbf{P}(\bigwedge^2 \mathbf{C}^{d+1}))$. Let $P = (a_d, \ldots, a_0)$ and $Q = (b_d, \ldots, b_0)$ be 2 vectors in $\mathbf{C}^{d+1}$ which span a plane, $\binom{P}{Q}$, in $\mathbf{C}^{d+1}$. Then the Plücker embedding $G(2, d+1) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^N = \mathbf{P}(\bigwedge^2 \mathbf{C}^{d+1})$ is given by $\binom{P}{Q} \mapsto [P \wedge Q]$. Choose Plücker coordinates x_{ij} on $\mathbf{P}^N$ where $i > j$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$, $j = 0, \ldots, d-1$. Let $P(z) = a_d z^d + \cdots + a_1 z + a_0$ and $Q(z) = b_d z^d + \cdots + b_0$. Then

$$Q(z)P'(z) - P(z)Q'(z) = \alpha_{2d-2} z^{2d-2} + \cdots + \alpha_n z^n + \cdots + \alpha_1 z + \alpha_0$$

where

$$\alpha_n = \sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1 \\ i>j}} (i-j) x_{ij}, \qquad n = 0, \ldots, 2d-2.$$

Consider the linear map $L : \mathbf{C}^{N+1} \to \mathbf{C}^{2d-1}$ given by

$$(x_{ij}) \mapsto (\alpha_{2d-2}, \ldots, \alpha_n, \ldots, \alpha_0).$$

Observe that since α_n contains only the x_{ij}'s which satisfy the condition $i + j = n + 1$, L has maximal rank. Let K denote the kernel of L. Then $\dim K = \frac{1}{2}(d^2 + d) - 2d + 1 = \frac{1}{2}(d-2)(d-1)$. Let $\kappa := \mathbf{P}K$, a projective $\frac{1}{2}d(d-3)$-plane in $\mathbf{P}^N$. Note that the image of $G(2, d+1)$ in $\mathbf{P}^N$, G^{2d-2}, does not intersect κ by construction. Thus the map Ψ_d can be given in Plücker coordinates by

$$\Psi_d([P \wedge Q]) = [\alpha_{2d-2}, \ldots, \alpha_n, \ldots, \alpha_0].$$

So Ψ_d can be thought of as the restriction to G^{2d-2} of a "map" from $\mathbf{P}^N$ to $\mathbf{P}^{2d-2}$. We can extend Ψ_d to a map from $\mathbf{P}^N - \kappa$ to $\mathbf{P}^{2d-2}$. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^N$ denote the blow-up of $\mathbf{P}^N$ along κ. Let $q \in \mathbf{P}^{2d-2}$. Let $\tilde{\Psi}_d$ denote the map induced on $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^N$. Then $\Lambda_q = (\tilde{\Psi}_d^{-1})(q)$ is a projective $\frac{1}{2}(d-2)(d-1)$-plane in $\mathbf{P}^N$, i.e. a plane of dimension complementary to that of G^{2d-2}. Therefore the number of points of intersection of Λ_q with G^{2d-2} is the degree of G^{2d-2} in $\mathbf{P}^N$, which is $\dfrac{(2d-2)!}{(d-1)!d!}$. As a consequence, there are generically $\dfrac{(2d-2)!}{(d-1)!d!}$ *distinct* $PSL(2, \mathbf{C})$-orbits of holomorphic maps of degree d from $\mathbf{P}^1$ to $\mathbf{P}^1$ which have the same ramification divisor. We thus have

THEOREM B. *Let f be a generic meromorphic function of degree $d \geq 2$. Then, under the action of $PSL(2,\mathbf{C})$, there are $\dfrac{(2d-2)!}{(d-1)!d!}$ distinct orbits of meromorphic functions of degree d with ramification divisor $Ram(f)$.*

Note that when $d = 2$ we have only 1 orbit. This is consistent with our previous result (Corollary 2.5).

4. The space $\mathfrak{H}_d$. Let $F = (f_1, f_2) : \mathbf{P}^1 \to \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ be a holomorphic map of bidegree (d,d) such that $Ram(f_1) = Ram(f_2)$. By our previous results, the curve $\tilde{F}(\mathbf{P}^1) \subset Y = \mathbf{P}T(\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1)$ avoids the 2 distinguished sections, S_1 and S_2 of Y. Since $\psi : \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^3 - (\sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2) \to Y - (S_1 \cup S_2)$ is a covering map of degree 2 and since $\pi_1(\mathbf{P}^1) = 0$, the map $\tilde{F}$ lifts to a map $\tilde{\tilde{F}} : \mathbf{P}^1 \to \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^3 - (\sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2)$. Let $\gamma_1 := \beta \circ \tilde{\tilde{F}}(\mathbf{P}^1)$ and $\gamma_2 := \beta \circ \alpha \circ \tilde{\tilde{F}}(\mathbf{P}^1) = \alpha(\gamma_1)$. Then γ_1 and γ_2 project to a conjugate pair of branched superminimal surfaces, Σ_1 and Σ_2, in S^4. If $\tilde{F}$ is an immersion, then the pair of surfaces are unbranched. We also showed that for $d \geq 2$, a necessary condition for Σ_1 and Σ_2 to be unbranched is that f_1 and f_2 belong to different orbits of $PSL(2,\mathbf{C})$. Our search for unbranched superminimal surfaces is thus aided by the following immediate consequence of Theorem B:

THEOREM C. *For each $d \geq 3$, there is a branched superminimal surface of genus 0 in S^4 which arises from a pair of meromorphic functions (f_1, f_2), each of degree d such that $Ram(f_1) = Ram(f_2)$ and that f_1 and f_2 belong to distinct $PSL(2,\mathbf{C})$-orbits.*

PROOF: By Theorem B, there are $\dfrac{(2d-2)!}{(d-1)!d!}$ distinct orbits for each generic ramification divisor. ∎

Recall that a branched superminimal immersion of S^2 into S^4 is just a harmonic map. Also, a (branched) superminimal surface of degree d in S^4 is a surface of area $4\pi d$ whose lifting to $\mathbf{P}^3$ is a holomorphic, horizontal curve of degree d. We say that a harmonic map $f : S^2 \to S^4$ has *harmonic degree* d if $f(S^2)$ has area $4\pi d$. Let $\mathfrak{H}_d$ denote the space of harmonic maps of S^2 into S^4 of harmonic degree d.

THEOREM D. *For each $d \geq 1$, $\mathfrak{H}_d$ is parametrized by a space of complex dimension $2d+4$.*

PROOF: A meromorphic function of degree d is determined by $2d+1$ complex parameters. The theorem follows immediately from the fact that the fibers of Ψ^d are 3-dimensional. ∎

NOTE: Theorem D is in agreement with the results of Verdier [**17**]. Verdier in fact shows that $\mathfrak{H}_d$ is naturally equipped with the structure

of a complex algebraic variety of pure dimension $2d+4$, and for $d \geq 3$, $\mathfrak{H}_d$ possesses 3 irreducible components. We will show that $\mathfrak{H}_d$ is connected.

5. Connectivity of $\mathfrak{H}_d$. Recall that a meromorphic function of degree d can be considered as an element of $M_d = \mathbf{P}(N_d) - \mathcal{R}$ where $N_d = \mathbf{C}^{d+1} \times \mathbf{C}^{d+1} - \{(P,Q) \mid P \wedge Q = 0\}$ and where $\mathcal{R}$ is the resultant divisor. We have a ramification map $\Psi^d : M_d \to \mathbf{P}^{2d-2}$. The action of $PSL(2,\mathbf{C})$ on M_d induces a map $\Psi_d : G(2, d+1) - \pi(\mathcal{R}) \to \mathbf{P}^{2d-2}$, where $\pi(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}/PSL(2,\mathbf{C})$ is an irreducible variety of codimension 1. For ease of notation, we will let $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}'$ denote $\pi(\mathcal{R})$ and $\Psi_d(\pi(\mathcal{R}))$ respectively for the rest of this section. Now, $\Psi_d : G(2, d+1) \to \mathbf{P}^{2d-2}$ is a branched covering map. Let $\mathfrak{R}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ denote the ramification locus of Ψ_d and the branch locus of Ψ_d respectively. Then

$$\Psi_d : G(2, d+1) - \mathfrak{R} - \mathcal{R} \to \mathbf{P}^{2d-2} - \mathfrak{B} - \mathcal{R}'$$

is a covering map. Now consider the diagonal map

$$\delta : \mathbf{P}^{2d-2} \to \mathbf{P}^{2d-2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2d-2}.$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_d := G(2, d+1) - \mathcal{R}$. From the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \delta^*(\mathcal{M}_d \times \mathcal{M}_d) & & \mathcal{M}_d \times \mathcal{M}_d \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle \Psi_d \times \Psi_d} \\ \mathbf{P}^{2d-2} & \xrightarrow[\delta]{} & \mathbf{P}^{2d-2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2d-2} \end{array}$$

we see that modulo the action of $PSL(2,\mathbf{C})$, an element of $\delta^*(\mathcal{M}_d \times \mathcal{M}_d)$ is a pair of meromorphic functions of degree d with the same ramification divisor. We will show that the space $\delta^*(\mathcal{M}_d \times \mathcal{M}_d)$ is connected and as a consequence $\mathfrak{H}_d$, the space of pairs of meromorphic functions of degree d with the same ramification divisor, is connected.

LEMMA 2.7. *$\mathcal{R}$ is not a component of $\mathfrak{R}$. Thus,* $\dim(\mathfrak{R} \cap \mathcal{R}) \leq 2d-4$.

PROOF: In §II.1, we showed that $\mathcal{R}$ is irreducible. Thus, it suffices to show that there exists an $x \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $x \notin \mathfrak{R}$. Now in ambient coordinates,

$$\Psi^d(P,Q) = \Psi^d(a_d, \ldots, a_0, b_d, \ldots, b_0) = (c_{2d-2}, \ldots, c_0)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_m &= \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} (2j - m - 1) a_j b_{m-j+1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} (m - 2k + 1) a_{m-k+1} b_k, \qquad m = 0, \ldots, 2d-2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\partial c_m}{\partial a_j} = \begin{cases} (2j-m-1)b_{m-j+1}, & \text{for } j=0,\ldots,m+1;\ m-j+1\le d \\ 0, & \text{for } j>m+1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial c_m}{\partial b_k} = \begin{cases} (m-2k+1)a_{m-k+1}, & \text{for } k=0,\ldots,m+1;\ m-k+1\le d \\ 0, & \text{for } k>m+1. \end{cases}$$

Let $P(z) = z^d + z^2$, $Q(z) = z$. Certainly $[P\wedge Q] \in \mathcal{R} \subset G(2,d+1)$. Then

$$\left.\frac{\partial c_m}{\partial a_j}\right|_{(P,Q)} \neq 0, \qquad \text{if } j=m=0,2,3,\ldots,d.$$

Also,

$$\left.\frac{\partial c_m}{\partial b_k}\right|_{(P,Q)} \neq 0, \qquad \text{if } m=d+k-1, \text{ or } m=k+1$$

i.e. this derivative does not vanish for $k=0, m=1$; $k=0, m=d-1$; $k=1, m=d$; ... ; $k=d-1, m=2d-2$. Consequently, $d\Psi^d|_{(P,Q)}$ has maximal rank. Thus, $[P\wedge Q] \notin \mathfrak{R}$. ∎

Recall that an element of $\delta^*(\mathcal{M}_d\times\mathcal{M}_d)$ is (up to a Möbius transformation) a pair of meromorphic functions of degree d with the same ramification divisor. Thus, if $q\in\mathcal{M}_d$, the diagonal pair (q,q) is obviously in $\delta^*(\mathcal{M}_d\times\mathcal{M}_d)$. Since $\mathcal{M}_d$ is connected, it is clear that a diagonal point $(q,q)\in\delta^*(\mathcal{M}_d\times\mathcal{M}_d)$ is path connected to any other diagonal point $(q',q')\in\delta^*(\mathcal{M}_d\times\mathcal{M}_d)$. Thus, to show that $\delta^*(\mathcal{M}_d\times\mathcal{M}_d)$ is path connected, it suffices to show that any point $(x,y)\in\delta^*(\mathcal{M}_d\times\mathcal{M}_d)$ is path connected to the point (y,y).

Now let $(x,y)\in\delta^*(\mathcal{M}_d\times\mathcal{M}_d)$. Let $\Psi_d(x)=\Psi_d(y)=\star\in \mathbf{P}^{2d-2}-\mathcal{R}'$. Without loss of generality, $\star\in\mathbf{P}^{2d-2}-\mathfrak{B}-\mathcal{R}'$, and so, $x,y\notin\mathfrak{R}$. (If $\star\in\mathfrak{B}$, we can find a path C in $\mathbf{P}^{2d-2}-\mathcal{R}'$ so that $C(0)=\star$ and $C(1)=\star'\notin\mathfrak{B}$). Since $G(2,d+2)-\mathcal{R}-\mathfrak{R}$ is connected, there is a path $\tilde\gamma\subset G(2,d+1)-\mathcal{R}-\mathfrak{R}$ so that $\tilde\gamma(0)=x$, $\tilde\gamma(1)=y$. Then $\gamma:=\Psi_d(\tilde\gamma)$ is a based loop in $\mathbf{P}^{2d-2}-\mathfrak{B}-\mathcal{R}'$, i.e. $[\gamma]\in\pi_1(\mathbf{P}^{2d-2}-\mathfrak{B}-\mathcal{R}',\star)$. Thus $\gamma: S^1\to\mathbf{P}^{2d-2}-\mathfrak{B}-\mathcal{R}'\subset\mathbf{P}^{2d-2}$. Since $\mathbf{P}^{2d-2}$ is simply connected, we can extend γ to a map $\gamma': D^2\to\mathbf{P}^{2d-2}$. By Thom transversality and Lemma 2.7, we can make γ' transversal to $Reg(\mathfrak{B})$, $Reg(\mathcal{R}')$ and $\Psi_d(\mathfrak{R}\cap\mathcal{R})=\mathfrak{B}\cap\mathcal{R}'$, i.e.

$$\gamma'(D^2)\cap\{Sing(\mathfrak{B})\cup Sing(\mathcal{R}')\cup\{\mathfrak{B}\cap\mathcal{R}'\}\}=\emptyset.$$

Then $\gamma'(D^2)$ intersects $Reg(\mathfrak{B})$ and $Reg(\mathcal{R}')$ in a finite number of points, say $\gamma'(D^2)\cap Reg(\mathfrak{B})=\{z_1,\ldots,z_n\}$ and $\gamma'(D^2)\cap Reg(\mathcal{R}')=\{\zeta_1,\ldots,\zeta_m\}$ where $z_i\neq\zeta_j$ for any i,j. Let σ_i and τ_j be tiny based loops around z_i and ζ_j respectively. Then γ is homotopic to a composition of the σ_i's and the τ_j's. Observe that the τ_j's act trivially on $F=\Psi_d^{-1}(\star)$. Let $x_1:=x$ and $x_{n+1}:=y$. Since $[\gamma](x)=y$, we have $[\sigma_1](x_1)=x_2$, $[\sigma_2](x_2)=x_3$, ..., $[\sigma_n](x_n)=x_{n+1}=y$ for some $x_2,\ldots,x_n\in F$. Let $\tilde\sigma_i$ be the lifting of σ_i so that $\tilde\sigma_i(0)=x_i$ and $\tilde\sigma_i(1)=x_{i+1}$. As σ_i traces along the boundary of a tiny disc D_i around the branch point z_i, $\tilde\sigma_i$ traces a path around some ramification point $y_i\in\Psi_d^{-1}(z_i)$. Let $\tilde D_i$ denote the contractible disc in $G(2,d+1)-\mathcal{R}$ around y_i which projects to D_i. Suppose $\sigma_i(t)$ traces ∂D_i for $t\in[t_{\alpha_i},t_{\beta_i}]$. Let $u_i=\tilde\sigma_i(t_{\alpha_i})$ and $v_i=\tilde\sigma_i(t_{\beta_i})$. Let $\tilde\alpha_i$ be a path from u_i to y_i and let $\tilde\beta_i$ be a path from y_i to v_i. Say $\tilde\alpha_i(t_{\alpha_i})=u_i$, $\tilde\beta_i(t_{\beta_i})=v_i$ and $\tilde\alpha_i(t_{\epsilon_i})=\tilde\beta_i(t_{\epsilon_i})=y_i$ for some $t_{\epsilon_i}\in(t_{\alpha_i},t_{\beta_i})$. Consider the modified path $\tilde\sigma_i'$ defined as follows:

$$\tilde\sigma_i'(t)=\begin{cases}\tilde\sigma_i(t), & \text{for } t\in[0,t_{\alpha_i}]\\ \tilde\alpha_i(t), & \text{for } t\in[t_{\alpha_i},t_{\epsilon_i}]\\ \tilde\beta_i(t), & \text{for } t\in[t_{\epsilon_i},t_{\beta_i}]\\ \tilde\sigma_i(t), & \text{for } t\in[t_{\beta_i},1].\end{cases}$$

Let $\sigma_i':=\Psi_d(\tilde\sigma_i')$. Observe that σ_i' is a homotopically trivial loop in $\mathbf{P}^{2d-2}-\mathcal{R}'$. Let $\tilde\sigma_i''$ denote the lifting of σ_i' so that $\tilde\sigma_i''(0)=\tilde\sigma_i''(1)=y$. Let γ_i denote the path $(\tilde\sigma_i',\tilde\sigma_i'')$ in $\delta^*(\mathcal{M}_d\times\mathcal{M}_d)$ from (x_i,y) to (x_{i+1},y). We have thus constructed a path $\gamma_n\circ\gamma_{n-1}\circ\cdots\circ\gamma_1$ in $\delta^*(\mathcal{M}_d\times\mathcal{M}_d)$ from (x,y) to (y,y). Thus,

THEOREM E. *For each $d\geq 1$, $\mathfrak{H}_d$ is connected.*

6. Examples. Consider the map $F_d=(f_1,f_2):\mathbf{P}^1\to\mathbf{P}^1\times\mathbf{P}^1$ $(d>2)$ where

$$f_1(z)=\frac{P_1(z)}{Q_1(z)}=\frac{z^d+dz+1}{z^{d-1}+z+(d-2)} \qquad \text{and}$$

$$f_2(z)=\frac{P_2(z)}{Q_2(z)}=\frac{z^d-dz+1}{z^{d-1}+z-(d-2)}.$$

We will show that for $d>2$, F_d gives rise to a conjugate pair of unbranched superminimal surfaces in S^4.

Observe that f_1 and f_2 belong to different $PSL(2,\mathbf{C})$-orbits.

LEMMA 2.8. *For $d>2$, F_d has bidegree (d,d). Furthermore, $Ram(f_1)=Ram(f_2)$.*

PROOF: We must first show that $P_i(z)$ and $Q_i(z)$ have no common zeroes $(i=1,2)$.

Suppose ζ is a common zero of $P_1(z)$ and $Q_1(z)$. Certainly ζ must be a zero of $P(z) = zQ_1(z) - P_1(z) = z^2 - 2z - 1$. But $P(z)$ has roots $1 \pm \sqrt{2}$ which are certainly not roots of $P_1(z)$ or $Q_1(z)$. Thus, $\deg(f_1) = d$. A similar argument shows that $\deg(f_2) = d$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} f_1'(z) &= \frac{R(z)}{Q_1^2(z)} \\ &= \frac{z^{2d-2} + (d-1)z^d - (d-1)z^{d-2} + d(d-2) - 1}{[z^{d-1} + z + (d-2)]^2} \qquad \text{and} \\ f_2'(z) &= \frac{R(z)}{Q_2^2(z)} \\ &= \frac{z^{2d-2} + (d-1)z^d - (d-1)z^{d-2} + d(d-2) - 1}{[z^{d-1} + z - (d-2)]^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $Ram(f_1) = Ram(f_2)$. ∎

Proposition 2.9. *The map F_d is generically one-to-one onto its image. Hence, it is not a branched covering map.*

Proof: $F_d(0) = \left(\frac{1}{d-2}, \frac{-1}{d-2}\right)$. Note that 0 is not a ramification point of either f_1 or f_2. We shall compute $F_d^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{d-2}, \frac{-1}{d-2}\right)$. This amounts to solving the simultaneous equations:

$$\frac{z^d + dz + 1}{z^{d-1} + z + (d-2)} = \frac{1}{d-2} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{z^d - dz + 1}{z^{d-1} + z - (d-2)} = \frac{-1}{d-2}.$$

We obtain

$$(d-2)(z^d + dz + 1) - (z^{d-1} + z + (d-2)) = 0 \qquad \text{and}$$

$$(d-2)(z^d - dz + 1) - (z^{d-1} + z - (d-2)) = 0.$$

Thus, we have to solve the simultaneous equations

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(z) &= (d-2)z^d - z^{d-1} + (d(d-2) - 1)z = 0 \qquad \text{and} \\ g_2(z) &= (d-2)z^d + z^{d-1} - (d(d-2) - 1)z = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that if ζ is a common zero of g_1 and g_2, then certainly it is a zero of $(g_1 + g_2)(z) = 2(d-2)z^d$, $(d > 2)$. But $g_1 + g_2$ has 0 as its only zero. Thus $F_d^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{d-2}, \frac{-1}{d-2}\right) = \{0\}$, i.e. F_d is generically one to one onto its image. ∎

Proposition 2.10. *The map $\tilde{F}_d : \mathbf{P}^1 \to \mathbf{P}T(\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1)$ is nonsingular.*

Proof: It suffices to show that $\tilde{F}_{d*}$ does not vanish at the ramification points. We will consider 3 cases.

CASE 1. Assume that the zeroes of $Q_1(z)$ and $Q_2(z)$ are not ramification points. Then $\tilde{F}_d$ can be described locally by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_d(z) &= (f_1(z), f_2(z), G(z)) \qquad \text{where} \\ G(z) &= \frac{f_1'(z)}{f_2'(z)} = \left(\frac{z^{d-1} + z - (d-2)}{z^{d-1} + z + (d-2)}\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to show that G' does not vanish at the ramification points. Now

$$G'(z) = 2\left(\frac{z^{d-1} + z - (d-2)}{(z^{d-1} + z + (d-2))^3}\right) \cdot 2(d-2)h(z)$$

where $h(z) = (d-1)z^{d-2} + 1$. Observe that $h(z)$ vanishes when $z^{d-2} = \frac{-1}{d-1}$. Let ζ be a $(d-2)$th root of $\frac{-1}{d-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R(\zeta) &= \zeta^{2d-2} + (d-1)\zeta^d - (d-1)\zeta^{d-2} + d(d-2) - 1 \\ &= \zeta^2(\zeta^{2(d-2)} + (d-1)\zeta^{d-2}) - (d-1)\zeta^{d-2} + d(d-2) - 1 \\ &= \zeta^2\left(\left(\frac{1}{d-1}\right)^2 - 1\right) + d(d-2) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the zeroes of G' do not coincide with the ramification points, i.e. $\tilde{F}_d$ is nonsingular.

CASE 2. Suppose ζ is a common zero of $R(z)$ and $Q_1(z)$. Let $\tilde{f}_1(z) = Q_1(z)/P_1(z)$. Then locally,

$$\tilde{F}_d(z) = (\tilde{f}_1(z), f_2(z), G(z)) \quad \text{where} \quad G(z) = \frac{\tilde{f}_1'(z)}{f_2'(z)} = -\left(\frac{Q_2(z)}{P_1(z)}\right)^2.$$

Then $G'(z) = -2[Q_2(z)/P_1^3(z)] \cdot \Delta(z)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(z) &= P_1(z)Q_2'(z) - Q_2(z)P_1'(z) \\ &= -z^{2d-2} + (1-d)z^d + d(2d-4)z^{d-1} + (d-1)z^{d-2} \\ &\quad + d(d-2) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $S(z) = R(z) + \Delta(z) = d(2d-4)z^{d-1} + 2d(d-2)$. First observe that $Q_1(z)$ and $Q_2(z)$ have no common zeroes since $Q_1(z) + Q_2(z) = 2(d-2) \neq 0$ for $d > 2$. Thus $G'(\zeta) = 0$ if and only if $\Delta(\zeta) = 0$. Suppose that ζ is a common zero of Δ and R. Then ζ must be a zero of S. But $S(z)$ vanishes when $z^{d-1} = -2d(d-2)/d(2d-4) = -1$. Then ζ must be a $(d-1)$th root of -1. But $Q_1(\zeta) = -1+\zeta+(d-2) = \zeta+d-3 \neq 0$ for $d > 2$, contradicting our assumption that ζ was a zero of $Q_1(z)$. Thus, $G'(\zeta) \neq 0$.

CASE 3. Suppose ζ is a common zero of $R(z)$ and $Q_2(z)$. Let $\tilde{f}_2(z) = Q_2(z)/P_2(z)$. Then locally,

$$\tilde{F}_d(z) = (f_1(z), \tilde{f}_2(z), G(z)) \quad \text{where} \quad G(z) = \frac{f_1'(z)}{\tilde{f}_2'(z)} = -\left(\frac{P_2(z)}{Q_1(z)}\right)^2.$$

Then $G'(z) = -2[P_2(z)/Q_1^3(z)] \cdot \Delta(z)$ where

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(z) &= Q_1(z)P_2'(z) - P_2(z)Q_1'(z) \\ &= z^{2d-2} + (d-1)z^d + d(2d-4)z^{d-1} - (d-1)z^{d-2} \\ &\quad - d(d-2) - 1.\end{aligned}$$

Let $S(z) = R(z) - \Delta(z) = -d(2d-4)z^{d-1} + 2d(d-2)$. If ζ is a common zero of Δ and R, certainly it is a zero of S. But $S(z)$ vanishes when $z^{d-1} = 2d(d-2)/d(2d-4) = 1$, i.e. ζ is a $(d-1)$th root of 1. But $Q_2(\zeta) = \zeta - d + 3) \neq 0$ for $d > 2$, a contradiction. Thus, $G'(\zeta) \neq 0$. ∎

Thus the total preimage $\beta \circ \psi^{-1}(\tilde{F}_d(\mathbf{P}^1))$ is a conjugate pair of nonsingular holomorphic, horizontal curves in $\mathbf{P}^3$ which project to a conjugate pair of superminimal surfaces, each of area $4\pi d$, in S^4 $(d \geq 3)$.

III. HIGHER GENUS

We now consider branched superminimal immersions of a compact Riemann surface Σ of genus $g > 0$ into S^4.

Let $f : \Sigma \looparrowright S^4$ be a branched superminimal immersion such that $f(\Sigma)$ has area $4\pi d$. Recall that generically, $f(\Sigma)$ misses a pair of antipodal points on S^4, say the north and south poles. We have shown that f arises from a pair of meromorphic functions (f_1, f_2) of bidegree (d, d) such that $Ram(f_1) = Ram(f_2)$. Moreover, f is linearly full (i.e. $f(\Sigma)$ is not contained in any strict linear subspace of $\mathbf{R}^5$) provided $d \geq 3$ and $f_2 \neq A \circ f_1$ for any $A \in PSL(2, \mathbf{C})$. For each $d \geq 3$, we wish to construct linearly full branched superminimal immersions from such pairs of functions. Let $F = (f_1, f_2)$ be such a pair of functions. Let $\tilde{C}$ denote the curve $\tilde{F}(\Sigma)$. We require that $\psi^{-1}(\tilde{C})$ consist of 2 connected components, γ_1 and γ_2, such that $\alpha(\gamma_1) = \gamma_2$ and $\psi(\gamma_1) = \psi(\gamma_2) = \tilde{C}$. If this is the case, then the curves γ_1 and γ_2 project to a conjugate pair of (branched) superminimal surfaces in S^4.

Let $X := \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^3 - (\sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2) \cong \mathbf{P}^3 - (L_1 \cup L_2)$ and $Y := \mathbf{P}T(\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1) - (S_1 \cup S_2)$. Note that $\pi_1 X = 0$ and $\psi : X \to Y$ is a covering map of degree 2. The maps that we are considering, $F = (f_1, f_2) : \Sigma \to \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$, are such that $\tilde{F}(\Sigma) \subset Y$. Observe that $\tilde{F}$ lifts to a map $\tilde{\tilde{F}} : \Sigma \to X$ if and only if $\tilde{F}_*(\pi_1\Sigma) = 0$. If $\tilde{F}_*(\pi_1\Sigma) = 0$, then we have 2 maps, $\tilde{\tilde{F}}$ and $\alpha \circ \tilde{\tilde{F}}$, from Σ to X. Thus

THEOREM F. *Suppose $F = (f_1, f_2) : \Sigma \to \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ is a holomorphic map of bidegree (d, d) of a compact Riemann surface of genus g to $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ such that $Ram(f_1) = Ram(f_2)$ and $f_2 \neq A \circ f_1$ for any $A \in PSL(2, \mathbf{C})$. Let $\tilde{F} : \Sigma \to \mathbf{P}T(\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1) - (S_1 \cup S_2)$ be the canonical Gauss lift of F. Then F gives rise to a conjugate pair of linearly full branched superminimal surfaces of genus g in S^4 provided $\tilde{F}_*(\pi_1\Sigma) = 0$.*

NOTE. The condition $\tilde{F}_*(\pi_1\Sigma) = 0$ is automatically satisfied if Σ has genus 0. However, if $\tilde{F}_*(\pi_1\Sigma) \neq 0$, then we do not have a lift of Σ to X. Nevertheless, there is a two-fold cover $\tilde{\Sigma}$ of Σ which lifts to X (where genus$(\tilde{\Sigma}) = 2g - 1$). We then obtain a branched superminimal surface in S^4 of genus $2g - 1$.

An easy way to satisfy the lifting criterion is by factoring through $\mathbf{P}^1$:

$$F = (F_1, F_2) : \Sigma \xrightarrow{\ \varphi\ } \mathbf{P}^1 \xrightarrow{(f_1, f_2)} \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$$

where φ is a holomorphic map of degree d_1 and (f_1, f_2) is a holomorphic map of bidegree (d_2, d_2) which gives rise to a linearly full branched superminimal immersion of $\mathbf{P}^1$ into S^4. Note that F has bidegree $(d_1 d_2, d_1 d_2)$. Certainly, $Ram(F_1) = Ram(F_2)$ and $F_2 \neq A \circ F_1$ for any $A \in PSL(2, \mathbf{C})$ (since (f_1, f_2) is linearly full). Let $\tilde{F} : \Sigma \to Y$ be the canonical Gauss lift of F. Then $\tilde{F}_*(\pi_1\Sigma) = 0$ and by Theorem F, $\tilde{F}$ lifts to a holomorphic horizontal map, $\tilde{\tilde{F}}$, to $\mathbf{P}^3$. Note however that $\tilde{\tilde{F}}(\Sigma)$ is necessarily branched. Nevertheless, it projects to a branched superminimal surface in S^4 of area $4\pi d_1 d_2$. We thus have lots of branched superminimal immersions of Σ into S^4.

The construction in the previous paragraph gives us superminimal surfaces of genus $g > 0$ in S^4 which were necessarily branched. It would be interesting if the map F can be deformed (in the space of branched superminimal immersions of Σ into S^4 of degree $d_1 d_2$) to a map F' so that F' gives rise to an *unbranched* superminimal surface in S^4.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Professor Abdus Salam, the International Atomic Energy Agency and UNESCO for hospitality at the International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste.

References

1. V. I. Arnold, "Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics," Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
2. L. Barbosa, *On minimal immersions of S^2 into S^{2m}*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **210** (1975), 75–106.
3. R. Bryant, *Conformal and minimal immersions of compact surfaces into the 4-spheres*, J. Diff. Geom. **17** (1982), 455–473.
4. E. Calabi, *Quelques applications de l'analyse complexe aux surfaces d'aire minima*, in "Topics in Complex Manifolds (Ed. H. Rossi)," Les Presses de l'Univ. de Montréal, 1967, pp. 59–81.
5. E. Calabi, *Minimal immersions of surfaces in euclidean spheres*, J. Diff. Geom. **1** (1967), 111–125.
6. S. S. Chern and J. G. Wolfson, *Minimal surfaces by moving frames*, Amer. J. Math. **105** (1983), 59–83.
7. J. Eells and L. Lemaire, *A report on harmonic maps*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **10** (1978), 1–68.
8. P. Griffiths and J. Harris, "Principles of Algebraic Geometry," Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1978.
9. P. Gauduchon and H. B. Lawson, *Topologically nonsingular minimal cones*, Indiana Univ. Math. Jour. **34** (1985), 915–927.
10. H. B. Lawson, *Surfaces minimales et la construction de Calabi-Penrose*, Séminaire Bourbaki **624** (1984).
11. H. B. Lawson, *Complete minimal surfaces in S^3*, Ann. of Math. **92** (1970), 335–374.
12. C. LeBrun, *Spaces of complex null geodesics in complex-riemannian geometry*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **278** (1983), 209–231.
13. B. Loo, "Branched Superminimal Surfaces in S^4," Ph.D Thesis, State Univ. of New York at Stony Brook, 1987.
14. M. L. Michelsohn, *Surfaces minimales dans les sphères*, Séminaire de l'Ecole Polytechnique (1984).
15. G. Segal, *The topology of spaces of rational functions*, Acta Math. **143** (1979), 39–72.
16. J. L. Verdier, *Two dimensional σ-models and harmonic maps from S^2 to S^{2n}*, Lect. Notes in Physics **180** (1982), 136–141.
17. J. L. Verdier, *Applications harmoniques de S^2 dans S^4*, (preprint).
18. B. L. Van Der Waerden, "Algebra," Ungar, New York, 1970.