# The Flinders University of South Australia

# **ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE OF MATERIALS CENTRE**

**Convergent J-matrix calculation of electron-lithium resonances** Short title : Calculation of electron-lithium resonances

**Dmitry A. Konovalov**

WE 27 & 1 1

**ESM-1O2 March 1995**

EMS

AU9615290

#### Submitted to J.Phys.B on 7.03.95 Convergent J-matrix calculation of electron-lithium resonances

Short title: *Calculation of electron-lithium resonances*

Dmitry A. Konovalov+ Electronic Structure of Materials Centre, The Flinders University of South Australia, GPO Box 2100, Adelaide, SA 5001, Australia <sup>+</sup>E-mail: dima@esm.ph.flinders.edu.au

Abstract. The recently developed Convergent J-matrix method is used to calculate resonances in the electron-lithium scattering cross sections (elastic, total, *2<sup>2</sup>P, 3<sup>2</sup> S,*  $3<sup>2</sup>P$  and  $3<sup>2</sup>D$ ). The positions and widths of the resonances are calculated using the poles of the S-matrix.

pacs:34.80.D

#### 1. **Introduction**

Recent review of atomic negative-ion resonances by (Buckman and Clark 1994) revealed that little progress has been made in the study of resonances in electronlithium scattering since the classic review article by Schulz (1973). This compares to the progress made on electron-hydrogen resonances where a number of high accuracy experimental measurements and theoretical calculations exist, e.g. see the  $J$ -matrix calculations of Konovalov and McCarthy (1994a) and references therein.

The electronic structure of lithium is comparatively simple: the atom can be well described as an inert core containing two electrons in Is orbitals plus one valence electron above the core. The excited states of Li and  $Li<sub>o</sub>$  are expected to be very similar to those of H and H<sup>-</sup>. Therefore it is possible that there are a large number of Rydberg type excited Li~ states (resonances) associated with most of the excited states of lithium. Lin (1983) and Stewart *el al* (1974) showed that there are, in fact, some doubly-excited states of Li<sup>-</sup> which could manifest themselves as resonances in electron-lithium scattering below 5.39 eV (auto-ionisation resonances are not dealt **with** in this work). Buckman and Clark (1994) summarised recommended energies (in eV) and classifications of resonances in Li<sup>-1</sup> as:  $2s2p^3P$  at 0.06;  $2p3p^3P+2p^2^1D$ at 1.85;<sup>1</sup>S, <sup>3</sup>P, <sup>3</sup>S at 3.11-3.34; and <sup>1</sup>D, <sup>1</sup>S, <sup>3</sup>P, <sup>1</sup>P at 3.70-3.78

The close-coupling  $(CC)$  calculations below 5.39 eV, the five-state  $(2s - 2p - 3s - 1)$ *:ip-3d)* CC calculation of Moores (1986) and two-state *(2s-2p)* CC calculations of Burke and Taylor (1969) and Walters (1976), fail to discover any resonant structures in elastic  $(2^2S)$ ,  $3^2S$  and  $2^2P$  cross sections. A possible reason for this lack of resonances is that the energy mesh was not fine enough to resolve sharp resonance behaviour. Another possibility is that the small size close-coupling expansion is not

enough to describe the resonances.

In order to verify the existence of  $Li^-$  resonances, the Convergent  $J$ -matrix calculation is performed at the range of energies from 1.6 eV to 4 eV. The method is essentially a close-coupling method where a complete set of square-integrable  $(L<sup>2</sup>)$  Laguerre functions are used to describe the target and the scattering electron bound and continuum states. The successful usage of the method relies on the nontrivial fact that it is possible to achieve convergence in physical observables (e.g. cross sections) when the number of  $L^2$  functions is increasing. This assumption has been verified by a large number of publications, some examples are: calculations of electron-hydrogen (Bray *et a!* 1991) and electron-lithium (Bray *ct al 1993)* scattering using the coupled-channels optical potential method; the Convergent *J*matrix calculations of resonances in the electron-helium (Konovaiov and McCarthy 1995) and electron-hydrogen (Konovaiov and McCarthy 1994a, Konovaiov and Mc-Carthy 19946) scatterings; and Convergent Close-Coupling (CCC) method of Bray and Stelbovics (1992).

#### 2. Theory

The formulation of the *J*-matrix method could be found in the paper of Broad and Reinhardt (1976) and references therein (this combined reference will be referred to as BR76 hereafter). The following formulas for the  $J$ -matrix method are for electron-atom scattering. Generalization of the method for electron-ion scattering together with its derivation can be found in BR.76.

#### *2.1 Multichannel equations for electron-atom scattering*

This subsection is basically a summary of BR76 which is presented here for completeness. The notation of Broad and Reinhardt (1976) is changed slightly to make it more convenient for computer programming. While the  $LS$ -coupling expansion is used, modifications for the  $jj$ -coupling expansion naturally follow from the formulas below. The following notations are used:

- $\bullet$   $l, \frac{1}{2}, L^{\prime}, S^{\prime}, L$  and *S* are the orbital and spin angular momentum of the projectile electron, the target atom (denoted by *t)* and negative ion (total system of the scattering electron and atom), respectively. The corresponding *Z-nxis* projections are denoted by  $m, m_s, M^t, M^t_S, M$  and  $M_S$ .
- $\bullet$   $\mu$  is a set of other quantum numbers needed to distinguish excited states of the atom. Quantum numbers are grouped into  $\gamma = {\mu, L^t, S^t}$  and  $i =$  $\{\mu, L^1, S^1, l, L, S\} = \{\gamma, l, L, S\}.$
- *E*, *E*<sub>0</sub> and *E*<sub>70</sub> are total energy of the system (negative ion), the incident electron energy and the initial target state, respectively. *i0* denotes the incident channel (the state of the atom before the collision with the electron).

The set of target internal coordinates is denoted by  $\rho$  with the set of the target states,  $R_7(\rho)$ , obtained by diagonalising the target Hamiltonian,  $H_t$ , on a set of square-integrable configurations,

$$
\langle R_{\gamma',M^{t'},M^{t'}_{S}}|H_t|R_{\gamma,M^{t},M^{t}_{S}}\rangle = \delta_{\gamma'\gamma}\delta_{M^{t'}M^{t}}\delta_{M^{t'}_{S}M^{t}_{S}}E_{\gamma}.
$$
 (1)

It is assumed that the nonrelativistic Hamiltonian is used, hence  $\gamma$ 's are good quantum numbers. If the relativistic terms are included,  $\gamma$  will need to be modified to  $\gamma = {\mu, L^t, S^t, J^t}$ , where  $J^t$  is the total momentum of the atom.

The projectile electron is described differently for the *outer* and inner function spaces. The outer radial *[unctions* are taken *to be,*

$$
\phi_{pl}(r) = (\lambda_l r)^{l+1} e^{-\lambda_l r/2} L_p^{2l+1}(\lambda_l r), \quad p = N_l, N_l + 1, \dots \infty.
$$
 (2)

The inner radial functions are built as a linear combination of the  $\phi_{pl}$  with  $p < N_l$ ,

$$
\psi_{pl}(r) = \sum_{p'=0}^{N_l-1} \phi_{p'l}(r) D^l_{p'p}, \quad p = 0, 1, ..., N_l - 1
$$
\n(3)

The  $\phi_{pl}$  functions play a fundamental role in the *J*-matrix method as they form the overlap matrix,

$$
J_{p'p}^i = \langle \phi_{p'l} | -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{2r^2} - \frac{1}{2} k_\gamma^2 |\phi_{pl}), \tag{4}
$$

which is of the tridiagonal, or Jacobi, form. The channel momentum,  $k_2$ , is defined by  $\frac{1}{2}k_{\gamma}^2 = E_0 + E_{\gamma} - E_{\gamma}$ . The *J*-matrix defines  $s_p^i$  and  $c_p^i$  coefficients by

$$
\sum_{p'=0}^{\infty} J_{pp'}^i s_{p'}^i = 0, \quad \sum_{p'=0}^{\infty} J_{pp'}^i c_{p'}^i = \beta \delta_{p0}, \quad \beta = k_{\gamma}/(2s_0^i), \quad p = 0, 1, ..., \infty.
$$
 (5)

Equations (4) and (5) are solved analytically (explicit formulas can be found in BR76).

The total wave function is expanded into two sets of functions,

$$
\Psi_{i_0}(\rho, \mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i'} \sum_{p'=0}^{N_{i'}-1} \Phi_{p'}^{i'}(\rho, \mathbf{r}) a_{p'}^{i'i_0} + \sum_{i'} \sum_{p'=N_{i'}}^{\infty} \Phi_{p'}^{i'}(\rho, \mathbf{r}) f_{p'}^{i'i_0},
$$
(6)

where

$$
\Phi_p^i(\rho, \mathbf{r}) = A \chi_i(\rho, \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \psi_{pl}(r), \quad p = 0, 1, ..., N_i - 1 \tag{7}
$$

$$
= A_{\chi_i}(\rho, \dot{\mathbf{r}}) \phi_{\mathbf{p}l}(r), \quad p = N_l, N_l + 1, \dots, \infty,
$$
 (8)

and where: *A* is the antisymmetrization operator; *i* denotes the channel quantum numbers  $\{\gamma, l, L, S\}$ ;  $\chi_i(\rho, \hat{r})$  is made from the function  $R_{\gamma, M^t, M^t_s}(\rho)$ , the spherical harmonic  $Y_{lm}(\hat{\bf r})$  and a Pauli spinor  $\chi_{m}^{1/2}$  to have the total angular momentum L and total spin *S.*

The total Hamiltonian *II* is diagonalized on a set of  $\Phi$  functions (7) providing eigenvectors  $U_{\text{pp}'}^{ii'}$  and eigenvalues  $E_p^i$ . The Schrödinger equation is solved using  $c^i$ , s<sup>i</sup> and  $J^i$  from Eq.(5),

$$
\langle \Phi_{p''}^{i''}| (H - E)^{N_l} | \Psi_i \rangle = 0, \tag{9}
$$

where the superscript  $N_t$  indicates that all potentials and symmetrization operators are omitted in matrix elements with  $p \geq N_l$ .

The scattering matrix  $S_{\nu i}$  is defined using the reactance matrix  $R_{\nu i}$ ,

$$
S = (1 + iR)(1 - iR)^{-1}, \ R_{i'i} = -[B^{-1}A]_{i'i}, \tag{10}
$$

$$
A_{i'i} = \left( W_{i'i} J_{N_l-1,N_l}^i s_{N_l}^i + \delta_{i'i} s_{N_l-1}^i \right) (\pi k_\gamma/2)^{-1/2},\tag{11}
$$

$$
B_{i'i} = \left( W_{i'i} J'_{N_i-1, N_i} c^t_{N_i} + \delta_{i'i} c^i_{N_i-1} \right) (\pi k_7/2)^{-1/2},
$$
\n(12)

$$
W_{t'i} = \sum_{p'=0}^{N_t P - 1} \sum_{p=0}^{N_t P - 1} D_{N_t P - 1, p'}^{l'} [(H - E)^{-1}]_{p'p}^{l'i} D_{N_t - 1, p}^{l'}, \qquad (13)
$$

where *i* and *i'* in the calculation of the *S*-matrix (10), *i* in the *R*-matrix (10) and the *A*-matrix (II) are defined only for open channels  $(E_0 + E_{\gamma_0} - E_{\gamma} > 0)$ , and where  $c_p^i$  + is<sub>p</sub><sup>i</sup> are used instead of  $c_p^i$  for closed channels in equation (12).

The cross section for the transition from  $\gamma$  to  $\gamma'$  states of the atom,  $\sigma_{\gamma'\gamma}$ , is delined by

$$
\sigma_{\gamma'\gamma} = g \sum_{ll'l,S} \sigma_{il\gamma}, \quad \sigma_{il} = \frac{\pi}{k_{\gamma}^2} (2L+1)(2S+1) |\delta_{il\gamma} - S_{il\gamma}|^2, \tag{14}
$$

$$
g = [2(2L^t + 1)(2S^t + 1)]^{-1}.
$$
 (15)

The differential cross section is defined by

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\gamma'\gamma}}{\mathrm{d}\Omega} = g(2\pi)^4 \frac{k_{\gamma'}}{k_{\gamma}} \sum_{M^{i'}M^{i}} \sum_{S} (2S+1) |T(\gamma'M^{i'}Sk' \leftarrow \gamma M^{i}Sk)|^2, \tag{16}
$$

$$
T(\gamma^{\prime}M^{\prime\prime}Sk^{\prime} \leftarrow \gamma M^{\prime}Sk) = \sum_{\mu} \left(\frac{(2l+1)}{4\pi}\right)^{1/2} Y_{\mu m^{\prime}}(k^{\prime}) T_{\mu\nu} \tag{17}
$$

$$
\times C(L^{t'}, l', L; M^{t'}, m', M^{t}) C(L^{t}, l, L; M^{t}, 0, M^{t}), \qquad (18)
$$

where the  $C$ 's are Clebsch-Gordan coefficients and where the T-matrix is defined from  $S_{i'i} = \delta_{i'i} - 2\pi i (k_{\gamma'}k_{\gamma})^{1/2} T_{i'i}$ .

#### *H.2 Coiii'ngcnt ./•mutrix formalism*

The success of the  $\mathcal{L}^2$  expansion is based on the ability to increase the size of the basis until convergence is achieved in the required physical observables. The set of non-orthogonal radial functions  $\phi_{pl}$  of Eq.(2) used in the *J*-matrix is a complete basis in the  $L^2$  space if all  $p$  are included. In order to achieve such completeness the  $\phi_{pl}$  functions or their linear combinations must be used with  $0 \leq p < N_l$  to create one-electron orbitals. The following basis functions are used

$$
\psi_{pl}(r) = \sum_{p'=0}^{N_l-1} \hat{\psi}_{p'l}(r) C_{p'p}^l, \quad p = 0, 1, ..., N_l - 2,
$$
\n(19)

$$
\psi_{N_t-1,l}(r) = \hat{\psi}_{N_t-1,l}(r),\tag{20}
$$

$$
\hat{\phi}_{pl}(r) = P_p^l \left( \lambda_l r \right)^{l+1} e^{-\lambda_l r/2} L_p^{2l+2}(\lambda_l r), \quad p = 0, 1, ..., N_l - 1. \tag{21}
$$

The  $\hat{\psi}_{pl}$  function is orthonormal  $(P_p^l)$  - normalisation coefficient) and can be expressed as a linear combination of the  $\phi$  functions of Eq.(2) via

$$
L_p^{a+1}(x) = \sum_{p'=0}^p L_{p'}^a(x). \tag{22}
$$

The set of  $\hat{\psi}$  functions provides a convenient basis not only for the scattering part of the problem but also for the multi-configuration calculations of the target atom and negative ion system. Also, the special form of  $\psi_{pl}$  for  $p = N_l - 1$  (20) leads to  $D^l_{N_l-1,n} = \delta_{N_l-1,n} P^l_{N_l-1}$  and, as a result, to some considerable simplification for the *\V<sup>t</sup> .t* matrix (13),

$$
W_{i'i} = P_{N_{i'}-1}^{l'}[(H-E)^{-1}]_{N_{i'}-1,N_{i}-1}^{i'i} P_{N_{i}-1}^{l}.
$$
 (23)

Any reasonable set of  $\lambda_l$  is allowed as the calculated physical observables are independent of the internal parameters of the  $J$ -matrix method, this is providing that convergence is achieved. The only difference between various sets of  $\lambda$ 's is how large  $N_i$  are required and how many i's need to be coupled to reach the convergent results. The following  $\lambda_l = \lambda_0 (N_l + l + 1)/(N_0 + 1)$  are used, insuring that roughly the same size of the coordinate space is covered for all / considered.

#### 2.3 Resonances as the poles of the S-matrix

Resonances can be defined as the poles of the  $S$ -matrix in the complex energy plane. A particular resonance will have its position  $(E_\nu + \Delta_\nu)$  and width  $(\Gamma_\nu \equiv \Gamma_n^{i_r})$ , where  $\nu \equiv (p_r, i_r)$ . If  $\Gamma_{\nu}$  is small compared to the distance between excited states  $(E_{\nu})$  of the negative ion, the corresponding state becomes metastable and it will manifest itself as a resonance in cross sections. For a particular resonance *Ev* with a small  $\Gamma_{\nu}$ , Eq.(23) can be approximated by

$$
W_{i'i} = \frac{Z^{i'\nu} Z^{i\nu}}{(E_{\nu} - E)},
$$
\n(24)

$$
Z^{i\nu} = U_{N_l-1,p_r}^{ii_r} P_{N_l-1}^l, \quad [(H-E)^{-1}]_{p^*p}^{ii_l} = \sum_{i^{\prime\prime}} \sum_{p^{\prime\prime}}^{N_{i^{\prime\prime}-1}} \frac{U_{p^{\prime}p^{\prime\prime}}^{i^*\mu^{\prime}} (U^T)_{p^{\prime\prime}p}^{i^{\prime\prime}}}{(E_{p^{\prime\prime}}^{i^{\prime\prime}} - E)}.
$$
(25)

Using the fact that  $R=R^T$  (Broad and Reinhardt 1976), Eq.(10) can be rewritten as

$$
S_{i'i}\hat{c}_i^{(+)} + \sum_{i''} S_{i'i''} W_{ii''} C_{i''}^{(+)} = \delta_{ii'}\hat{c}_i^{(-)} + W_{ii'} C_{i'}^{(-)}, \qquad (26)
$$

$$
\hat{c}_i^{(\pm)} = (c_{N_i-1}^i \pm \mathrm{i} s_{N_i-1}^i)(\pi k_7/2)^{-1/2}, \quad c_i^{(\pm)} = (c_{N_i}^i \pm \mathrm{i} s_{N_i}^i)(\pi k_7/2)^{-1/2} \tag{27}
$$

$$
C_i^{(\pm)} = c_i^{(\pm)} J_{N_i-1,N_i}^i.
$$
\n(28)

Due to the separability of W in  $(24)$ , the equation for the S-matrix  $(26)$  can be solved exactly (Smirnov *et al* 1990) giving

$$
S_{i'i} = \frac{1}{\hat{c}_i^{(+)}} \left( \delta_{i'i} \hat{c}_i^{(-)} + \frac{Z^{i\omega} Q_{i'}}{E_\nu - E} \right), \quad Q_i = Z^{i\omega} C_i^{(-)} - \sum_{i''} S_{ii''} Z^{i''\omega} C_{i''}^{(+)}.
$$
 (29)

Substituting *S* in the equation for  $Q$  (29),  $Q_i$  can be determined and the *S*-matrix (29) becomes

$$
S_{i'i} = \frac{\hat{c}_{i'}^{(-)} }{\hat{c}_i^{(+)}} \left( \delta_{ii'} + \frac{Z^{i\nu} Z^{i'\nu} (L_{i'}^{(-)} - L_{i'}^{(+)})}{E_{\nu} + \Delta_{\nu} - i\Gamma_{\nu}/2 - E} \right)
$$
(30)

$$
L_i^{(\pm)} = C_i^{(\pm)} / \hat{c}_i^{(\pm)},\tag{31}
$$

$$
\Delta_{\nu} = \text{Re} \sum_{i} (Z^{i\nu})^2 L_i^{(+)}, \quad \Gamma_{\nu} = -2 \text{Im} \sum_{i} (Z^{i\nu})^2 L_i^{(+)}.
$$
 (32)

#### 3. Li and Li~ states

The lithium atom is described as an inert (frozen) core of two Is electrons with a valence electron above the core. Bray *et al* (1993) showed that this model is sufficient to reach complete agreement with experimental data for such sensitive physical observables as spin asymmetries and differential cross sections for the range of incident electron energies from 5.4 to 200 eV. This work is concerned with  $Li^$ resonances below the ionisation threshold of lithium (5.39eV) This together with the results of Bray *et al* (1993) indicates that core-excited configurations can be omitted from the calculation without loss of accuracy for the cross sections considered (elastic (2<sup>2</sup> 5), *2<sup>2</sup>P,* 3<sup>2</sup> 5, *3<sup>2</sup>P* and *3<sup>2</sup>D).*

The core 1s orbital is created by diagonalizing the one electron Hamiltonian,

$$
\langle \psi_{q0} | -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} - \frac{Z_{1s}}{r} | \psi_{q'0} \rangle = \epsilon_q \delta_{qq'} \quad Z_{1s} = 2.6875, \quad q, q' = 0, ..., N_l - 2 \quad (33)
$$

The value of  $Z_{1s}$  is optimized to minimize the ground state energy of Li<sup>+</sup>,  $E(\text{Li}^+)$  =  $\langle (1s)^2 | H(\text{Li}^+)| (1s)^2 \rangle$ , within one configuration,  $(1s)^2$ <sup>1</sup>S.

The ground and excited states of Li and  $Li^-$  are created by diagonalizing the corresponding Hamiltonians on the following configurations

Li: 
$$
|\psi_{10}, \psi_{10}, \psi_{qL'}; q^{2S^t+1}L^t| q = 0, ..., N_{L^t} - 1,
$$
 (34)

Li<sup>-</sup>: 
$$
|\psi_{10}, \psi_{10}, \psi_{qL}, \psi_{q'l}; L^l, S^l, l, \frac{1}{2}, LS; {}^{2S+1}L), q < N_{L^l}, q' < N_l,
$$
 (35)

where  $L^t \leq 7$  and  $l, L \leq 13$ . General formulas of Fano (1965) were used for the calculation of many electron (three for Li and four for Li") Hamiltonian matrix elements. The resulting eigenvalues of Li are presented in Table 1. The ionisation threshold of Li and the electron affinity of  $Li^-$  are calculated to be 5.35 eV and 0.6206 eV respectively. That compares well with the corresponding experimental values of 5.39 eV (Moore 1949,1971) and 0.618 eV (Smirnov 1982).

#### 4. Results and Conclusions

In the present calculation more than 1000 Li" states were generated for some values of  $LS$ . Not every eigenvector for  $Li<sup>*</sup>$  is an autodetaching metastable state in the sense of Buckman and Clark (1994) or doubly-excited state of Lin (19S3), some of them are  $L^2$  representations of the  $Li$ <sup>-</sup> continuum. Only the metastable excited states of Li" lead to the resonances in the cross sections, provided that a large number of *L<sup>2</sup>* states are coupled and convergent results are achieved. Pseudo-resonances appear only when a small number of  $L^2$  states are used, e.g. Heller and Yamani (1974), and disappear with an increase in the basis size (Konovalov and McCarthy 19946).

Not every  $Li<sup>+</sup>$  state leads to a resonance in the sense of Eq. (30). The main assumption in the derivation of the Eq.(30) was that P for a single pole of the *\$'•* matrix is small. The position and width for each of the Li" state is calculated using the formulas for the poles of S'-matrix (32). Pathak *ct al* (19SS) showeil thai most of the  $II^-$  resonances have widths less than about 40 meV. This value is used to select the resonances which are expected to be described accurately by the Eq.(32). The resulting values are presented in table 2 for resonances which have I"s less than 40 meV and *L <* 2.

It is found that the cross sections are converged to an accuracy of better than 2% when the following set of  $N_l$  is used  $(\lambda_0 = 1.2)$ 

$$
\{\lambda_0; N_0, N_1, \dots N_{13}\} = \{1.2; 21, 16, 13, 11, 9, 7, 5, 4, \dots 4\}.
$$
 (36)

All open channels (i's) at each energy  $E_0$  are coupled together with a few closed channels. A number of closed channels is increased until the cross sections become insensitive to a further increase. That resulted in more than 100 channels being close-coupled for some *LS.* The resulting cross sections arc presented in figures 1 and 2. The 0.01 eV step is used for the incident electron energy, *Eu.* The cross sections can be obtained in tabular form from the author on request. Some values of *Eo* are presented in Table 3.

The present *J*-matrix calculation reveals a great number of resonances. That is in line with the expectation that the highly excited (Rydberg) states of  $Li<sup>-</sup>$  must be very similar to those of H".

Table 1 shows that present energy levels are calculated to an accuracy of about 0.01-0.04 eV. That indicated that the positions of resonances are expected to be correct to at least the same level of accuracy.

#### Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank Ian McCarthy and Adrian Rossi for reading and commenting on this paper. Some useful discussions with Igor Bray and support from Electronic Structure of Material Centre and Flinders University of South Australia are gratefully acknowledged.



Fig. 1

## Figure 1.

Elastic ( $2^2S$ ) and total cross sections ( $\pi a_0^2$ ). The solid line is the present *J*-matrix calculation. The broken line denotes the five-state close-coupling calculations of Moores (1986). Squares denote experimental results of Jaduszliwer et al (1981).



## Figure 2.

 $3<sup>2</sup>D$  (dotted line in (a)),  $3<sup>2</sup>P$ ,  $3<sup>2</sup>S$  and  $2<sup>2</sup>P$  excitation cross sections  $(\pi a_0^2)$ . Squares denote experimental results of Leep and Gallagher (1974). The broken line in (c) and circle in (b) denote the five-state CC calculations of Moores (1986).

#### References

- Bray I, Fursa D V and McCarthy 1 E 1993 *Phys. Rev. A* 47, 1101-1110
- Bray I and Stelbovics A T 1992 *Phys. Rev. A* 46, 6995-7011
- Bray I, Konovalov D A and McCarthy I E 1991 *Phys. Rev. A* 43, 1301-1305
- Broad J T and Reinhardt W P 1976 J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys. 9, 1491-1502
- Buckman S J and Clark C W 1994 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 66, 539
- Burke P G and Taylor A J 1969 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys.* 2, 869
- Fano U 1965 *Phys. Rev.* **140,** A67
- Heller E J and Yamani H A 1974 *Phys. Rev. A* 9, 1209
- Jaduszliwer B, Tino A, Bederson B and Miller T M 1981 *Phys. Rev. A* 24, 1249
- Konovalov D A and McCarthy I E 1994a *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys.* 27, L741
- Konovalov D A and McCarthy I E 1994b J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys. 27, L407-L412
- Konovalov D A and McCarthy I E 1995 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys.* 28, L139
- Leep D and Gallagher A 1974 *Phys. Rev. A* **10,** 10S2
- Lin C D 1983 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys.* 16, 723
- Moore C E 1949,1971 *Atomic Energy Levels* Circ. No. 467 Vol. 1 Natl. Bur. Stand. (U.S.) U.S. GPO, Washington, DC
- Moores D L 1986 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys.* 19, 1843
- Pathak A, Kingston A E and Berrington K A 1988 *J. Phys. B: Al. Mol. Phys.* 21, 2939-2951
- Schulz G J 1973 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 45, 378
- Smirnov B M 1982 in H. S. W Massey, ed., 'Negative Ions' McGraw-Hill New York
- Smirnov Y F, Stotland L Y and Shirokov A M 1990 *Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR Phys. Scr. (USA)* 54, 81
- Stewart R F, Laughlin C and Victor G A 1974 *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 29, 353
- Walters H R J 1976 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys.* 9, 227

#### **TABLES**

Table 1. The excitation thresholds (eV) of  $n=2,3,4$  states of the lithium atom relative to its ground state  $(^2S)$ . Experimental values (Exp.) derived from the analysis of optical spectra are by (Moore **1949,1971).**

		$2p^2P$ $3s^2S$ $3p^2P$ $3d^2D$ $4s^2S$ $4p^2P$ $4d^2D$ $4f^2F$			
Li(Present) 1.863 3.341 3.810 3.838 4.303 4.487 4.4997 4.4998					
Li(Exp.)		1.8473 3.3721 3.8330 3.8774 4.3396 4.5202 4.5393 4.5401			

Table 2. Energies *(E(eV))* and widths (f(meV)) of resonances in electron-lithium



$E_0(eV)$	total	2s	2p	3s	3p	3d
3.80	121.83	70.29	48.43	3.11	0.00	0.00
3.81	119.40	67.54	47.42	3.46	0.98	0.00
3.82	122.58	70.91	46.33	2.81	2.51	0.00
3.83	124.69	71.07	48.20	2.34	3.08	0.00
3.84	122.92	69.61	47.90	2.04	2.89	0.48
3.85	124.31	70.05	47.43	1.90	2.77	2.16
3.86	124.23	69.68	48.26	1.94	2.43	1.92
3.87	124.33	69.49	48.70	1.98	2,36	1.80.
3.88	124.50	69.32	49.10	2.02	2.34	1.71
3.89	124.65	69.15	49.45	2.07	2.34	1.65
3.90	124.77	68.94	49.73	2.13	2.36	1.61
3.91	124.82	68.68	49.98	2.17	2.38	1.60
3.92	124.82	68.40	50.20	2.21	2.41	1.60
3.93	124.77	68.10	50.39	2.23	2.42	1.63 <sub>1</sub>
13.94	124.68	67.78	50.56	2.24	2,43	1.67
3.95	124.57	67.45	50.71	2.25	2.43	1.73
3.96	124.43	67.12	50.85	2.25	2.41	1.80
3.97	124.28	66.80	50.98	2.25	2.38	1.87
3.98	124.11	66.48	51.10	2.24	2.35	1.94
3.99	123.94	66.17	51.21	2.24	2.31	2.02

Table 3. Elastic (2s) and excitation cross sections ( $\pi a_0^2$ ) from the ground state to  $n = 2$  and  $n = 3$  states for electron-lithium scattering.