



CONF.

FERMILAB Conf-95/193-E DØ

# Search for Squarks and Gluinos in pp̄ Collisions at the DØ Detector

S. Abachi et al. The DØ Collaboration

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory P.O. Box 500, Batavia, Illinois 60510

July 1995

Proceedings from the International Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics (HEP 95), Brussels, Belgium, July 27-Aug. 2, 1995.

Source of the second se

## Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

## Search for Squarks and Gluinos in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at the DØ Detector

The DØ Collaboration<sup>1</sup> (July 1995)

A search for squarks and gluinos has been performed using the DØ detector at the  $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$  TeV Tevatron pp collider. Data from the 1992-1993 collider run corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 13.5 pb<sup>-1</sup> were examined via the missing  $E_T$  plus jets signature with two separate analyses. No events above Standard Model backgrounds were observed.

S. Abachi,<sup>13</sup> B. Abbott,<sup>14</sup> M. Abolins,<sup>22</sup> B.S. Acharys,<sup>41</sup> I. Adam,<sup>10</sup> D.L. Adams,<sup>18</sup> M. Adams,<sup>18</sup> S. Ahn,<sup>12</sup> H. Aihars,<sup>20</sup> J. Alitti,<sup>47</sup> G. Álvares,<sup>16</sup> G.A. Alves,<sup>6</sup> E. Amidi,<sup>27</sup> N. Amos,<sup>22</sup> E.W. Anderson,<sup>17</sup> S.H. Aronson,<sup>8</sup> R. Astur,<sup>86</sup> R. Avery,<sup>30</sup> A. Baden,<sup>21</sup> V. Balamurali,<sup>30</sup> J. Balderston,<sup>14</sup> B. Baldin,<sup>12</sup> J. Banly,<sup>4</sup> J.F. Bartlett,<sup>12</sup> K. Bazini,<sup>7</sup> J. Bendich,<sup>20</sup> S.B. Beri,<sup>23</sup> I. Bertram,<sup>38</sup> V.A. Bessubov,<sup>38</sup> P.C. Bhat,<sup>12</sup> V. Bhatnagar,<sup>24</sup> M. Bhattacharjee,<sup>11</sup> A. Bischoff,<sup>7</sup> N. Biswas,<sup>30</sup> G. Blasey,<sup>12</sup> S. Blessing,<sup>14</sup> P. Bloom,<sup>6</sup> A. Boehnlein,<sup>12</sup> N.I. Bojko,<sup>34</sup>
F. Borcherding,<sup>12</sup> J. Borders,<sup>36</sup> C. Boswell,<sup>7</sup> A. Brandt,<sup>12</sup> R. Brock,<sup>23</sup> A. Broas,<sup>12</sup> D. Buchhols,<sup>29</sup> V.S. Burtovoi,<sup>34</sup> J.M. Butler,<sup>12</sup> D. Casey,<sup>36</sup> H. Castilla-Valdes,<sup>6</sup> D. Chakraborty,<sup>30</sup> S.-M. Chang,<sup>37</sup> S.V. Chekulaev,<sup>35</sup> L.-P. Chen,<sup>20</sup> W. Chen,<sup>40</sup> L. Chevalier,<sup>37</sup> S. Chopra,<sup>32</sup> B. C. Choudhary,<sup>7</sup> J.H. Christenson,<sup>12</sup> M. Chung,<sup>16</sup> D. Claes,<sup>36</sup> A.R. Clark,<sup>20</sup> W.G. Cobau,<sup>21</sup> J. Cochran,<sup>7</sup> W.E. Cooper,<sup>12</sup> C. Cretsinger,<sup>36</sup> M. Chang,<sup>37</sup> K. Denisenko,<sup>13</sup> N. Denisenko,<sup>13</sup> D. Denisenko,<sup>12</sup> D. Denisov,<sup>12</sup> S.P. Denisov,<sup>34</sup> W. Dharmaratna,<sup>14</sup> H.T. Diehl,<sup>13</sup> M. Diesburg,<sup>12</sup> G. Di Loreto,<sup>28</sup> R. Dixon,<sup>12</sup> P. Draper,<sup>43</sup> J. Drihard,<sup>6</sup> Y. Ducros,<sup>37</sup> S.R. Dugad,<sup>41</sup> S. Durston-Johnson,<sup>36</sup> D. Edmunds,<sup>28</sup> J. Ellison,<sup>7</sup> V.D. Elvira,<sup>37</sup> T. Frebel,<sup>46</sup> G. Finocchiaro,<sup>36</sup> H.E. Fisk,<sup>12</sup> Yu. Fisyak,<sup>24</sup> E. Flattum,<sup>23</sup> G.E. Forden,<sup>2</sup> M. Fortner,<sup>24</sup> K.C. Frame,<sup>24</sup> P. Fransini,<sup>10</sup> S. Fues,<sup>12</sup> A.N. Galjaev,<sup>38</sup> E. Gallas,<sup>4</sup> C.S. Gao,<sup>12,\*</sup> S. Gao,<sup>12,\*</sup> S. Gabni,<sup>3</sup> B. Gobbi,<sup>36</sup> M. Goforth,<sup>3</sup> A. Goldschmidt,<sup>30</sup> B. Gómes,<sup>1</sup> P. Goncharov,<sup>34</sup> H. Gordon,<sup>4</sup> J. T. Goes,<sup>4</sup> N. Grasf,<sup>4</sup> P.D. Grannia,<sup>36</sup> D. Green,<sup>12</sup> J. Genen,<sup>12</sup> M. Gerosman,<sup>12</sup> P. Grands,<sup>45</sup> D. Gibbard,<sup>4</sup> V. Glebov,<sup>46</sup> S. Glenn,<sup>6</sup> B. Gobbi,<sup>36</sup> M. Goforth,<sup>3</sup> A. Goldschmidt,<sup>30</sup> B. Gómes,<sup>1</sup> P. Goncharov,<sup>34</sup> H. Gordon,<sup>4</sup> J. T. Goes,<sup>4</sup> N. Grasf,<sup>4</sup> P.D. Grannia,<sup>36</sup> D. R. Green,<sup>12</sup> J.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Submitted to the International Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics (HEP 95), Brussels, Belgium, 27 July - 2 August 1995.

A. Jonckheere,<sup>12</sup> M. Jones,<sup>14</sup> H. Jöstlein,<sup>12</sup> S.Y. Jun,<sup>29</sup> C.K. Jung,<sup>39</sup> S. Kahn,<sup>3</sup> G. Kalbfleisch,<sup>31</sup> J.S. Kang,<sup>18</sup> R. Kehoe,<sup>30</sup> M.L. Kelly,<sup>30</sup> A. Kernan,<sup>7</sup> L. Kerth,<sup>20</sup> C.L. Kim,<sup>16</sup> S.K. Kim,<sup>38</sup> A. Klatchko,<sup>13</sup> B. Klima,<sup>13</sup> B.I. Klochkov,<sup>33</sup> C. Klopfenstein,<sup>39</sup> V.I. Klyukhin,<sup>33</sup> V.I. Kochetkov,<sup>33</sup> J.M. Kohli,<sup>32</sup> D. Koltick,<sup>34</sup> A.V. Kostritskiy,<sup>33</sup> J. Kotcher,<sup>3</sup> J. Kourlas,<sup>26</sup> A.V. Koselov,<sup>33</sup> E.A. Koslovski,<sup>33</sup> M.R. Krishnaswamy,<sup>41</sup> S. Krsywdsinski,<sup>12</sup> S. Kunori,<sup>21</sup> S. Lami,<sup>30</sup> G. Landsberg,<sup>12</sup> R.E. Lanou,<sup>4</sup> J-F. Lebrat,<sup>37</sup> A. Leflat,<sup>24</sup> H. Li,<sup>30</sup> J. Li,<sup>42</sup> Y.K. Li,<sup>29</sup> Q.Z. Li-Demarteau,<sup>12</sup> J.G.R. Lima,<sup>6</sup> D. Lincoln,<sup>22</sup> S.L. Linn,<sup>13</sup> J. Linnemann,<sup>23</sup> R. Lipton,<sup>12</sup> Y.C. Liu,<sup>29</sup> F. Lobkowics,<sup>30</sup> S.C. Loken,<sup>20</sup> S. Lököe,<sup>39</sup> L. Lueking,<sup>12</sup> A.L. Lyon,<sup>21</sup> A.K.A. Maciel,<sup>6</sup> R.J. Madaras,<sup>20</sup> R. Madden,<sup>13</sup> I.V. Mandrichenko,<sup>33</sup> Ph. Mangeot,<sup>37</sup> S. Mani,<sup>8</sup> B. Mansoulić,<sup>37</sup> H.S. Mao,<sup>12,\*</sup> S. Margulies,<sup>18</sup> R. Markeloff,<sup>26</sup> L. Markosky,<sup>2</sup> T. Marshall,<sup>16</sup> M.I. Martin,<sup>12</sup> M. Marx,<sup>39</sup> B. May,<sup>29</sup> A.A. Mayorov,<sup>33</sup> R. McCarthy,<sup>39</sup> T. McKibben,<sup>13</sup> J. McKinley,<sup>23</sup> T. McMahon,<sup>31</sup> H.L. Melanson,<sup>12</sup> J.R.T. de Mello Neto,<sup>6</sup> K.W. Merritt,<sup>12</sup> H. Miettinen,<sup>36</sup> A. Milder,<sup>2</sup> A. Mincer,<sup>26</sup> J.M. de Miranda,<sup>6</sup> C.S. Mishra,<sup>12</sup> M. Mohammadi-Baarmand,<sup>30</sup> N. Mokhov,<sup>12</sup> N.K. Mondal,<sup>41</sup> H.E. Montgomery,<sup>12</sup> P. Mooney,<sup>1</sup> M. Mudan,<sup>26</sup> C. Murphy,<sup>16</sup> C.T. Murphy,<sup>12</sup> F. Nang,<sup>4</sup> M. Narain,<sup>12</sup> V.S. Narasimham,<sup>41</sup> A. Narayanan,<sup>2</sup> H.A. Neal,<sup>22</sup> J.P. Negret,<sup>1</sup> E. Neis,<sup>22</sup> P. Nemethy,<sup>26</sup> D. Nežić,<sup>4</sup> D. Norman,<sup>48</sup> L. Oesch,<sup>22</sup> V. Oguri,<sup>8</sup> E. Oltman,<sup>20</sup> N. Oshima,<sup>12</sup> D. Owen,<sup>23</sup> P. Padley,<sup>38</sup> M. Pang,<sup>17</sup> A. Para,<sup>12</sup> C.H. Park,<sup>12</sup> Y.M. Park,<sup>19</sup> R. Partridge,<sup>4</sup> N. Parus,<sup>41</sup> M. Paterno,<sup>34</sup> J. Perkins,<sup>42</sup> A. Peryshkin,<sup>12</sup> M. Peters,<sup>14</sup> H. Piekars,<sup>13</sup> Y. Pischalnikov,<sup>34</sup> A. Pluquet,<sup>37</sup> V.M. Podstavkov,<sup>33</sup> B.G. Pope,<sup>23</sup> H.B. Prosper,<sup>13</sup> S. Protopopescu,<sup>3</sup> D. Pušeljić,<sup>20</sup> J. Qian,<sup>22</sup> P.Z. Quintas,<sup>12</sup> R. Raja,<sup>12</sup> S. Rajagopalan,<sup>39</sup> O. Ramires,<sup>14</sup> M.V.S. Rao,<sup>41</sup> P.A. Rapidis,<sup>12</sup> L. Rasmussen,<sup>39</sup> A.L. Read,<sup>12</sup> S. Reucroft,<sup>27</sup> M. Rijssenbeek,<sup>39</sup> T. Rockwell,<sup>23</sup> N.A. Roe,<sup>20</sup> P. Rubinov,<sup>39</sup> R. Ruchti,<sup>30</sup> S. Rusin,<sup>24</sup> J. Rutherfoord,<sup>2</sup> A. Santoro,<sup>4</sup> L. Sawyer,<sup>42</sup> R.D. Schamberger,<sup>39</sup> H. Schellman,<sup>29</sup> J. Sculli,<sup>24</sup> E. Shabalina,<sup>24</sup> C. Shaffer,<sup>13</sup> H.C. Shankar,<sup>41</sup> R.K. Shivpuri,<sup>11</sup> M. Shupe,<sup>2</sup> J.B. Singh,<sup>32</sup> V. Sirotenko,<sup>26</sup> W. Smart,<sup>12</sup> A. Smith,<sup>2</sup> R.P. Smith,<sup>12</sup> R. Snihur,<sup>29</sup> G.R. Snow,<sup>26</sup> S. Snyder,<sup>39</sup> J. Solomon,<sup>18</sup> P.M. Sood,<sup>32</sup> M. Sosebee,<sup>42</sup> M. Souss,<sup>6</sup> A.L. Spadafors,<sup>20</sup> R.W. Stephens,<sup>42</sup> M.L. Stevenson,<sup>20</sup> D. Stewart,<sup>22</sup> D.A. Stoianova,<sup>33</sup> D. Stoker,<sup>6</sup> K. Streets,<sup>26</sup> M. Strovink,<sup>20</sup> A. Taketani,<sup>12</sup> P. Tamburello,<sup>21</sup> J. Tarasi,<sup>6</sup> M. Tartaglia,<sup>12</sup> T.L. Taylor,<sup>29</sup> J. Teiger,<sup>37</sup> J. Thompson,<sup>21</sup> T.G. Trippe,<sup>20</sup> P.M. Tuts,<sup>10</sup> N. Varelas,<sup>23</sup> E.W. Varnes,<sup>20</sup> P.R.G. Virador,<sup>20</sup> D. Vititoe,<sup>2</sup> A.A. Volkov,<sup>33</sup> A.P. Vorobiev,<sup>33</sup> H.D. Wahl,<sup>13</sup> G. Wang,<sup>13</sup> J. Wang,<sup>12,\*</sup> L.Z. Wang,<sup>12,\*</sup> J. Warchol,<sup>30</sup> M. Wayne,<sup>30</sup> H. Weerts,<sup>23</sup> F. Wen,<sup>13</sup> W.A. Wensel,<sup>20</sup> A. White,<sup>42</sup> J.T. White,<sup>43</sup> J.A. Wightman,<sup>17</sup> J. Wilcox,<sup>27</sup> S. Willis,<sup>28</sup> S.J. Wimpenny,<sup>7</sup> J.V.D. Wirjawan,<sup>43</sup> J. Womersley,<sup>12</sup> E. Won,<sup>30</sup> D.R. Wood,<sup>12</sup> H. Xu,<sup>4</sup> R. Yamada,<sup>12</sup> P. Yamin,<sup>3</sup> C. Yanagisawa,<sup>39</sup> J. Yang,<sup>26</sup> T. Yasuda,<sup>27</sup> C. Yoshikawa,<sup>14</sup> S. Youssef,<sup>13</sup> J. Yu,<sup>36</sup> Y. Yu,<sup>38</sup> Y. Zhang,<sup>12,\*</sup> Y.H. Zhou,<sup>12,\*</sup> Q. Zhu,<sup>26</sup> Y.S. Zhu,<sup>12,\*</sup> Z.H. Zhu,<sup>36</sup> D. Zieminska,<sup>16</sup> A. Zieminski,<sup>16</sup>

and A. Zylberstejn<sup>37</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia

<sup>2</sup>University of Arisona, Tucson, Arisona 85721

<sup>3</sup>Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973

<sup>\*</sup>Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island 02912

<sup>8</sup>University of California, Davis, California 95616

<sup>6</sup>University of California, Irvine, California 92717

<sup>7</sup>University of California, Riverside, California 92521

<sup>6</sup>LAFEX, Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brasil

<sup>•</sup>CINVESTAV, Mexico City, Mexico

<sup>10</sup>Columbia University, New York, New York 10027

<sup>11</sup>Delhi University, Delhi, India 110007

<sup>12</sup>Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510

<sup>13</sup>Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306

<sup>14</sup>University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822

2

<sup>15</sup>University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60607 <sup>16</sup>Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405 <sup>17</sup> Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011 <sup>14</sup>Korea University, Seoul, Korea <sup>19</sup>Kyungsung University, Pusan, Korea <sup>20</sup>Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley, California 94720 <sup>21</sup>University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742 <sup>22</sup>University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109 <sup>28</sup> Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824 <sup>24</sup>Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia <sup>28</sup>University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska 68588 <sup>26</sup>New York University, New York, New York 10003 <sup>27</sup>Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts 02115 <sup>28</sup>Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, Illinois 60115 <sup>29</sup>Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208 <sup>20</sup>University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556 <sup>31</sup>University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73019 <sup>32</sup>University of Panjab, Chandigarh 16-00-14, India <sup>33</sup>Institute for High Energy Physics, 142-284 Protvino, Russia <sup>34</sup>Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907 <sup>35</sup>Rice University, Houston, Texas 77251 <sup>36</sup>University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627 <sup>37</sup>CEA, DAPNIA/Service de Physique des Particules, CE-SACLAY, France <sup>38</sup>Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea <sup>39</sup>State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York 11794 <sup>40</sup>SSC Laboratory, Dallas, Texas 75237 <sup>41</sup>Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Colaba, Bombay 400005, India <sup>42</sup>University of Texas, Arlington, Texas 76019 <sup>43</sup>Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843

## INTRODUCTION

This paper describes a search for the SUSY partners of quarks and gluons, named squarks  $(\tilde{q})$  and gluinos  $(\tilde{g})$  respectively, performed at the DØ detector in two separate analyses. The large number of SUSY parameters needed to interpret such a search were reduced to five by utilizing a Supergravity-GUT inspired Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) framework (1). With this model, the low energy SUSY parameters are as follows: masses of the squarks and gluinos, mass of the charged Higgs  $(m_{H^+})$ , the Higgs mass mixing parameter  $(\mu)$ , and the ratio of vacuum expectation values of the two Higgs doublets  $(\tan\beta)$ . For this search, we assume that all squarks except the scalar top are mass degenerate. Because the stop is expected to be lighter than the other squarks, it is searched for with a separate analysis not discussed here. The degeneracy of the remaining squarks is motivated by the assumption that all squarks share a common mass at the SUSY breaking scale. With the five parameters and the top quark mass, the masses of SUSY particles, as well as all couplings and branching ratios, are calculable. We assume R-parity, a multiplicative quantum number (+1 for SM particles and -1 for SUSY particles), is conserved. Consequently, SUSY particles must be produced in pairs, and there exists a lightest SUSY particle (LSP) which is stable. From cosmological considerations, the LSP is taken to be the lightest neutralino which escapes detection, producing large amounts of missing energy in the detector. Finally, we assume that squarks and gluinos cascade decay through lighter charginos and neutralinos

4
•

Three Jet Analysis	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Cut	# of events passing
Trigger selection and initial filtering	9625
Single interaction	3730
$F_T > 75 \text{ GeV}$	107
3 jets $B_T > 25$ GeV and jet quality	32
Reject jet- $E_T$ asimuthal correlation	22
No e with $E_T > 20$ GeV	
and no $\mu$ with $p_T > 15$ GeV	17
Reject 1 event with $\boldsymbol{F}_{T}$ due to	
cosmic ray, and 2 with $\boldsymbol{F}_{T}$ due to	
incorrect vertex	14
Four Jet Analysis	
Cut	# of event passing
Trigger selection and initial filtering	9163
Single interaction	3347
4 jets $B_T > 20$ GeV and jet quality	
Reject $jet - \mathbf{F}_T$ asimuthal correlation	223
$E_{\tau} > 65 \text{ GeV}$	5

TABLE 1. The final selection cuts for the three jet and four jet analyses.

down to the stable LSP plus normal quarks and leptons. The two analyses involved searching for squarks and gluinos via their hadronic decays with the jets and missing transverse energy  $(\mathbf{F}_T)$  signature. One analysis required large  $\mathbf{F}_T$  and three or more jets (the "three jet analysis"), while the other required four or more jets with a softer  $\mathbf{F}_T$  cut (the "four jet analysis"). The three jet analysis is complete and will be published shortly in Physical Review Letters (2). The four jet analysis is preliminary.

## THE DETECTOR AND DATA SET

DØ is a large general purpose detector consisting of a central tracking system with no magnetic field, a nearly hermetic liquid argon calorimeter, and a toroidal muon spectrometer. Further details of the detector may be found elsewhere (3). Data used in this analysis were collected during the 1992-1993 run of the Fermilab Tevatron  $\sqrt{s} = 1.8 \text{ TeV } p\bar{p}$  collider and corresponds to a total integrated luminosity of  $13.5 \pm 0.7 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ . Events were collected using a missing  $E_T$  trigger whose threshold ranged over the course of the run from 20 GeV to 40 GeV of  $E_T$ . In both analyses, we required that each event contain only one reconstructed vertex, since events with multiple interactions can introduce uncertainties in jet  $E_T$  and  $E_T$  measurements. The uncertainties arise because angles assigned to calorimeter clusters may be incorrect. This single interaction requirement reduced the effective luminosity to  $7.2 \pm 0.4 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ . The uncertainty includes the probability of misidentifying a multiple interaction as a single interaction.



FIG. 1. On each plot the opening angle between the  $F_T$  vector and the leading jet runs up the vertical axis, and the angle between  $F_T$  and the next leading jet runs along the horizontal axis. On the left is shown events from a low  $E_T$  threshold single jet trigger with an offline  $F_T > 15$  GeV cut applied. Monte Carlo of some vector boson backgrounds are displayed at right.

#### **EVENT SELECTION AND OFFLINE CUTS**

Table 1 describes the offline cuts used for each analysis. The signature for hadronic decays of squarks and gluinos is events with high jet multiplicity from the cascade decays and large missing transverse energy from the LSP's. To select events with this signature, the three jet analysis required at least three jets with  $E_T$  above 25 GeV and 75 GeV of missing transverse energy in the event. The four jet analysis required at least four jets above 20 GeV and  $E_T$  greater than 65 GeV.

Both searches utilized angular correlation cuts to reject QCD events with badly measured jets that produced large false  $\mathcal{F}_T$ . A jet whose energy has been overestimated tends to be opposite the produced  $\mathcal{F}_T$ , while an underestimated jet will usually be along the false  $\mathcal{F}_T$  direction. Figure 1 shows clumping of events due to these phenomena which is not characteristic of the SUSY signal or any of the backgrounds with true  $\mathcal{F}_T$ . The dense region is observed, however, in low  $\mathcal{E}_T$  jet data (after applying a small  $\mathcal{F}_T$  cut) used to determine detector induced backgrounds. To remove events with this false  $\mathcal{F}_T$ , events with  $\mathcal{F}_T$  along or opposite (within 0.1 radians) to any of the three leading jets were rejected. Furthermore, to remove more of the dense region of events seen in Fig. 1, we required  $(\sqrt{\delta\phi_1 - \pi})^2 + (\delta\phi_2)^2 < 0.5)$  where  $\delta\phi_i$  is the azimuthal angle between jet *i* and the  $\mathcal{F}_T$ vector. This cut addresses the case where a fluctuation of the second leading jet masks the correlation between the leading jet and the missing transverse energy.

Since only hadronic cascade decays were desired, events with leptons were also rejected (this cut was not needed for the four jet analysis). After final detector clean up cuts were applied, mainly to reject events with noisy calorimeter cells, a total of 17 events pass these cuts for the three jet analysis and 5 events pass for the four jet search. The events passing the three jet analysis cuts were scanned for anomalies. One event consisted of a large calorimeter energy deposit due to a cosmic ray muon, and two events had their vertices reconstructed far from the true origin of the jets. The latter two events were the result of a rare failure of the vertex algorithm. When they were reconstructed with vertices forced to be placed at the jets origin, they both failed the 75 GeV  $E_T$  cut. All three of these events were rejected, leaving 14 events for the three jet analysis. The  $E_T$  spectrum for these 14



FIG. 2. The  $\mathcal{F}_T$  distribution of the final 14 candidates for the three jet search is displayed (solid circles with error bars). Also shown are spectra from vector boson background Monte Carlo (solid line, normalised to the luminosity of the data) as well as from this background combined with signal Monte Carlo for  $m_{\theta} = m_{\theta} = 200 \text{ GeV}/c^2$  (dotted line, normalised to the luminosity of the data).

events is shown in Fig. 2. Also shown are the background estimation (solid line) and a signal sample ( $m_{f} = m_{f} = 200 \text{ GeV/c}^{2}$ ) combined with the background (dotted line). Both the background and combined background and signal estimates are shown normalized to the luminosity of the data.

#### BACKGROUNDS

The backgrounds are from vector bosons and Standard Model multijet production. W/Z plus jets backgrounds were estimated with the VECBOS (5) Monte Carlo generator utilizing ISAJET (6) to hadronize final partons and supply the underlying event. We produced events specifying the number of jets associated with the W or Z and used ISAJET to handle the decay of tau leptons, taking care to include hadronic decays in the background estimation. The detector response was simulated using the DØGEANT (7) detector simulation program. All events were then reconstructed, and the previously discussed offline cuts were applied. The soon to be published three jet analysis has been updated with improved knowledge of the luminosity and jet energy scale systematic errors as well as a new procedure for treating these uncertainties. A total of  $14.2 \pm 4.4 W/Z$  events are expected to pass the three jet analysis cuts. For the four jet search,  $5.5 \pm 2.2$  events are predicted. A breakdown of these backgrounds is shown in Table 2.

The contribution from Standard Model multijet production was estimated using data from low jet  $E_T$  triggers. In order to obtain good statistics, we fitted the  $E_T$  spectrum of events passing the jet- $E_T$  correlation cut and then determined the fraction of events passing the selection requirements as a function of  $E_T$ . We predict  $0.42 \pm 0.37$  events for the three jet analysis with its 75 GeV  $E_T$  requirement. We expect  $1.6 \pm 0.9$  events for the four jet search, but this background was not subtracted for a more conservative limit.

The number of events seen in the squark-gluino data sample are consistent with these Standard Model backgrounds and thus no signal was observed.

Channel	Expected # of Events		
	Three Jet Analysis	Four Jet Analysis	
$W \rightarrow e\nu$	$2.7 \pm 1.3$	$1.5 \pm 0.7$	
$W \rightarrow \mu \nu$	$4.0 \pm 1.7$	$1.8 \pm 0.9$	
$W \rightarrow \tau \nu$	$3.4 \pm 1.5$	$0.9\pm0.5$	
$Z \rightarrow \nu \nu$	$3.3 \pm 1.5$	$0.9 \pm 0.4$	
$Z \rightarrow \text{other } l$	$0.9 \pm 0.4$	$0.1\pm0.1$	
TOTAL W/Z	$14.2 \pm 4.4$	5.2 ± 2.2	

TABLE 2. Vector boson background estimates.

TABLE 3. Parameters and assumptions used for signal Monte Carlo generation.

 $m_I = m_f$  $m_{H^+} = 500 \text{ GeV/c}^2$  $\tan \beta = 2$  $\mu = -250 \text{ GeV/c}^2$  $m_{top} = 140 \text{ GeV/c}^2$ 

## MASS LIMIT

We can interpret the lack of excess events as a limit on the masses of  $\tilde{g}$  and  $\tilde{q}$ . Events were generated on a grid of  $\tilde{g}, \tilde{q}$  mass pairs using the ISASUSY (8) generator and then sent though DØGEANT and the standard reconstruction program. Other MSSM parameters needed to produce the signal Monte Carlo are specified in Table 3. The results of the search are not very sensitive to the choice of charged Higgs mass nor the top quark mass. Signal efficiencies were determined at each mass point by applying the analysis cuts and then interpolating between the points. For example, the efficiency at the point  $(m_{\tilde{g}}, m_{\tilde{q}}) = (200 \text{ GeV/c}^2, 200 \text{ GeV/c}^2)$  for the three jet analysis is  $19 \pm 2\%$ , and the expected combined cross section for producing squarks and gluinos at that mass is approximately 10 pb. With these signal efficiencies and background estimates, we determine the 95% confidence limit contour in the  $m_{\tilde{g}}-m_{\tilde{q}}$  mass plane shown in Fig. 3. Limits from other previous publications (9) are also displayed.

The preliminary updated limit was obtained by combining the three jet and four jet analyses in the following manner. The mass limit for a particular region in the  $m_j$ ,  $m_j$  plane is calculated from the 95% confidence level cross section limit given by the more sensitive of the two analyses for that region. Sensitivity for an analysis is determined independently from the data by calculating the ratio of the number of Monte Carlo signal events passing the analysis cuts (obtained from a sample appropriate for the region in question) to the square root of the analysis' estimated background. The analysis with the higher ratio is the most sensitive. In the area around the limit, the three jet analysis is more sensitive for small squark mass (below approximately 220 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>), while the four jet analysis is the most sensitive for larger squark mass. This procedure yields a preliminary 95% CL lower mass limit of  $m_j > 173$  GeV/c<sup>2</sup> for large squark mass and a lower mass limit of m > 229 GeV/c<sup>2</sup> for the case of equal mass squarks and gluinos.



FIG. 3. The squark and gluino mass limits are presented in this plot. The long dashed line marks the preliminary DØ 95% confidence level excluded region from the combination of the three jet and four jet analyses. The solid line indicates the DØ three jet search PRL (2) result. The region below the dashed line labeled  $m_{\tilde{e}} < m_{\tilde{s}_1}$  is excluded since there the squark becomes lighter than the LSP. Other published limits from CDF, UA1, UA2, and DELPHI (9) are displayed as well.

## CONCLUSION

We have performed two searches for squarks and gluinos with the DØ detector. No signal above Standard Model backgrounds was observed. We set preliminary 95% CL lower mass limit on the gluino mass for very heavy squarks of  $m_j > 173 \text{ GeV/c}^2$ . If squarks and gluinos have equal mass, the 95% CL lower mass limit is  $m > 229 \text{ GeV/c}^2$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We appreciate the contributions from the Fermilab Accelerator, Computing, and Research Division staffs as well as the support staffs at the collaborating institutions to this work. We also acknowledge the support of the U.S. Department of Energy, the U.S. National Science Foundation, the Commissariat à L'Energie Atomique in France, the Ministry for Atomic Energy and the Ministry of Science and Technology Policy in Russia, CNPq in Brazil, the Departments of Atomic Energy and Science and Education in India, Colciencias in Columbia, CONACyT in Mexico, the Ministry of Education, Research Foundation, and KOSEF in Korea, and the A.P. Sloan Foundation.

## REFERENCES

- \* Visitor from IHEP, Beijing, China.
- <sup>‡</sup> Visitor from CONICET, Argentina.
- <sup>1</sup> Visitor from Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina.
- <sup>¶</sup> Visitor from Univ. San Francisco de Quito, Ecuador.
- X. Tata, in The Standard Model and Beyond, p. 304, ed. J. Kim, World Scientific, Singapore (1991); H. Nilles, Phys. Rep. 110, 1 (1984), P. Nath et al., Applied N=1 Supergravity (ICTP Series in Theoretical Physics, Vol. 1), World Scientific, Singapore (1984); H. Haber and G. Kane, Phys. Rep. 117, 75 (1985).

- 2. DØ Collaboration, S. Abachi et al., to be published, Phys. Rev. Lett.
- 3. DØ Collaboration, S. Abachi et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 338, 185 (1994) and references therein.
- M. Paterno, Ph.D. thesis, The State University of New York at Stony Brook, 1994 (unpublished);
   M. Goforth, DØ Internal Note (1995) (unpublished).
- 5. F. Berends, et al., Nucl. Phys. B357, 32 (1991).
- 6. F. Paige and S. Protopopescu, Brookhaven National Laboratory Report BNL-38304 (1986) (unpublished).
- W. Dharmaratna, R. Raja, and C. Stewart, DØ Internal Note 1730 (1993) (unpublished). R. Brun and C. Carminati, "GEANT Detector Description and Simulation Tool," CERN, CERN Program Library Writeup W5013 (1993) (unpublished).
- 8. H. Baer et al., Proceedings of the Workshop on Physics at Current Accelerators and Supercolliders 1993, p. 703, ed. J. Hewett et al., Argonne Nat. Lab (1993); The physics included in ISASUSY had been incorporated into ISAJET starting with ISAJET version 7.0.
- CDF Collaboration, F. Abe et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 3439 (1992); DELPHI Collaboration, P. Abreu et al., Phys. Lett. B247 148 (1990); Mark II Collaboration, T. Barklow et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 2984 (1990), UA1 Collaboration, C. Albajar et al., Phys. Lett. B198 261 (1987); UA2 Collaboration, J. Alitti et al., Phys. Lett. B235 363 (1990).