

# **Magnetic Diagnostics of Non-Rotating Magnetic Island in LHD**

Y. Narushima<sup>1</sup>, N. Ohyabu<sup>1</sup>, S. Sakakibara<sup>1</sup>, K.Y. Watanabe<sup>1</sup>, T. Yamaguchi<sup>2</sup>, H. Yamada<sup>1</sup>, K.Narihara<sup>1</sup>, I.Yamada<sup>1</sup>, T.Morisaki<sup>1</sup>, S.Inagaki<sup>1</sup>, Y.Nagayama<sup>1</sup>, A.Komori<sup>1</sup> and LHD exp. group<sup>1</sup>  $W.A. Cooper<sup>3</sup>$ 

*National Inslitulefor Fusion Science, ToAj, Gifu 509-5292, Japan*

*2Dep. of Fusion Science, Graduate Univ. for Advanced Studies, Toki, Gifu 509-5292, Japan* <sup>3</sup> CRPP Association Euratom / Confederartion Suisse, EPFL, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

## **Untroduction**

Magnetic islands sometimes play key roles in toroidal plasma confinement from the viewpoint of Magneto-Hydro Dynamics (MHD) stability. In Tokamaks, for example, a seed island triggers a neoclassical tearing mode, and its growth leads to serious deterioration of the confinement. On the other hand, it is possible that the island flattens the pressure profile at the resonance surface, contributing to the stabilization of the pressure-driven resonant MHD mode. In the Large Helical Device (LHD), the perturbed field  $\tilde{b}_0$  produced by the external perturbed coils [1] can produce a magnetic island in the vacuum field. The seed island grows or reduces without rotation during the plasma discharge. The width,  $w$ , of the island is indicated by the flattening of the electron temperature profile measured by Thomson scattering. In LHD the profile only can be obtained at one toroidal position and therefore gives limited knowledge of the structure of the island.

The width  $w$  is related to the perturbed field  $[2]$ 

$$
w^2 = C(\widetilde{b}_0 + \widetilde{b}_1)/B_t \tag{1}
$$

here C,  $\tilde{b}_1$  and  $B_t$  are a constant, perturbed field during the plasma discharge and the toroidal field, respectively. For  $\widetilde{b}_1=0$  and  $\widetilde{b}_0\neq 0$ , the width w is equal to that of the vacuum island (w=w<sub>vac</sub>). The magnetic diagnostics measuring the profile of  $\tilde{b}_1$  is an effective method to find the structure of the magnetic island.

## **2.Experimental setup**

The toroidal array of magnetic flux loops is set at the outer ports in LHD as shown in Fig.1. Each flux loop has  $N=10$  turns wound at the ports whose cross-sections are around  $S\approx 1.2$ m<sup>2</sup> and have a total cross-section of about  $NS=12m^2$ , which leads to enough electromotive force voltage to detect the slow (few 100ms) and weak (few Gauss) change of the magnetic field.

The shapes of the flux loops at the toroidal angles  $\phi = -162, -54, 54, 90,$  and 162 are 90 Perturbation coils planar (coloured line in Fig.  $1$ ) that we use here and the other ones are 3-dimensinal  $\Box$   $\Box$   $\Box$   $\Box$  Flux loops (dotted line in Fig. 1). During a plasma  $162/\sqrt{N}$   $\sqrt{N}$   $\sqrt{N}$   $\sqrt{N}$   $\sqrt{N}$   $\sqrt{N}$   $\sqrt{N}$ discharge these loops can detect the perturbed magnetic flux  $\Phi^R$  which originates from the growth and reduction of the width of the island. The detected magnetic fluxes  $\Phi^R$ s are normalized by the total cross section *NS* to  $\widetilde{b}_1$  whose component is in the major Fig. I Top view of the vacuum vessel of LHD



radial direction. The 4-pairs of perturbation Toroidal angle  $\phi$  is defined -180< $\phi$ <180[deg]

coils placed at the top and bottom of LHD around  $\phi = \pm 90$  [deg] (solid line in Fig. 1) produce the static perturbation field  $\widetilde{b}_0$  having an  $m/n=1/1$  mode which produces a seed island whose  $O(X)$ -point stays at the outer board side at  $\phi = 90(-90)$  [deg] in this study. A Thomson scattering system measures the  $T_e$  profile at  $\phi = -18$  [deg]. The w is estimated as the inner flattening width of the  $T_e$  profile as shown in Fig3(a)(c).

# **3. Experimental results and discussion**

The typical discharge with a seed island ( $w_{\text{vac}} \approx 150$ [mm]) produced by the perturbed field  $b_0$ is shown in Fig. 2, in which the island 0.8 width  $w$  grows from 150 to 200 $\text{[mm]}$  as shown in Fig.2(d). The magnetic field  $b_1 = \frac{5}{8}$   $\frac{3}{8}$   $\frac{1}{10}$  $n_{\rm d} 10^{19} \mathrm{m}^3$   $T_{\rm d}$  keV] varies with time (Fig.2 (e)). Each colour 6 4 -<br>4 corresponds to that of the flux loops in  $\frac{258}{200}$ Fig. 1. The  $\widetilde{b}_1$  at  $\phi = -162$  and 162 [deg]  $\widetilde{a}_{200}$   $\widetilde{b}_{100}$ reduces to  $\widetilde{b}_1 \approx -0.8$ [Gauss]. On the other  $\widetilde{b}_1 \circ \widetilde{b}_2 \circ \widetilde{b}_3$  (e) hand, the  $\widetilde{b}_1$  at  $\phi = 54$ [deg] increases to  $\widetilde{b}_2 \circ \widetilde{b}_3$ hand, the  $\tilde{b}_1$  at  $\phi$ =54[deg] increases to  $b_1 \approx 0.8$ [Gauss].

The  $T_e$  and  $\tilde{b}_1$  profiles at  $t=0.48$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0.7 & 0.9 & 1.1 \\ 0.5 & 0.7 & 0.7 & 0.9 \end{bmatrix}$ 1.73[s] are shown in Fig.3. At  $t=0.48$ [s], Fig.2 Time evolution of (a)averaged beta < $\beta$ >dia<br>(b)alastron temperature at earths T (o)averaged



(b)electron temperature at centre  $T<sub>e</sub>$  (c)averaged Fig3(a) shows that the island width does electron density  $n_e$  (d)island width w (e)perturbed **field**

the seed island width. The flux  $1.5\begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$   $\begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$   $\begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$ 0'O -0 **G.0 .... 0- 1.0** loops detect zero field *b,* as shown 0 .5 .. ............... ......... . ... ......... . 0 5 0 in Fig3(b). At t=1.73[s], the island  $\frac{5}{20}$   $\frac{9}{1.73[8]}$   $\frac{9}{1.73[8]}$   $\frac{3}{20}$   $\frac{1.0}{60}$ (Fig3(c)) and the finite field  $\ddot{b}_1$  1.0  $\left\{\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right\}$ appears as shown in Fig3(d). The  $\frac{0.5}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$ has the dominant toroidal mode  $n=1$ .<br>
Here,  $\tilde{b}_1^{n=1}$  and  $\phi_{n=1}$  are the<br>
maximum amplitude and its toroidal<br>
angle respectively. Figure 4 shows<br>
the time trace of the  $\tilde{b}_1^{n=1}$  and  $\phi_{n=1}$ .<br>  $\frac{180}{6}$  -Here,  $\widetilde{b}_1^{n=1}$  and  $\phi_{n=1}$  are the  $\overline{\overset{3}{\odot}}$  0.5 maximum amplitude and its toroidal  $\sqrt[1,6]{\frac{180}{60}}$ <br>angle respectively. Figure 4 shows  $\frac{180}{60}$  90 angle respectively. Figure4 shows  $\begin{bmatrix} 60 \\ 90 \\ -0 \end{bmatrix}$  (b) the time trace of the  $\widetilde{b}_1^{n=1}$  and  $\phi_{n=1}$ . the angle does not change from



well expresses the  $\tilde{b}_1$  profile, which Fig.3  $T_e$  and  $\tilde{b}_1$  profile at (a)(b)  $t=0.48[s]$ , (c)(d) 1.73[s]



around  $\phi_{n=1}=0$  during the plasma discharge(Fig.4(b)). These results mean that the magnetic island width increases with time and does not rotate, and the position of  $O(X)$ -point stays at inner (outer) board side at  $\phi = -90$ [deg].

The profile of  $\tilde{b}_1$  with the toroidal mode n=1 indicates that the change of the magnetic island width during a discharge also has the  $n=1$  mode component. These results depend on the

assumption that the profile of  $b_1$  is due to the <sup>220</sup> structure of the island with the  $m/n=1/1$ component. The amplitude  $\widetilde{b}_1^{n=1}$  estimates 160 the width w by using Eq (1) that can be  $\frac{9}{2}$  <sup>140</sup> rewritten as follows  $\sum_{n=100}^{120}$ 

$$
w = (\alpha(\widetilde{b}_1^{n=1}/B_t) + w_{\text{vac}}^2)^{0.5} \qquad (2) \qquad \qquad \stackrel{\mathbb{E}}{=} \qquad \qquad \mathbb{R}^2
$$

The calibration between w and  $\tilde{b}_1^{n=1}/B_t$   $\qquad \qquad \tilde{e}$  60 provides the coefficient  $\alpha$  for the island enlargement cases ( $w \geq w_{\text{vac}}$ ). As a result, the  $\frac{0}{20}$   $\frac{1}{40}$   $\frac{0}{60}$   $\frac{20}{40}$   $\frac{40}{60}$   $\frac{80}{100}$  120 140 160 180 200 220<br>magnetic diagnostics can estimate the island  $w$ [mm] ( $T_c$  flattening) magnetic diagnostics can estimate the island *w*[mm]



Fig.5 Relationship between  $w_{\text{mag}}$  and w

width  $w_{\text{mag}}$ . Figure 5 shows the relationship  $\#44831$ between w and  $w_{\text{mag}}$ . The solid line in Fig2(d) is (a) the time trace of  $w_{\text{mag}}$  derived from  $\tilde{b}_1$ , which can  $\sum_{\substack{0 \le x \\ \text{cm}}}$ <br>fit the w. These results show that this magnetic fit the  $w$ . These results show that this magnetic loop with large *NS* can be used to estimate the structure of the non-rotating magnetic island. 2500 3500 4500

For the island reduction or disappearance  $\overline{Q_1(b)}$ (0<w< w<sub>yac</sub>, in other words, healing [3,4]), finite  $\tilde{b}_1$  can be detected even though a seed island  $\begin{bmatrix} 0.2 \\ \frac{32}{5} \end{bmatrix}$  on over Eigure 6 shows the T and  $\tilde{b}_1$  profiles for  $\tilde{b}_1$  $\widetilde{b}_1$  can be detected even though a seed island  $\widetilde{c}_1^{\phantom{1}}$   $\widetilde{c}_2^{\phantom{1}}$   $\widetilde{c}_3^{\phantom{1}}$ exists. Figure 6 shows the  $T_e$  and  $\tilde{b}_1$  profiles for  $\tilde{b}_2$  -0.2 the island disappearance case with  $w_{\text{vac}} = 114 \text{ [mm]}$ . The flattening does not appear<br>(Eig.6(c)) and finite  $\tilde{L}^{n=1}$  is indicated and the stand  $\tilde{b}_1$  profile for the island (Fig6(a)) and finite  $\widetilde{b}_1^{n=1}$  is indicated and  $\phi_{n=1}$ shifts by 180[deg] from the increasing case shown in Fig3(d).



reduction plasma

This result means that some kinds of current layer inside plasma produce  $\widetilde{b}_1$  that suppresses the seed island in the LHD. We are studying what kind of structure the current layer has.

### **4. Summary**

We carry out the magnetic diagnostics of non-rotational magnetic island in LHD. The finite magnetic field appears with a change of the magnetic island width. Magnetic diagnostics can estimate the structure of an island. Even in the disappearance of a magnetic island, a finite magnetic field appears. Further study is intended to reveal the formation mechanism of the current layer producing  $\tilde{b}_1$  which affects the behaviour of the magnetic island.

### **Acknowledgement**

This study was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B)(No. 1 5760627) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan.

### **References**

- **[I]** T. Morisaki et al., Fusion Engineering and Design. **65,** 475 2003)
- [2] A. H. Boozer, Phys. Fluids **27,** 2055 1984)
- [3] K. Narihara et al., Physical Review Letter 87, 135002 (2001)
- [4] N. Ohyabu et al., Physical Review Letter 88, 055005 (2002)