## CN0101670

## Calculations of All Kinds of Reactions for n + <sup>241</sup>Pu in $E_n$ =0.01~20 MeV

Cai Chonghai

(Department of Physics, Nankai University, Tianjin, 300071) Shen Qingbiao and Yu Baosheng (China Nuclear Data Center, CIAE, Beijing, 102413)

<sup>241</sup>Pu are an important fissile nucleus for fast neutron reactor in <sup>239</sup>Pu–<sup>238</sup>U recurrence, good evaluation values of its neutron reaction data are necessary. There are sufficient experimental data for  $\sigma_{f}$ , some experimental data below 0.03 MeV for  $\sigma_{n,\gamma}$ . There are no experimental data for other reaction cross sections, secondary neutron spectra and elastic scattering angular distributions. All experimental data are taken from EXFOR. Therefore, we have to do systematical theoretical calculations to get a complete neutron data set of <sup>241</sup>Pu.

Firstly, the code CAPFO<sup>[1]</sup> is used to automatically get the optimal parameters of spherical optical potential. There are no experimental data for total and nonelastic cross sections as well as elastic scattering angular distributions of <sup>241</sup>Pu. From the consideration of systematics,  $\sigma_{tot}$  of <sup>241</sup>Pu and <sup>239</sup>Pu should be almost the same. And the evaluation values of  $\sigma_{f}$  for <sup>241</sup>Pu in ENDF/B6 library are in very good agreement with the newest experimental data. So the  $\sigma_{tot}$  of <sup>239</sup>Pu and  $\sigma_{non}$  of <sup>241</sup>Pu in ENDF/B-6 library are used in automatically searching for the optimal set of spherical optical potential parameters. In this way, the final optimum set of spherical optical potential parameters for neutron channel is obtained:

$V_0$ =46.408570,	$V_1 = -0.471376$ ,	V <sub>2</sub> =0.063832,
$W_0 = 10.620060,$	W <sub>1</sub> =0.178786,	
U <sub>0</sub> =0.016819,	U <sub>1</sub> =0.328978,	$U_2 = -0.029545$
$a_{\rm r}=0.450434,$	$a_{\rm s}$ =0.620025,	<i>a</i> <sub>v</sub> =0.551695,
$r_{\rm r}=1.325580,$	$r_{\rm s}$ =1.137591,	$r_v = 1.211296.$

Secondarily, the coupled channel optical model code ECIS<sup>[2]</sup> is used to calculate the cross sections and angular distributions of 4 lower levels in direct inelastic scattering. The ground state and these 4 excited states in the rotational band are with excited energies 0.0, 0.0420, 0.0957, 0.1611 and 0.2350 MeV, spins 2.5, 3.5, 4.5, 5.5 and 6.5, parity +1. The coupled channel optical potential parameters<sup>[3]</sup> used in this work are: Real part potential:  $51.32134-0.57E+0.02E^2-24(N-Z)/A$ ; Surface absorption imaginary part potential:  $5.04567+0.4E+0.001E^2$ ; Volume absorption imaginary part potential: 0.0; Spin-orbital coupling potential: 6.0; Radial parameters are 1.256, 1.260 and 1.120 for real, imaginary and spin-orbital coupling, respectively; Diffusion parameters are 0.62, 0.58 and 0.50 for real, imaginary and spin-orbital coupling, respectively. The deformed parameters are  $\beta_2=0.22$ ,  $\beta_4=0.07$ .

These direct inelastic scattering data obtained with the code ECIS and the optimum set of spherical optical potential parameters obtained with the code CAPFO are the input of the kernel calculation program FUNF<sup>[4]</sup>. Besides these two kinds of input data, for correct calculations of the complete neutron data set with FUNF, it is also necessary to find out the optimum fission parameters. With the code ADFP<sup>[5]</sup>, which can automatically search for an optimum set of fission parameters for first, second and third plateau, respectively, we can obtain the optimum set of adjustable fission parameters to make  $\sigma_f$  and  $\sigma_{n,\gamma}$  in optimum accordance with experimental data. The meaning of these fission parameters can be found in Reference [6].

The optimal fission parameters we got are: CK=6000.0,  $C_{E1}=1.9716$ ,  $C_{in}=0.2255$ ,  $C_{2n}=0.3450$ , DGMA=0.6;  $a_{n,\gamma}=26.4005$ ,  $\Delta_{n,\gamma}=1.6462$ ,  $a_{n,n}=27.4575$ ,  $\Delta_{n,n}=0.1584$ ,  $\Delta_{n,f}=0.1837$ ,  $V_{f}(1)=6.1324$ ,  $\hbar\omega(1)=0.9870$ ,  $ck_{f}(1)=5.7988$  for  $\sigma_{n,f}$  in the first plateau;  $a_{n,2n}=26.2308$ ,  $\Delta_{n,2n}=0.7471$ ,  $\Delta_{n,n'f}=0.0654$ ,  $V_{f}(2)=5.8944$ ,  $\hbar\omega(2)=1.1348$ ,  $ck_{f}$  (2)=4.4019 for  $\sigma_{n,nf}$  in the second plateau;  $a_{n,3n}=26.9673$ ,  $\Delta_{n,2n}=-0.7801$ ,  $\Delta_{n,2n}=0.0331$ ,  $V_{f}$  (3)=5.5369,  $\hbar\omega(3)=0.7920$ ,  $ck_{f}$  (3)=3.0882 for  $\sigma_{n,2nf}$  in the third plateau.

The calculated  $\sigma_{tot}$ ,  $\sigma_{el}$  and those from ENDF/B-6 library for <sup>241</sup>Pu as well as experimental  $\sigma_{tot}$  of <sup>239</sup>Pu are given in Fig. 1, respectively, from which we can see that both the calculated  $\sigma_{tot}$  and those in ENDF/B-6 are in good accordance with the experimental  $\sigma_{tot}$  of <sup>239</sup>Pu, as they should be.



Fig. 1 Total and elastic cross sections of <sup>241</sup>Pu

For the calculated values, ENDF/B-6 and experimental data of  $\sigma_{\rm f}$  are given in Fig. 2(a) and Fig. 2(b). Our calculated  $\sigma_f$  are in good agreement with the experimental data except a little worse in 0.24~0.4 MeV and 1.6~5.0 MeV energy region. The calculated and experimental  $\sigma_{n,y}$  are given in Fig. 3, from which we can think both our calculated values and those of ENDF/B-6 are in good agreement with the experimental data, and in higher energy region our calculated  $\sigma_{n,y}$  are more reasonable than those of ENDF/B-6 both in shape and in value. The total (MT=4) and the continuous (MT=91) inelastic cross sections are given in Fig. 4 (a) and Fig. 4(b), respectively, from which we can see that our calculated values are more reasonable than those of ENDF/B-6 in shape. Two calculated discrete level inelastic cross sections (MT=51,52) included direct action component are given in Fig. 5, from which we can see that the MT=51,52 cross sections of ENDF/B-6 does not include direct action component, and we can not understand why those of MT=51,52 suddenly drop to zero at 3 MeV.  $\sigma_{n,2n}$  and  $\sigma_{n,3n}$  are given in Fig. 6(a) and Fig. 6(b), respectively, from which we can see that our calculated  $\sigma_{n,2n}$  are better than those of ENDF/B-6 in shape, and for  $\sigma_{n,3n}$ , ENDF/B-6 are not reasonable in shape, our calculated values are also a little better than JENDL-3 in shape. All kinds of calculated cross sections of <sup>241</sup>Pu are given in Fig. 7, in which those with charged outgoing particles, are directly calculated with the universal parameters. The calculated secondary neutron spectra of continuous inelastic (MT=91), (n,2n) reaction (MT=16) and fission (MT=18) at  $E_n=8$  and 14 MeV are given in Fig. 8(a), Fig. 8(b) and Fig. 8(c), respectively, from which we can see that our calculated values are physically reasonable.







## References

- [1] Cai Chonghai, CAPFO, a code for automatically searching for a set of optimal spherical optical potential parameters of fission nucleus with complex method (unpublished)
- [2] J.Raynal Notes on ECIS94, CEA-N-2772 (1994)
- [3] G.Vladuca, A.Tudora and M.Sin, Rom.J.PHys., tome 41, No.7-8 (1996) 515-526
- [4] Zhang Jingshang, FUNF, a code for comprehensive calculations of fission nucleus based on unified model, CNDC, CIAE (unpublished)
- [5] Cai Chonghai and Zhang Jingshang, ADFP, a code for automatically searching for a set of optimal fission parameters of fission nucleus for first, second and third plateaus (unpublished)
- [6] Cai Chonghai, Shen Qingbiao and Shi Zhaoming, Calculations of Complete Set of Data for  $n + {}^{241,242}Am$  in the Energy Region up to 20 MeV, CNDP, No.24, p.33 (2000)



## Calculations of Complete Set of Data for n+<sup>242</sup>Pu Reaction up to 20 MeV

Shen Qingbiao Yu Baosheng (China Nuclear Data Center, CIAE, Beijing) Cai Chonghai (Department of Physics, Nankai University, Tianjin)

<sup>242</sup>Pu is an important fission nucleus. There are quite a lot experimental data for  $\sigma_{n,F}$  and some experimental data for  $\sigma_{tot}$ ,  $\sigma_{n}$  and elastic scattering angular