



# Mutation Breeding Review

JOINT FAO/IAEA DIVISION OF NUCLEAR TECHNIQUES IN FOOD AND AGRICULTURE  
INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY, VIENNA

No. 5  
May 1990

ISSN 1011-2618

## ECONOMIC AND AGRICULTURAL IMPACT OF MUTATION BREEDING IN FRUIT TREES

P. SPIEGEL-ROY

Department of Fruit Breeding and Genetics,  
Volcani Center, Bet Dagan,  
Israel

### Abstract

Constraints of conventional cross breeding in fruit trees, wide market acceptance of definite cultivars, especially in apple, pear, citrus and wine grape, and the increased impact of natural mutants provide incentives for mutation breeding. Only few induced mutants in fruit trees have been commercialized and are being planted on a large scale, contrary to the situation in ornamentals. Thermal neutrons, x or gamma rays have yielded commercial mutants, with only isolated cases of success with chemical mutagens or with chronic irradiation. The latter method often results in severe cumulative injury to plants. The main method followed in mutation breeding of tree fruit has been acute irradiation of meristematic multicellular buds. Chimera formation and reversion present a serious problem. Selection is usually performed in the second vegetatively propagated progeny,  $MV_2$ , in an attempt to overcome chimerism. Numerous mutants for the same trait need to be selected and compared in

further clonal trials, in order to assess reversion and comparative freedom from modification of other traits beside the selected one. Main characters selected were shorter stems and internodes, fruit colour, self - compatibility, seedlessness and in some cases, extension of time of fruit maturity. So far, little work has been performed with chemical or physical mutagens in vitro. Induced mutants of commercial significance include highly coloured seedless grapefruit (Star Ruby, Rioled) seedless Minneola tangelo, self compatible and in some cases, compact sweet cherries, late blooming almond, reduced tree size in apple, self compatible Cox and Queen Cox apple, russet free Golden Delicious type apple (Lysgolden) succession of evenly ripening apple (Belrene and two other mutants). Isolated cases of better colour, resistance to fungi and lower fruit acidity have also been reported. Somaclonal variation and the creating of transgenic plants may provide important alternative methods for inducing new valuable mutants. Some induced mutants have already been used as parents in conventional cross breeding programs. Future targets for mutation breeding will probably not deviate essentially from those formulated in the past, with more emphasis on easily selectable simple traits and the problem of reversion.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Conventional cross breeding in fruit trees has been successful with many crops. Limitations have become increasingly evident namely a long juvenile period, heterozygosity, often polyploidy, high cost and space requirement [1,2]. Lately another factor has gained in importance. With increasing international trade from both hemispheres certain cultivars attained a generally wide acceptance by trade and consumers, thus making it often increasingly difficult to launch new, different cultivars. This trend has paved the way for many natural mutants and is best exemplified in apple and citrus cultivars. Natural mutants of major impact have been those with compact or spur type growth, better fruit colour, spread of time of fruit maturity, seedlessness in citrus. The quest for natural mutants has reached in some instances the stage of an organized and publicized search. Success seems to depend to a large extent on number of trees, easily identifiable traits, frequent presence in the orchard, climatic effects, cultural practices (pruning) and frequency of mutations per clone.

The success and acceptance of natural mutants has served as an incentive to try to obtain mutants by planned mutation breeding, eliciting mutations in a much shorter time and often by making use of a sizable vegetative progeny.

Up to now only few commercial induced mutants have been produced in fruit trees, in comparison with ornamentals

TABLE I. COMMERCIAL MUTANTS IN ORNAMENTALS AND FRUIT CROPS  
(AFTER VAN HARTEN AND BROERTJES, 1987)

Ornamentals	Number of commercial mutants			Total
	1960-1969	1970-1979	1980-1986	
Tuber and bulb crops	13	36	5	54
Pot plants	7	54	12*	73
Cut flowers	18	121	40	179
Other ornamentals	-	20	4	24
Fruit crops	4	14	4	22

\* In chrysanthemum, induction of mutations is everyday practice and may exceed several hundreds (Broertjes and Van Harten 1987).

(table 1). However, making use of conventional cross breeding in fruit trees, only a relatively small fraction of varieties bred does reach wide commercial adaptation, for reasons discussed before, as well as because of inadequate testing, changes in market preference since the inception of such programs. Moreover, information on new varieties bred by mutation breeding is far from complete - as is the case with those obtained by conventional cross breeding. Some of the more promising and successful varieties obtained by mutation breeding will be dealt with in a later chapter. Similarly to natural mutants the problem of reversion is an important consideration. Nurserymen are reluctant to propagate unstable mutants. Growers of spur type trees with a tendency to reversion may face crowding in the orchard, while in the case of reverting self-compatible mutants the need to introduce pollenizers will be inevitable.

Comparison of success and use of mutation breeding in fruit trees and ornamentals, undoubtedly point to better methods (e.g. adventitious bud technique) and shorter time required in ornamentals. (see table I) One of the main difficulties in fruit trees is chimera formation, since mutation is a one-cell event and generally multicellular apices are treated. For a detailed discussion consult Broertjes and Van Harten [3] Lapins [4] Pratt [5] and Tilney-Bassett [6]. In many ornamentals heterozygosity is very high and often polyploidy is also involved. Vegetative period is short, allowing several crops per year in many cases. Selection for colour, form and size is much easier than selection for fruit yield and quality. The greenhouse environment can be kept constant, and there is no need as in fruit trees to transfer results to often widely differing climatic and production patterns. The adventitious bud technique, in vitro and in vivo has been widely applied, yielding solid mutants [3,7,8]. With fruit trees - the large size of plants requires ample space, though it seems that

tree spacing with mutation breeding can be somewhat reduced compared to that required for hybrids in recombination breeding. The length of the vegetative period may also be shorter, in many cases with material used in mutation breeding relative to hybrids derived from conventional cross breeding. However, the problem of chimerism may impose more than one period of further selection (beyond  $mV_2$  and often  $mV_3$ ). In citrus in particular, the breeding cycle compared to that of sexual hybrids is shortened because of the prolonged juvenility - beyond bearing age - in the latter case.

Vegetative propagation in fruit is slower than with most ornamentals. Selection for quantitatively inherited characters is relatively difficult. Even with more easily selectable traits, e.g. fruit colour, spur type, seedlessness several fruiting periods are required to observe further aspects of fruit quality as well as yield performance.

## 2. Choice of mutagen and treatments

### 2.1. Mutagenic agents

Best results so far have been obtained by using heavy particles like neutrons and mainly ionizing radiation, X or gamma rays. Successful applications of chemical mutagens has been very rare [3,4,9,10]. The new apple, Belreue did result however from treatment with a chemical mutagen. Wider application of in vitro methods may improve chances for more successful applications of chemical mutagens, often lacking in reproducibility and ease of penetration.

Radiation has been found to induce many non lethal chromosome aberrations affecting often more than one gene. Mutant genotypes may be obtained, improved in one trait and poorer as to other characteristics. This imposes the need for large vegetative progenies, the selection and follow-up observation of a larger number of mutants [11]. As side effects are very often negative, mutants having the least negative effects on characters other than the character selected for, are desired mutants. Periclinal chimeras may be still prone to reversion; Solid mutants tend to be stable, and can be used as parents in conventional breeding programs. A disadvantage is the possibility of expression of several new characters due to pleiotropic effects and close linkage of genes, often detracting from conformity with the original cultivar and calling for larger populations to select from.

While valuable information has been gathered on radiosensitivity of different fruit tree species (mainly with deciduous fruits - table 2) less information exists on organ sensitivity (see table 3 and references [12,13,14] on citrus). In contrast, use of dose rates shows wide divergence [4] and may have significantly influenced results and bud or seed survival. Differences, in radiosensitivity of different

TABLE II. DOSES AND EXPOSURES SUGGESTED OR USED IN MUTAGENIC TREATMENTS. (Acute treatments with physical mutagens) after Lapins 1983

Fruit	Plant material	Exposure <sup>z</sup>	Dose (Gy/min)	Mutagen
Almond	dorm. seed	$2 \times 10^{13}$ N/cm <sup>2</sup> sec.		th.neut.
Apple	dorm. buds	LD <sub>50</sub> =61 Gy	15 Gy	X rays
	dorm. buds	LD <sub>50</sub> =50 Gy	0.05-0.17	y rays
	dorm. buds	$3.9 \times 10^{12}$ N/cm <sup>2</sup>		th.neut.
	active buds	LD <sub>50</sub> =43 Gy	7.5 Gy	y rays
	strat. seed	50-80 Gy	0.2-0.4	Gy y or X
	PMC	20-30 Gy		X rays
Apricot	dorm. buds	LD <sub>50</sub> =40 Gy	1.85 Gy	X rays
Banana	rhizomes	20-40 Gy		y rays
Blackberry	plants	70-150 Gy		
	dorm. seed	10-200 Gy		
Black currant	dorm. seed	LD <sub>50</sub> 45 Gy	0.1 Gy	y rays
	dorm. seed	30 Gy		X rays
	Pollen	150-200 Gy		y rays
Cherry, sweet	dorm. buds	LD <sub>50</sub> 40 Gy	1.85 Gy	X rays
	dorm. seed	$1.5 \times 10^{13}$ N/cm <sup>2</sup> sec		th.neut.
	PMC	8 Gy	1.1 Gy	X rays
Fig	dorm. buds	LD <sub>50</sub> =25 Gy	0.1 Gy	y rays
Grape	dorm. buds	LD <sub>50</sub> =50 Gy	0.35 Gy	X rays
	dorm. buds	LD <sub>50</sub> =30 Gy	0.04 Gy	y rays
	dorm buds	$4.8 \times 10^{11}$ N/cm <sup>2</sup>	0.09 Gy	neutrons
	act. plants	24-44 Gy		y rays
	strat. seed	100 Gy		y rays
Hazel	drom. buds	70 Gy	0.1	y rays
Mandarin	act. plants	20 Gy		y rays
	PMC	5 Gy		
Olive	act. plants	LD <sub>50</sub> =35Gy	0.03-0.05	X rays
Orange	active buds	LD <sub>50</sub> =55 Gy	0.17 Gy	y rays
	seeds	LD <sub>50</sub> =100 Gy	1.66 Gy	y rays
	callus/vitro	LD <sub>50</sub> =120-160 Gy	1.66 Gy	y rays
	protoplasts	LD <sub>50</sub> =34 Gy		X rays
Peach	dorm. buds	LD <sub>50</sub> =35 Gy	0.1-0.33	X rays
	summer buds	LD <sub>50</sub> =61Gy	7.5 Gy	y rays
	act. plants	LD <sub>50</sub> =37 Gy	8 Gy	y rays
	act. plants	LD <sub>50</sub> =150 Gy	0.12 Gy	y rays
	dorm. seed	5 hr at $7-8 \times 10^8$ N/cm <sup>2</sup> sec		th.neut.
Pear	dorm. buds	LD <sub>50</sub> =55 Gy	0.1 Gy	y rays
Plum	dorm. buds	LD <sub>50</sub> =40 Gy	0.1 Gy	y rays
	dorm. seed	$1.5 \times 10^{13}$ N/cm <sup>2</sup> -sec.		th.neut.
Raspberry	dorm. buds	LD <sub>50</sub> =50 Gy	0.1 Gy	y rays
	suckers	50-70 Gy		y rays
Walnut	dorm. buds	LD <sub>50</sub> =42 Gy	0.1 Gy	y rays

<sup>z</sup>Or average flux of neutrons.

TABLE III. RADIOSENSITIVITY OF DIFFERENT CITRUS SPECIES  
(AFTER SPIEGEL-ROY AND VARDI 1989)

species	Seed	LD 50 (Gy)	
	or buds		
Citrus sinensis	buds	50	Spiegel-Roy and Kochba 1975
Citrus paradisi	"	40-50	
Citrus limon	"	50-60	
Citrus reticulata, sensitive cultivars	"	25-35	
Citrus reticulata, medium radiosensitivity	"	35-40	
Citrus reticulata, tolerant cultivars	"	45-50	
Citrus grandis, Chandler cultivar	"	25	
Citrus sinensis	seed	100	Spiegel-Roy and Padova 1973
Citrus reticulata, C. sinensis	seed	100-120	
Citrus reticulata	seed	80-100	

cultivars have also been noted. Comparing experience of chronic irradiation with that obtained by acute irradiation, chronic irradiation of potted plants does enable treatment to be performed during specific, critical periods of flower differentiation, flower and fruit development. Interesting work on embryo irradiation has been performed by Decourtye [15]. Chronic irradiation is much more difficult to perform than acute irradiation and is accompanied also by an accumulation of radiation injury. Kawai [16] stresses the need for basic studies on the action of radiation on living plant cells, including repair systems, in view of the severity of cumulative effects found.

As to type of mutations elicited, this has been dealt exhaustively in several publications [9,17]. Most mutational events are of a recessive nature. Aa - aa or a. Frequency of aa - Aa is very low [18]. To distinguish heterozygotes from homozygous dominants (AA - Aa) will be possible only in few cases. Most fruit crops have a very high degree of heterozygosity, thus recessive mutations can be frequently induced. Polyploidy, frequent in fruit tree crops is also considered generally favourable in eliciting mutations.

## 2.2. Methodological considerations

Chimera formation following mutagenic treatment of plant parts like buds having a multicellular apex constitutes a severe constraint of mutation breeding in fruit crops.

This is in contrast to the facility by which interesting homohistont mutations can be produced in a crop like

TABLE IV. SCHEDULES FOR PROPAGATION AND SELECTION OF MUTAGENS TREATED FRUIT PLANT MATERIAL (AFTER LAPINS 1983)

Year	Season	Procedure
1	Schedule Winter	A. Original selection in $V_2$ Irradiation: grafting in a $2^2$ greenhouse. $V_1$ generation.
1	Summer	Budding, three to five buds from each primary shoot.
2	Summer	First selection in $V_2$ . Budding, 10 buds from each selection.
3	Autumn	Observations and measurements in $V_3$ . Second selection.
4	Spring	Planting potential mutants in an orchard for the first test.
5-9-11		Evaluation of trees and fruit, analyses of data. Selection.
10-12		Propagation of promising mutants for the second orchard test.
1	Schedule Spring	B. Original selection in $V_1$ Irradiation: grafting in the nursery. $V_1$ generation.
1	Summer	First selection in $V_1$ . Budding, 10 buds from each selection.
2a-9a 11a		Continue as in Schedule A (years 3 to 10 or 12).
2b	Spring	Pruning back all $V_1$ shoots.
2b	Summer	Reducing $V_2$ shoots to one per stock. First selection in $V_2$ budding, 10 buds from each selection.
3b-10b- 12b		Continue as in Schedule A (years 3 to 10 or 12)

chrysanthemum. The foundation of the methodological work, aiming to circumvent to a large extent formation of chimeras was laid by Bauer [19] and Zwintscher [20] and has been described in detail later. [4,11,21,22]. The isolation of individual buds from  $mV_1$  is instrumental in creating better prospects for avoidance of chimera formation in  $mV_2$ . That this is not always the case was shown by Lacey and Campbell in the apple [23] by re-irradiation performed on mutants. Backpruning in  $mV_1$  has also been recommended and found as an effective means in experiments with bush fruits [19]. Lapins [4] illustrates two possible schemes, one involving initial selection in  $mV_1$  followed by selection in  $mV_2$ , the other based entirely on selection in  $mV_2$  (see table 4). On the whole, selection in  $mV_2$  has been followed in more cases than initial selection in  $mV_1$ . The method has been studied most exhaustively in apple, applied to other crops as well (cherry, grape, citrus). Two important improvements have been described. The one involves shielding the bases of irradiated scions or cuttings by inserting into holes of a

lead block or covering by lead pellets. Shielding substantially increased chances of success of subsequent propagation and enables use of higher irradiation doses. Campbell and Lacey [22] also report in the apple covering of scions by a shallow layer of water (6 mm) during irradiation, and use of higher doses in this case. The use of seed in irradiation even in apomictic citrus seems less justified than using buds, in view of the much prolonged juvenile period. The classical work of Lewis and Crowe [24] for obtaining self compatibility in the sweet cherry was performed with irradiation of pollen at the PMC stage. Millions of gametes can be thus screened through the self incompatibility sieve by using mutagen treated pollen on an incompatible style, as only mutant pollen grains will set fruit. The temporary drawback of the method is that because of heterozygosity no SI (self incompatible) variety turned into self compatible and further conventional breeding is required. Successful irradiation of buds of an established variety would not necessitate similar further breeding efforts.

### 2.3. Use of in vitro methods.

Extensive work with in vitro methods in plants for regeneration, resulted also in considerable efforts consecrated to fruit tree species. Successful application of such methods may facilitate in the future certain aspects of mutation breeding, such as early preselection for certain characters, lesser chimera formation, better use and testing of chemical mutagens. Lane and Looney [25] demonstrated that shoots from a compact type of sweet cherry were much less sensitive to excessive cytokinin in the medium. Initial selection for a similar vegetative character may be thus aided by similar techniques. Of even greater interest and potential are more recent findings on regeneration from leaf and petiole in vitro in several fruit species such as grape [26,27] apple [28] blueberry [29]. These may ultimately lead to better isolation of monohistonts and perhaps also enable preselection for certain characters.

## 3. Economical characters affected and studied

Economic characters studied in fruit trees in connection with mutation induction include shorter stems on internodes, fruit colour, self fertility, seedlessness, time of fruit maturity. Work in France [30] has shown that numerous fruit characters may be affected. Possibilities of decreasing acid levels in fruit have also been raised [31] as well as few cases involving disease tolerance [32,33,34] and cases of induction of polyploids [35,36].

### 3.1 Compact tree growth

This characteristics is much sought after in fruit trees. Many spontaneous mutants have been found and



propagated. It seems that tree vigour and compact growth type are affected independently by mutagenic treatment. Compactity is often induced in different degrees. In cases studied in detail, 1-2 recessive genes seem to be involved. Of spontaneous mutants studied, McIntosh Wicjik apple behaves as a single dominant [37] while the dwarf peach behaves as single recessive (dwdw) [38]. Cases of failure to transmit the characteristics gave rise to the assumption that mutation arose in L III and that, only induction of adventitious buds developing on roots would result in tissue - homogeneous trees and transmission. The most exhaustive work on the subject has been summarized with the triploid apple cultivar, Bramley's Seedling [23]. In sweet cherry several compact types have been produced by irradiation in the U.S., Canada and Italy. While the subject has been of considerable economic interest and mutants have been produced of the universal cultivars such as Bing, Lambert, Van), in some cases at least reversion to tree types with "normal" growth has proven a setback. Mutation breeding would be still of considerable promise, as probably dwarf, compact or spur types can be successfully induced even in cultivars that have not produced such mutants spontaneously. Successful use of a natural dwarf mutant in peach, in breeding high quality peaches and nectarines has been demonstrated [39] with similar work on the columnar apple being carried out in England [37].

### 3.2. Self compatibility

The importance of self compatibility for achieving better crops at least in certain seasons and environments, and for better orchard management (dispensing with lower value pollenizers) is well recognized. Self incompatibility in fruit trees has been most widely studied in *Prunus*, especially sweet cherry [24] but also in apple and pear. Incompatibility is controlled by a series of alleles at the S locus. Work in Australia [40] led to isolation of specific glycoproteins for S alleles in *Prunus avium*.

Mutations affect loss of activity at the S locus, S1 - Sf and result in self compatibility (SC). Some mutations are reversible S1 - Sf [24]. Stable mutants have been induced in the sweet cherry by irradiation of pollen mother cells in the resting stage, before meiotic prophase. Permanent mutations have been induced in the activity part of the S locus, but no changes in specificity has been reported after irradiation [24]. Most mutations are deletions; irradiation does not induce constructive mutations, affecting the specificity part of the S locus. Mutations of the pollen part of the locus may be genetic losses as a result of deletions or unequal crossing over [41]. Millions of gametes are screened through the self-incompatibility sieve by using mutagen treated pollen on an incompatible sieve. Only mutant pollen grains cause fruit set. The disadvantage of this very efficient technique - production of seedlings with problem of juvenility, quality selection and need to proceed in creating

cultivars suitable for commerce. For a mutant to become a commercial cultivar it has to be induced in somatic tissue and mature trees have to be screened for self-compatibility [42]. In addition, large numbers have to be screened [43]. Moreover, Weinbaum [44] stressed the difference between self-compatibility and self fertility (self pollination). While in the almond new cultivars were bred using the self-compatibility allele in cultivars grown in Puglia in Italy, self compatibility in sweet cherry was introduced by Lewis and Crowe [24] after X ray treatment of PMC; selfcompatible seedlings produced set the basis for new cultivars with self compatibility. Later, also by irradiation, a two fold goal was achieved - self compatibility and compactness in Compact Stella [45,46]. Increased self fertility in the apple was obtained by chronic irradiation [47] as well as by selection in Cox apple after irradiation from several thousand of  $\text{mV}_2$  plants. Self compatibility allows monocultivar planting, and will be particularly valuable if induced in a commercial cultivar. The opposite effect of mutating a self compatible apricot cultivar into a self-incompatible one has been reported [48,10] with the Blenheim apricot. The self incompatible mutant (early Blenheim) had also larger fruit, required less thinning and ripened somewhat earlier.

### 3.3. Sterility

One of the most frequent effects of mutagenic treatment observed were various degrees of pollen and ovule sterility. Deficient flowers, aborted pollen, egg cells, embryos and seeds are very often the result of mutagenic treatments. While pollen sterility is usually more severe than ovule abortion, the two phenomena are usually associated [49,45]. Various degrees of lowered pollen fertility and lower seed number have been found in  $\text{mV}_2$  of Golden Delicious apple. Percentage of stainable pollen will give a rough estimate of viability. A simple approximate measure of ovule fertility can be attained by seed counts. In principle and sometimes in practice, lowered fertility can also be conducive to fruit quality improvement and reduced biennial bearing.

### 3.4 Seedlessness

In addition to lower pollen viability in seedless citrus mutants [14] increased ovule sterility as evidenced by lower seed number in citrus has been also noted [14,50,51,52]. Irradiation with 25 gray of Perlette grape cuttings caused sterile ovules and pollen, as well as poorer fruit set. [53]. The aim was to cause looser clusters in order to minimize fruit thinning. Successful treatment may ultimately contribute not only to commercial exploitation but to alleviation of overbearing, decreasing expenses for thinning. On the other hand, decreased seed number per fruit has been definitely detrimental to fruit quality in Golden Delicious in France; however quality, economic value and marketability in Citrus will increase with a decrease in number of

seeds/fruit. Problems of parthenocarpic fruit set and size of yield often linked in citrus to seediness, at least with certain genotypes. These facts point to the need for making a larger number of selections from the same genotype, when selection of mutants is made for seedlessness. In view of this the time (years) required for final selection and assessment for economic use will have to be increased.

### 3.5 Other fruit characteristics

Improvements in fruit colour and extension of time of maturity in established cultivars are of great potential economic interest. Extending time of maturity will allow exploiting a well accepted cultivar for a longer period in the market. This is well exemplified by the span obtained through three recent mutants of Reine de Reinettes in France [30].

### 3.6 Fruit colour

Improved fruit colour in a highly competitive market is of prime importance. Natural red mutants of Delicious, Cox and many other apples have superseded less coloured strains. The first red skinned and fleshed grapefruits had a considerable impact in the latter case, colour is due entirely to lycopenes and no anthocyanins are involved. The genotype of L II determines transmission of colour of fruit rind in citrus [54]. Most studies on high colour mutants have been conducted in the apple. No useful mutants with redskinned fruit were found in completely yellow or green apples. Peel colour depends on first and second histogenic layers. Visible colour was found to depend mainly on anthocyanins in the epidermis and the 3 outer layers of hypodermis [55,56]. Production of anthocyanins is dominant. As to degree of red colour a pronounced cultivar specificity is evident. The natural mutant Queen Cox has more red colour in the skin compared to Cox, while stable self compatibility has been attained hitherto mainly in regular Cox. Emphasis on breeding for self-incompatibility has now moved to Queen Cox, in view of the pronounced market preference for red fruit.

In citrus natural mutants with red peel and juice vesicles have been found mainly in Texas grapefruit. Mutation breeding by use of thermal neutrons has brought about a most significant change from a deeply coloured, highly seeded grapefruit to a seedless one. In another, more recent case thermal neutrons and natural mutation have been instrumental in producing a mutant with increased red colour, from an established natural mutant having good peel and flesh colour.

Of other fruit characters mutants, for low acidity are of considerable interest in citrus and in grapefruit especially. In the apple low acid types depend on a single homozygous recessive gene [57]. Modification of fruit acidity in grapefruit is being investigated in Florida [31]. Data

from a set of radiation induced mutants [50] also point to the possibility of a single gene control.

Estimation of further fruit characteristics in radiation induced mutants have evidenced unexpected benefits, such as in the russet free apple Lysgolden (derived from Golden Delicious) [30]. Changes in some fruit characteristics, such as shape can be expected. While some of the changes affecting fruit parameters will turn out objectionable compared to control, others may prove of a certain commercial value without influencing other market characteristics.

Mutants with significant deviations as to time of fruit ripening have been successfully induced in the case of the Reine de Reinettes, a goal likely to be achieved in other cases as well. Mutation breeding by irradiation has also resulted in a most significant delay in time of bloom [30].

Such an effort in almond would be of great importance for ensuring more dependable fruit set and yields, in many environments. We have to take into account that time of maturity may be influenced by tree vigour and crop load. For this reason observations concerning time of maturity have to be pursued for several years.

Colchicine is the usual means for artificial induction of polyploidy. However irradiation with gamma rays induced polyploidy in muscadine grape [35] and mulberry [36].

### 3.7 Disease and pest resistance

While resistance to many diseases has been found to be polygenic, numerous cases of mono or oligogenic resistance are known. The situation may be complicated by several biotypes of the fungus and their interaction with the host.

In a few cases an effect of mutation inducers on disease resistance have been noted. Difference in susceptibility to apple powdery mildew (*Podosphaera leucotricha*) were noted in some induced mutants of McIntosh [58] and Cox [32]. Mutants resistant to *Plasmopara viticola* in grape after seed irradiation were claimed by Coutinho [33]. Thermal neutron treatment of *Prunus* seeds yielded seedlings of several species resistant to crown gall, *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* [34]. Commercial significance was not attained by any of these mutants.

### 3.8 Mutation breeding in rootstocks

There have been very few cases of mutation breeding in fruit tree rootstocks. An attempt to breed mutants of lesser vigour of the vigorous 12/1 cherry rootstock was made by Donini [59]. We have to take into account the relatively smaller volume of breeding work on rootstock compared to variety breeding. Of even greater significance is the fact,

that in the case of rootstock breeding, cross breeding using wild relatives poses no problem and back crosses are also more widely employed. The limitation on new cross-bred varieties because of marketing problems and heterozygosity does not apply, of course, for rootstocks. For these reasons mutation breeding is not likely to assume great importance in rootstock breeding.

#### 4. Case histories, cases of pronounced economic impact

An attempt to analyze why certain projects of mutation breeding met with success, while others turned out unsuccessful, will be made by analyzing some case histories. Obviously, success is more likely when cultivars are more universally adapted, have been successfully established over large surfaces, and the only changes affected involve 1-2 characters. For mutation breeding at present an easily recognizable character, like fruit colour or seedlessness seem essential. The scale of work has to be adequate, though some cases of obtaining seedless citrus with relatively small populations of  $mV_2$  have also occurred [14,50,51]. Careful and sometimes quite lengthy trials to test the new mutant for other characteristics such as yield, fruit size and shape, freedom from reversion, are required. The time element is of prime importance, as even well established cultivars may decline in market demand and popularity. Successful cases with economic impact save one case have resulted so far from use of irradiation as a mutagenic agent. Concerning methods employed, selection in  $mV_2$  has proved best so far, in most cases. Competition from breeders employing cross breeding have proven strong and most effective in many cases, such as peach, grape, cherry, blueberry. The need for using virus free material as source for treatment has been justly stressed. Exemplary management practices are essential, in order to successfully select useful mutants and for valid comparisons with control. Environmental hazards have also played a role: heavy frost damage has adversely affected valuable mutation breeding projects of citrus in Texas and Florida.

The list given below has to be rather incomplete. In many cases no adequate information could be gathered, while in others no information has been divulged. Still, the few cases listed below will illustrate successful economic results with mutation breeding in fruit trees. One has to bear in mind that the cultivar picture is apt to change; the latter holds, however, also for established cultivars and to a considerable degree, even for newly bred cultivars by methods other than mutation breeding.

##### 4.1 Spur types of Bramley's seedling apple

In a most exhaustive program and detailed publication Lacey and Campbell [19] dealt also with selection stability, propagation and the problem of reversion. In England in the

1970 and 1980's Cox and Bramley's seedling were highly popular. A compact form of the overvigorous triploid Bramley was certainly of interest. No natural compact forms were found.

The project started in 1970. Scions were irradiated by 50 - 100 Gray. After initial selection ( $mV_2$ ) and clonal propagation (10 plants per variant), commercial trials followed. Of the 12 mutants selected 7 clones were uniform, with no linked detrimental changes, and a coefficient of variation similar to the control. Crop weight per tree volume was higher than in the control, though crop per tree was below that of control. In recent trials, only one clone, No. 36 has shown consistent satisfactory cropping and reduced plant vigour. This selection may turn out to be some type of periclinal chimera. (A.D. Webster, personal communication). As recently few Bramley trees are being replanted, even the best mutant selections may not be planted widely in the future.

Further compact apples. Two selections have been made in France, both dwarf with short internodes. Courtagold has been obtained from Golden Delicious, Courtave from Starking. Both are being used only for potted plants [30]. Chances of induced apple mutants for restricted growth do not seem very high, in view of similar natural mutants in numerous apple cultivars and because of the possibilities and accumulated experience for successful manipulation of tree size by use of rootstocks in the apple.

#### 4.2 Compact cherries

Limitation of tree size of sweet cherries is obviously of great interest in view of the high picking costs involved. Over 5000 trees, mostly for home gardeners have been sold of the compact form of the first self compatible cultivar, Stella. Of more widely renowned cultivars, compact forms have been developed through mutation breeding, and named Compact Lambert, Compact Van (developed in Canada) Compact Bing (developed in the U.S.). All three will manifest 1/3 less growth than the control. High and early fruiting is also claimed. Compact Lambert is still sold by nurseries, but is not widely planted and is rather of low vigour. All three cultivars, as well as Compact Stella are prone to reversion and this has limited their expansion. New cultivars with a tendency to compactness are also being recently developed from cross breeding (Lane, personal communication).

Compact cherries by irradiation, have been also produced in Italy [60,61]. Burlat C 1 has been produced by irradiation in 1969. Earlier fruiting is claimed (compared to control) as well a 20% height decrease and 30% decrease in trunk diameter, compared to control. Of wider acceptance are compact forms of Durone Nero I and II of Vignola Durone Nero II C 1 is claimed to be more productive, about half in

height compared to control and about 60% in diameter. Selections have been made already 2 years from treatment and later tested in clonal trials.

In contrast to compacts developed in the U.S. and Canada, it is claimed that no reversion occurred in the Italian mutants.

A certain effect towards compactness has been found also in the Fascionello K late flowering and self compatible almond, obtained by irradiation [62]. A compact olive mutant has also been induced.

#### 4.3 Compact Shamouti

A semi compact form of Shamouti orange has been selected in  $mV_2$  after irradiation with 20 Gys [14]. Two  $mV_3$  trees showed a 20.6-23.7% reduction in tree size. With decreasing Shamouti plantings, there is little interest in these selections.

#### 4.4 Self compatible mutants

Apple - Achieving a self compatible Cox has been of considerable interest in Britain and elsewhere. The work has proceeded uninterruptedly in spite of difficulties and results have been widely tested. The material was established after irradiation with Gy. Two clones have performed well, no 7 and 8 [63]. These are going to be released in 1991. In a latest trial at East Malling, selection 8 stood out in cropping performance with somewhat less vigour than EMLA Cox. Two other clones also yielded better than EMLA Cox. A lower pollen viability of self compatible Cox compared to Golden Delicious (usually employed as pollinizer) has been found [64]. This may necessitate a higher proportion of pollinizers. Since the final selection of self compatible Cox, fruit growers started to favour Queen Cox, with fruit having more red colour in the skin than "regular" Cox. This has caused a decrease in interest for the self incompatible Cox. Work on attaining self compatibility in Queen Cox, though started later, has also progressed [64]. Fruit set records were taken on 5000 trees planted in 1975/6 and 20 selections planted in the fall of 1983. Two self compatible clones (no. 7 and 18) have been chosen. Further tests are required before the merits of the self incompatible Queen Cox selections can be fully appraised. There will be probably considerable grower interest in such clones.

Cherry - Self compatibility in the sweet cherry was introduced through PMC irradiation [24]. The first self compatible cultivar, Stella was derived using the SC gene evolved. It is also a good pollinator of cultivars with intermediate period of blooming. Since, new cultivars with self compatibility and higher fruit quality have been bred. The impact of self compatible sweet cherry cultivars at present is however less than expected.

Almond - A late flowering, self compatible almond (Sf), derived from Fascionello and lately named Supernova has been bred utilizing gamma rays (30 Gray) and selection performed in mV<sub>2</sub> [62]. The most striking characteristics is the bloom<sup>2</sup> period, nearly 20 days later than Fascionello. Alternative methods of introducing self compatibility in almond by cross breeding (using the South Italian cultivar Tuono) have been successfully employed in France and Spain [65,66].

#### 4.5 Fruit characters and colour, time of ripening

In the apple, one of the most successful mutants from irradiation, is the russet free Golden Delicious, named Lysgolden, developed in France [30]. Fruit russetting is a serious problem with Golden Delicious, at least in certain environments, detracting substantially from the market value of the fruit. 5 buds from V<sub>1</sub> shoots have been propagated, to form V<sub>2</sub> families. The selected mutant is more russet free than the Smoothee cultivar. Additional merits are a pink color on the sunshine face of the yellow fruit, mainly in young trees, and a lower weight loss in storage (up to 20%) because of a waxy cuticle, with subsequent less fruit bruising. Other characters also modified are a later picking period, lower tree vigour, more elongated fruit and increased mildew sensibility. Lysgolden trees sold in France during the last five years amount to about 30% compared to (100%) of Golden Delicious, the main apple cultivar in France [67], Decourtye (personal communication).

Further apple mutants developed and commercialized in France have been developed from the popular high quality summer apple in France. Reine de Reinettes. A drawback of the variety is uneven ripening of the fruit, necessitating numerous pickings. Belrene developed by mutation breeding necessitates 2 pickings only and has been planted on a modest scale (50000 plants). It is supplemented by two other mutants derived from Reine de Reinettes, propagated since 1988 and extending the ripening season. Prerene ripens 1 week earlier, Tardirene 1 week later. Both show also increased red skin colour [30].

#### 4.6 Colour mutants in Citrus

Natural mutants with improved colour are of great significance in orange and grapefruit. Colour in grapefruit is derived from lycopene and associated pigments. Nucellar selection accompanied by thermal neutrons enabled to obtain two mutants of great commercial significance and prospects. Star Ruby exceeds all natural and induced mutants in color; it has been derived from a highly seeded cultivar (Hudson Red) with intense internal and external colour. Rio Red, also seedless has been derived from Ruby Red a coloured, seedless mutant and is being ranked now by most experts as second in colour



only to Star Ruby with better adaptation possibilities to environment and cultural practices.

#### 4.7 Seedlessness

One case of induction of seedlessness in grape by irradiating cuttings of a seeded grape has been described. Seedlessness in grape is probably conditioned by two complementary recessive genes [68]. Nearly all work on induction of seedlessness by mutation breeding has been performed in citrus. Most important natural seedless mutants have been found in various citrus species, gaining wide economic importance in most markets. Seedlessness is usually, but not invariably associated with high pollen and ovule sterility. A pronounced parthenocarpic tendency is essential for satisfactory fruit set and yield. Effects of seedlessness on decrease in fruit size are in numerous cases not severe enough to detract from economic importance. Mechanisms leading to seedlessness have been discussed by Iwamasa [69] and Frost and Soost [70]. An asynaptic gene in inbred Wilking has been located [71]. Induction of seedlessness has been achieved by treatment of apomictic seed with gamma rays [50] by treating seed with thermal neutrons [72] and by treating scions with gamma rays [14,51,73,74]. Data on radiosensitivity by various citrus organs and species have been published [13,14]. Successful mutants have been obtained in some cases with relatively small  $mV_2$  progenies; thus from 160 plant is Pineapple orange, 65 plants in Duncan grapefruit (50) 60 plants in Minneola tangelo, 600 plants in Eureka lemon, 120 plants in Villafranca lemon [14]. This points to a low number of recessive genes involved, a conclusion not supported by the very low proportion of seedless progeny from most diploid crosses in Citrus, except those performed with Satsuma as a parent [75].

As already mentioned, natural mutants for colour have been found in grapefruit. These have in many cases substituted white fleshed grapefruit as table fruit. Mutation breeding, perhaps aided by nucellar selection has given risen to two highly coloured economically important new grapefruit cultivars, Star Ruby and Rio Red, Star Ruby has been developed at A & I, Weslaco, Texas [72]. Seeds of Hudson Red were treated with thermal neutrons and the seedlings budded on rootstocks. Fruiting started 7 years from irradiation. Seeds in the selected mutant, later named Star Ruby, averaged 4 compared to 50 in Hudson Red. Flesh colour was equal to Hudson Red and 3 times redder than the most red natural mutant then available. Actual seed number in fruit of trees propagated since is usually lower (0-3). Cultivar has been released in 1971. Some cases of reversion to seediness have been noted, especially in Texas in fruit borne in clusters. Reversion sometimes attains 2% of the fruiting branches. No severe reversion has been noted in Israel. The cultivar shows a typical red coloration in the trunk and branches. The leaves are sensitive to chlorophyll injury from herbicide

application and often show higher sensitivity to phytophthora, freeze damage and winter chlorosis. While the rind shows uniform blush, internal colour sometimes fades. The fruit is claimed to be somewhat sweeter and less bitter than Marsh Seedless. In an extremely hot climate (Coachella Valley, Calif.) the tree does well mostly in shade of date trees. It performs very well in Israel and the Bahamas and fairly well in the traditional grapefruit areas of Florida with plantings since 1982, and close to 100,000 trees sold annually. In Israel so far 1400 ha have been planted. The yield in 1988/9 amounted to about 33000 tons of which 18000 tons have been exported. Yields are usually 40-60 tons/ha and sometimes higher. The main problem with this still expanding cultivar is some tendency to small fruit and in certain areas, excessive acidity. Star Ruby has not been planted in recent plantings in Texas. Rioered has been developed in a similar manner as Star Ruby, but from a different type of cultivar [76]. Seedlings of Ruby Red have been propagated on rootstock. Budwood has been irradiated with thermal neutrons or X ray. One tree grown from budwood treated with thermal neutrons produced fruit 3 x redder than Ruby Red. The selection was propagated clonally on rootstock and gave rise on a limb of one tree to a mutation with fruit 5 x redder than Ruby Red, and only somewhat less than Star Ruby. The mutant was named Rioered in 1984.

The fruit and composition are similar in other respects to the natural mutants Ruby Red, Ray Ruby. It has been planted on 4000 acres in Texas between 1985 and 1989. Many trees of this cultivar as well as other grapefruit cultivars have been severely attained by the latest freeze in Texas (1989). Wutscher, personal communication. The tree does not seem to be sensible to herbicide, phytophthora, chlorosis in a manner similar to Star Ruby [77]. Some cases of colour not superior to the natural mutant Henderson have been claimed. The cultivar has been introduced to Israel, Florida, California (1000 acres in Coachella [74] and the Bahamas. Market preference for red grapefruit is definitely on the rise so that interest in this cultivar claimed to be much less sensitive to cultural disorders than Star Ruby will be pronounced.

At this time it is not clear whether the relatively low acid grapefruit obtained by mutation breeding in Florida, will be widely planted in the future.

There is little information on the seedless orange produced by irradiation in China [9,74]. In principle successful expansion of such new cultivars in lieu of older, seeded cultivars seems likely. No significant fruit size decrease to the seeded fruit is likely to occur, in many cases.

Completely seedless lemons have been selected from  $\mu V_2$  plants developed from irradiated budwood in Israel [14]. Two

cultivars were developed 1. Eureka (originally 6-15 seeds). So far no reversion was found. 2. Villafranca (originally 22 seeds per fruit). Trees are very prolific; and precocious. Pollen germination practically nil. No reversion found so far. Fruit tends to be somewhat angular. Both cultivars will be planted, at least to a moderate extent. Seedlessness in lemon is not such an express demand by market as is the case with grapefruit, orange and more recently, easy peeling fruit.

Seedless Minneola has been attained in  $mV_2$  from budwood irradiated by 50 Gy. Only 60  $mV_2$  trees were<sup>2</sup> tested of which 44 have been surveyed in detail [14]. Of these 8 were seedless with 4.7-23.9 seed in other  $mV_2$  trees. Seedless Minneola still retains a slight pollen viability. Average seed number per fruit is 0.6. Fruit size does not significantly differ from seeded Minneola and the typical shape is retained. Sugar, acidity and time of ripening are also similar. Fertility seems adequate. Seedlessness is retained also under conditions of ample cross pollination. Seedless Minneola can also be planted adjacent to other selfincompatible cultivars. Planting of commercial plots has been started. Provided further experience is satisfactory, fairly large plantings are envisaged, ultimately replacing regular Minneola in new groves.

## 5. Alternative technologies to mutation breeding

Somaclonal variation, as a term was introduced by Larkin and Scowcroft [78] to cover all types of variation occurring in plants regenerated from cultured cells or tissue. Several mechanisms may be responsible such as gross karyotypic changes, cryptic chromosomal rearrangements, somatic crossing over with sister chromatid exchange, transposable elements, gene amplification or diminution. Tissue culture environment may enhance the frequency of somatic crossing over.

Variant plants have been regenerated mainly in sugar cane [79], potato [80] and celery [81]. The possibility of uncovering variants retaining qualities of existing cultivars while adding an additional trait, exists.

In principle this is a much simpler technique than recombinant DNA and transfer to field involves usually fewer special problems. However, correlation between cellular and whole plant response is required [82], not always present, and in some cases controversial. Moreover this line of research is more promising in annuals than in plants with a long vegetative phase like fruit trees. Lately, advances have been made also in establishing callus and shoots from leaves or leaf parts in apple [28], blueberry [29] grape [26,27] and also in producing diploid plants from anthers of wine grapes of *Vitis vinifera* [83].

These methods could be also adapted for induction of mutations; thus apple leaves *in vitro* showed regeneration after treatment with gamma rays between 10-20 Gy [28]. Accumulation of further experience in tissue culture and plant regeneration will also be instrumental towards a more extended use of in vitro methods in conjunction with mutation breeding.

More attention is being focused on novel methods of genetic engineering, aiming to produce transgenic plants. Several transgenic plants have been already produced in fruit trees. [84,85,86]. The ultimate aim is to incorporate genes for economically valuable characters, including resistance to viruses, pests and diseases, as well as herbicides in an otherwise highly desirable clone. This is a much more direct approach than present mutation breeding or somaclonal variation. Moreover, it serves as a much higher incentive for young researchers and funding agencies compared to mutation breeding. The latter suffers also from the image of lengthy clonal comparisons lack of publicized results, and though unjustly, perhaps also from the use of irradiation as a means of initial treatment. With genetic engineering field testing still poses problems. Genetic engineering still requires elucidation of genetic functions encoding useful agronomic traits. In spite of progress and versatility in introducing foreign DNA, progress to ensure more efficient transformation techniques as well as targetting into subcellular compartments is needed. The lack of genetic background, the long vegetative period in fruit trees, as well as preference given to the main food crops in genetic engineering studies will cause a delay in the application of this fast growing field to fruit breeding.

On the other hand, standard procedures in genetic engineering may prove particularly useful in fruit trees in which the very high degree of heterozygosity reduces our capacity to obtain genetic information by classical methods.

#### 6. Use of induced mutants in conventional cross breeding

Use of induced mutants with improved characteristics as parents in crosses is definitely of interest. However, compact mutants may not have L II mutated; thus only monohistonts, peridinal chimeras (L II - L III different from L I) and ascertained cases of mutation in L II will be of use. In colour mutants, some may be peridinal chimeras with increased anthocyanin in L II and L III, while in other increased anthocyanin occurred in L I only.

As to citrus, nucellar cultivars can be depended upon to transmit new traits via pollen. Cultivars with new traits of seedlessness or low seed number per fruit may be used as alternative improved parents compared to the original cultivar; this will, of course, also depend on degree of pollen and ovule sterility.

Use of mutants with increased disease resistance might be of potentially high value. Unfortunately, very few mutants possessing such proven characteristics were produced so far by mutation breeding.

While natural self compatibility encountered in some almond cultivars did prove very valuable in cross breeding, the induced self-incompatibility in sweet cherry made a likewise contribution to the breeding of self fertile sweet cherry cultivars.

## 7. Future targets for mutation breeding

Though interest in somaclonal variation is on the increase, it is not likely to attain very great importance in fruit trees as a source of new variation and new cultivars. Testing of grapes from anther culture (derived actually from diploid filaments) and other somatic tissues in vitro may perhaps provide interesting variants, especially for wine grapes in the traditional growing areas. Attempts to locate natural mutants will continue and in some cases more targetted and planned schemes for carrying out surveys will materialize. Transgenic plants with economically important characters will be implemented, in conjunction with conventional cultivar testing, but the realization of this will take time, especially in plants with a long vegetative period like fruit trees.

The main aims for mutation breeding might not deviate essentially from the targets indicated in the past, though more emphasis will have to be placed on easily identifiable characteristics and on problems of chimerism and reversion in fruit trees [87]. Induction of dwarf and compact growth habit will still be of interest in cherry and numerous other fruit trees for fresh fruit. However, in the apple in which most work has been performed in the past for induced mutations, natural mutants for compact growth have been found. In addition very satisfactory tree size control has been attained in this crop through manipulation of scion/rootstock combinations. Attaining self fertility in view of preference for large plots of single cultivars in the modern orchard is still important in certain species. Obvious gains in seedlessness by mutation breeding in citrus can be envisaged, also as a second phase after selection from progeny obtained by cross breeding. Enhanced fruit colour and other characteristics, like changes in time of fruit maturity will also be of obvious interest.

## References

- [1] ALSTON, F.H., SPIEGEL-ROY P. Fruit tree breeding: strategies and achievements. In: Attributes of trees as crop plants (Cannell M.G.R. Jackson N.E. eds) Inst. of Terrestrial Ecology, Huntingdon, England (1985) p.49.

- [2] HANSCHKE, P.E. Response to selection, Methods in fruit breeding" (Moore, J.N., Janick, J. eds.) Purdue University Press, West Lafayette (1983) 154.
- [3] BROERTJES, C., VAN HARTEN, A.M. Applied mutation breeding for vegetatively propagated crops. Developments in crop science. Vol. 12, Elsevier, Oxford (1988) 345 pp.
- [4] LAPINS, K.O. "Mutation Breeding", Methods in Fruit Breeding (Moore, J.N., Janick, J., eds.) Purdue University Press, West Lafayette (1983) 74.
- [5] PRATT, C., "Somatic selection and chimeras", Methods in Fruit Breeding (Moore, J.N., Janick, J. eds) Purdue University Press, West Lafayette, (1983) 172.
- [6] TINLEY-BASSETT, R.E. Plant chimeras. Edward Arnold, London (1986) 199pp
- [7] BROERTJES, C. et al. Adventitious bud formation on isolated leaves and its significance for mutation breeding. Euphytica 17 (1968) 321.
- [8] BROERTJES, C., VAN HARTEN, A.M., Single cell origin of adventitious buds. Euphytica 34 (1985) 93.
- [9] BROERTJES, C., VAN HARTEN, A.M. "Application of Mutation Breeding Methods", Improving vegetatively propagated crops (Abbott, A.J., Atkin, R.K. eds.) Academic Press, London (1987) 416 pp.
- [10] BROERTJES, C. Artificially induced genetic variation in fruit trees. Acta Horticulturae 75 (1977) 19.
- [11] DONINI, B. Mutagenesis applied to improve fruit trees: techniques, methods and evaluation of radiation induced mutations'. Induced mutations in vegetatively propagated plants II. Proc. Final Res. Co-ord Meeting, Coimbatore, India 1980, IAEA Vienna (1982) 29
- [12] SPIEGEL-ROY, P., KOCHBA, J. Mutation breeding in citrus. In induced mutation in vegetatively propagated plants. IAEA (1973) 91.
- [13] SPIEGEL-ROY, P., PADOVA, R. Radiosensitivity of Shamouti orange (*Citrus sinensis*) seeds and buds. Radiation Botany 13 (2) (1973) 105.
- [14] SPIEGEL-ROY, P., VARDI ALIZA. Induced mutation in Citrus. Proc. of the 6th International Congress of SABRAO (1989) 773.
- [15] DECOURTYE, L., et al. Looking for solid mutants through irradiation of egg cell in *Malus hupehensis* Acta Horticulturae 140 (1983) 87.
- [16] KAWAI, T. Radiation Breeding - 25 years and further on. Gamma Field Symposia No. 25, July 17-18, 1986 Institute of Radiation Breeding, NIAR, MAFF, Japan (1986) 36p.
- [17] GOTTSCHALK, W. The genetic basis of variation. In Improving vegetatively propagated crops (Abbott, A.J. Atkin, R.K. eds) Academic Press, London (1987) 317.
- [18] BROCK, R.D. "Mutation plant breeding for seed protein improvement." Seed protein improvement in Cereals and Grain Legumes. Proc. Symp. IAEA/FAO/GSF Neuherberg BRD, IAEA, Vienna (1978) 43.
- [19] BAUER, A. The induction of vegetative mutations in *Ribes nigrum*. Hereditas 43 (1957) 323.

- [20] ZWINTSCHER, M. Die Ausloesung von Mutationen als Methode der Obstzuechtung. I. Die Isolierung von Mutanten in Anlehnung an primaere Veraenderungen. Zuechter 25 (1955) 290.
- [21] DECOURTYE L., LANTIN, B., Consideration methodologiques sur l'isolement des mutants provoques chez le pommier et le poirier. Annales de l'Amelioration des Plantes 21(1) (1971) 29.
- [22] CAMPBELL, A.I., LACEY, C.N.D. Compact mutants of 'Bramley's Seedling' apple induced by gamma radiation. J. Hort. Sci. 48 (1973) 397.
- [23] LACEY, C.N.D., CAMPBELL, A.I. "Selection, stability and propagation of mutant apples", Improving vegetatively propagated crops (Abbott, A.J., Atkin, R.K eds) Academic Press, London (1987) 349.
- [24] LEWIS, D., CROWE, L.K. The induction of self fertility in tree fruits, J. Hort. Sci. 29 (1954) 220.
- [25] LANE, W.D., LOONEY, N.E. A selective tissue culture medium for growth of compact (dwarf) mutants for apple. Theor. Appl. Genet. 61 (1982) 219.
- [26] CHENG, Z.M., REISCH, B.I. Shoot regeneration from petioles and leaves of *Vitis x labruscana* 'Catawba' Plant Cell Reports 8 (1989) 403.
- [27] STAMP, J.A., MEREDITH C.P. Somatic embryogenesis from leaves and anthers of grapevines. Scientia Horticulturae 35 (1988) 235.
- [28] PREDIERI, S., MALAVASI, F.F.F. High-frequency shoot regeneration from leaves of the apple rootstock M26 (*Malus pumila* Mill). Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture 17 (2) (1989) 133.
- [29] BILLINGS, S.G. et al. Regeneration of blueberry plantlets from leaf segments. Hort. Science 23 (4) (1988) 763.
- [30] DECOURTYE, L. et al. Improvement of Fruit Varieties by Mutagenesis DSIR Plant Breeding Symposium 1986 N.Z. Agronomy Society Special Publication No. 5 (1987) 344.
- [31] JACKSON, L.K., Research in the IFAS Fruit Crops Department. The Citrus Industry 68(2) (1987) 4
- [32] CAMPBELL, A.I. WILSON, D., "Prospects for the development of disease-resistant temperate fruit plants by mutation induction". Induced mutations against plant diseases IAEA, Vienna (1977) 215.
- [33] COUTINHO, M.P. Using radiation to obtain vines resistant to Plasmopara (in French) *Vitis* 13 (1975) 281.
- [34] DEVAY, J.E., et al. Effects of thermal neutron irradiation on the frequency of crown gall and bacterial canker resistance in seedlings of *Prunus* rootstocks. Radiation Bot. 5 (1965) 197.
- [35] FRY, B.O., Production of tetraploid muscadine (*V. rotundifolia*) grapes by gamma radiation. Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 83 (1963) 388.
- [36] KATAGIRI, K. Radiation damage mulberry (*Morus alba* L.) Environment. Expt. Bot. 16 (1976) 119.
- [37] TOBUTT, K.R. Breeding columnar apple varieties at East Malling. Scientific Horticulture 35 (1984) 72.

- [38] HANSCHKE, P.E. Two genes that produce brachytic dwarfism in peach. Hort. Science 23 (3) (1988) 604.
- [39] HANSCHKE P.E. Three brachytic dwarf peach cultivars. Valley Gem, Valley Red and Valley Sun. Hort. Science 24(4) (1989) 707.
- [40] MAU, S.L. et al. Isolation and partial characterization of components of *Prunus avium* L. styles. Planta 156 (1982) 505.
- [41] DE NETTANCOURT, D. Self incompatibility in basic and applied researches with higher plants. Gen. Agr. 26 (1972) 163.
- [42] CAMPBELL, A.I., LACEY, C.N.D. Induced mutants of Cox's Orange Pippin apple with increased self-compatibility. I. Production and selection. Euphytica 31 (1982) 469.
- [43] LACEY, C.N.D. Propagation and irradiation techniques used to produce and isolate mutants in fruit trees. Proc. Workshop on the Use of Ionizing Radiation. EEC-Israel. Wageningen 1976 (1977) 485.
- [44] WEINBAUM, S.A. Role of natural self pollination in self-fruitfulness of almond. Scientia Hort. 27;295-302.
- [45] LAPINS, K.O. 'Stella', a self-fruitful sweet cherry. Can. J. Plant Sci. 51 (1971) 252.
- [46] LAPINS, K.O. 'Compact Stella' sweet cherry. Can. J. Plant Sci. 54 (1974) 849.
- [47] BLAZEK, J. Induction of self-fertility by chronic gamma rays ( in Czech). Vedecke Prace Ovocn. 6 (1977) 49.
- [48] LAPINS, K.O. New fruits from Summerland, B.C., 1956-1970. Can. Dept. Agr. Publ. 1471, Summerland B.C. 1972.
- [49] BARRITT, B.H., EATON, G.W. Embryo Sac Development in relation to pollen fertility and seed set in apple clones produced from Cobalt-60 irradiated dormant buds. Radiation Bot. 6: (1966) 589.
- [50] HEARN, C.J. Development of seedless orange and grapefruit cultivars through seed irradiation, J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 109 (1984) 270
- [51] HEARN, C.J. Development of seedless grapefruit cultivars through budwood irradiation, J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 111(2) (1986) 304.
- [52] HENSZ, R.A. 'Star Ruby', a new deep-red-fleshed grapefruit variety with distinct tree characteristics. J. Rio Grande Valley, Hort. Soc. 25 (1971) 54.
- [53] OLMO, H.P. plant breeding program aided by radiation treatment. Calif. Agr. 14 (7) (1960) 4.
- [54] SOOST, R.K., CAMERON, J.W. "Citrus". Advances in Fruit Breeding (Janick, J. Moore, J.N. eds). Purdue Univ. Press, West Lafayette (1975) 507.
- [55] DAYTON, D.F. Red color distribution in apple skin. Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 74 (1959) 72.
- [56] DAYTON, D.F. Genetic heterogeneity in the histogenic layers of apple. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 94 (1969) 592
- [57] BROWN, A.G. Apples. In Advances in Fruit Breeding (Janick, J. Moore, J.N. eds). Purdue Univ. Press, West Lafayette (1975) 3
- [58] McINTOSH, D.L., LAPINS, K. Differences in susceptibility to apple powdery mildew observed in McIntosh clones



- after exposure to ionizing radiation. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 46 (1966) 619.
- [59] DONINI, B. Improvement of vegetatively propagated plants and tree crops through induced mutations Proc. 2nd Res. Coordin. Meet Wageningen (1976) 55.
- [60] ISTITUTO SPERIMENTALE PER LA FRUTTICOLTURA ROMA LABORATORIO PROMOZIONE E SVILUPPO IN AGRICOLTURA DELL'ENEA ROMA. Introduzione di 2 cultivar compatte di ciliegio dolce: Burlat Cl e Nero II Cl *Frutticoltura* 14 no. 9-10 (1983) 54.
- [61] FIDEGHELLI, C. et al. Durone compatto di vignola. *Rivista di Frutticoltura* no. 9 (1988) 61.
- [62] MONASTRA, F., et al. Mandorlo: Supernova. *Agricoltura Ricerca* n. 75/76. Atti. VII Reunion du Grempa Reus (Spagna) (1987) 39.
- [63] SPARKS, T.R., CAMPBELL, A.I. The growth, flowering and cropping of four self fertile Cox's Orange Pippin. *Acta Horticulturae* 180 (1986) 19.
- [64] WEBSTER, A.D. SPENCER, J.E. Flowering yield, fruit quality and growth of self-compatible selections of Cox's Orange Pippin and Queen Cox. *Acta Horticulturae* (in press).
- [65] FELIPE, A. Socias i Company, R., 'Ayles', 'Guara' and 'Moncayo' almonds *Hort. Science* 22 (5) (1987) 961.
- [66] GRASELLY, C., OLIVIER, G. Mise en evidence de quelques types autocompatibles parmi les cultivars d'amandier (*P. amygdalus* Batsch) de la population des Pouilles. *Ann. Ame'lior. Plant* 26 (1) (1976) 107.
- [67] Le LEZEC, M. et al. Golden Delicious et ses mutants. Documents INRA/CTIFL (1981) CTIFL, 22, rue Bergere, Paris
- [68] SPIEGEL-ROY, P. et al. Inheritance of seedlessness in seeded x seedless progeny of *Vitis vinifera* L. *Vitis* (1990) in press.
- [69] IWAMASA, M. Studies on the sterility of genus *Citrus* with reference to seedlessness. *Bull. Hort. Res. Ser. Japan, Ser. B.6* (1966) 1-77.
- [70] FROST, H.B., SOOST, R.K. "Seed reproduction: Development of gametes and Embryos". *The Citrus Industry* Vol. 2 (Reuther, W, Batchelor, L.D. Webber, H.J. eds) Division of Agric. Sciences, University of California (1968) 290
- [71] VARDI, ALIZA, SPIEGEL-ROY, P. Gene-controlled meiosis in *Citrus reticulata* Proc. Int. Soc. Citriculture I. Tokyo, Japan (1981) 26.
- [72] HENSZ, R.A. Mutation breeding and the development of the 'Star Ruby' grapefruit. Proc. Intl. Soc. Citriculture 2 Orlando, Florida (1977) 582.
- [73] SPIEGEL-ROY, P, VARDI, ALIZA, ELHANATI, A. 1985. Seedless induced mutant in lemon (*Citrus limon*) Mutation Breeding Newsletter, Issue 26, IAEA Vienna (1985).
- [74] IAEA. Induced mutations and plant improvement IAEA Vienna (1972) 526.
- [75] CAMERON, J.K., SOOST, R.K., "Citrus" Outlines of perennial crop breeding in the tropics (Ferwerda, F.P., Wit, F. eds). Veenman and Zonen, Wageningen (1969) 129.

- [76] HENSZ, R.A. 'Rio Red' a new grapefruit with a deep-red color. Jour. Rio Grande Valley Horticultural Society 38 (1985) 75.
- [77] WHITE, B. Desert Red. California Grower 13 (7) (1989) 17.
- [78] LARKIN, P.J., SCOWCROFT, W.R. Somaclonal variation - a novel source of variability from cell cultures for plant improvement. Ther. Appl. Genet. 60 (1981) 197.
- [79] HEINZ, D.J., et al. Cell, tissue and organ culture in sugarcane improvement Applied and Fundamentals Aspects of Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture, (Reinert, J., Bajaj, Y.P.S. eds.) SpringerVlg. Berlin (1977) 4
- [80] SCOWCROFT, W.R., LARKIN, P.J. Somaclonal variation: A new option for plant improvement. In: Plant Improvement and somatic cell Genetics (Vasil, I.K., Scowcroft, W.R. Krey, K.J. eds). Academic Press, New York (1982) 159.
- [81] HEATH-PAGLIUSO, S. et al., 'UC-T3 Somaclone': Celery Germplasm Resistant to *Fusarium Exysporum* f. sp. apii., race 2. Hort. Science 24 (4) (1989) 711.
- [82] VAN HARTEN, A.M., BROERTJES, C. 'Induced mutations in vegetatively propagated crops' Plant Breeding Reviews Vol. 6 (Janick J. eds) Timber Press, Portland, Oregon (1989) 55.
- [83] MAURO, M. Cl., NEF, C. and FALLOT, J. 1986. Stimulation of somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration from anther culture of *Vitis vinifera* cv. Cabernet Sauvignon. Plant Cell Reports 5:377-380.
- [84] Mc GRANAHAN, G.H., et al. Agrobacterium - mediated transformation of walnut somatic embryos and regeneration of transgenic plants. Biotechnology 6 (1988) 800.
- [85] JAMES, D.J. et al. Genetic transformation of apple (*Malus pumula* Mill) using a disarmed Tibinary vector. Plant Cell Reports 7 (1989) 658-661.
- [86] VARDI ALIZA, BLEICHMAN SHLOMIT and DVORA AVIV. Genetic transformation of citrus protoplasts and regeneration of transgenic plants. Plant Science (in press).
- [87] PRATT, C., 'Somatic selection and chimeras'. Methods in Fruit Breeding (Moore, J.N., Janick, J. eds) Purdue Univ. Press. W. Lafayette, Ind. (1983) 172.

Mutation Breeding Review  
Joint FAO/IAEA Division of Nuclear Techniques  
in Food and Agriculture

International Atomic Energy Agency  
Vienna International Centre  
P.O. Box 100  
A-1400 Vienna, Austria

Printed by IAEA in Vienna  
May 1990

69810-06