CN_FR13 Conference, Panel 2, Paris, France, 2013

(Panel 2) Sustainability of Advanced Fuel Cycles Path towards converging visions of sustainability linking to advanced nuclear fuel cycle


March 05, 2013



Geun-IL Park



Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute



Challenging of Nuclear Fuel Cycle

- A number of challenges to be faced
 - Competitive nuclear energy under the high carbon pricing and financing control
 - A number of challenges to be faced : Requirements
 - Continuous enhancement of safety and security culture
 - Radioactive waste management
 - Nuclear material control
 - Substantial contribution to meet world's energy demand
 - Gen-IV Reactor development and related nuclear fuel cycle technologies
 - Pursued to enhance longer-term sustainability
- Identification of nuclear fuel cycle options
 - Nation's strategies to provide nuclear energy with its various objectives
 - Fuel cycle options that can be best suitable for the country

Evaluation of Nuclear Fuel Cycle Options

- Different fuel cycle options by each country
 - Evaluation of advanced fuel cycle options
 - Sustainability
 - Environmental-friendliness
 - Proliferation-resistance
 - Economics
 - Technologies maturity level
- Common consequence of nuclear fuel cycle options
 - Key evaluation driver of sustainability : Uranium resource
 - Environmental-friendliness : Spent fuel and HLW amount to be disposed
 - Proliferation-resistance : Pu to be disposed

Fuel Cycle Schemes



• Once-through Fuel Cycles

- Direct disposal

- Selection of HLW disposal site will be a critical factor

- Relatively low cost and deep geological repositories have been widely accepted in several countries
- No repositories are yet in operation
- Preferred selection of Low and Intermediate level Waste (LILW) disposal facility

Closed Fuel Cycles

- SF treatment and reuse at SFR reactor
 - Aqueous process : Partially
 - Pyroprocess : Closed
- Proliferation concern has to be resolved

• Driven by country-specific circumstance


- Nuclear fuel cycle option can't be superior in all aspects of sustainability, waste management, PR and so on
- Comparison of the options : extremely complicated.
- Recognizing selection of nuclear fuel cycle option : Mainly driven by county-specific circumstances that ultimately determine national strategies
- No comparative assessment of such national options has been undertaken.

 Comprehensive standard methodology for objective evaluation of various fuel cycle options

- Provide potential information to policy-decision maker


Open Discussions and SF R&D Activities


- On-site SF storage limit will be reached from 2016
- Spent fuel management policy will be established based on open discussions and public consensus
 - R&D activities to provide technical information for decision making process



PR-Oriented Pyroporcessing

Gibbs Free Energy Change (kJ / mol)





Resource utilization

- Increased plant capacity for the next decade continues to add uranium resource and separation work unit demand.
- Prospective increase in the use of reprocessed uranium would have beneficial impact on resource utilization and resource availability

• Waste management

- Reprocessing and recycling technology
 - Lead to reduction of spent fuel inventories and
 - Removal of most of fissile material in the ultimate waste for disposal alleviates the long-term waste burden.
 - Implementation of deep geological disposal remains a key challenge for the industry and for governments

Overall Impact Factors on Sustainability (2)

Proliferation resistance and Physical protection

- Consumption of recycled uranium and TRU reduces potential attractiveness for non-peaceful use.
- Any wider spread of reprocessing or enrichment carries with it proliferation challenges, which continue to be the subject of national and international efforts to enhance the safeguards and non-proliferation regimes

• Safety


- Reprocessing and recycling technology
 - some relaxation in criticality constraints and safeguards requirements enables by the removal of the majority of the fissile material in the final waste form going to a repository

Economics


- Major challenge is facing for the reduction of construction time and capital costs for the advanced nuclear fuel cycle

Poliferation Resistance R&D (1)

- Objectives
 - Development of PR/PP enhancement technologies for pyroprocess
 - Development of safeguards technologies
 - Development of hot cell design concept



International Collaboration



Poliferation Resistance R&D (2)

- Risk of proliferation in any facility that handles nuclear materials
 - A certain level of risk of proliferation in any facility that handles nuclear materials
 - Most intrinsic barriers are ineffective against diversions initiated by states
 - More important question is how to enhance the proliferation resistance of the given process

• Enhancements of proliferation resistance

- Achieved through application of measures suitably combined to strengthen the material, technological, and institutional barriers to proliferation.
- Development of a "risk reduction methodology" and
- Implementation of a "safeguards-by-design" (SBD) approach

• A Nuclear material accountancy system

- NDA, DA, C/S

Summary



• Evaluation of nuclear fuel cycle options

- Selection of nuclear fuel cycle option is mainly driven by countyspecific circumstances that ultimately determine national strategies
- Comprehensive standard methodology for objective evaluation of various fuel cycle options would provide potential information

• Pyroprocessing

- KAERI has developed an environment-friendly and proliferation resistant pyroprocessing for spent fuel treatment
- To recover useful materials such as U, TRU, and reduce the volume and radiotoxicity of spent fuel

• Infrastructure

- Proving transparency and escalating technology improvement in terms of technical, economical and proliferation-resistance aspects