

# MEASUREMENTS OF ESCAPING ALPHAS IN THE TFTR DT EXPERIMENTS

by

S.J. ZWEBEN, D.S. DARROW, H.W. HERRMANN, ET AL.

Published in the Proceedings of the 21st European Physical Society's  
Division of Plasma Physics Meeting (June 27-July 1, 1994,  
Montpellier, France), published by EPS 1994.

Work supported by U.S. Department of Energy Contract DE-  
AC02-76-CH0-3073.

## DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

**Princeton University  
Plasma Physics Laboratory**

**MASTER**

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

*re*

## **DISCLAIMER**

**Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.**

## 2. Alpha Loss in the 45° Detector

The DT alpha loss to the detector 45° below the outboard midplane is shown vs. plasma current in Fig. 3 for the same plasmas of Fig. 1. In contrast to the results at 90°, the neutron-normalized alpha loss at this location does *not* follow the predicted first-orbit alpha loss vs. plasma current. This behavior is not due to any "collective" alpha effect, since the alpha loss at 45° is independent on the DT neutron rate at a fixed current, and since a similar current dependence is obtained for DD fusion products. Therefore this behavior is a "single particle" effect, possibly due to stochastic toroidal field (TF) ripple diffusion, such as analyzed previously for the midplane (20°) detector in DD[5].

However, calculations of stochastic TF ripple diffusion in TFTR done using the collisionless bounce-averaged MAPLOS code[5] predict that the collisionless TF ripple loss should occur at poloidal angles  $\leq 30^\circ$  below the outboard midplane, so can not explain the non-first-orbit loss component at 45° in Fig. 3. The collisional guiding center code ORBIT predicts higher TF ripple loss than MAPLOS, with a global alpha loss at  $I_p=1.8$  MA about a factor of 3 above the first-orbit loss, but also predicts that this TF-ripple-induced loss should be  $\leq 30^\circ$  below the outboard midplane[6]. Thus neither code is presently able to explain the trend shown in Fig. 3.

## 3. Time Dependence of Alpha Loss

The time dependence of the alpha loss in the 90° detector follows closely the time dependence of the DT neutron rate during and after NBI, as expected from first-orbit loss. For the 45° detector there is a gradual  $\approx 50\%$  increase in the alpha loss rate per neutron over  $\approx 1$  sec during NBI, but no large increase after NBI. This may be consistent with the relatively rapid process of stochastic TF ripple diffusion ( $\leq 10$  msec), but not with a significant collisional loss over the timescale of alpha thermalization ( $\approx 0.6$  sec). There was also no significant change in the gyroradius distribution after NBI in either detector, such as might be calculated from a delayed loss process.

The alpha loss in the DT discharges described above was not visibly affected by coherent MHD activity. The clearest examples of MHD-induced alpha loss have occurred during major and minor disruptions, as seen previously for DD fusion products[1]. Fig. 4 shows the alpha loss in the 90° detector increasing by a factor of  $\approx 100$  above the first-orbit loss level just before a major disruption. This pre-disruptive alpha loss could be a problem for ITER, since the alphas may be lost at different places than the thermal plasma. No DT alpha particle loss associated with Alfvén waves, such as the TAE mode, has yet been seen[7].

## Measurements of Escaping Alphas in the TFTR DT Experiments

S.J. Zweben, D. S. Darrow, H. W. Herrmann, R.V. Budny, C.S. Chang<sup>†</sup>,  
C.Z. Cheng, G. Y. Fu, D. L. Jassby, M. H. Redi, J. Schivell, S. Scott, D.A.  
Spong<sup>‡</sup>, J. D. Strachan, K. Tobita<sup>\*</sup>, K. M. Young, V. Zaveriaev<sup>+</sup>,  
and the TFTR Group

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey, USA  
<sup>†</sup>NYU, USA; <sup>‡</sup>ORNL, USA; <sup>\*</sup>JAERI, Japan; <sup>+</sup>IAE, Kurchatov, Russia

Alpha particle loss to the wall of TFTR has been measured during the initial TFTR DT run period. These measurements were made with the same lost alpha scintillator detector system used previously for DD fusion products[1], except for a switch of the scintillator material from zinc sulfide (P31) to yttrium aluminate (P46) to insure a linear response up to the maximum alpha flux expected in DT[2]. The alpha loss signals in DT are  $\approx 100$  times larger than the DD fusion product loss signals, as expected from the neutron rates and the relative sensitivity to DT vs. DD fusion products.

### 1. Alpha Loss in the 90° Detector

The DT alpha loss at the vessel bottom 90° below the outboard midplane is generally consistent with the calculated first-orbit loss of 3.5 MeV alphas. This is illustrated in Fig. 1, which shows the total alpha loss rate to the 90° detector normalized to the DT neutron rate as a function of plasma current ( $R=2.52$  m). When the data at  $I_p=0.6$  MA are normalized to the calculated first-orbit loss to this detector, the data at  $I_p=1.0-2.5$  MA fit the calculated first-orbit loss to within the joint uncertainties in measurement and modeling ( $\approx 30-40\%$ ). The absolute value of the alpha loss at 90° in DT is also consistent with this model, at least to within the factor-of-two uncertainty of an absolute detector calibration. The alpha loss per neutron at  $I_p=2.0$  MA does not change more than  $\approx 20\%$  over the entire range of DT neutron rates from 2% tritium to 50/50 DT, i.e. over  $\approx 0.05-2.2 \times 10^{18}$  neutrons/sec, indicating the absence of any collective alpha loss mechanism in these plasmas with  $\beta_\alpha(0) \leq 0.25\%$ .

The measured pitch angle and gyroradius distributions for DT alpha loss in the 90° detector are shown in Fig. 2 for a discharge at  $I_p=2.5$  MA with 7.4 MW of fusion power. The distributions in DT are consistent with the expected alpha particle first-orbit loss, the peak of which is calculated to be at the "x" in the figure. Also shown in Fig. 2 is a DD discharge with the same plasma parameters and a fairly similar loss pattern, as expected for DD fusion products, but with a small "delayed loss" feature similar to that previously observed in DD[3]. The apparent absence of this delayed loss in the 90° detector in DT is at least qualitatively consistent with the hypothesis that in DD it was due to classical collisional pitch angle scattering of the 1 MeV tritons, which is much reduced for 3.5 MeV alphas[1,4].

#### 4. Discussion

The DT alpha loss detected  $90^\circ$  below the outboard midplane is consistent with the expected first-orbit loss, while the alpha loss detected  $45^\circ$  below the outboard midplane is significantly higher than the expected first-orbit loss. In all cases the relative alpha loss per neutron does not vary significantly with the DT neutron rate, so the non-first orbit losses at  $45^\circ$  are not due to any collective alpha particle effect.

Somewhat similar non-first orbit loss was previously described for DD fusion products in the  $20^\circ$  detector, and was explained in terms of collisionless stochastic TF ripple diffusion[5]. The recent guiding center code calculations of collisional TF ripple-induced alpha loss have shown a significant collisional alpha loss effect[6], but have not yet explained the increased alpha loss seen at  $45^\circ$ . Such calculations have predicted the loss of neutral beam ion loss in JT-60U[8], and will be continued with improved modeling of the TFTR magnetic fields and better statistics. Fokker-Planck calculations are also in progress to model the collisional loss into these detectors[9].

So far there have been no signs of any alpha particle loss associated specifically with full DT operation, such as might have been expected from collective alpha particle instabilities. Experiments designed to stimulate such instabilities are reported elsewhere[10].

#### References:

1. S. J. Zweben, R. Boivin, D.S. Darrow, et al, Proc. 14th IAEA Conference on Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion, Wurzburg (IAEA, 1993).
2. D.S. Darrow, et al, to be published in Rev. Sci. Inst. (1994).
3. S.J. Zweben, D.S. Darrow, et al, Nucl. Fus. **33**, 705 (1993).
4. C.S. Chang, S.J. Zweben, et al, to be published in Plasma Physics (1994).
5. R.L. Boivin, S.J. Zweben, and R.B. White, Nucl. Fusion **33**, 449 (1993).
6. M. H. Redi, et al, "Collisional Stochastic Ripple Diffusion of Alpha Particles and Beam Ions in TFTR", in preparation (1994)
7. J.D. Strachan, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 3530 (1994).
8. K. Tobita, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 3060 (1992)
9. V. Yavorski, private communication
10. E. D. Fredrickson, this conference

#### Acknowledgment:

This work was performed under contract DE-AC02-76CH0-3073.




Fig. 1: The total alpha particle loss  $90^\circ$  below the midplane vs.  $I_p$ . The vertical axis is the calculated alpha loss fraction into this detector, e.g.  $\approx 10^{10}$  alphas/sec for an  $I_p = 2.0$  MA discharge with  $2 \times 10^{18}$  neutrons/sec.




Fig. 3: The total alpha particle loss  $45^\circ$  below the midplane vs.  $I_p$ . Since a similar non-first orbit loss vs. current is seen in DD, this is a "single particle" and not a "collective" alpha effect.





Fig. 2: Pitch angle vs. gyroradius distributions of alpha loss at  $I_p = 2.5$  MA for similar DT and DD discharges at  $R = 2.52$  m. In DT the pattern is consistent with first-orbit loss when the instrumental broadening effects are included. The delayed loss in DD which is not seen in DT is most likely due to collisional losses of 1 MeV tritons in DD.




Fig. 4: Time dependence of the DT alpha loss at  $90^\circ$  during a high power disruption at  $I_p = 2.5$  MA. There is a factor of  $\approx 100$  increase in the alpha loss a few msec before the start of the plasma current decay. The alpha loss at  $90^\circ$  was larger than the loss in the other detectors.

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION IN ADDITION TO UC-420

Dr. F. Paoloni, Univ. of Wollongong, AUSTRALIA  
 Prof. R.C. Cross, Univ. of Sydney, AUSTRALIA  
 Plasma Research Lab., Australian Nat. Univ., AUSTRALIA  
 Prof. I.R. Jones, Flinders Univ, AUSTRALIA  
 Prof. F. Cap, Inst. for Theoretical Physics, AUSTRIA  
 Prof. M. Heindler, Institut für Theoretische Physik, AUSTRIA  
 Prof. M. Goossens, Astronomisch Instituut, BELGIUM  
 Ecole Royale Militaire, Lab. de Phy. Plasmas, BELGIUM  
 Commission-Europeen, DG. XII-Fusion Prog., BELGIUM  
 Prof. R. Bouciqué, Rijksuniversiteit Gent, BELGIUM  
 Dr. P.H. Sakanaka, Instituto Fisica, BRAZIL  
 Prof. Dr. I.C. Nascimento, Instituto Fisica, Sao Paulo, BRAZIL  
 Instituto Nacional De Pesquisas Espaciais-INPE, BRAZIL  
 Documents Office, Atomic Energy of Canada Ltd., CANADA  
 Ms. M. Morin, CCFM/Tokamak de Varennes, CANADA  
 Dr. M.P. Bachynski, MPB Technologies, Inc., CANADA  
 Dr. H.M. Skarsgard, Univ. of Saskatchewan, CANADA  
 Prof. J. Teichmann, Univ. of Montreal, CANADA  
 Prof. S.R. Sreenivasan, Univ. of Calgary, CANADA  
 Prof. T.W. Johnston, INRS-Energie, CANADA  
 Dr. R. Bolton, Centre canadien de fusion magnétique, CANADA  
 Dr. C.R. James,, Univ. of Alberta, CANADA  
 Dr. P. Lukác, Komenského Univerzita, CZECHO-SLOVAKIA  
 The Librarian, Culham Laboratory, ENGLAND  
 Library, R61, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, ENGLAND  
 Mrs. S.A. Hutchinson, JET Library, ENGLAND  
 Dr. S.C. Sharma, Univ. of South Pacific, FIJI ISLANDS  
 P. Mähönen, Univ. of Helsinki, FINLAND  
 Prof. M.N. Bussac, Ecole Polytechnique,, FRANCE  
 C. Mouttet, Lab. de Physique des Milieux Ionisés, FRANCE  
 J. Radet, CEN/CADARACHE - Bat 506, FRANCE  
 Prof. E. Economou, Univ. of Crete, GREECE  
 Ms. C. Rinni, Univ. of Ioannina, GREECE  
 Preprint Library, Hungarian Academy of Sci., HUNGARY  
 Dr. B. DasGupta, Saha Inst. of Nuclear Physics, INDIA  
 Dr. P. Kaw, Inst. for Plasma Research, INDIA  
 Dr. P. Rosenau, Israel Inst. of Technology, ISRAEL  
 Librarian, International Center for Theo Physics, ITALY  
 Miss C. De Palo, Associazione EURATOM-ENEA , ITALY  
 Dr. G. Grosso, Istituto di Fisica del Plasma, ITALY  
 Prof. G. Rostangni, Istituto Gas Ionizzati Del Cnr, ITALY  
 Dr. H. Yamato, Toshiba Res & Devel Center, JAPAN  
 Prof. I. Kawakami, Hiroshima Univ., JAPAN  
 Prof. K. Nishikawa, Hiroshima Univ., JAPAN  
 Librarian, Naka Fusion Research Establishment, JAERI, JAPAN  
 Director, Japan Atomic Energy Research Inst., JAPAN  
 Prof. S. Itoh, Kyushu Univ., JAPAN  
 Research Info. Ctr., National Instit. for Fusion Science, JAPAN  
 Prof. S. Tanaka, Kyoto Univ., JAPAN  
 Library, Kyoto Univ., JAPAN  
 Prof. N. Inoue, Univ. of Tokyo, JAPAN  
 Secretary, Plasma Section, Electrotechnical Lab., JAPAN  
 Dr. O. Mitarai, Kumamoto Inst. of Technology, JAPAN  
 Dr. G.S. Lee, Korea Basic Sci. Ctr., KOREA  
 J. Hyeon-Sook, Korea Atomic Energy Research Inst., KOREA  
 D.I. Choi, The Korea Adv. Inst. of Sci. & Tech., KOREA  
 Prof. B.S. Liley, Univ. of Waikato, NEW ZEALAND  
 Inst of Physics, Chinese Acad Sci PEOPLE'S REP. OF CHINA  
 Library, Inst. of Plasma Physics, PEOPLE'S REP. OF CHINA  
 Tsinghua Univ. Library, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA  
 Z. Li, S.W. Inst Physics, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA  
 Prof. J.A.C. Cabral, Instituto Superior Tecnico, PORTUGAL  
 Prof. M.A. Hellberg, Univ. of Natal, S. AFRICA  
 Prof. D.E. Kim, Pohang Inst. of Sci. & Tech., SO. KOREA  
 Prof. C.I.E.M.A.T, Fusion Division Library, SPAIN  
 Dr. L. Stenflo, Univ. of UMEA, SWEDEN  
 Library, Royal Inst. of Technology, SWEDEN  
 Prof. H. Wilhelmson, Chalmers Univ. of Tech., SWEDEN  
 Centre Phys. Des Plasmas, Ecole Polytech, SWITZERLAND  
 Bibliotheek, Inst. Voor Plasma-Fysica, THE NETHERLANDS  
 Asst. Prof. Dr. S. Cakir, Middle East Tech. Univ., TURKEY  
 Dr. V.A. Glukhikh, Sci. Res. Inst. Electrophys.I Apparatus, USSR  
 Dr. D.D. Ryutov, Siberian Branch of Academy of Sci., USSR  
 Dr. G.A. Eliseev, I.V. Kurchatov Inst., USSR  
 Librarian, The Ukr.SSR Academy of Sciences, USSR  
 Dr. L.M. Kovrizhnykh, Inst. of General Physics, USSR  
 Kernforschungsanlage GmbH, Zentralbibliothek, W. GERMANY  
 Bibliothek, Inst. Für Plasmaforschung, W. GERMANY  
 Prof. K. Schindler, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, W. GERMANY  
 Dr. F. Wagner, (ASDEX), Max-Planck-Institut, W. GERMANY  
 Librarian, Max-Planck-Institut, W. GERMANY