





**Association for Information and Image Management** 1100 Wayne Avenue, Suite 1100 Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

301/587-8202







MANUFACTURED TO AIIM STANDARDS BY APPLIED IMAGE. INC.





# **TOXICITY CHARACTERISTIC LEACHING PROCEDURE TESTING** OF DEFENSE WASTE PROCESSING FACILITY PROJECTED GLASS **COMPOSITIONS**

by

Amy Applewhite-Ramsey

Westinghouse Savannah River Company Savannah River Site Aiken, South Carolina 29808

A document prepared for NUCLEAR AND HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT INTERNATIONAL TOPICAL MEETING at Hyatt Regency, Atlanta, GA from 8/14/94 thru 8/18/94.

### DOE Contract No. DE-AC09-89SR18035

This paper was prepared in connection with work done under the above contract number with the U.S. Department of Energy. By acceptance of this paper, the publisher and/or recipient acknowledges the U.S. Government's right to retain a nonexclusive, royalty-free license in and to any copyright covering this paper, along with the right to reproduce and to authorize others to reproduce all or part of the copyrighted paper.



DISTENDUTION OF THE DOCUMENT IN UNLIMITED

## DI**SCLAIMER**

 $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{r}$ 

Thi**s r**e**port w**u **prepared u** an **account of work sponsor**e**d by an ag**e**ncy of th**e **Unit**e**d Stat**e**s Gov**e**rnm**e**nt, N**e**ith**e**r th**e **Unit**e**d Stat**e*s* **Gov**e**rnm**en**t** n**or any agency the**re**of, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, expr**e**ss or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility fo**r **the accuracy. completeness, or usefulness of** a**ny information,** a**pparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its us**e **would not infring**e **priv**a**tely owned righ**ts**. Referenc**e **herei**n **to any s**p**ecific commercial product,** p**rocess, o**r **serv**i**ce by tr**a**de n**a**me, tr**a**dema**r**k, m**a**nuf**a**cture**r**, o**r **othe**r**wise does not** n**ecess**ar**ily constitut**e**or imply its endorsement,** r**ecomme**n**d**a**tion, or f**a**vo**ri**ng by the United St**a**t**es **Government o**r **any** a**gency thereof.** Th**e v**i**ews** an**d o**pi**nions of au**th**o***r***s expressed herei**n **do no**t n**ec**es**sarily state or reflect** th**os**e **of the United -' States Government or any** a**gency i***h***creof.**

**This re**po**rt has been reproduced directly from the best available copy.**

**Av**ai**l**a**ble to DOE** an**d DOE co**nt**r**a**ctors from the Office of Scientific** an**d Technic**al **L**\_**t'o**rm**ttion. P. O. Box** 6**2, Oak Ridge, TN** 3**78**3**1.** p**rices available from (**6**1**5**)** 5**76-8**4**01.**

**Av**ai**l**a**bl**e **to th**e **public from** the **lqatiou**al **Technical Information Servic**e**, U. S, D**e**p**ar*u***n**e**n**t **of** C**ommerc**e**,** 5**28**5 **Port Royal Rd.. Spri**n**gfi**e**ld. VA 22161**

.-- ..

, , *,*

**t**

**!**

### TOXICITY CHARACTERISTIC LEACHING PROCEDURE (TCLP) TESTING OF DEFENSE WASTE PROCESSING FACILITY (DWPF) PROJECTED GLASS COMPOSITIONS

Amy Applewhite-Ramsey Westinghouse Savannah River Company Defense Waste Processing Facility Aiken, SC 29808 (803) 557-8629

### **ABSTRACT**

 $\mathbf{r}$ 

Vitrification of Savannah River Site (SRS) high level radioactive waste is scheduled to begin in late 1995. The vitrification operation will take place at the SRS Defense Waste Processing Facility (DWPF). The U.S. Department of Energy has instituted specifications which provide technical criteria which must be met by the DWPF to ensure that the waste glass will be suitable for permanent disposal in a federal geologic repository. Included in these criteria is a specification requiring DWPF to determine whether its high level, radioactive waste glass should also be classified as characteristically hazardous waste. A study was performed, using the anticipated range of glass compositions which will be produced over the lifetime of the DWPF, which definitively proved that DWPF waste glass should not be classified as characteristic hazardous waste.

### I. INTRODUCTION

More than 130 million liters of high level liquid waste resides in underground carbon steel tanks at the Savannah River Site (SRS) in Aiken, South Carolina. This waste is to be converted into a stable borosicate glass waste form at the Defense Waste Processing Facility (DWPF). The U.S. Department of Energy has instituted specifications which provide technical criteria which must be met by DWPF to ensure that the waste glass will be suitable for permanent disposal in a federal geologic repository. These specifications are the Waste Acceptance Product Specifications for Vitrified High Level Waste Forms (WAPS). 1

DWPF waste glass must comply with the WAPS. The Hazardous Waste Specification, WAPS 1.5, requires that DWPF determine whether its waste glass is characteristic hazardous waste by performing the Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure  $(TCLP)^2$  for a bounding range of compositions. The TCLP is an Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) approved test for determining whether

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) hazardous metals and organics can be extracted from a waste form. In the case of DWPF waste glass, only the RCRA metals are pertinent as organics cannot survive the high temperatures dictated by the vitrification process.

A study was performed on glasses which spanned the range of projected DWPF compositions. The glasses were doped with RCRA metal concentrations corresponding to levels up to three times higher than a prototypical DWPF glass composition. The doped glasses were tested using the TCLP and the Product Consistency Test (PCT)<sup>3</sup> and the compositions were validated by chemical analyses.

All of the glasses submitted for TCLP testing easily passed the test. The glasses also passed the prototypical PCT test. Analytical results proved the glasses to be amorphous, oxidized and compositionally valid. Based upon the results of this study, DWPF waste glass should not be classified as characteristic hazardous waste, and no further testing should be required to prove compliance with WAPS 1.5, unless there is an unforeseen change in DWPF waste glass composition.

### **II. TASK DESCRIPTION**

Eight different glasses were chosen to bound the range of projected DWPF compositions. RCRA hazardous metals were added to these base compositions for purposes of TCLP testing. The eight base glass compositions were the seven glasses described in the Waste Form Compliance Plan (WCP),<sup>4</sup> and the Environmental Assessment (EA) glass.<sup>5</sup> The compositions of these glasses are provided in Table 1. The seven WCP glasses represent the projected compositions for the first four batches of waste that will be processed by DWPF (Batches 1-4), plus a low viscosity (Purex) composition, a high viscosity (HM) com-



Table 1. Chemical compositions (on a weight % basis) of the eight ase glasses used in the TCLP study.

simulate the concentrations projected by the DWPF flow-

sheet. The RCRA metals represented in this study are:

barium, cadmium, chromium, lead, selenium, and silver.

Arsenic was not considered because it is not a measurable

component of SRS high level waste. Mercury was not

considered because it will be recovered during DWPF

chemical processing and any residual will not survive the vitrification process. The base glasses were doped with

the hazardous metals at two different levels. One level

position and a nominal average waste (Blend) composition. The EA glass is the composition described in the 1982 DOE Environmental Assessment of the DWPF waste form.<sup>6</sup> The EA glass composition has been defined as a chemical durability benchmark. The WAPS require that any DWPF glass produced be demonstrably more durable (as measured by the PCT) than the EA glass.

The RCRA metals were doped into the base glasses to

b Group B: radionuclides of Ag, Cd, Cr, Pd, Tl, La, Ce, Pr, Pm, Nd, Sm, Tb, Sn, Sb, Co, Zr, Nb, Eu, Np, Am, Cm.

a Group A: radionuclides of Tc, Se, Te, Rb, Mo

c Other solids: zeolite, undissolved salts and radionuclides.



Table 2. RCRA metal extraction levels as measured by TCLP and compared to EPA limits. All values are reported in parts per million (ppm).

was representative of metal concentrations in the waste as reported in the DWPF Material Balance tables.<sup>7</sup> The second level was three times in excess of that concentration. Both levels accounted for volatilization during melting. Volatility factors were determined based upon the results of a previous study.<sup>8</sup>

All of the batch compositions were calculated to yield 500 grams of glass. Chromium and barium are base components of the WCP glasses (see Table 1), however, existing concentrations of metals were not considered when calculating the dopant levels. Chromium, lead and barium are the primary hazardous species in DWPF glass. Silver, selenium and cadmium are at most trace constituents in the DWPF composition. They were added using the same criteria as the other components, and in many cases were below the detection limits of the analytical instrumentation. The raw material sources for the dopant RCRA metals were: sodium dichromate, lead oxide, barium sulfate, silver nitrate, selenium metal and cadmium hydroxide. Glass batches were prepared by adding these dopant materials, in the appropriate amounts, to the base glass frit. The glass batch was then mechanically agitated for five minutes, poured into an alumina crucible, covered, and melted in an electric furnace. The glasses were heated at 7°C per minute to a temperature of 1150°C and allowed to soak for four hours. The melts were cast into a stainless steel pan to prevent crystallization and mechanically crushed and sieved to  $\leq 9.5$  mm. The TCLP protocol requires a 200 gram granular sample with a particle size  $\leq 9.5$  mm.<sup>2</sup> The sized 200 gram samples underwent TCLP testing at an independent laboratory recognized by the state of South Carolina as qualified to perform the TCLP.

The doped glasses also underwent analyses by the Analytical Section of the Savannah River Technology Center to verify that the RCRA metals were present in the appropriate concentrations. The Product Consistency Test (PCT) was performed on the doped glasses. The glasses were tested in stainless steel vessels. In addition to the requirements of the standard PCT protocol, the PCT leachates were analyzed for the RCRA metals. This enabled some direct comparison of the two leach tests.

### **III. RESULTS**

All of the glasses submitted for TCLP testing "passed," i.e. none of the glasses released RCRA metals



Table 3. Measured RCRA dopant levels (on an oxide basis) that are present in the TCLP glasses doped at the nominal flowsheet concentration.



Table 4. Measured RCRA dopant levels (on an oxide basis) that are present in the TCLP glasses doped at three times the nominal flowsheet concentration.

into the leachate at a level greater than or equal to the EPA limit. In fact, in nearly every case the metal concentrations in the TCLP leachates were below the detection limits of the instrumentation. The primary exception was barium, and it was still 2 orders of magnitude less than the EFA limit. These results are provided in Table 2 on the previous page.

Tables 3 and 4 represent the metal concentrations of the glass samples as measured by Inductively Coupled Plasma Spectroscopy (ICP). These concentrations are reported on an oxide basis. Chromium, lead and barium, the primary RCRA metal species present in DWPF waste glass, are present in these glasses at levels well in excess of the flowsheet concentations, in almost all cases. In most cases silver, selenium and cadmium are also present. However, silver, selenium and cadmium are trace contituents of the glasses making them difficult to detect.

All of the TCLP glasses also underwent the PCT. Table 5 provides a comparison of the TCLP and the PCT metal extraction results for barium, chromium and lead.

 $a_{\rm nd}$  = not detected by the analytical instrumentation.



**Tabl**e5**. Co**m**parisonof RCRAm**e**tal**ex**tract**i**onl**e**v**e**ls as m**ea**sur**e**dby TCLPandPCT forb**a**rium,chromi**um and lead. The EPA extraction limits are also provided for reference. All values are reported in parts per million.



**Tab**le6**.** C**omparisonof TCL***P*an**dP**C**Tt**e**stparam**e**ters.**

Both the TCLP and the PCT are leach tests, yet the test pa-<br>
Due to its smaller partical size, higher temperature, and<br>
rameters for the two tests differ a great deal. The basic<br>
longer test duration requirements, it is in rameters for the two tests differ a great deal. The basic longer test duration requirements, it is in general a more<br>test parameters are compared in Table 6. All of the glass-<br>stringent measure of overall chemical durabili test parameters are compared in Table 6. All of the glass-<br>es were well within the EPA limits regardless of which TCLP.  $\epsilon$ **s** were well within the EPA limits regardless of which **t**est **was** perf**orm**e**d. H**o**w**e**v**er**,** the PC**T** b**a**ri**u**m re*s***u**lt*s* were about an order of magnitude lower, and the PCT All of the TCLP glasses were characterized by vari-<br>chromium results were about an order of magnitude high- ous analytical methods. Chemical composition of the chromium results were about an order of magnitude high-<br>er, than the corresponding TCLP results. The lead results glasses was determined by ICP and Atomic Absorption. er, than the corresponding TCLP results. The lead results glasses was determined by ICP and Atomic Absorption.<br>were below the instrument detection limits for both the Two types of glass dissolutions, sodium peroxide and mi were below the instrument detection limits for both the Two types of glass dissolutions, sodium peroxide and mi-<br>PCT and the TCLP tests. The PCT was developed specifi-<br>crowave, were performed to prepare the glasses for ICP PCT and the TCLP tests. The PCT was developed specifi-<br>cally for nuclear waste glasses, and is an aggressive test testing. Each glass was submitted in triplicate and anacally for nuclear waste glasses, and is an aggressive test testing. Each glass was submitted in triplicate and ana-<br>which is used in modelling long-term chemical durability.<sup>8</sup> lyzed in triplicate for each dissolution, pro which is used in modelling long-term chemical durability.<sup>8</sup>

**in the contract of the contract** 

ate analyses of each composition. The glasses also underwent X-ray diffraction (XRD), X-ray fluorescence (XRF), and Fe<sup>+2</sup>/Fe<sup>+3</sup> determinations.<sup>9</sup> XRD spectra verified that the glasses were amorphous. XRF qualitatively confirmed the presence of Ag, Se and Cd in the glasses. Redox testing verified that all of the glasses, with the exception of EA-1, were oxidized with  $\text{Fe}^{+2}/\text{Fe}^{+3}$  < 0.11. (EA glass typically has a  $\text{Fe}^{+2}/\text{Fe}^{+3} > 0.11$ .) It is important, particularly from a processing standpoint, that DWPF glass is oxidized. An  $Fe^{+2}/Fe^{+3}$  ratio of 0.11 has been identified as a limit.

### IV. CONCLUSIONS

Based upon the results of this study, DWPF waste glass should not be classified as characteristic hazardous waste. Simulated waste glasses bounding the range of anticipated waste compositions to be processed over the lifetime of DWPF were doped at levels up to three times in excess of prototypical DWPF conditions. All of these glasses successfully passed the TCLP test.

Analytical results proved the glasses to be amorphous, oxidized and compositionally valid. The glasses also passed the PCT test. In addition, the RCRA metal concentrations in the PCT leachates (not typically measured as part of standard PCT protocol) were also well within the EPA extraction limits.

### V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper was prepared in connection with work done under Contract No. DE-AC09-89SR18035 with the U.S. Department of Energy. By acceptance of this paper, the publisher and/or recipient acknowledges the U.S. Government's right to retain a nonexclusive, royalty-free license in and to any copyright covering this paper, along with the right to reproduce and to authorize others to reproduce all or part of the copyrighted paper.

### VI. REFERENCES

- 1. U. S. Department of Energy, Waste Acceptance Product Specifications for Vitrified High Level Waste Forms, USDOE/EM (February 1993).
- 2. Federal Register Rules and Regulations, "Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure," Vol. 55, 11862 (March 29, 1990).
- 3. U. S. Department of Energy, Environmental Assessment - Waste Form Selection for SRP High-Level Waste, USDOE Report DOE/EA 0179, Washington, D.C. (1982).
- 4. A. S. Choi, "Material Balance Tables for the **DWPF Radioactive Runs with Batch One Sludge/** Supernate Feed (U)," WSRC-TR-92-211 Rev. 1, Westinghouse Savannah River Co., Aiken, SC 29808 (November 9, 1992).
- 5. DWPF Waste Form Compliance Plan (U). WSRC-IM-91-116-0, Rev. 1, Westinghouse Savannah River Co., Aiken, SC 29808 (June 1993).
- 6. A. Applewhite-Ramsey, K. Z. Wolf, M. J. Plodinec, "EPA Tests of Simulated DWPF Waste Glass," Advances in the Fusion and Processing of Glass, Ceramic Transactions, Vol 29 (1993).
- 7. C. M. Jantzen, N. E. Bibler, D. C. Beam, C. I. Crawford, J. B. Pickett, "Characterization of **DWPF EA Glass Standard Reference Material."** WSRC-TR-92-346, Rev. 0, Westinghouse Savannah River Co., Aiken, SC 29808 (June 1, 1993).
- 8. C. M. Jantzen, N. E. Bibler, D. C. Beam, W. G. Ramsey, B. J. Waters, "Nuclear Waste Glass Product Consistency Test (PCT) - Version 5.0 (U)," WSRC-TR-90-593, Rev. 2, Westinghouse Savannah River Co., Aiken, SC 29808 (January 1992).
- 9. E. W. Baumann, "Colorimetric Determination of Iron (II) and Iron (III) in Glass," Analyst, Vol. 117, pgs. 913-916 (1992).



# 

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right)$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}})) \leq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$