IFT

Instituto de Física Teórica
Universidade Estadual Paulista

IFT-P.002/91

THE CORNWALL-NORTON MODEL IN THE STRONG COUPLING REGIME

A.A. Natale

Instituto de Física Teórica
Universidade Estadual Paulista
Rua Pamplona, 145
01405 - São Paulo, S.P.
Brasil

THE CORNWALL-NORTON MODEL IN THE STRONG COUPLING REGIME

A.A. NATALE

Instituto de Física Teórica - Universidade Estadual Paulista

Rua Pamplona, 145 - 01405 - São Paulo - SP - Brazil

ABSTRACT

The Cornwall-Norton model is studied in the strong coupling regime. It is shown that the fermionic self-energy at large momenta behaves as $\Sigma(p) \sim (m^2/p) \ln (p/m)$. We verify that in the strong coupling phase the dynamically generated masses of gauge and scalar bosons are of the same order, and the essential features of the model remain intact.

The Cornwall-Norton model[1] is one of the simplest models of dynamical gauge boson mass generation in four dimensions. In this model the Schwinger-Dyson equation for the fermionic self-energy is quite similar to the one of quantum electrodynamics (QED), and assuming that this equation has a non-trivial solution like the one proposed for QED by Johnson, Baker and Willey[2], it was shown that the gauge boson acquires a dynamical mass. Posteriorly, with the use of an effective potential for composite operators[3], it was found that the mass generation occurrs only when the coupling constant has a moderately small critical value. The model also contains a composite scalar boson[4], which plays the role of the standard model Higgs boson, and whose mass is numerically small when compared to the gauge boson mass.

Nowadays it is believed that the Johnson, Baker and Willey[2] solution of mass generation in QED is not realized in Nature. Naskawa and Nakagima[5] have shown that QED admits a non-trivial solution for the gap equation only when the coupling constant α is larger than $\alpha_c = \pi/3$. Further studies[6] confirmed this result, which also imply that even in the presence of a bare mass the non-trivial solution for $\alpha < \alpha_c$ disappears when we go to the chiral limit[5,6].

If the chiral symmetry breaking solution of QED at weak coupling does not exist, we could imagine that many of the results of refs. 1, 3 and 4 can be substantially modified, because

part of them were obtained assuming a weak coupling regime. However, we believe that the nice characteristics of the model should remain intact, as long as they only depend on the existence of a non-trivial fermionic self-energy solution. Recalling again the similarity between the gap equation of QED and the Cornwall-Norton one, we come to the conclusion that also the Cornwall-Norton model must be realized in the strong coupling regime.

It has been claimed that QED possesses a non-trivial ultraviolet fixed point at $\alpha_c = \pi/3$[7], and this hypothesis is corroborated by numerical simulations[8]. One might wonder if the same fixed point does not appear in the Cornwall-Norton model. If this is the case, the model is one example of gauge boson mass generation in the presence of a fixed point, and its study may also be interesting to learn aspects of dynamical mass generation with U(1) technicolor theories[9].

In this work we study the Cornwall-Norton model in the strong coupling regime. We discuss the solution of the gap equation, compute the effective potential of composite operators at stationary points, and calculate the gauge and scalar boson masses.

The Schwinger-Dyson equation for the fermionic propagator of the model is[1]

$$S^{-1}(p) = \not{p} + m_0 + ig_A^2 \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} G^A_{\mu\nu}(k)\, \gamma^\mu S(p-k)\, \Gamma^\nu_A(p-k,p)$$

$$+ ig_B \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} G^B_{\mu\nu}(k)\, \gamma^\mu \tau_2 S(p-k)\, \Gamma^\nu_B(p-k,p) \qquad (1)$$

where $G^{A(B)}$, S indicate respectively the complete gauge bosons and fermion propagators, and Γ are the vertex functions. In terms of the self-energy $\Sigma(p)$ we can write

$$S^{-1}(p) - \not{p} - m_0 = \Sigma(p) = \Sigma_S(p) + \tau_3 \Sigma_A(p) \qquad (2)$$

where we divided $\Sigma(p)$ in the chirally symmetric (Σ_S) and asymmetric (Σ_A) parts. Using Eq. (1) and (2) in the lowest order of Γ, and working in the Landau gauge we obtain

$$\Sigma_A(p) = i\left(g_B^2 - g_A^2\right) \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{g_{\mu\nu} - k_\mu k_\nu/k^2}{k^2} \gamma^\mu \cdot \frac{\Sigma_A(p-k)}{(\not{p}-\not{k} + m_0 + \Sigma_S(p-k))^2 - \Sigma_A^2(p-k)} \gamma^\nu \qquad (3)$$

Changing $(g_A^2 - g_B^2)$ in Eq.(3) by e^2, where e is the electromagnetic coupling constant, we arrive at the QED gap equation. Therefore, following ref. (2), it was assumed that Eq. (3) has the solution

$$\Sigma_A(p^2) \underset{p^2 \to \infty}{\approx} \delta m \left[\frac{p^2}{m^2}\right]^{-\epsilon} \qquad (4)$$

where δm is the dynamical mass, and

$$\epsilon = \frac{3}{16\pi^2}\left(g_A^2 - g_B^2\right) + O\left(g_A^2, g_B^2\right) \qquad (5)$$

subjected to the condition $0 < g_A^2 - g_B^2 < 4\pi^2/3$, which delimits the weak coupling region.

Analyzing the B-gauge boson self-energy

$$\Pi^B_{\mu\nu} = -i g_B^2 \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \, Tr \left[\Gamma^B_\mu (p-k,p) \, S(p) \, \gamma_\nu \, \tau_2 \, S(p-k) \right] \quad (6)$$

we can verify that at [illegible] mass given as a function of $\Sigma(p)$ by[1]

$$\Pi^B(0) = \text{[illegible]} \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{p^2 \Sigma^2(p^2)}{(p^2 + m^2)^2} \quad (7)$$

where the momentum is in the Euclidean space and m is the [illegible] mass (i.e., bare plus dynamical). The introduction of Eq. (4) into Eq. (7) entails

$$\Pi^B(0) = M_B^2 = \frac{g_B^2}{2\pi^2 \epsilon} (\delta m)^2 + O(g_A^2, g_B^2) \quad (8)$$

The existence of a massive scalar boson was inferred in an ingenious way[4]. The kinetic term of the effective action for composite operators was computed, leading to an effective Ginzburg-Landau Lagrangian density of the form[4]

$$\Omega = \int d^4x \left[\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 + \frac{A}{4} \phi^4 - \frac{B}{6} \phi^6 \right] \quad (9)$$

where A and B are functions of ϵ, δm and m, and whose minimization imply in one composite scalar boson mass equal to[4]

$$M_\phi^2 = \frac{4\epsilon}{5} \frac{(\delta m)^4}{m^2} \quad (10)$$

with the critical value found in Refs. (3) and (4) it was ... numerical hierarchy between ... gauge ... order ... the ... procedure to arrive at Eq. (9) is explained in ref. ... as emphasized by Cornwall and Shellard[4] it is not expected to be valid at strong coupling. Notice that the hierarchy between Eqs. (8) and (10) is surely one of the results that can be modified in the strong coupling phase.

Due to the formal identity between the Cornwall-Norton gap equation with the QED one when we change $(g_A^2 - g_B^2)/4\pi$ by α ($\equiv e^2/4\pi$) in Eq. (3), we may translate all the results of refs. (5) and (6), concerning the QED gap equation, to our problem. These results can be stated as: **a)** In a theory chirally symmetric ($m_0 = 0$): i) When $\alpha < \alpha_0 \equiv \pi/3$ there is only the trivial solution ($\Sigma = 0$). ii) When $\alpha > \alpha_c$ besides the trivial solution, $\Sigma(p)$ has an infinite number of oscilating solutions. **b)** In a theory with a bare mass ($m \neq 0$). i) When $\alpha < \alpha_c$ there is only one non-trivial solution, but it turns out to be trivial in the chiral limit ($m_0 \rightarrow 0$), ii) When $\alpha > \alpha_c$ there is a finite number of non-trivial solutions. For simplicity, and as we want to study a real theory of dynamical mass generation we will set $m_0 = 0$ in Eq. (1), consequently the dynamicall mass, denoted by $\acute{o}m$, will be equal to the total mass m and $\Sigma(p)$ will be non-trivial for $\alpha > \alpha_c \equiv \pi/3$.

Eq. (3) can be transformed into a differential equation whose solution is[7] (hereafter we drop the symbol A

from $\Sigma_A(p)$)

$$\Sigma(p^2) = C \, m \, {}_2F_1 \left[\frac{1}{2} + \gamma', \frac{1}{2} - \gamma', 2 ; \frac{-p^2}{2} \right] \qquad (11)$$

where C is a constant determined by the boundary conditions and

$$\gamma' = i\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{3}{\pi} \left[\frac{g_A^2 - g_B^2}{4\pi} \right] \right]^{1/} \qquad (12)$$

At large momenta Eq. (11) can be expanded as

$$\Sigma(p) \underset{p^2 >> m^2}{\sim} m \left[\frac{p^2}{m^2} \right]^{-1/2} \left[\frac{\coth \pi\gamma}{\pi\gamma \, (\gamma^2 + 1/4)} \right]^{1/2} \sin \left[2\gamma \ln \left(\frac{p}{m} \right) + \beta \right] \qquad (13)$$

where

$$\beta = \arg \left[\frac{\Gamma(1 + 2\gamma')}{\Gamma^2 (1/2 + \gamma')} \right] - \operatorname{arctg} 2\gamma \qquad (14)$$

At $\gamma = 0$ (i.e. $g_A^2 - g_B^2 = 4\pi^2/3$) Eq. (13) assumes the simpler form

$$\Sigma(p) \underset{p^2 >> m^2}{\sim} \frac{m^2}{p} \ln \left[\frac{p}{m} \right] \qquad (15)$$

With the help of Eq. (13) we can determine the gauge boson mass (Eq. (7)), and, following Ref.(3), we can compute the effective potential for composite operators (Ω). The idea of computing Ω is to determine for which value of γ (or, $g_A^2 - g_B^2$) occurs the symmetry breaking, i.e. the minimum of energy will happen for a specific value of the coupling constant. However, an

easier way to extract information about the minimum of energy is to compute $\langle\Omega\rangle$, i.e. the values of Ω at stationary points:

$$\langle\Omega\rangle = 2i \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \left\{ \ln\left[1 - \Sigma^2(p)/p^2\right] + \Sigma^2(p)/ip^2 - \Sigma^2(p)\right\} \tag{16}$$

The computation of eq. (16) is enough to determine the critical value of $(g_A^2 - g_B^2)$ as will be shown in the following. The value of $\langle\Omega\rangle$ is much less sensible than Ω to any possible deviation of a linearized expression of $\Sigma(p)$ from the actual solution of the non-linear gap equation. Notice that all the information about the gauge bosons which appears in Ω was swept away in Eq. (16); it enters only in the dependence of $\Sigma(p)$ on $(g_A^2 - g_B^2)$.

To determine $\langle\Omega\rangle$ as a function of γ we need only the ultraviolet part of $\Sigma(p)$ given by Eq. (13). The infrared part, at least in the leading approximation, does not depend on γ[6]. Considering that $\Sigma(p$ naturally damps the integrals in Eq. (16) we can expand the ultraviolet part of $\langle\Omega\rangle$ in powers of $\Sigma(p)/p$, and introducing the variable $x = p^2/m^2$ we obtain the leading term of $\langle\Omega\rangle$

$$\frac{8\pi^2}{m^4}\langle\Omega\rangle \approx -\frac{1}{2}\int_1^\infty dx\, \frac{\bar{\Sigma}^4}{x} + O\left[\frac{\bar{\Sigma}^6}{x^2}\right] \tag{17}$$

where $\bar{\Sigma} = \Sigma/m$, and only the region $p^2 > m^2$ was considered. This calculation has already been done for QED, where the substitution of Eq. (13) into Eq. (17) yields[11]

$$\frac{8\lambda^2}{m^4}\langle\Omega\rangle = \frac{-1}{32}\left[\frac{\coth(\pi\gamma)}{\pi\gamma\,(\gamma^2+1/4)}\right]^2 \qquad (18)$$

$$\times\left[3-\frac{(\gamma^2+1)(2\gamma\sin 4\beta-\cos 4\beta)+4(4\gamma^2+1)(\cos 2\beta-\gamma\sin 2\beta)}{(4\gamma^4+5\gamma^2+1)}\right]$$

For $(g_A^2 - g_B^2)/4\pi < \pi/3$ only the trivial solution ($\Sigma(p) = 0$) exists[5,6], and for $(g_A^2 - g_B^2)/4\pi \geq \pi/3$ we verify that the minimum of energy (i.e. the minimum of Eq. (18)) occurs at $(g_A^2 - g_B^2)/4\pi = \pi/3$ (or $\gamma = 0$). We conclude that this point corresponds to an absolute minimum[11]. Notwithstanding, following Wilson's work on the renormalization group equations[12] we know that a fixed point is a stationary point of a potential. therefore, we identify the value of $(g_A^2 - g_B^2)/4\pi = \pi/3$ as a fixed point of the theory.

The dynamical symmetry breaking in the Cornwall-Norton model, as discussed above, is realized at strong coupling when $(g_A^2 - g_B^2)/4\pi = \pi/3$, therefore, the fermionic self-energy at large momenta has the universal behavior given by Eq. (15), and this is the expression of $\Sigma(p)$ to be used in the calculation of gauge and scalar boson masses.

The masses of gauge and scalar bosons and the hierarchy found between them in the weak coupling regime[4], as will be shown in the following, are modified at strong coupling. The calculation of the gauge boson mass is straightforward; from Eqs. (7) and (15) we find

$$M_B^2 \approx \frac{0.41\, g_B^2}{\pi^2}\, m^2 \qquad (19)$$

where the integral in Eq. (7), for simplicity, was cut in the infrared at $p = m$ and evaluated numerically. The main difference with respect to the weak coupling result (Eq. (8)) is a factor $1/\epsilon$.

In the case of the scalar boson mass a method devised by Elias and Scadron[13] to compute the sigma meson mass of quantum chromodynamics may be helpful. The scalar mass appears when we compute the fermion-tadpole diagram of Fig. (1), whose result is

$$m = -g^2_{\bar{\psi}\psi\psi} \frac{\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_R}{M^2_\psi} \tag{20}$$

where $g_{\bar{\psi}\psi\psi}$ is the composite scalar boson coupling to the fermions, and $\langle \bar{\psi} \rangle_R$ is the renormalized fermion condensate. The calculation of the condensate is identical to the case of QED, which was determined by Bardeen, Leung and Love[16], and is given by

$$\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_R = -\frac{1.09}{2\pi^2} m^3 \tag{21}$$

when evaluated at the critical coupling constant.

When the chiral symmetry is broken the theory also forms Goldstone bosons, and the coupling of these bosons to the fermions ($g_{\pi\bar{\psi}\psi}$) as well as the scalar coupling have their strength given by the Goldberger-Treiman relation

$$g_{\bar{\psi}\psi\psi} = g_{\pi\bar{\psi}\psi} = \frac{m}{f_\pi} \tag{22}$$

where f_π is the Goldstone boson decay constant obtained through the Pagels-Stokar formula[15]

$$f_\pi^2 = \frac{m^2}{4\pi^2} \int_1^\infty dx\, x\, \bar{\Sigma}(x)\, \frac{\bar{\Sigma}(x) - \frac{1}{2} x \bar{\Sigma}'(x)}{[x + \bar{\Sigma}^2(x)]^2} \qquad (23)$$

Where $x = p^2/m^2$ and, for simplicity and consistency with our previous calculations, we introduced a cut-off at $p^2 = m^2$. Using $\Sigma(p)$ given by Eq. (15) we obtain $f_\pi^2 \approx 0.49\, m^2/4\pi^2$, and combining the above expressions we arrived at the scalar boson mass $M_\phi \approx 0.7m$. Comparing this result with Eq. (10) we notice again a difference of a factor ϵ.

The ratio between the gauge and scalar boson mass is

$$\frac{M_B^2}{M_\phi^2} \approx \frac{0.26\, g_B^2}{\pi} \qquad (24)$$

Apart from the condition $(g_A^2 - g_B^2)/4\pi \approx \pi/3$, g_B^2 is not constrained, and if $g_B^2/4\pi \sim O(1)$ we do not have any hierarchy between gauge and scalar masses, as can be seen from eq. (24). However, if g_B^2 is small we have the opposite of what was obtained at weak coupling, i.e. $M_B^2 \ll M_\phi^2$.

The existence of a scalar boson lighter than the dynamical mass, as obtained in Ref. (4) at weak coupling, is an interesting feature because in a realistic theory this scalar would play the role of the Higgs boson, and we could think of a

model where the Higgs boson mass would lay bellow the characteristic mass scale of the standard model. Unfortunately, as we verified here, if the theory is realized at strong coupling the Higgs boson mass will probably be of the order of the dynamical mass.

In conclusion, in this paper we have computed the effective potential for composite operators at stationary points and shown that the minimum of energy occurs for $(g_A^2 - g_B^2)/4\pi \approx \pi/3$. Therefore, the asymptotic fermionic self-energy behaves as $\Sigma(p) \sim (m^2/p) \ln (p/m)$. We computed the gauge and scalar boson masses, and verified that they are of the same order at strong coupling. Although the masses are modified, the many nice properties of the model remain intact. It would be interesting to investigate if these results are changed by the addition of the chirally invariant four-fermion operator (with coupling constant G) to the lagrangian of the model[16]. Naively, even if the fixed point is moved to some point in the plane (α, G)[17] the symmetry breaking still happens at strong coupling (α orG), and we should not expect any gross deviation in our results.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was partially supported by CNPq (Conselho Nacional de Pesquisa).

REFERENCES

1. J.M. Cornwall and R.E. Norton
   Phys. Rev. D8 (1973) 3338
2. K. Johnson, M. Baker and R. Willey
   Phys. Rev. 136 (1964) B1111
   - Th.A.J. Maris, V.E.H. Herskovitz and G. Jacob
   Phys. Rev. Lett. 12 (1964) 313
3. J.M. Cornwall, R. Jackiw and E. Tomboulis
   Phys. Rev. D10 (1974) 2428
4. J.M. Cornwall and R.C. Shellard
   Phys. Rev. D18(1978) 1216
5. T. Maskawa and H. Nakagima
   Prog. Theor. Phys. 52(1974) 1326; 54 (1975) 860
6. R. Fukuda and T. Kugo
   Nucl. Phys. B117 (1976) 250
7. V.A. Miransky,
   Nuovo Cim. A90 (1985) 149
   Sov. Phys. JETP 61 (1985) 905
8. J.B. Kogut, E. Dagotto and A. Kocic
   Phys. Rev. Lett. 61(1988) 2416
   Nucl. Phys. B317 (1989) 253, 271
9. B. Holdom
   Phys. Rev. D24 (1981) 1441
   - M. Bando, T. Morozumi, H. So and K. Yamawaki
   Phys. Rev. Lett. 59 (1987) 389
10. A.A. Natale
    Nucl. Phys. B226 (1983) 365
11. A.A. Natale
    Phys. Lett. B250 (1990) 139

12. K.G. Wilgon
Phys.Rev. B4 (1971) 3174
- K.G. Wilson and J. Kogut
Phys.Rep. 12C (1974)75

13. V. Elias and M.D. Scadron
Phys.Rev.Lett. 53 (1984) 1129

14. W.A. Bardeen, C.N. Leung and S.T. Love
Nucl.Phys. B323 (1989) 493

15. H. Pagels and S. Stokar
Phys.Rev. D20 (1979) 2947

16. W.A. Bardeen, C.N. Leung and and S.T. Love
Phys.Rev.Lett. 56 (1986) 1230
Nucl.Phys. B273 (1986) 649

17. A. Kocic, S. Hands, J.B. Kogut and E. Dagotto
Nucl.Phys. B347 (1990) 217
- E. Dagotto
Preprint ITP - Santa Bárbara - 90-149

FIGURE CAPTION

Fig. 1 - Fermion mass gap equation in terms of the scalar boson tadpole graph



FIG. 1