i C-GOF-^C^^^'.. -/

UCRL-102238 PREPRINT

 $\frac{Rg_{C}^{S}}{g_{EC}}$ UEC 1 1 1989

 \sim

ION-HEATED THERMAL COMPTONIZATION MODELS AND X-RAY SPECTRAL CORRELATIONS IN ACTIVE GALACTIC NUCLEI ..

Charles D. Dermer

This paper was submitted for publication in the Proceedings of the 23rd ESLAB Symposium on X-Ray Astronomy Bologna, Italy, September 13-20, 1989

DISCI.AI.MEK

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the **I'nited States Government- Neither the United Stales Go:ernmenl iter (he tJnitenity** of California nor any of their employees, makes any **Marranty**, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefalness of any information, apparatus. oroduct. or process disclosed, or represents that its are would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial products, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or **otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or ^ra*ofing by (he United States Government or the Unitersity of California. The »ie*s and opiniom of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect** those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

 $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}}$

 $\frac{1}{2\pi\epsilon}$.

ION-HEATED THERMAL COMPT0MZATI0N MODELS AND X-RAY SPECTRAL CORRELATIONS IN ACTIVE GALACTIC NUCLEI

Charles D.Dermer

Physics Department. Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory P.O. Box 808, L-297, Livcrmore, CA 94550 USA

UCRL—102238

DE90 003597

ABSTRACT

Recent Ginga observations of the Seyfert 1 galaxies NGC 4051 and MCG 6-30-15 show a positive correlation between the 2-10 keV luminosity and photon spectral index a. Similar behavior has also been reported in Exosat and Einstein observations of other active galactic nuclei, and is suggested in hard X-ray low-state data of the galactic black-hole candidate Cygnus X-1. A two-temperature thermal Comptonization model with internal soft-photon production provides a simple explanation for this correlation. The electron temperature, determined by a balance between ion heating and radiative cooling, decreases in response to at enhancement of the soft photon flux, resulting in a softening of the spectrum and an increase in the soft X-ray luminosity. The bulk of the soft photons are produced through pion production in collisions between the hot ions. Pivoting of the spectrum at photon energies e *>* 50 keV is a consequence of variations in the ton temperature. An important test of the model would be time correlations between soft and hard X-ray bands.

Keywords: Active galactic nuclei; X-rays: spectra and variability

I. INTRODUCTION

The origin of the variable, luminous X- and y-radiation emitted by active galactic nuclei (AGN) and other black-hole sources remains a puzzle, although Compton or Compion-synchrotron processes seem to be required in order to satisfy luminosity and time-variability constraints. Any convincing model for the high-energy emission of AGN must, however, be able to explain a number of observational features, including the tight clustering of the 2-10 keV X-ray photon spectral index near α = 0.7 (Ref. 1), and spectral softening at y-ray energies, which is required in order not to conflict with the measured intensity of the extragalactic γ -ray background radiation (Ref. 2). Furthermore, values of the 2-10 keV X-ray compactness parameter $l_{2,10}$, deduced from X-ray variability time scales, are observed to fall in the range -0.05 - 10 (Ref. 3). In recent years, evidence has also *been* accumulating for another important observational characteristic of the high-energy emission from AGN. This is the appearance of a positive correlation between the soft X-ray intensity and spectral softness. This correlation has been reported in five S²y'ert 1 galaxies (Refs. 4,5,6,7), and is inferred from £xosat data of a narrow emission line ga!axy (Ref. 8). Notably, it was not seen in Exosat observations (Ref. 9) of a Seyfert 2 galaxy. This behavior is also apparent in hard X-ray data *of* Cygnus X-l, suggesting an underlying connection between the radiation mechanisms operating in solar mass and superrnassive black-hole sources.

Here, results of a two-temperature thermal model (Ref. 10) are used to explain the correlation between spectral index and luminosity seen in the high-energy emission from black-hole sources. This model was proposed last year to account for the

range of values of α and l_{2-10} observed in AGN. The model
depends on only two parameters: the Thomson depth τ_T of the
system, and the ion temperature T_i . The correlation between X-ray spectral index and intensity is interpreted as being due to changes in the ion temperature, with τ_T remaining constant A good fit to measurements of a as a function of X-ray intensity for a number cf AGN is obtained. A number of clearcut predictions follow from this model. Most important is the appearance of a softening in the spectrum at \bar{e} - several hundred keV, corresponding to the thermal turnover in the electron distribution. A high energy tail at MeV energies, associated with pion processes, is also expected. Also, hard X-rays variations should lag behind variations in the soft X-ray flux because thermal Comptonization of soft photons from soft to hard energies is responsible for the formation of the X-ray spectrum. Observations of these signatures would provide strong evidence for the validity of this model.

II. HOT ION MODEL

We make use of model results published in Ref. 10, without further modification. The premise of the model is that the high-energy radiation emitted from the central engine of AGN initially resides in thermal ions with temperatures T_i between ~10 and 200 MeV. If a significant fraction of the ions' thermal energy is convened into radiation, ion temperarures in this range are in accord with estimates that ~10% of the rest-mass energy of die accreting matter is convened into radiant energy. Radiation from pion production atone is too inefficient to provide this luminosity, since *~1Q%* of the mass energy in pions is convened into neutrinos. If an electron plasma is present, as required by charge neutrality, Coulomb losses from the ions to the electrons can be much more important than energy losses through pion production provided that the electron plasma temperature T, *<* 0.5 MeV (Fig. 7, Ref. 11). If the value of T_e is governed by the condition of thermal balance, electron temperatures below -1 MeV are expected from the weakness of Coulomb coupling between ions and electrons. Collective processes could transfer ion kinetic energy to the thermal electrons more rapidly than is possible through Coulomb coupling. But pair production becomes important when the electron temperature exceeds -1 MeV, so that again, electron temperatures in excess of-1 MeV are not expected. For simplicity, collective processes are assumed not to be important here.

The electrons in the thermal plasma can efficiently radiate their energy through thermal bremsstrahlung, thermal cyclosynchrotron emission, and through thermal Comptonization of soft photons. Cyclotron and synchrotron emission are, of course, only imponani when a magnetic field is present. The magnetic Field strength in an accretion plasma is unfortunately very uncertain. In this model, an equipartition magnetic field is assumed.

assumed. *[) * DISTRIBUTION *OF THIS* DOCUMENT *IS UNLlMiTcO*

Soft photons are generated not only through brcmsstrahlung and thermal cyclo-synchrotron emission, but also through synchrotron emission of pion-decay electrons and positrons formed in collisions of the hot ions. In fact, Comptonization of synchrotron photons radiated by pion-decay positrons becomes the most important cooling mechanism of the thermal electrons when $T_i > 20$ MeV. It may be surprising that pion production is so important, since the average ion energy in the distribution is well below the threshold value for pion production (~300 MeV kinetic energy for a proton). But the center-of-mass energy can often exceed the pion production threshold energy in collisions between ions in a self-interacting distribution, since in this case both particles are energetic.

Model results (Ref. 10) giving α as a function l_{2-10} are shown in Fig. 1. Also shown are compiled data (Ref. 3) If L₂₋₁₀ is the uninosity in the 2-10 keV X-ray band and R is the radius of the emission region, assumed to be spherical, the 2-10 keV X-ray compactness is defined by the relation

$$
I_{2\cdot 10} = \frac{L_{2\cdot 10} \, [\text{erg s}^{-1}]/R \, [\text{cm}]}{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \, \sigma_{\text{r}}} \quad . \tag{1}
$$

where $m_ec³/\sigma_T = 3.7x10^{28}$ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻¹. Lightman and Zdziarski (Ref. 3) obtain values of l_{2-10} for specific AGN by relating R to the X-ray variability time scale Δt_x through the expression $R = c \Delta t_x$. This gives a lower limit to the compactness of the source This gives a lower limit to the compactness of the source since R could be smaller than die size inferred from die variability time scale. Arguments (Ref. 12) have, however, been advanced that it is incorrect to infer the source size from an e-folding, or doubling, time scale, because of the 1/f character of the X-ray emission. According to this reasoning, if one waits long enough, the preferred criterion for variability will ultimately be met. In the results presented below, we follow the conventional interpretation that the minimum variability time scale gives a measure of the source size. Better agreement with the data can be obtained if this identification is not followed.

Fig. 1. Model results *(Ret.* **10) giving the X-ray spectral index a as** *** **(unction of the X-ray compactness I2.1Q defined in equation (1)- Sold** curves are labeled by the Thomson depth τ_T , and map the variation in **ihc ^a ' '2-10 dependence when the ion temperature Tj varies between** -10 and $\overline{200}$ MeV. The open and filled circles mark $T_i = 20$ and 200 **MeV, respectively. The crosses are the compiled data (Ref. 3). The inset shows rdauvc thermal electron energry loss rates through** bremsstrahlung (FF), thermal cyclo-synchrotron emission (TCS), and **dierraal Comptomiation of synchrouon sofi-phwons radiated by** pion-decay electrons and positrons (π). Here, $\Theta_{\text{D}} = T_1 / 938 \text{ MeV}$.

The range of calculated compactnesses shown in Fig. 1 generally agrees with observed values of l₂₋₁₀. Values of α calculated
from the hot ion model lie in the range ~0.4 - 0.9, and are also in
general agreement with observed values. Values of α = 0.7 are associated with $T_i - 50$ MeV, depending precisely on the value of τ_T . In some cases, spectral indices greater than unity are observed. This may indicate that die equlpartition assumption for the magnetic field is wrong, or that an additional soft photon sources exists. One such source may be related to the soft X-ray excess observed in many AGN. The origin of this excess could be from an optically thick accretion disk located far from the central source.

Fig. 1 also shows that if τ is held constant, the X-ray spectrum softens as die ion temperature increases. A clearer understanding of this behavior can be obtained from Fig. 2, where X-ray and soft γ -ray spectra are plotted for a number of values of T_i (the hard MeV signature of pion radiation is not shown here). Note the exponential cutoff in the spectra, and the pivoting of the spectra around values of a few hundred keV with changes in T_i.

Fig. 2. Thermal Comptonization spectra are shown for $\tau_T = 2$, $R =$ **1 0 ¹ ⁴ cm. and Tj = 10, 40 and 100 McV. The hard y-ray signature from pion processes is not shown. Synchrotron radiation from pion-decay electrons and positrons produce most of the soft photons when Tj > 20 MeV. The 2-10 keV X-ray spectral index a is equal to 0.36.0.55. and 0.72 for Tj = 10.40 and 100 MeV, respectively.**

in. OBSERVATIONS AND MODEL RESULTS

Using equation (1), we find that the X-ray compactness in a given waveband is related to the measured energy flux and variability rime scale through the expression

$$
I_x = \frac{4\pi z^2 \sigma_T F_x}{m_e c^2 H_0^2 \Delta t} = \frac{3.9 z_{-2}^2 F_{x-11}}{h_0^2 \Delta t_3} = \frac{K F_{x-11}}{h_0^2 \Delta t_3} \quad (2)
$$

Here, $cz = 0.01cz_2$ is the recessional velocity of the galaxy, H_0 = 50 h₀ km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹ is Hubble's constant ($l \le h_0 \le 2$; we use
h₀ = 1 throughout), $\Delta t = 10^3 \Delta t_3$ seconds is the minimum X-ray
variability time scale, and F_x = 10⁻¹¹ F_x .₁₁ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻² is the energy flux in the X-ray waveband under consideration.

We now compare model results with AGN for which evidence of X-ray spectral correlations are available. Quantities needed to

Table 1: Quantities associated with X-ray observations and modeling of selected active galactic nuclei.

Source	Ref.	$z_{.2}$	X-Ray 3and (kcV)	F_{-11} $(10^{-11} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2})$	ĸ	Δt_2
MCG-6-30-15	4	0.78	$2 - 10$	-3-7	2.4	-0.2
NGC - 051	4	0.233	$2 - 10$	$-0.8 - 3.5$	0.21	-0.2
Mrk 509	5	3.55	$3 - 10$	$-4 - 5$	49	-10
NGC 5506	9	$0.60*$	$2 - 6$	$-3.5 - 7$	1.4	-3
3C 120	6	3.3	5.6	$-0.2 - 0.6$	42.	-100
NGC 4151	7	0.33	$2 - 10$	$-10 - 40$	0.42	-30

*** Ref. 13**

*** Units of 10- ¹ ¹ erg** *s~^l* **cur ² keV'¹**

evaluate eq. (2) for specific sources are given in Table I. If the X-ray variability time scale implies a maximum source si2C R, the compactness is greater than some minimum value computed from equation (2). A range of values of t_T are therefore not allowed **when fitting data, since sources that are too optically thin cannot be sufficiently compact to simultaneously agree with variability and luminosity measurements. One can verify from equation (2) and the values in Table L that the model does not violate this restriction.**

1 0" erg • 7Q-/5 is a moderate luminosity Sy 1 galaxy with erg s-'. This source has been observed with both Exosa! t (Ref. 14) and Ginga (Ref. 4). Deconvolution of the X-ray spectra requires a model for X-ray absorption b) surrounding material. The favored model in the Ginga analysis is a partial (-60%) covering model with N ^H « 6(+4,-2)xI02*cm2, including emission from cold Fe. The deconvolved dan, shown in Kg. 3, strongly indicate a positive correlation between a and I ² _| ⁰ . Also shown are model results with $\tau_T = 2.0$. A good fit to the data is obtained at low luminosities, but the model *seems* are somewhat **harder than observations at higher lumjnosiues.**

Fig. 3. The data points show results of an analysis of 2-10 keV X-ray daia of MCG-6-30-15 {Ref. 4). The inferred compactnesses correspond to $\Delta t_3 = 0.2$. Solid curve shows model results with $\tau_T =$ **2.0.**

NGC 4051 is a Sy 1 galaxy with $L_{2\times10} \sim 4x10^{41}$ erg s⁻¹.
Analysis of softness-ratio data from Exosat (Ref. 15) indicates a **positive correlation between a and the 2-6 keV X-ray luminosity, which was confirmed by the Ginga results (Ref. 4). The favored** *model* **in the Ginga analysis is, again, a partial (-64%) covering model with solar abundances, and a hydrogen column density of N'H = 14(+4,-2)xl0² ² cm² . Results of the analysis are shown in** Fig. 4 along with the model results, with $\tau_T = 0.7$. Again we see **general agreeement at low luminosities. At high luminosities, again, the model results are slightly harder than the observations.**

Fig. 4. The data points show results of an analysis of 2-10 keV X-ray data of NGC 405) (Ref. *A}.* The inferred *coenfmcamses* correspond *:o* $\Delta t_3 = 0.2$. Solid curve shows model results with $\tau_T = 0.7$.

Mrk 509 is a nearby Sy 1 galaxy with L₃₋₁₀ ~ 5x10⁴⁴ erg s⁻¹.
Results of an analysis (Ref. 5) of Exosat and Ginga data are **shown in Fig. 5. Galactic absorption using galactic abundances** with $N_H \equiv 4x10^{20}$ cm² was used to deconvolve the data. Model spectra with $\tau_T = 2.0$ give a good fit to the data.

Fig. 5. The data points show results of an analysis of 3-10 keV X-ray Exosat and Ginga data of Mrk 509 (Ref. 5). Solid curve shows model results with $\tau_T = 2.0$.

NGC 5506 is a moderate luminosity Sy 2 galaxy with L₂₋₆ = 7x10⁴² erg s⁻¹. A uniform cold absorber model with with N_H = 2.8×10^{22} cm² was used to determine the X-ray spectrum observed with Exosat (Ref. 9). No evidence for variations of α with luminosity was found, and the best fit value of spectral index was $\alpha = 0.84(\pm 0.04)$, A model fit with $\tau_T = 0.5$ gives a reasonable fit to the data, as shown in Fig. 6. The weak variation of spectral index with luminosity occurs on the high ion-temperature part of the $\tau_T = 0.5$ curve (cf. Fig. 1).

Fig. 6. The data points show results of an analysis of 2-6 keV Exosai data of NGC 5506 (Ref. 9). Solid curve shows model results with $\tau_T = 0.5$.

3C 120 is a Sy 1 galaxy with a compact radio core. Its 2-10 keV
luminosity $\equiv 1.6 \times 10^{44}$ erg s⁻¹. A uniform cold absorber model with galactic absorption column density $N_H \equiv 3 \times 10^{21}$ cm² was the favored model (Ref. 6). A positive correlation between α and *5.6* keV flux was found, as shown in Fig. 7. As can be seen, die model gives good agreement with the data when $\tau_T \approx 1.0$.

Fig. 7. The data points show results of an analysis of 2-10 keV X-ray Einstein data of 3C 120 (Rcf. 6). Solid curve shows model results with $t_T = 1.0$.

 $NGC4151$ is a Sy 1-1.5 with L_{2-10} - 7x10⁴² erg s⁻¹. Analysis (Ref. 7) of Exosat observations using a uniform cold absorber model with $N_H \sim 5x10^{22}$ cm² and an enhanced iron abundance showed a positive correlation of α and F_{2-10} , as shown in Fig. 8.
Model results with $\tau_T = 2.0$ give a reasonable fit to the data.

Fig. **8. The data** points **show results or an analysis of** 2-10 **keV** X-ray Exosai **data of** NGC **4151 (Kef. 7). Solid curve shows model** results with $\tau_T = 2.0$.

y-rav *Spectral Correlations in Other Black-Hole Sources.* **A** softness-ratio analysis (Ref. 8) of Exosat data of the narrow emission line galaxy NGC 7314 also suggests a positive correlation between softness ratio and **-*.\b-* It is unfortunately difficult *to* compare directly with the model occause the data are not presented in terms of spectral index vaiiarions. Cygnus X-l also gives some indication for X-ray spectral correlations. In Fig. 9, we have plotted the spectral index as a function of 10-200 keV luminosity using the compilation of Liang and Nolan (Ref. 16). Only low-state data were used. The best fit line through the data show a behavior in accord with observations of spectral correlations in Seyfcrt 1 galaxies. A more detailed analysis of Cyg X-1 data is, however, required before quantitative model comparisons can be made, since different instruments were used to obtain these data, and the 10-200 *VeV* luminosities were sometimes deduced from extrapolations.

Fig. 9. The data points are values of the hard X-ray voectral index of Cygnus X-l as a function of 10-200 keV luminosity (from Ref. 16). Also shown is the best fit line given by $\alpha \approx 0.27 \div 0.18$ L₃₇, where L₃₇ is the 10-200 keV luminosity in units of 10^{37} erg s⁻¹ (all data points were given equal weight).

rV. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

The- Ginga mission has provided the most convincing evidence yet that the X-ray spectra of Sy 1 galaxies soften as their X-ray luminosities increase. Several examples have been presented in this paper. This behavior is also indicated in low-siate hard X-ray data of the galactic black-hole source Cygnus X-1. However, the Sy 2 galaxy NGC 5506 does not show this effect, but the measurement errors are large. An important future study will be to determine whether Sy 1 and Sy 2 galaxies are distinguished by different X-ray spectral index/ intensity correlations.

These observations provide an important test for theoretical models of the continuum emission of black-hole sources. As noted in Ref. 9, constat)! seed thermal Comptonization models with impulsive heating are not in agreement with the dau. Pair cascade models, such as those proposed in Ref. 17, may explain this behavior, but these models already have difficulty in obtaining agreement between the X-ray spectral index and MeV cutoff (Ref. 3).

As we have se?n, an explanation for the observed spectral correlations is provided by a simple two-temperature hot ion model (Ref. 10). As the ion temperature increases, the injection rate of soft photons emitted by pion-decay positrons and electrons also increases. Thus the spectrum softens and the intensity increases, as shown in Fig. 2. This simple two-parameter model gives reasonable quantitative agreement with the data. Where the model differs from the data, it usually **predicts harder spectra than are observed. This may imply that the equipartition assumption for the magnetic field strength is incorrect, or that there is another source of soft photons. Additionally, the dependence of the spectral index on luminosity is not as strong in the model as in the data. This difference may be related to the assumption that the Thomson depth is independent of ion temperature. If a change in the ion temperature were due, for example, to a change in the accretion rate, it is very possible that the Thomson depth would vary due to changes in either the mean ion density or source size of the two-temperature piasma.**

This model predicts a thermal cutoff in the spectrum at a few hundred keV and a hard tail from pion production at MeV energies. The spectrum is also expected to pivot at hard X-ray/ soft Y-ray energies in response to changes in the ion temperature. Also, hard X-rays are expected to lag soft X-rays. Confirmation of these predictions would strongly suggest the existence of an io..-heated two-temperature plasma in the central engine of black-hole sources.

This **work was performed under the auspices of the U. S. Department of Energy by the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract** W-7405-ENG-48.

V. REFERENCES

1. Mushotzky, R.F., 1988, in *Active Galactic Nuclei,* **H.R. Miller and P.J. Wiita, eds. (Springer-Verlag: New York), p.**

2. Bassani, L., and Dean, A.J., 1983, *Space Sci. Rev.,* **35, 367.**

3. Lightman, A.P.. and Zdziarslci, A.A., 1987, *Ap. J.,* **319, 643.**

4. Matsuoka, M, Yamauchi, M., Piro. L., and Murakami, T., 1989, *Ap. J.,* **submitted.**

5. Singh, K.P., Westergaard, N.J., Schnopper, H.W., Awaki, H., and Tawara, Y., these proceedings.

6. Halpem. J.P., *Ap. J.,* **290,130.**

7. Perola, G.C. et al., 1986, *Ap. J.,* **305,508.**

- **8. Turner, TJ.. 1987.** *MJVJIAS.,* **226,9P.**
- **9. McHardy, I., and Czemy, B., 1987,** *Nature,* **325,696.**

10. Dermer, CD., 1988, *Ap. J. (Letters),* **335, L5.**

11. Dermer, C.D., Liang, E.P., and Canfield, E., 1989, Ap. J., **submitted.**

12. Lawrence, A., Watson, M.G., Pounds, K.A., and Elvis, M., 1987, *Nature,* **325, 694.**

13. Rubin, V.C., 1978, *Ap. J. (Utters),* **224, L55.**

14. Pounds, K.A., Turner, T.J., and Warwick, R.S., 1986, *M.N.R.A.S.,* **221, 7P.**

15. Lawrence, A., Watson, M.G., Pounds, K.A., and Elvis, M., 1985. *M.N.R.A.S.,* **217, 685.**

16. Liang, E.P.. and Nolan. P.L.. 1984, *Space Sci. Rev.,* **38, 353.**

17. Kazanas, D., 1984, *Ap. J.,* **287. 112.**