

RECEIVED

FINAL FOR SUBMISSION

AUG 04 1997

OSTI

ANL/CMB/PP--87824

The effects of selenium on glutathione peroxidase activity and radioprotection in mammalian cells.

Alan M. Diamond, Ph.D.¹, Judith L. Murray, M.S.¹,

Phylis Dale, B.S.^{1,2}, Richard Tritz, Ph.D.¹

and David J. Grdina, Ph.D.^{1,2}

¹Department of Radiation and Cellular Oncology, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois

60637 and ²Center for Mechanistic Biology and Biotechnology, Argonne National Laboratory,

Argonne, Illinois 60439-4833.

Corresponding Author: Alan M. Diamond, Ph.D.

Department of Radiation and Cellular Oncology

University of Chicago, MC0085

5841 South Maryland Avenue

Chicago, Illinois 60637 USA

Phone # (312) 702-9193, FAX # (312) 702-1968

Running Title: Selenium effects on GPx and radioprotection.

The submitted manuscript has been authored by a contractor of the U. S. Government under contract No. W-31-109-ENG-38. Accordingly, the U. S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or allow others to do so, for U. S. Government purposes.

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED 

DISCLAIMER

**Portions of this document may be illegible
in electronic image products. Images are
produced from the best available original
document.**

ABSTRACT

The media of representative mammalian cell lines were supplemented with low levels of selenium in the form of sodium selenite in order to investigate the effects of selenium on mammalian cells. Following incubation in 30 nM sodium selenite, these cells were assayed for changes in glutathione peroxidase (GPx) activity. The cells examined included NIH 3T3 mouse fibroblasts, PC12 rat sympathetic precursor cells, Supt-1 human lymphocytes, MCF-7^{adr} human breast carcinoma cells and AA8 Chinese hamster ovary cells. Selenium supplementation resulted in a marginal increase in GPx activity for the NIH 3T3, MCF-7^{adr} and Supt-1 cells but stimulated GPx activity approximately 5-fold in PC12 and AA8 cells. AA8 cells were selected to evaluate whether selenium supplementation was radioprotective against ⁶⁰Co gamma irradiation. Protection against radiation-induced mutation was measured by evaluating mutation frequency at the hprt locus. In this assay, pre-incubation of AA8 CHO cells significantly protected these cells from exposure to 8 Gy.

Key words: selenium; glutathione peroxidase; radioprotection

INTRODUCTION

It has been established over recent years that selenium can be an effective chemopreventative agent in the inhibition of cancer [1]. For example, mammary tumor development in mice following exposure to a variety of carcinogens can be inhibited by better than 50% by the inclusion of dietary selenium levels only 5-fold higher than the normal dietary requirement [1]. Similar results have been obtained in other rodent model systems indicating the effectiveness of selenium supplementation of the diet in reducing cancer incidence in a variety of organs after insult with either DNA-damaging agents or oncogenic viruses [1,2]. Selenium has also been shown to inhibit transformation *in vitro* [3], carcinogen metabolism [4] and carcinogen-DNA binding [5]. In humans, numerous epidemiological studies of the general population have indicated an inverse correlation between overall cancer mortality and selenium status [6-10].

Se-dependent glutathione peroxidases (SeGPx) represent a family of related enzymes which are generally believed to constitute a major defense system against toxic peroxides and oxygen free radicals [11]. Given the role of SeGPx in anti-oxidant defense, one might speculate that some of the bioprotective effects of selenium, at least in part, would be due to this enzyme activity. This is generally not believed to be the case as several older studies have indicated that chemoprotective doses of selenium did not result in significant increases in SeGPx activity in experimental animals [12,13]. In this manuscript, it was examined whether the supplementation of culture media with low levels of sodium selenite would have an effect on GPx activity and report that this activity was stimulated in several mammalian cell lines. A representative cell line, CHO AA8 cells, were further examined and shown to be protected from radiation-induced mutation. The implications of this data are discussed regarding the mechanisms by which selenium may provide its bioprotective role.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and culture conditions. The Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cell line CHO AA8 was grown in a-minimal essential medium (a-MEM; Gibco, Grand Island, NY) with 10% fetal bovine serum (Biologos, Naperville, IL), NIH 3T3 cells in DMEM (Gibco) with 10% calf serum (BioWhittaker, Walkersville, MD.), MCF-7^{adr} in IMEM (Gibco) with 5% FBS (Intergen Co., Purchase, N.Y.), PC12 in RPMI 1640 containing 10% horse serum (Gibco) and 5% FBS (Intergen) and SupT-1 in RPMI 1640 in 10% FBS (Intergen). Sodium selenite (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) was prepared in H₂O as a 3 μ M stock solution sterilized by filtration. For studies including selenium in the culture medium, cells were maintained in 30 nM sodium selenite for at least three days prior experimental manipulation and maintained at this concentration throughout the experiment. Mutation frequency was determined as described previously [14].

GPx assay. GPx activity was measured by a standard assay which spectrophotometrically measures the oxidation of NADPH in a coupled system containing reduced glutathione, glutathione reductase, cellular extracts and hydrogen peroxide as the substrate as described [15] and expressed as the nmoles of NADPH oxidized per minute per μ g protein. At least 4 assays were performed on independent cultures.

RESULTS

In order to evaluate whether low levels of selenium above that present in standard culture media could influence GPx activity, several mammalian cell lines were incubated with 30 nM sodium selenite. The cells selected for examination represent a diverse group with respect to both cell type and species of origin. These included mouse NIH 3T3 fibroblasts, rat PC12 sympathetic precursor cells, human Supt-1 T cells and Chinese hamster AA8 ovary cells. The culture medium were supplemented with sodium selenite for 3-10 days and the GPx activity was measure as described in the Methods section. The dose and time for incubation with the selenite was based on GPx effects and time course experiments previously published by Chu et al. [16]. Maximal GPx stimulation occurred at 3 days and did not decline over extended culturing. As seen in Table 1, comparison between cells incubated with and without added selenite yielded diverse results for the different cell lines tested. For example, NIH 3T3 cells, which exhibited the highest GPx activity of the cells tested, demonstrated a marginal increase in GPx activity of 1.3-fold. Similarly, human T-cells and breast carcinoma cells exhibited a 1.5- and 1.6-fold stimulation of GPx activity. In contrast, two of the cell lines tested displayed significant increase in activity. Addition of 30 nM sodium selenite stimulated the GPx activity of CHO AA8 cells by 4.1-fold and maximal stimulation was observed for rat PC12 cells whose GPx activity increased by 6.3-fold. Thus, the GPx activity of the cell lines tested exhibited a diverse response to added low levels of selenium.

CHO AA8 cells were selected for mutation analysis in order to evaluate whether the low-level supplementation of culture media with selenite could offer protection from the mutagenic effects of gamma irradiation. Mutation frequency was assessed by examining mutation frequency at the hprt locus following irradiation of cells. Mutation at this locus is one of the most widely studied markers for mutagenesis.

CHO AA8 cells were selected for these studies. Less than $2 \pm$ mutations per 10^6 surviving were observed when AA8 cells were examined by this assay. Following exposure to 8 Gy gamma irradiation this number rose to $117 \pm$ mutations/ 10^6 cells. Inclusion of sodium selenite for three days prior to irradiation reduced this value to $73 \pm$ mutations/ 10^6 surviving cells, representing a protection factor of 1.602. Therefore, incubation of these cells with low levels of sodium selenite capable of stimulating GPx activity by better than 4-fold was able to significantly protect CHO AA8 cells from radiation-induced mutation.

DISCUSSION

In this manuscript, the effects of low-level supplementation of tissue culture media with sodium selenite on mammalian is described. It is reported that the diverse mammalian cell lines examined herein responded differently with regard to the degree of stimulation of GPx activity. These results did not appear to be related to the amount of selenium present in the culture media prior to selenite addition. If this were the case, one would anticipate that the cells maintained in the least serum concentration would be most responsive to added selenium. This is clearly not the case as the minimally responsive breast cells were maintained in 5% FBS and the maximally stimulated PC12 were incubated in media containing 5% FBS as well as 10% horse serum. Similarly, both SupT-1 cells and CHO AA8 cells, which responded quite differently to selenite supplementation were both maintained in 10% FBS. The molecular basis for the differential response to selenite remains unknown. Several *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies have indicated that levels of GSHPx-1 mRNA are elevated under conditions of selenium adequacy compared to selenium deprivation [17-19]. In contrast, other studies have shown GSHPx-1 expression is elevated under conditions of selenium

deprivation [20]; still others have shown that GSHPx-1 expression is unaffected by selenium status [21]. Other experiments have clearly demonstrated that post-transcriptional mechanisms, i.e. effects on RNA stability, are involved [22].

The results presented in this manuscript also establish the efficacy of low level selenium supplementation in the reduction of radiation-induced mutations. Numerous studies have indicated the ability of selenium to protect cells against mutation induction, toxicity or both following exposure to a wide range of insults, including radiation [1-5,23]. A variety of mechanisms of action have been suggested, including stimulation of a repair processes, induction of apoptosis and its role in GPx proteins. Several studies have indicated that the protection afforded by selenium was likely to be by mechanisms independent of effects on GPx activity [12,13,24,25]. The results with CHO AA8 cells indicated that the same dose of selenium that resulted in a better than 4 fold increase in GPx activity significantly protected these cells from radiation-induced mutation. This data cannot distinguish between GPx-dependent vs. -independent mechanisms of protection. Future studies using GPx expression constructs to genetically increase GPx activity independent of selenium status will be required to establish the protective role of this enzyme.

Acknowledgement: This work was supported by Grant # CN-133 from the American Cancer Society (to A.M.D.).

References

1. Ip C: Selenium and experimental cancer. *Ann. Clin. Res.* 18:22-29, 1986.
2. El-Bayoumy K: The role of selenium in cancer prevention. In: *Cancer Prevention* (DeVita VT Jr, Hellman S, Rosenberg SA, eds.) J.B. Lippincott Co. Philadelphia, Pa., pp 1-15, 1991.
3. Borek C, Ong H, Mason H, Donahue, L and Biaglow JE: Selenium and vitamin E inhibit radiogenic and chemically induced transformation in vitro via different mechanisms. *Proc. Natl. Acad. USA* 83, 1490-1494, 1986.
- 4 Marshall MW, Arnott, MS, Jacobs MM: Selenium effects on the carcinogenicity and metabolism of 2-acetylaminofluorene. *Cancer Lett.* 7:331-338, 1979.
5. Daoud AH, Griffen AC: Effects of selenium and retinoic acid on the metabolism of N-acetylfluorene and N-hydroxyacetylaminofluorene. *Cancer Lett.* 5:231-237, 1978.
6. Salonen JT, Alfthan G, Huttunen JK, Puska P: Association between serum selenium and risk of cancer. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 120:342-349, 1978.
7. Salonen JT: Selenium and human cancer. *Ann. Clin. Res.* 18:18-21, 1986.
8. Schrauzer GN, White DA, Schneider CJ: Cancer mortality correlation studies-III: Statistical associations with dietary selenium intakes. *Bioinorg. Chem.* 7:23-34, 1977.
9. Willett WC, Morris JS, Pressel S, Taylor JO, Polk BF, Stampfer MJ, Rosner B, Schneider K, Hames C: Prediagnostic serum selenium and risk of cancer. *Lancet* 2:130-133, 1983.
10. Shamberger RJ, Tytko SA, Willis CE: Antioxidants and cancer. Part IV. Selenium and age-adjusted human cancer mortality. *Arch. Environ. Health.* 31:231-235, 1976.
11. Mullenbach GT, Tabrizi A, Irvine, BD, Bell GT, Tainerf JA, Hallewell RA: Selenocysteine's mechanism of incorporation revealed in cDNAs of three glutathione peroxidases. *Prot. Engineer.* 2:239-246, 1988.

12. McConnell KP, Broghamer WL, Blotcky AJ et al.: Selenium levels in human blood and tissues in health and disease. *J. Nutr.* 105:1026-1031, 1975.
13. Horvath P, Ip C: Synergistic effect of vitamin E and selenium in the chemoprevention of mammary carcinogenesis in rats. *Cancer Res.* 43:5335-5341, 1983.
14. Grdina DJ, Nagy B, Hill CK, Wells RL, Peraino C: The radioprotector WR-1065 reduces radiation-induced mutation at the hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyl transferase locus in V79 cells. *Carcin.* 6:929-931, 1985.
15. Samuels BL, Murray JL, Cohen MB, Safa AR, Sinha BK, Townsend AJ, Beckett MA, Weichselbaum RR: Increased glutathione peroxidase activity in a human sarcoma cell with inherent doxorubicin resistance. *Cancer Res.* 51:521-527, 1991.
16. Chu FF, Esworthy RS, Akman S, Doroshow, JH: Modulation of glutathione peroxidase expression by selenium: effect on human MCF-7 breast cancer cell transfectants expressing a cellular glutathione peroxidase cDNA and doxorubicin-resistant MCF-7 cells. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 18:1531-9, 1990.
17. Toyoda H, Himeno SI, Imura, N: The regulation of glutathione peroxidase gene expression relevant to manipulation. *Biochem. Biophysica Acta* 1008:301-3008, 1989.
18. Saedi MS, Smith CG, Frampton J, Chambers I, Harrison PR, Sunde RA: Effect of selenium status on mRNA levels for glutathione peroxidase in rat liver. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 153:855-861, 1988.
19. Yoshimura S, Takekoshi S, Wanatabe K, Fujii-Kuriyama, Y: Determination of the nucleotide sequence of cDNA coding rat glutathione peroxidase and diminished expression of the mRNA in selenium deficient rat liver. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 154:1024-1028, 1988.
20. Li N-q, Reddy PS, Thyagaraju K, Reddy AP, Hsu BL, Scholtz RW, Tu C-PD, Reddy CC: Elevation of rat liver mRNA for selenium-dependent glutathione

peroxidase by selenium deficiency. J. Biol. Chem. 265:108-113, 1990.

21. Reddy AP, Hsu BL, Reddy PS, Li NQ, Thyagaraju K, Reddy CC, Tam MF, Tu C-PD: Expression of glutathione peroxidase I gene in selenium-deficient rats. Nucleic Acids Res. 16:5557-5568, 1988.
22. Chada, S., Whitney, C. and Newberger, P.E. (1989) Post-transcriptional regulation of glutathione peroxidase gene expression by selenium in the HL-60 human myeloid cell line. Blood 74:2535-2541.
23. Breccia A, Badiello R, Trenta A, Matta M: On the chemical radioprotection by organic selenium compounds *in vivo*. Radiat. Res. 38:483-492, 1969.
24. Horvath PM, Ip C: Synergistic effect of vitamin E and selenium in the chemoprevention of mammary carcinogenesis in rats. Cancer Res. 43:5335-5341, 1983.
25. Lane HW, Medina D: Mode of action of selenium inhibition of 7,12-dimethylbenz[a]anthracene-induced mouse mammary tumorigenesis. JNCI75:675-679, 1985.

<u>Cell Type</u>	<u>GPx Activity*</u>	<u>Manipulation</u>	<u>% Stimulation</u>
NIH 3T3 fibroblasts (mouse)	29.1 (n=11)	30 nm Sodium selenite	1.3X (n=11)
PC12 sympathetic precursor (rat)	4.1 (n=5)	"	6.3X (n=5)
MCF-7(adr) Breast carcinoma (human)	20.3 (n=7)	"	1.6X (n=7)
Supt-1 T-cells (human)	8.5 (n=4)	"	1.5X (n=4)
AA8 ovary (Chinese hamster)	20.7 (n=5)	"	4.1X (n=5)

Table 1. Effect of Selenium Supplementation on GPx Activity in Mammalian Cells. The indicated cell types were incubated with 30 nM sodium selenite and GPx activity measured as indicated in the text. *GPx activity represents the average of the indicated independent experiments and is expressed as the nmoles of NADPH oxidized per μg protein.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.