CONDITIONS FOR CONVERGENCE AND COMPARISON

Zbigniew I. Woznicki

and

Institute of Atomic Energy PL9901504

The analysis presented in the paper [1] is based on condition implications derived from the properties of regular splittings.

The decomposition $A = M - N$ is called a regular splitting of A, if M is a nonsingular matrix with $M¹ \ge 0$ and $N \ge 0$.

It is easy to verify that for regular splittings of a monotoe matrix A (i.e., $A^{-1} \ge 0$),

$$
A = M_1 - N_1 = M_2 - N_2
$$
 (1)
the assumption

$$
N_2 \ge N_1 \ge 0
$$
implies the equivalent condition (2)

$$
M_2 \ge M_1
$$
 (3)

but the last inequality implies the condition $M_1^{-1} \ge M_2^{-1} \ge 0$ (4)

This condition can be expressed, as follows

(5) $(1 + A^{-1}N_1)^{-1} A^{-1} \ge A^{-1} (1 + N_2A^{-1})^{-1}$ which, after relevant multiplications, is equivalent to

$$
A^{-1} N_2 A^{-1} \ge A^{-1} N_1 A^{-1} \ge 0.
$$

$$
A^{-1} N_2 A^{-1} N_1 \ge (A^{-1} N_1)^2 \ge 0
$$
 (7)

and

$$
(A^{-1}N_2)^2 \ge A^{-1}N_1A^{-1}N_2 \ge 0.
$$
 (8)

Hence,

$$
\rho^{2}(A^{-1}N_{2}) \ge \rho(A^{-1}N_{1}A^{-1}N_{2}) = \n= \rho(A^{-1}N_{2}A^{-1}N_{1}) \ge \rho^{2}(A^{-1}N_{1})
$$
\n(9)

(10) which gives us
 $\rho(A^{-1}N_2) \ge \rho(A^{-1}N_1)$.

Since $\rho(M^{-1}N) = \rho(A^{-1}N/(I+\rho(A^{-1}N))$, the inequality

$$
\rho(M_1^{-1}N_1) \le \rho(M_2^{-1}N_2)
$$
\n⁽¹¹⁾\n
\ncan be deduced.

In the case of the strict inequality in (4), similar considerations lead to the strict inequality in (11).

On the other hand, from the inequality (2), one obtains

$$
A^{-1}N_2 \ge A^{-1}N_1 \ge 0. \tag{12}
$$

which implies the inequalities (6), (7) and (8), and additionally

$$
A^{-1} N_1 A^{-1} N_2 \ge (A^{-1} N_1)^2 \ge 0,
$$
 (13)

$$
(\mathbf{A}^{1} \mathbf{N}_{2})^{2} \ge \mathbf{A}^{1} \mathbf{N}_{2} \mathbf{A}^{1} \mathbf{N}_{1} \ge 0.
$$
 (14)

The inequality (3) gives us that $A^{-1}M_2 \ge A^{-1}M_1 \ge 0,$ (15) $since for each regular collinear$

or each regular splitting of A
\n
$$
A^{-1}M = I + A^{-1}N,
$$
 (16)

hence, it is evident that both conditions (12) and (15) are equivalent.

Each of the above conditions, except (8) and (14), leads to the inequality (11). However, as can be shown on simple examples of regular splittings the reverse implications is not true. Thus, the above inequalities are progressively weaker conditions which used as hypotheses in comparison theorems provide successive generalizations of results.

REFERENCES:

[1]. Z.I. Woźnicki: Conditions for Convergence and Comparison. Proc. 15th IMACS World Congress on Scientific Computation, Modelling and Applied Mathematics, Berlin, August 24-29 (1997), Vol. 2 *Numerical Analysis,* pp.291-296, Edited by Achim Sydow, Wissenschaft & Technik Verlag (1997).

REMARKS ON SOME RESULTS FOR MATRIX SPLITTINGS

Zbigniew I. Woznicki

Institute of Atomic Energy

The paper [1] is an extension of the former version. The subject of the paper is devoted to the discussion of aspects related mainly to the use of proper conditions in splitting definitons in order to avoid a confusion in the interpretation of comparison theorems. For instance, one of such questions is a confusion caused by the use of different definitions of weak regular splittings.

The orginal definition of weak regular splitting of $A = M - N$, introduced by Ortega and Rheinboldt [2], is based on three conditions: $N \ge 0$, $M^{-1}N \ge 0$ and $NM^{-1} \ge 0$. Some authors ignore the last condition which implies weakening this definition and some comparison theorems, proven for regular splittings, do not carry over.