

Effects of low-dose radiation on gene expression in Syrian hamster embryo cells: comparison of JANUS neutrons and gamma rays

G. E. Woloschak and C.-M. Chang-Liu

Biological and Medical Research Division, Argonne National Laboratory,
9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, Illinois

ANL/CP-75218

DE92 016176

INTRODUCTION

Past work by our group (1-4) and others (5-9) has shown the modulation of specific genes following exposure of cells to ionizing radiation. Many classes of genes have been found to be modulated in response to ionizing radiation, including those encoding cytoskeletal elements (2,3), cell growth arresting proteins (5), cytokines (1,6), and cellular oncogenes (7,8). The functions of this specific modulation of gene expression are currently being investigated by several groups; it has been suggested that gene modulation in response to radiation plays a role in cellular repair of DNA damage, cell survival, or cellular transformation (1-7). Several groups have examined induction of nuclear proto-oncogenes following exposure to DNA-damaging agents (4,7,8).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and Culture Conditions. In all experiments, we examined modulation of gene expression by ionizing radiations in Syrian hamster embryo (SHE) fibroblasts, which are normal diploid cells that can be neoplastically transformed by low doses of ionizing radiations (10).

Radiation Treatment. Cells plated in 100-mm Petri plates containing 10 ml of medium were irradiated with ^{60}Co γ -rays or fission-spectrum neutrons (0.85 MeV) from the JANUS reactor (11). All irradiations were performed at 37 °C on cycling cells; equitoxic doses of neutrons and γ -rays were selected on the basis of survival data (10).

Purification of RNA and Northern Blots. RNA preparations, RNA electrophoresis, and Northern blots were performed as previously described (15-18). Equal amounts of mRNA on the blot were confirmed by hybridization to *p53* or *c-myc*.

cDNA Clones. We thank the following people who provided us with cDNA clones: *c-jun* cDNA was obtained from Dr. W. Lamph (Salk Institute); *Rb* clone from Dr. Dryja; H4-histone clone from Drs. G. and J. Stein; *c-fos* and *c-myc* from American Type Culture Collection; and *p53* from Dr. A. Levine.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Experiments were performed to determine the effects of radiation dose, dose rate, and quality on expression of genes encoding nuclear proteins (*c-jun*, *Rb*, H4-histone, *p53*, and *c-myc*). Cycling SHE cells were exposed to varying doses (0 to 200 cGy) of γ -rays

MASTER

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

administered at either high (14 cGy/min) or low (1 cGy/min) dose rates. One hour after exposure, RNA was harvested from the cells and analyzed by Northern blot hybridization for expression of genes encoding nuclear proteins. Microdensitometric analyses of a series of Northern blots are shown in Table 1. Results from similar experiments examining the effects of JANUS neutron exposure on expression of genes encoding nuclear proteins are depicted in the microdensitometric analyses presented in Table 2.

The experiments reported here were designed to examine the effects of radiation exposure on expression of genes encoding nuclear proteins. Our results confirm the work of Sherman *et al.* (7), documenting induction of *c-jun* following exposure to low-LET radiations, and similarly demonstrate a failure of high-LET radiations (neutrons) to induce *c-jun* in SHE cells. All of the experiments presented here provide further support to the

Table 1
Relative Expression of Transcripts Encoding Nuclear Proteins Following γ -Ray Exposure: Cycling Cells^a

		Transcripts ^b				
Dose (cGy)	Dose Rate (cGy/m)	<i>c-jun</i>	<i>Rb</i>	H4-Histone	<i>p53</i>	<i>c-myc</i>
0	0	1.0 (.04)	1.0 (.01)	1.0 (.04)	1.0 (.11)	1.0 (.03)
6	1.0	1.6 (.04) ^c	0.9 (.08)	1.4 (.08)	1.1 (.09)	HND ^d
25	1.0	2.2 (.07) ^c	1.3 (.03)	1.4 (.03)	1.3 (.09)	HND
50	1.0	1.8 (.12) ^c	1.7 (.03) ^c	1.7 (.08) ^c	1.3 (.06)	HND
75	1.0	1.7 (.17) ^c	1.3 (.08)	2.0 (.07) ^c	1.4 (.02)	HND
25	14.0	1.5 (.11) ^c	0.9 (.09)	1.4 (.02)	1.1 (.02)	HND
50	14.0	0.8 (.21)	0.7 (.11)	1.6 (.04) ^c	1.3 (.07)	HND
75	14.0	1.1 (.12)	1.3 (.06)	1.1 (.05)	1.3 (.02)	HND
200	14.0	4.7 (.03) ^c	1.7 (.19) ^c	1.4 (.05)	0.9 (.11)	HND

^aCycling cells were irradiated with ⁶⁰Co γ -rays at the doses or dose rates indicated 1 h prior to RNA harvest.

^bRNA levels were determined by Northern blot hybridization and quantitated by microdensity. Amount of gene-specific mRNA in untreated cells was set at 1.0. All other RNAs were expressed relative to that. Standard deviations are in parentheses.

^cSignificantly different from control at $P < 0.05$.

^dHND, hybridizations not detected.

Table 2
Relative Expression of Transcripts Encoding Nuclear Proteins Following Neutron Exposure:
Cycling Cells^a

Dose (cGy)	Dose Rate (cGy/m)	Transcripts ^b				
		<i>c-jun</i>	<i>Rb</i>	H4- Histone	<i>p53</i>	<i>c-myc</i>
0	0	1.0 (.14)	1.0 (.05)	1.0 (.10)	1.0 (.01)	1.0 (.02)
6	0.5	0.7 (.16)	0.5 (.04) ^c	HND ^d	0.8 (.04)	1.1 (.04)
12	0.5	0.9 (.18)	0.7 (.05)	0.8 (.09)	0.7 (.02)	1.0 (.02)
24	0.5	0.9 (.13)	0.6 (.05) ^c	0.6 (.12)	0.4 (.11) ^c	1.3 (.01)
36	0.5	1.1 (.30)	1.0 (.10)	1.2 (.01)	1.1 (.07)	1.0 (.05)
12	12	0.8 (.05)	0.8 (.06)	0.4 (.03)	0.7 (.03)	1.2 (.05)
24	12	1.6 (.14) ^c	0.7 (.04)	0.6 (.01)	0.8 (.01)	0.9 (.05)
48	12	0.5 (.06) ^c	0.4 (.03) ^c	0.5 (.07)	0.8 (.12)	0.9 (.03)
96	12	1.2 (.10)	1.2 (.08)	1.3 (.01)	1.6 (.01) ^c	1.3 (.03)

^aCycling cells were irradiated with JANUS neutrons at the doses or dose rates indicated 1 h prior to RNA harvest

^bRNA levels were determined by Northern blot hybridization and quantitated by microdensity. Amount of gene-specific mRNA in untreated cells was set at 1.0. All other RNAs were expressed relative to that. Standard deviations are in parentheses.

^cSignificantly different from control at $P < 0.05$.

^dHND, hybridizations not detected.

hypothesis that high- and low-LET radiations produce different cellular responses to radiation-induced damage. Genes induced by low-LET γ -rays (*c-jun*, H4-histone, and, to a lesser extent, *Rb*) were unaffected following neutron exposure. The gene, *p53*, which was modestly induced following γ -ray exposure, was unaffected by neutron exposure; and *c-myc*, which was repressed following γ -ray exposure, was unaffected following neutron exposure. Taken together with previous work from our laboratory, this work suggests that the actual event (whether it be DNA damage, oxidative damage, protein denaturation, or some other intracellular event) which modulates the cellular response to ionizing radiations may be different for high- and low-LET radiations. In fact, a recent report from Karin's group (20) has shown that induction of *c-jun* and *c-fos* following exposure to DNA-damaging agents can be attributed to cellular oxidative damage. Failure of neutrons to elicit this response would implicate some alternate pathway for gene modulation following neutron exposure.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Ms. K. Bexson for excellent secretarial expertise and Mr. G. Holmblad for assistance in irradiations. This work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Health and Environmental Research, Contract No. W-31-109-ENG-38.

REFERENCES

1. Woloschak GE, Liu C-M, Jones PS, Jones CA. *Cancer Res* 1990; 50:339-344.
2. Woloschak GE, Liu C-M, Shearin-Jones P. *Cancer Res* 1990; 50:3963-3967.
3. Woloschak GE, Shearin-Jones P, Chang-Liu C-M. *Mol Carcinogen* 1990; 3:374-378.
4. Woloschak GE, Chang-Liu C-M. *Radiat Res* 1990; 124:183-187.
5. Fornace AJ Jr, Alamo I Jr, Hollander CM. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1988; 85:8800-8804.
6. Hallahan DE, Spriggs DR, Beckett MA, Kufe DW, et al. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1989; 86:10104-10107.
7. Sherman ML, Datta R, Hallahan DE, Weichselbaum RR, et al. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1990; 87:5663-5666.
8. Hollander CM, Fornace AJ Jr. *Cancer Res* 1989; 49:1687-1692.
9. Angel P, Rahmsdorf HJ, Pöting A, Lücke-Huhle C, et al. *J Cell Biochem* 1985; 29:351-360.
10. Borek C, Hall EJ, Rossi HH. *Cancer Res* 1978; 38:2997-3005.
11. Williamson FA, Frigerio NA. In: Burger G, Schraube H, Ebert HG, eds. *Proceedings of the First Symposium on Neutron Dosimetry in Biology and Medicine*. Luxembourg: Commission of the European Communities, 1972; 743
12. Barendsen GW. In: Upton AC, Albert RE, Burns FJ, Shore RE, eds. *Radiation Carcinogenesis*. New York: Elsevier Publishers, 1986; 86-106
13. Painter RB, Young BR. *J Cell Sci* 1987; 6 suppl:207-214.
14. Johnson RT, Collins ARS, Squires S, Mullinger AM, et al. *J Cell Sci* 1987; 6 suppl:263-288.
15. Woloschak GE, Krco CJ. *Mol Immunol* 1987; 24:751-757.
16. Woloschak GE. *Mol Immunol* 1986; 23:581-591.
17. Woloschak GE, Dewald G, Bahn RS, Kyle RA, et al. *J Cell Biochem* 1986; 32:23-34.
18. Woloschak GE. *Mol Immunol* 1987; 24:995-1004.
19. Woloschak GE, Chang-Liu C-M. *Int J Radiat Biol* 1991; 59:1173-1183.
20. Gottlieb R, Karin M. Abstract from AACR Conference *Cellular Responses to Environmental DNA Damage*, Banff, Alberta, 1991.

The submitted manuscript has been authored by a contractor of the U. S. Government under contract No. W-31-109-ENG-38. Accordingly, the U. S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or allow others to do so, for U. S. Government purposes.