






### **Association for Information and Image Management**


1100 Wayne Avenue, Suite 1100 Silver Spring, Maryland 20910 301/587-8202







MANUFACTURED TO AIIM STANDARDS BY APPLIED IMAGE, INC.



## 

BNL-49853

### **European Particle Accelerator Conference** London, England June 27-July 1, 1994

### Transition-Energy Crossing with a $\gamma_t$ -Jump \*

Jie Wei and Steve Peggs Brookhaven National Laboratory Upton, New York 11973, USA

Abstract

Expressions for the minimum size and speed of a transition-energy  $(\gamma_t$ -) jump needed to diminish the chromatic non-linear effect, the self-field mismatch, and the microwave instabilities in the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) are obtained. A  $\gamma_t$ -jump of 0.8 units is needed to be performed within 60 ms in order to achieve a "clean" transition crossing.

### INTRODUCTION

During the past several decades, the  $\gamma_t$ -jump method[1] has been extensively used to minimize the beam loss and growth during transition crossing. In RHIC, intensive particle beams are accelerated through transition at a relatively slow rate due to the slow ramping rate of the superconducting magnets. Effects of chromatic non-linearities (so-called Jøhnsen Effect) and self-field mismatch both become significant. Crossing transition using a  $\gamma_t$ -jump provides an effective method in minimizing these undesired effects.

With a  $\gamma_t$ -jump, the amount of distortion in the machine lattice is proportional to the size of jump necessary to eliminate the undesired effects, while the speed of jump is restrained by the pulsing of the superconducting quadrupole correctors. This paper first studies the longitudinal particle motion during the  $\gamma_t$ -jump process. In section 2, the particle motion is discussed in terms of longitudinal amplitude functions. Section 3 reviews the growths in longitudinal bunch area due to various effects in the absence of a  $\gamma_t$ -jump. Section 4 presents the minimum size and speed of  $\gamma_t$ -jump required to diminish the bunch growth.

Ion beams in RHIC of all species except proton will cross transition energy at about  $\gamma_t = 23$ . Due to the slow ramping rate of the superconducting magnets, the acceleration rate  $\dot{\gamma}$  for the Au<sup>79+</sup> beam is about 1.6 per second. With the nominal intensity of  $1 \times 10^9$  ions per bunch in an area 0.3 eV·s/u and the space-charge coupling impedance |Z/n|of about 1.2 Ohms, a  $\gamma_{t}$ -jump is needed to diminish both chromatic non-linear and self-field effects. The various  $\gamma_t$ jump schemes for RHIC using different rf system are compared in Section 5. The conclusion is given in section 6.

### PARTICLE MOTION DURING $\gamma_T$ -JUMP

It is convenient to measure time relative to the instant that the synchronous particle crosses the reference transition energy  $\gamma_{t0}$  and to assume that particles are accelerated through transition with a constant rate  $\dot{\gamma}$ ,

$$\gamma_s(t) = \gamma_{t0} + \dot{\gamma} t. \tag{1}$$

For simplicity but without losing generality, we approximate the process of  $\gamma_t$ -jump in the time period of interest by the expression

$$\gamma_t(t) = \gamma_{t0} - \dot{\gamma}_t \ t, \quad -T_J/2 \le t \le -T_J/2,$$
 (2)

where  $T_J$  is the duration of the jump, and  $\Delta \gamma_t = \dot{\gamma}_t T_J \ll$  $\gamma_{t0}$  is the size of jump.

In the absence of the  $\gamma_t$ -jump, the characteristic transition time T<sub>c</sub> during which the particle motion is nonadiabatic is

$$T_C = \left(\frac{\pi E \beta_s^2 \gamma_t^3}{qeV |\cos \phi_s| \dot{\gamma} h \omega_s^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}},\tag{3}$$

where the subscript s represents the synchronous value,

 $qe = \text{electric charge}, E = Am_0c^2\gamma_s$  synchronous energy, V = accelerating rf voltage, h = rf harmonic number,

 $\omega_s$  = revolution frequency,  $\beta_s c$  = velocity,

 $\phi_s = \text{synchronous phase.}$ 

In the presence of the  $\gamma_t$ -jump, the characteristic transition time  $T_C$  is reduced to be

$$\tilde{T}_C = \left(\frac{\dot{\gamma}}{\dot{\gamma} + \dot{\gamma}_t}\right)^{1/3} T_C. \tag{4}$$

In this paper, we denote the corresponding values during the  $\gamma_t$ -jump by the sign  $\tilde{}$ , and describe the motion of the particle by the deviation of rf phase  $\phi$  and energy  $W \equiv$  $\Delta E/\hbar\omega_{\star}$ . The trajectory of the particle of action J before the start of the jump can be described as

$$\beta_L(x)\phi^2 + 2\alpha_L(x)\phi W + \gamma_L(x)W^2 = 2J, \qquad (5)$$

where x is the time normalized by  $T_C$ . The longitudinal amplitude functions  $\beta_L$ ,  $\alpha_L$ , and  $\gamma_L$  are [2], [3]

$$\beta_L = k^{-1} T_C \hat{\beta}, \ \alpha_L = \hat{\alpha}, \ \gamma_L = k T_C^{-1} \hat{\gamma}, \tag{6}$$

where  $k = 2\pi h/qeV |\cos \phi_s|$ , and the normalized amplitude functions are

functions are
$$\hat{\beta}(x) = \frac{\pi}{3}x \left\{ \left[ J_{-\frac{1}{3}}(y) \right]^2 + \left[ N_{-\frac{1}{3}}(y) \right]^2 \right\}$$

$$\approx \begin{cases}
\frac{4\pi}{3^{4/3}\Gamma^2(2/3)} \left[ 1 - \frac{3^{5/6}\Gamma^2(2/3)}{2\pi} x \right], & x \ll 1, \\
\frac{1}{x^{1/2}} \left( 1 - \frac{5}{32} \frac{1}{x^3} \right), & x \gg 1,
\end{cases}$$

<sup>\*</sup>Work performed under the auspice of the U.S. Department of Energy.

$$\hat{\alpha}(x) = -\frac{\pi}{3}x^{3/2} \left\{ J_{\frac{2}{3}}(y)J_{-\frac{1}{3}}(y) + N_{\frac{2}{3}}(y)N_{-\frac{1}{3}}(y) \right\} \\
\approx \begin{cases}
-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left[ 1 - \frac{3^{5/6}\Gamma^{2}(2/3)}{\pi}x \right], & x \ll 1, \\
-\frac{1}{4x^{3/2}}, & x \gg 1,
\end{cases}$$
(8)

with  $\hat{\beta}\hat{\gamma} = 1 + \hat{\alpha}^2$ . Here, J and N are the Bessel functions,  $y = 2x^{2/3}/3$ , and  $\Gamma(2/3) \approx 1.354$ . It can be shown that the instantaneous synchrotron-oscillation period is  $\Omega_{\bullet} = \hat{\beta}T_C$ .

At time  $t \le -T_J/2$  before the start of the  $\gamma_t$ -jump, the particle motion is given by Eq. 5 with

$$x = \frac{\dot{\gamma}_t}{\dot{\gamma}} \frac{T_J}{2T_C} + \frac{|t|}{T_C}, \quad t \le -T_J/2. \tag{9}$$

Immediately after the start of the  $\gamma_t$ -jump  $(-T_J/2 < t \le T_J/2)$ , the particle motion is given by Eqs. 5 and 6 with  $T_C$  replaced by  $\tilde{T}_C$ , and with

$$x = \frac{|t|}{\tilde{T}_C}, \quad -T_J/2 < t \le T_J/2.$$
 (10)

The  $\gamma_t$ -jump typically starts well before the particle motion becomes non-adiabatic, i.e.  $x_J \gg 1$  and  $\tilde{x}_J \gg 1$ , or

$$\Delta \gamma_t \gg 2 \dot{\gamma_t} \tilde{T}_C. \tag{11}$$

According to Eqs. 7, 9, and 10, the particle trajectories before and after the start of the jump are matched. At the time that the reference particle crosses the transition energy, the maximum energy deviation and the minimum phase deviation are given by the expressions

$$\tilde{W}(0) = \sqrt{2k^{-1}\tilde{T}_C\hat{\beta}(0)} = \left(\frac{\dot{\gamma}}{\dot{\gamma} + \dot{\gamma}_t}\right)^{1/6} W(0),$$

$$\tilde{\phi}(0) = \sqrt{2k\tilde{T}_C^{-1}\hat{\gamma}(0)} = \left(\frac{\dot{\gamma}}{\dot{\gamma} + \dot{\gamma}_t}\right)^{-1/6} \phi(0).$$
(12)

Compared with the case without the  $\gamma_t$ -jump, the energy and phase deviations are scaled by the factor  $\left(\frac{\dot{\gamma}}{\dot{\gamma}+\dot{\gamma}_t}\right)^{1/6}$ . On the other hand, the synchrotron period is reduced by a factor  $\left(\frac{\dot{\gamma}}{\dot{\gamma}+\dot{\gamma}_t}\right)^{1/3}$ ,

$$\tilde{\Omega}_s = \hat{\beta}\tilde{T}_C = \left(\frac{\dot{\gamma}}{\dot{\gamma} + \dot{\gamma}_t}\right)^{1/3}\Omega_s. \tag{13}$$

In section 4, we show that this change in beam configuration reduces the growth of bunch area due to both single and multi-particle effects.

### 3 BEAM GROWTH AT TRANSITION

In the absence of a  $\gamma_i$ -jump, both single- and multiparticle effects can produce bunch mismatch and growth. In Ref. [3], scaling laws are obtained for the effects of chromatic non-linearity, self-field mismatch, and microwave instabilities at transition. Chromatic non-linearities cause particles of different momenta to cross transition at different time. The socalled non-linear time is defined as

$$T_{nl} = \frac{\left|\left(\alpha_1 + \frac{3}{2}\beta_s^2\right)\right|\hat{\delta}(0) \gamma_{t0}}{\dot{\gamma}}, \tag{14}$$

where  $\hat{\delta}(0) = \hbar \omega_s W(0)/E\beta^2$  is the maximum momentum spread at transition, and the non-linear momentum-compaction factor describes the dependence of the circumference on the momentum

$$\frac{L}{L_{s}} = 1 + \frac{\delta}{\gamma_{10}^{2}} \left[ 1 + \alpha_{1}\delta + O(\delta^{2}) \right]. \tag{15}$$

The mismatch in particle trajectories produces effective growth in the bunch area. The effective increase in the bunch area during the crossing depends on the ratio of  $T_{nl}$  to  $T_c$ .

$$\frac{\Delta J}{J} \approx \begin{cases} 0.38 \frac{T_{nl}}{T_c}, & \text{for } T_{nl} \ll T_c; \\ e^{\frac{4}{3} \left(\frac{T_{nl}}{2T_c}\right)^{3/2}} - 1, & \text{for } T_{nl} \ge T_c. \end{cases}$$
 (16)

Beam loss occurs if the effective bunch area  $2\pi(J+\Delta J)$  after transition is larger than the bucket area.

Beam self fields cause mismatch in the nominal bunch shape at the time the synchronous phase is switched at transition. The effective increase in the bunch area is proportional to the ratio of the self-field to the rf accelerating field,

$$\frac{\Delta J}{J} = \frac{2h\hat{I}(0)|Z_L/n|}{V|\cos\phi_s|\hat{\phi}^2(0)},$$
 (17)

where 0 indicates the time t=0 of transition,  $|Z_L/n|$  is the coupling impedance at bunch frequency,  $\hat{\phi}$  is the maximum phase spread of the bunch, and the peak current  $\hat{I}$  for a parabolic distribution is

$$\hat{I} = \frac{3hN_0qe\omega_*}{4\hat{\phi}} \tag{18}$$

with  $N_0$  the number of particle per bunch.

The threshold for microwave instability to occur at transition has been approximately obtained as

$$\frac{8h\hat{I}(0)|Z_H/n|}{3V|\cos\phi_s|\hat{\phi}^2(0)} \ge 1,$$
(19)

where  $|Z_H/n|$  refers to the coupling impedance in the microwave frequency range.

In the absence of a  $\gamma_t$ -jump, the beam growth and intensity loss in RHIC caused by chromatic non-linear effect and self-field mismatch, is significant.[3]  $\gamma_t$ -jump schemes are thus proposed[4],[5] to achieve a "clean" crossing.

### 4 REQUIREMENTS FOR $\gamma_T$ -JUMP

An effective way to cure both the beam self field and the chromatic non-linear effect is to increase the transition-crossing rate by temporarily adjusting the lattice to

achieve a  $\gamma_t$ -jump. The required size and speed of  $\gamma_t$ -jump is determined by the strength of the non-linearity and the self fields.

The  $\gamma_t$ -jump minimizes the effect of chromatic non-linearity by reducing the momentum spread and the duration of mismatch. With the  $\gamma_t$ -jump, the non-linear time  $T_{nl}$  can be significantly reduced,

$$\tilde{T}_{nl} = \left(\frac{\dot{\gamma}}{\dot{\gamma} + \dot{\gamma}_t}\right)^{7/6} T_{nl}. \tag{20}$$

From Eqs. 4, 20, and 16, the growth in bunch area is reduced by a factor  $\left(\frac{\dot{\gamma}}{\dot{\gamma}+\gamma_t}\right)^{5/6}$ . If  $\Delta_g$  is the maximally allowed fractional growth in bunch area, it can be derived from Eq. 16 that the speed of  $\gamma_t$ -jump should satisfy

$$\frac{\dot{\gamma}_T}{\dot{\gamma}} > \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_g} \frac{T_{nl}}{T_c}\right)^{6/5} - 1. \tag{21}$$

The total size  $\Delta \gamma_t$  of jump needed to prevent the non-linear growth is proportional to the time  $T_{nl}$ ,

$$\Delta \gamma_t > 2\dot{\gamma} T_{nl}. \tag{22}$$

The  $\gamma_t$ -jump also reduces the amount of self-field mismatch by preventing the bunch length from becoming small at transition (Eq. 12). If  $\Delta_g$  is again the maximally allowed fractional growth in bunch area, the speed of jump should satisfy

$$\frac{\dot{\gamma}_T}{\dot{\gamma}} > \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_g} \frac{2h\hat{I}(0) |Z_L/n|}{V|\cos\phi_s| \hat{\phi}^2(0)}\right)^2 - 1,\tag{23}$$

where  $\hat{I}(0)$  and  $\hat{\phi}(0)$  are the peak current and the bunch length if no jump is applied. The size of jump should satisfy

$$\left. \frac{2h\hat{I}(\gamma)|Z_L/n|}{V|\cos\phi_s|\hat{\phi}^2(\gamma)|} \right|_{\gamma=\gamma_t\pm\Delta\gamma_t/2} < \Delta_g, \tag{24}$$

where  $\gamma_t \pm \Delta \gamma_t/2$  correspond to the instants just after and before the  $\gamma_t$ -jump. Using the expression for the bunch length  $\phi$ , this condition can be explicitly written as

$$\Delta \gamma_{t} > \frac{3^{4/3} \pi^{1/3} E_{s} \beta_{s}^{2} qeV |\cos \phi_{s}| \gamma_{t}^{3}}{8h^{1/3} \omega_{s}^{2} J^{2}} \left( \frac{1}{\Delta_{g}} \frac{\bar{I} |Z_{L}/n|}{V |\cos \phi_{s}|} \right)^{4/3}, \tag{25}$$

where  $\bar{I} = N_0 q e \omega_s / 2\pi$ .

In order to eliminate microwave instabilities caused by the coupling impedance  $Z_H/n$ , the needed speed of jump is derived from Eq. 19 as

$$\frac{\dot{\gamma}_T}{\dot{\gamma}} > \left(\frac{8h\hat{I}(0)|Z_H/n|}{3V|\cos\phi_s|\hat{\phi}^2(0)}\right)^2 - 1,\tag{26}$$

where  $\hat{I}(0)$  and  $\hat{\phi}(0)$  are again the peak current and the bunch length if no jump is applied. The needed size of jump is given by

$$\Delta \gamma_{t} > \frac{3^{4/3} \pi^{1/3} E_{s} \beta_{s}^{2} qeV |\cos \phi_{s}| \gamma_{t}^{3}}{8 h^{1/3} \omega_{s}^{2} J^{2}} \left( \frac{4 \bar{I} |Z_{H}/n|}{3 V |\cos \phi_{s}|} \right)^{4/3}.$$
(27)

The condition on the minimum size (Eqs. 22, 25, and 27) and speed (Eqs. 21, 23, and 26) of  $\gamma_t$ -jump has been verified with the computer simulation program TIBETAN[3]. The agreement between the simulation and experiment has been partly achieved in a recent study in the AGS.[6]

## 5 CHOICE OF RF SYSTEM FOR $\gamma_T$ -JUMP

The accelerating rf system in RHIC operates at voltage V=300 kV and frequency 27 MHz (h=342). Assume the non-linear factor  $|\alpha_1+1.5|\leq 3.5$  with the  $\gamma_t$ -jump lattice.[3],[5] In order for the effective growth in the Au<sup>79+</sup> bunch area to be less than 20%, a  $\gamma_t$ -jump of 0.8 units (Eqs. 22) is needed to be performed in 60 ms (Eqs. 21). With the nominal intensity of  $N_0=1\times10^9$  ions per bunch in an area  $2\pi J=0.3$  eV·s/u, the maximally allowed coupling impedance |Z/n| is about 1.5 Ohms.

The storage rf system in RHIC operates at a voltage up to V=6 MV and frequency 196 MHz (h=2508). In principle, this system could be used to capture the beam before transition and then accelerate it to the top energy. However, with this scheme a minimum voltage of 600 kV is needed to provide the adequate bucket area. With this voltage, both the bunch momentum spread and the synchrotron-oscillation frequency are significantly increased. In order to diminish the chromatic non-linear effect, a  $\gamma_t$ -jump of 1.6 units is required to be performed in 10 ms. Compared with the requirements on the previous  $\gamma_t$ -jump scheme with the 27 MHz rf system, this scheme appears less attractive.

### 6 CONCLUSION

From longitudinal beam-dynamics point of view, the minimum size and speed of jump needed to diminish the chromatic non-linear effect, the self-field mismatch, and the microwave instabilities are obtained in Eqs. 22, 25, 27, and Eqs. 21, 23, 26, respectively. A  $\gamma_t$ -jump of 0.8 units is needed to be performed within 60 ms in order to achieve a "clean" transition crossing in RHIC.

### 7 REFERENCES

- W. Hardt, etc. Proc. 7th Int. Conf. on High-Energy ACcel., Yereran, 329 (1969); L.C. Teng, FN-207/400 (FNAL, Batavia, 1970); L. Thorndahl, ISR-300 LI/69-38 (CERN, Geneva, 1969); A. Sørenssen, Part. Accel. 6, 141 (1975); L. Ahrens, etc. AD/No.265 (BNL, 1986); S.Y. Lee and K.Y. Ng, Proc. Fermilab III Instab. Workshop, 170 (1990).
- [2] J.C. Herrera, Part. Accel. 3, 49 (1972); K. Takayama, Part. Accel. 14, 201 (1984).
- [3] J. Wei, Longitudinal Dynamics of the Non-Adiabatic Regime on Alternating-Gradient Synchrotrons, Ph.D thesis, SUNY at Stony Brook, 1990; J. Wei, Proc. 3rd EPAC, Berlin, 643 (1992) (Note that Eq. 4 in this reference is incorrect. The correct expression is given by Eq. 16 of the current paper).
- [4] RHIC Conceptual Design, BNL-52195 (1989).
- [5] S. Peggs, S. Tepikian, D. Trbojevic, Proc. 1993 Part. Accel. Conf. (1993), p.168.
- [6] J. Wei, etc. these proceedings.

### **DISCLAIMER**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

# 46/66/6